



Byzantina Symmeikta

Vol 20 (2010)

BYZANTINA SYMMEIKTA 20



To cite this article:

GKOUTZIOUKOSTAS, A. (2010). "Judges of the Velum" and "Judges of the Hippodrome" in Thessalonike (11th c.). *Byzantina Symmeikta*, *20*, 67–84. https://doi.org/10.12681/byzsym.970

ANDREAS GKOUTZIOUKOSTAS

JUDGES OF THE VELUM AND JUDGES OF THE HIPPODROME IN THESSALONIKE (11TH C.)

Although there is an abundance of studies on the Byzantine Empire's second city, there is one aspect of the history of Thessalonike that needs further research, and that is the administration of justice. This paper endeavours to analyse one facet of this topic. It focuses on judicial officers acting in Thessalonike in the 11th century, and more specifically on those who, according to the sources, had previously served in the courts of Constantinople. The wealth of sigillary material and documents relating to the region that is preserved in the archives of the Athonite monasteries has enabled us to draw certain conclusions regarding the operation of the judicial system itself.

The official responsible for hearing cases in the district of Thessalonike at that time was the *judge of the theme*. Since, however, from the second fifth of the 11th century onward, the sources refer to a broader judicial and financial unit comprising the *themes* of *Boleron*, *Strymon* and *Thessalonike*¹, for

1. See S. KYRIAKIDES, Τὸ Βολεφόν, ΕΕΦΣΠΘ 3 (1934) 289-494 [=IDEM, BυζαντιναìMελέται, II-V, Thessalonike 1939, no. IV), 313 f.f. (hereafter KYRIAKIDES, Βολεφόν); P. LEMERLE, Philippes et la Macédoine Orientale à l'epoque chrétienne et byzantine. Recherches d'histoire et d'archéologie [Bibliothèque des Écoles Françaises d'Athènes et de Rome, 158], Paris 1945, 159-168 (hereafter: LEMERLE, Philippes); M. GREGORIOU-IOANNIDOU, Παφακμή και πτώση του θεματικού θεσμού. Συμβολή στην εξέλιξη της διοικητικής και στφατιωτικής οργάνωσης του Βυζαντίου από το 10ο αιώνα κ.ε., Thessalonike 1985 (Thessalonike

Επιμέλεια έκδοσης: Μαρία Λεοντσινή, ΙΒΕ/ΕΙΕ

BYZANTINA ΣΥΜΜΕΙΚΤΑ 20 (2010) 67-84

^{*}This study is an elaboration of the paper I presented to the 21st International Symposium on "Christian Thessalonike" entitled: «Χριστιανική Θεσσαλονίκη καὶ Κωνσταντινούπολις ἀπὸ τοῦ ἐνδεκάτου αἰῶνος μέχρι σήμερον» [Christian Thessalonike and Constantinople from the eleventh century to the present], Blatades Monastery, 18-20 October 2007.

the purposes of this paper we have studied seals and documents that mention *judges of Thessalonike* or *judges of Boleron*, *Strymon and Thessalonike*, as cited in the sources.

It is clear from the combined evidence of contemporary documents and seals that several of the judges, that we know to have served in Thessalonike in the 11th century, had previously been *judges of the hippodrome* or *judges of the velum* in Constantinople. According to the *Ecloga Basilicorum*, an extensively commentated synopsis of the first ten books of the *Basilica* compiled most probably in Constantinople in 1142², the *judges of the hippodrome* and the *judges of the velum* were not classed among the "great" or superior judges, that is, the powerful magistrates ($\tilde{\alpha} \varrho \chi o \tau \epsilon_5$) who presided over the courts of the *themes* (*krites, praitor*) or of the imperial capital³, such as the

2. Ecloga Basilicorum, ed. L. BURGMANN [Forschungen zur byzantinischen Rechtsgeschichte, 15], Frankfurt a.M. 1988, vii-xviii (hereafter Ecloga Basilicorum); cf. S. TROIANOS, Οι πηγές του βυζαντινού δικαίου, Athens – Komotini ²1999, 202; R. J. MACRIDES, The Competent Court, in: Law and Society in Byzantium: Ninth-Twelfth Centuries, ed. A. E. LAIOU – D. SIMON, Washington D.C. 1994, 117-130 [=EADEM, Kinship and Justice in Byzantium, 11th-15th Centuries, Variorum Collected Studies Series, Aldershot-Brookfield-Singapore-Sydney 1999, VIII], 118 (hereafter MACRIDES, Court).

