

Health & Research Journal

Vol 6, No 1 (2020)

Volume 6 Issue 1 January - March 2020



Volume 6 issue 1 January-March 2020

EDITORIAL

"Shadowing a nurse" technique

REVIEW

Post stroke depression and its effects on functional rehabilitation of patients: socio-cultural disability communities

SPECIAL ARTICLES

The impact of mediterranean diet in perioperative nurses' health

RESEARCH ARTICLES

Complementary and alternative medicines used by cancer patients to cope with nausea

Musculoskeletal pain management in the Emergency Department

Published in cooperation with the Postgraduate Program "Intensive Care Units", the Hellenic Society of Nursing Research and Education and the Helerga

Complementary and alternative medicines used by cancer patients to cope with nausea

İsmail Toygar, Öznur Usta Yeşilbalkan, Merve Kürkütü, Arzu Temelli

doi: [10.12681/healthresj.23003](https://doi.org/10.12681/healthresj.23003)

To cite this article:

Toygar, İsmail, Yeşilbalkan, Öznur U., Kürkütü, M., & Temelli, A. (2020). Complementary and alternative medicines used by cancer patients to cope with nausea. *Health & Research Journal*, 6(1), 29-35. <https://doi.org/10.12681/healthresj.23003>

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

COMPLEMENTARY AND ALTERNATIVE MEDICINES USED BY CANCER PATIENTS TO COPE WITH NAUSEA

İsmail Toygar¹, Öznur Usta Yeşilbalkan¹, Merve Kürkütü², Arzu Temelli³

1. RN, PhD, Ege University Faculty of Nursing Internal Medicine Nursing Department, Izmir, TURKEY
2. RN, MSc (c), Medical Park Hospital, Nurse, Izmir, TURKEY
3. RN, Ege University Faculty of Medicine Tülay Aktaş Oncology Hospital, Nurse, Izmir, TURKEY

DOI:

Abstract

Background: Cancer is a common health problem in the world. Nausea is one of the most common symptoms in cancer patients. Cancer patients often apply to complementary and alternative medicines (CAM) in symptom management.

Aim: The aim of this study was to determine the CAM methods used by cancer patients to cope with nausea.

Material and Method: The study has a descriptive design and its data were collected in an adult oncology unit of a university hospital between July and September 2019. A total of 205 patients diagnosed with cancer for at least 6 months were included in the study. Data were collected by using the Individual Identification Form and Attitude Scale against Holistic Complementary Medicine. Data were analyzed with SPSS 25.0 package program. Written permission was obtained from the relevant ethics committee, hospital and participants to conduct the study.

Results: Sixty and a half percent of the subjects were female and the mean age was 50.64 ± 15.27 . While 31.7% of the patients had information about CAM, the main source of information was the internet and social media (64.6%). It was found that 14.63% of the patients applied to a CAM to cope with nausea. The most commonly used method is phytotherapy with 86.67%. The total score environment of the Holistic Complementary Medicine Attitude Scale was 33.49 ± 4.63 .

Conclusions: It was found that the rate of using CAM in coping with nausea in cancer patients was lower than other symptoms in the literature and the most commonly used method was phytotherapy. Patients' attitudes towards CAM were found to be positive.

Key words: Complementary and alternative medicine, cancer, nausea, attitude.

Corresponding Author: İsmail Toygar, Department of Internal Medicine Nursing, Ege University, PO Box 309, Bornova, Izmir, TURKEY, E-mail: ismail.toygar1@gmail.com

Cite as: Toygar, İ., Yeşilbalkan, Ö., Kürkütü, M., Temelli, A. (2020). Complementary and alternative medicines used by cancer patients to cope with nausea. *Health and Research Journal*, 6(1), 29-35. <https://ejournals.epublishing.ekt.gr/index.php/HealthRes/>

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a common health problem worldwide.¹⁻³ Cancer itself and the side effects of the treatment significantly decrease the quality of life of individuals. There are many symptoms reported in the literature caused by cancer itself and its treatment.^{4,5}

The gastrointestinal system is the system that symptoms mostly reported in.⁶ Nausea is one of the most commonly reported symptoms in the gastrointestinal system, and decrease the quality of life of the patients. Despite the considerable progress achieved in recent decades, more than half of the cancer patients experience nausea. Severe nausea is reported by 20.5-29.2% of the patients receiving chemotherapy.^{7,8}