3. Ecloga Basilicorum B.2.2.207 (68.2-11): καὶ γὰρ οἱ ἄρχοντες ἐξουσίαν ἔχουσι κατὰ τῶν ὑπὸ τὴν ἐξουσίαν τούτων. Οἶον ἄρχων λέγεται ὁ δοὺξ ἢ ὁ πραίτωρ ἢ ἄλλος ἄρχων ἑκάστης ἐπαρχίας· ἕκαστος γοῦν τούτων ἐξουσίαν ἔχει κατὰ τῶν ὄντων ὑπὸ τὴν ἐπαρχίαν αὐτῶν. Καὶ πᾶς δικαστής, ἂν ἐν Κωνσταντινουπόλει ἐστίν, ἄρχων λέγεται καὶ ἐξουσίαν ἔχει κατὰ τῶν ὑπὸ τὴν δικαιοδοσίαν καὶ τὴν κρίσιν αὐτοῦ. Οἶον ὁ ἔπαρχος ἐξουσίαν ἔχει ἐπὶ πᾶσι τοῖς συστηματικοῖς καὶ ἰδιώταις καὶ τέχνην οἱανδήτινα μετερχομένοις, καὶ ἁπλῶς πᾶς δικαστὴς ἐξουσίαν ἔχει ἐπὶ τοῖς ὑποκειμένοις καὶ δικαζομένοις παρ' αὐτοῦ. Λέγεται οὖν ἡ τῶν ἀρχόντων ἐξουσία ἰδικῷ ὀνόματι κράτος—καὶ εἰκότως, ὅτι κρατεῖ τῶν ὑπὸ χεῖρα καί, ὅποι καὶ ὅπως βούλεται, ἄγει αὐτούς, Β.2.3.70 (112.20-24): Τῶν δικαστῶν οἱ μὲν ἔχουσι δικαιοδοσίαν καὶ δύνανται καὶ προστιμᾶν καὶ τιμωρεῖσθαι

^{2007), 78-79,} n. 273 (hereafter GREGORIOU-IOANNIDOU, $\Pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varkappa \mu \eta$); CHR. KYRIAZOPOULOS, H $\Theta \rho \alpha \varkappa \eta$ $\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\tau \sigma \upsilon \varsigma$ 100-120 $\alpha \iota \omega \upsilon \varepsilon \varsigma$. $\Sigma \upsilon \mu \beta o \lambda \eta$ $\sigma \tau \eta$ $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \eta$ $\tau \eta \varsigma$ $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \varkappa \eta \varsigma$, $\delta \iota o \iota \varkappa \eta \tau \iota \varkappa \eta \varsigma$ $\varkappa \varkappa \eta \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \varkappa \varkappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \varkappa \eta \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \delta \iota \xi \eta \varsigma$, Thessalonike 2000, 207f.f. (hereafter KYRIAZOPOULOS, $\Theta \rho \alpha \varkappa \eta$). The evidence resulting from the publication of new documents and seals can now be added to the existing body of material relating to the *judges of Boleron*, *Strymon and Thessalonike* cited by these scholars: see e.g. *Actes de Vatopédi*, v. I, *Des origines à 1329*, ed. J. BOMPAIRE – J. LEFORT – V. KRAVARI – C. GIROS [Archives de l'Athos, XXI], Paris 2001, no. 6.10 (1033), which mentions the *judge of Boleron*, *Strymon and Thessalonike* Andronikos (see also the editor's notes). See also the seals published by J.-CL. CHEYNET – C. MORRISSON – W. SEIBT (see below n. 15) and a seal recently published by I. LEONTIADES (see below n. 14).

megas droungarios, the eparchos of the city, the dikaiodotes, the koiaistor, the protoasecretis, the epi ton kriseon, and the katholikos⁴ or προκαθήμενος τῶν δημοσιακῶν δικαστηρίων⁵, but were lower-ranking judges: «Ἀλλ' οὐδὲ δικαστήν, ἐν ὅσῷ κρίνει καὶ τὴν ἰδίαν ποιεῖται διάγνωσιν, χρὴ καλεῖν εἰς δικαστήριον — ὑπόθου δὲ τὸν τοιοῦτον δικαστὴν μὴ κατὰ τοὺς προειρημένους ἔπαρχον ἢ πραίτωρα ἢ τοὺς ἄλλους τοὺς τοιούτους, ἀλλ' ὑποδεέστερον, οἶός ἐστιν ὁ κριτὴς τοῦ βήλου ἢ τοῦ ἱπποδρόμου»⁶. The judges of the hippodrome and the judges of the velum were, then, "small" or inferior judges⁷ who sat as commissioned judges or assessors, since they had