Nausea is a subjective and unobservable phenomenon and defined as an unpleasant feeling. As a result of nausea and vomiting, dehydration and malnutrition may occur and this causes serious metabolic and electrolyte disturbances. Furthermore, It affects negatively the daily living activities. Nausea is affecting the overall wellbeing and quality of life of cancer patients.⁹

The most common drugs to prevent and management nausea are antiemetics. Despite the extensive use of antiemetics, nausea is reported by many patients receiving chemotherapy. There are many side effects of antiemetics reported in the literature such as hypotension, headache, diarrhea constipation, sedation, and extrapyramidal side effects.¹⁰

The patients commonly use complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) to cope with symptoms. CAM usage is also common among cancer patients. The main reason for this usage is that they believe CAM is safer than modern medicine. CAM usage varies between 9% and 88% in cancer patients in the world and the average is around 40%.¹¹

According to regions, this prevalence is 34% in Europe, 40% in Australia and New Zealand, and 46% in North America.⁷ In Turkey, this ratio is about 48%.¹¹ However, there is a gap in the literature regarding the frequency of CAM use to cope with nausea in cancer patients.

Thus, the **aim** of the present study was to evaluate the frequency of CAM usage and the methods used to cope with nausea in cancer patients.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Sample and Setting

This is a descriptive study. All patients who admitted to the oncology department of a university hospital between February and September 2019, had cancer diagnosis for at least 6 months and had received chemotherapy for at least 3 months were enrolled in the study. A convenience sample of patients was obtained from patients meeting the inclusion criteria. The studied sample consisted of 203 patients.

Data Collection

Data collection was performed by a patient identification form which was specially developed by the research team and the Holistic Complementary and Alternative Medicine Attitude Scale.

Patient Identification Form: This form consists of 10 questions including the patient's age, gender, marital status, income level, education level, disease duration, CAM usage, and herbal methods.

Holistic Complementary and Alternative Medicines Questionnaire (HCAMQ): This is an 11 item, the self-completed questionnaire that measures attitudes to complementary and alternative medicine and holistic health beliefs. The questionnaire is valid and reliable for Turkish society. The lowest score in this questionnaire is 11 and the highest score is 66. A lower score indicates a more positive attitude towards holistic health belief and CAT.¹²

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

IBM SPSS 25.0 program was used for data analysis. Descriptive data of the study are presented in frequencies (n), mean values and percentages (%). The t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to compare the total scores and sub-dimensions of the scale between groups.

Ethics

Written permission was obtained from the Medical Research Ethical Committee of the relevant university (Protocol: 19-6.1T / 54), the hospital (54148036-100) and informed consent from participants to conduct the study.

RESULTS

More than half of the participants were female (60.6%), married (83.3%) and their mean age was 50.38 ± 15.32 years. The mean duration of the disease was 20.93 ± 28.85 months. Other socio-demographic characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1.

The only 16.3% of the participants were using any CAM methods to cope with nausea. The main source of the information about CAM methods was other patients (66.6%). The most common method used by patients was phytotherapy (81.7%), and more than half of the patients use these methods as complementary to modern medicine (69.7%) (Table 2).

There weren't any differences between the attitudes towards CAM and variables such as gender ($p=0.068$), education level (0.246), where they are living (0.108), and income level (0.974) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Nausea is one of the most common problems among cancer patients receiving chemotherapy. Despite the advanced in the symptom management in oncology, nausea is still affecting more than half of the patients receiving chemotherapy. Cancer patients commonly use CAM to cope with symptoms.¹³ This study conducted with the aim of determining the frequency of CAM usage among cancer patients experiencing nausea and the methods used by them.

In the current study, we found that 16.3% of the patients were using CAM to cope with nausea. In a meta-analysis, Horneber et al.,¹¹ reported that CAM usage among cancer patients is about 49% after 2000 and it has increased in years. Nagashekhara et al.,¹⁴ reported that 48.6% of cancer patients are CAM users. Wortman et al.,¹⁵ reported that 51% of cancer patients apply to CAM. In their study, Vapivala et al.,¹⁶ reported that 8% of females and 4% of males were using CAM to cope with nausea and vomiting. The prevalence of CAM usage is lower in nausea than overall cancer symptoms. Most of the people using CAM prefer to use it when they do not have any other alternatives or they believe medications are harmful for them.¹⁷ Nausea is one of most distinctly evaluated and managed symptoms in cancer.⁸ Precautions and medications of nau-

sea is well-known by health professionals and patients. We thought that this is the reason for the difference between the other symptoms and nausea. On the other hand, in the current study, we evaluated CAM usage among the patients who experienced nausea, but Vapiwala et al.,¹⁶ evaluated the reason for CAM usage among cancer patients. So these studies are not comparable. However, both of these studies provide knowledge that cancer patients are using CAM for nausea at various rates. Our study reflects the literature in this aspect.