τοὺς ἁμαρτάνοντας, ὁποῖοί εἰσι σήμερον ὁ δρουγγάριος, ὁ ἔπαρχος καὶ οἱ τοιοῦτοιοὖτοι γὰρ δύνανται καὶ δικαστὰς διδόναι ἐπὶ ὑποθέσει τοὺς ἄλλους κριτάς. Οἱ δὲ μηδὲν τοιοῦτον ἔχοντες τοῦτο μόνον ἔχουσιν, ὅτι δικάζουσιν, ὁποῖοί εἰσιν οἱ τοῦ βήλου κριταί; B.7.2.32.6 (244.20-25); B.7.3.1 (250.24-32): [Ενταῦθα μέλλει διδάξαι ὁ νομοθέτης περὶ τῆς τῶν δικαστηρίων τάξεως, ἤγουν περὶ τοῦ ποῖόν ἐστι μεῖζον, ποῖον δὲ ἕλαττον καὶ τίνες μὲν ὑπόκεινται τῷδε, τίνες δὲ ἐκείνῳ καὶ περὶ δικαιοδοσίας. Καὶ ἴσθι καθόλου, ὅτι πᾶς ἄρχων προκαθημένος δικαστηρίου ἢ ἔχων ἀρχὴν ἔχει καὶ δικαιοδοσίαν καὶ κράτος-προκάθηνται δὲ δικαστηρίων οἱ ὀφφικιάλιοι, ὡς ὁ μέγας δρουγγάριος, ὡς ὁ δικαιοδότης, ὡσαύτως καὶ οἱ τῶν ἐπαρχιῶν ἄρχοντες, καὶ ἔχουσι καὶ δικαιοδοσίαν, τουτέστι δύνανται διδόναι δικαστὰς τοῖς ἔχουσι δίκας, οἶον ὁ μέγας δρουγγάριος καὶ ὁ τῆς ἐπαρχίας ἄρχων δύνανται ἐντείλασθαι τῷδε τῷ δικαστῆ δικάσαι τόνδε καὶ τόνδε, τὸν Πέτρον τυχὸν καὶ τὸν Παῦλον. See also B.7.3.10 (254.15 f.f.); B.7.5.12.1 (270.3.f.f.).

4. See Macrides, Court, 119-120; cf. A. Gkoutzioukostas, Η απονομή δικαιοσύνης στο Βυζάντιο. Τα κοσμικά δικαιοδοτικά όργανα και δικαστήρια της πρωτεύουσας [Βυζαντινά Κείμενα και Μελέται, 37], Thessalonike 2004, 152-154 (hereafter Gkoutzioukostas, Απονομή δικαιοσύνης).

5. See GKOUTZIOUKOSTAS, Απονομή δικαιοσύνης, 238-245.

6. Ecloga Basilicorum B.7.8.2+4 (σ. 286.15-18); cf. L. BURGMANN, Zur Organisation der Rechtsprechung in Byzanz (Mittelbyzantinische Epoche), in: La giustizia nell'Alto Medioevo (secoli IX-XI) [Settimane di studio del Centro Italiano di Studi sull'Alto Medioevo, XLIV], 11-17 aprile 1996, Spoleto 1997, 905-930, and here 924 (hereafter BURGMANN, Rechtsprechung); GKOUTZIOUKOSTAS, Απονομή δικαιοσύνης, 154.

7. See also Scholia Basilicorum, ed. H. J. SCHELTEMA, Basilicorum Libri LX. [Series B], v. I., Scholia in Librum I-XI, Groningen 1953, 7.1.3: Όρα ἐκ τούτου, ὅτι τοὺς ἄρχοντας τοὺς ὑπεράνω τῶν θείων δικαστῶν ὄντας, ἤτοι τῶν τοῦ ἱπποδρόμου κριτῶν... Cf. N. OIKONOMIDES, Les listes de préséance byzantines des IXe et Xe siècles [Le Monde Byzantin], Paris 1972, 322; R. J. MACRIDES, Justice under Manuel I Komnenos: Four Novels on Court Business and Murder, FM VI (1984) 99-204 [=EADEM, Kinship, IX], 173; EADEM, Court, 120; BURGMANN, Rechtsprechung, 923-924; GKOUTZIOUKOSTAS, Απονομή δικαιοσύνης, 155. legal training and belonged to the class of professional judges and not that of *archons*⁸.