In this study, we found that the most frequently used CAM was phytotherapy and followed by yoga, meditation, and music therapy. Paul et al.,¹⁸ in their study reported that medical herbs is the most common method used by both patients and family members. Accordingly, Wortman et al.,¹⁹ reported that yoga and meditation are methods used by cancer patients for symptom management. There are usage and positive effects of music therapy in cancer patients experiencing nausea reported in the literature. The methods found in the current study are similar to the methods reported in the literature.

The attitudes of cancer patients experiencing nausea towards CAM found positive in the current study. The attitudes toward CAM are mostly reported positive and almost half of the cancer patients are using CAM for various reasons.¹¹ Wode et al.,²⁰ reported the positive attitudes of cancer patients towards CAM.¹⁴ In this respect, our results are similar to the literature.

Limitations

The study was conducted with participants diagnosed with cancer, but there was no particular cancer group. The participants were patients admitted to the hospital, the study is not necessarily generalizable.

CONCLUSIONS

As a result, cancer patients use CAM for the management of nausea. The most common method used by the patients is phytotherapy and followed by yoga, meditation, and music therapy. The attitudes of patients experiencing nausea toward CAM was found positive. However, CAM usage is recommended by other patients more than health professionals or scientific books and papers.

Funding and Conflict of Interest

The authors have no funding or conflicts of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgment

All authors contribute to the data collecting and writing the manuscript. We thank Su Özgür for statistical analysis and all patients for their collaboration.

REFERENCES

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, 2019;69(1):7-34.
2. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Bray F. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *International journal of cancer*, 2015;136(5):359-386.
3. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, 2011;61(2):69-90.
4. Deshields TL, Potter P, Olsen S, Liu J. The persistence of symptom burden: symptom experience and quality of life of cancer patients across one year. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 2014;22(4):1089-1096.
5. Harrington CB, Hansen JA, Moskowitz M, Todd BL, Feuerstein M. It's not over when it's over: long-term symptoms in cancer survivors—a systematic review. *The International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 2010;40(2):163-181.
6. Tsukamoto M, Manabe N, Kamada T, Hirai T, Hata J, Haruma K, Inoue K. Number of gastrointestinal symptoms is a useful means of identifying patients with cancer for dysphagia. *Dysphagia*, 2016;31(4):547-554.
7. Cheung WY, Le LW, Gagliese L, Zimmermann C. Age and gender differences in symptom intensity and symptom clusters among patients with metastatic cancer. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 2011;19(3):417-423.
8. Farrell C, Brearley SG, Pilling M, Molassiotis A. The impact of chemotherapy-related nausea on patients' nutritional status, psychological distress, and quality of life. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 2013;21(1):59-66.
9. Hamling K. The management of nausea and vomiting in advanced cancer. *International journal of palliative nursing*, 2011;17(7):321-327.
10. Ryan JL. Treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea in cancer patients. *European oncology*, 2010;6(2): 14.
11. Horneber M, Bueschel G, Dennert G, Less D, Ritter E, Zwahlen M. How many cancer patients use complementary and alternative medicine: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Integrative cancer therapies*, 2012;11(3):187-203.
12. Erci B. Attitudes towards holistic complementary and alternative medicine: a sample of healthy people in Turkey. *J Clin Nurs* 2007;16(4):761-8.
13. Chang KH, Brodie R, Choong MA, Sweeney KJ, Kerin MJ. Complementary and alternative medicine use in oncology: a questionnaire survey of patients and health care professionals. *BMC cancer*, 2011;11(1):196.
14. Nagashekhara M, Murthy V, Mruthyunjaya AT, Li Ann L. An empirical study on traditional, complementary and alternative medicine usage among malaysian cancer patients. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, 2015;16(15):6237-41.
15. Wortmann JK, Bremer A, Eich HT, Wortmann HK, Schuster A, Fühner J, Huebner J. Use of complementary and alternative medicine by patients with cancer: a cross-sectional study at different points of cancer care. *Medical Oncology*, 2016;33(7):78.
16. Vapiwala N, Mick R, Hampshire MK, Metz JM, DeNittis AS. Patient initiation of complementary and alternative medical therapies (CAM) following cancer diagnosis. *The Cancer Journal*, 2006;12(6):467-474.
17. Keene MR, Heslop IM, Sabesan SS, Glass BD. Complementary and alternative medicine use in cancer: A systematic review. *Complementary therapies in clinical practice*, 2019;35:33-47.
18. Paul M, Davey B, Senf B, Stoll C, Münstedt K, Mücke R, Hübner J. Patients with advanced cancer and their usage of complementary and alternative medicine. *Journal of*

cancer research and clinical oncology, 2013;139(9):1515-1522.

19. Karagozoglu S, Tekyasar F, Yilmaz FA. Effects of music therapy and guided visual imagery on chemotherapy-induced anxiety and nausea-vomiting. *Journal of clinical nursing*, 2013;22(1-2):39-50.
20. Wode K, Henriksson R, Sharp L, Stoltenberg A, Nordberg JH. Cancer patients' use of complementary and alternative medicine in Sweden: a cross-sectional study. *BMC complementary and alternative medicine*, 2019;19(1):62, 1-11.

ANNEX

TABLE 1: Sociodemographic and disease-related characteristics of participants

		n	%
Gender	Male	123	60.6
	Female	80	39.4
Marital Status	Married	169	83.3
	Single	34	16.7
Education Level	Illiterate	4	2.0
	Primary school	100	49.3
	High School	45	22.2
	University	54	26.5
Income Level	Income less than the expense	51	25.1
	Income is equal to the expense	110	54.2
	Income is higher than the expense	42	20.7
Living in	Village	17	8.4
	Town	23	11.3
	City	50	24.6
	Metropolitan	113	55.7
		$\bar{X} \pm SS$	
Age		50.38±15.32	
Disease Duration (Month)		20.93±28.85	

TABLE 2: The characteristics of participants about CAM

		n	%
Cam Usage	Yes	33	16.3
	No	170	83.7
The source of recommendation	Health professionals	5	15.2
	Internet and social media	3	9.1
	Scientific books and papers	3	9.1
	Other patients	22	66.6
CAM Method Used by Patients	Phytotherapy	27	81.7
	Music Therapy	2	6.1
	Yoga	2	6.1
	Meditation	2	6.1
Used as	Complementary	23	69.7
	Alternative	10	30.3
Herbs/Herbal Product	Mint-Lemon mixture	16	59.3
	Linden	4	14.8
	Roasted chickpea	4	14.8
	Camomile	3	11.1
The part of the herbs	Fruit	11	40.7
	Leaf	13	48.2
	Root	3	11.1
Consuming type of the herbs	Boil and drink	15	55.6
	Direkt drink	4	14.8
	Eat	8	29.6

TABLE 3: The sub-dimensions and total scores of HCAHQ according to groups

	Holistic Health	Complementary and Alternative Medicine	Total
Gender			
Male	9.71±2.94	25.13±3.19	34.84±3.92
Female	9.59±3.73	24.19±3.78	33.77±4.88
	p=0.797	p=0.068	p=0.103
Education Level			
Primary School	10.14±3.65	24.83±23.78	34.98±4.36
High School	8.71±2.66	23.78±3.82	32.49±5.07
University	9.42±3.44	24.56±3.58	34.09±4.09
	p=0.056	p=0.246	p=0.008
Living in			
Metropolitan	9.23±3.28	24.49±3.73	33.72±4.86
City	9.84±3.50	23.84±2.92	33.68±3.96
Town	9.52±3.31	25.91±4.43	35.44±4.12
Village	11.88±3.77	28.29±2.57	37.18±3.19
	p=0.027	p=0.108	p=0.011
Income Level			
Income less than the expense	9.88±3.45	24.55±3.33	34.43±4.33
Income is equal to the expense	9.56±3.60	24.52±3.38	34.08±4.35
Income is higher than the expense	9.52±2.98	24.66±4.39	34.19±4.55
	p=0.838	p=0.974	p=0.903
Total	9.64±3.43	24.56±3.58	34.19±4.55