We have used the evidence of the sources to construct a chronological table of judges who had served in the courts of the imperial capital before being sent to Thessalonike or to the region of *Boleron*, *Strymon and Thessalonike* as *judges of the theme*. Wherever possible, we have endeavoured to formulate a specific position or hypothesis as regards the numerous problems of identity that we, like others before us, encountered.

The first *judge of Thessalonike* mentioned in the sources is the *protospatharios and krites of the hippodrome* Ioannes, who is named on a lead seal published by G. Schlumberger⁹. This seal probably predates the second fifth of the 11th century, the period in other words when the *theme of Thessalonike* begins to appear as a financial and judicial entity together with those of *Boleron* and *Strymon*. The *judges* cited below belong to the category of those whose sphere of responsibility lay within this administrative unit.

Thus, the Michael named on an 11th-century seal as protospatharios, mystographos¹⁰, krites of the hippodrome, Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike¹¹, for example, may well (according to J. Nesbitt – N. Oikonomides) be the same person as the protospatharios of Chrysotriklinos and krites (of Boleron, Strymon, Thessalonike) Michael Serblias mentioned in a document circa 1029¹². While it is clear from the evidence of seals

8. See GROUTZIOUKOSTAS, $A\pi ovo\mu \eta \delta i \varkappa \alpha i o \sigma \dot{v} \eta \varsigma$, 155 and n. 663 with the relevant primary sources.

9. G. SCHLUMBERGER, Sigillographie de l'empire byzantin, Paris 1884 (Torino 1963), 103, no. 3 (hereafter Schlumberger, Sigillographie); cf. KYRIAKIDES, Βολεφόν, 354 (no. 4).

10. For the mystographos see A. GROUTZIOUKOSTAS, Some Remarks on Mystographos and Mystolektes, in: $H\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\delta\nu\delta\epsilon$. 10th International Symposium of Byzantine Sigillography, Ioannina, October 2009, Abstracts, p. 10.

11. G. ZACOS, *Byzantine Lead Seals* (Compiled and edited by J. W. NESBITT) [Τετράδια Άρχαιολογίας καὶ Τέχνης, 3], v. 2, Berne 1984, no. 594 (hereafter ZACOS, *Seals*); J. NESBITT – N. OIKONOMIDES, *Catalogue of Byzantine Seals at Dumbarton Oaks and in the Fogg Museum of Art*, v. 1, Washington 1991, v. 2, Washington 1994, v. 3, Washington 1996, and here v. 1, no. 18.25 (hereafter NESBITT – OIKONOMIDES, *Catalogue*); cf. KYRIAZOPOULOS, *Θράκη*, 215. See also: www.pbw.kcl.ac.uk (2006.2) (Boulloterion 253).

12. Actes d'Iviron, v. II, Du milieu du XIe siècle à 1204, ed. J. LEFORT – N. OIKONOMIDÈS – D. PAPACHRYSSANTHOU, avec la collaboration de V. KRAVARI et d'H. MÉTRÉVÉLI [Archives de l'Athos, XVI], Paris 1990, no. 34.7: ...τηνικαῦτα τὰς κρίσεις τοῦ [καθ' ή]μᾶς ἰθύναντος

and the *Ecloga Basilicorum*¹³ that the Serbliai were a family of jurists in Constantinople, we do not know whether the above references concern one person or two.

A few years ago Ioannes Leontiades published a seal of Michael, *patrikios, krites of the velum, of the hippodrome, Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike*, dated by the editor on the basis of typological characteristics to the middle of the 11th century¹⁴. This *patrikios* Michael, who is probably not the same person as the *protospatharios* (or *protospatharioi*) mentioned above, is yet another judge whose career took him from the courts of the imperial capital to those of the *theme* of *Boleron, Strymon, Thessalonike*.

Other *judges* appointed to this *theme* were the *protospatharios*, *thesmographos and krites of the hippodrome* Niketas, who is attested by a seal of the second quarter of the 11th century¹⁵, and the *protospatharios*, *hypatos and krites of the hippodrome* Basileios, attested by a seal that has been dated to the middle of that century¹⁶.

Another official who apparently served as *judge of Boleron*, *Strymon* and *Thessalonike* from 1047-1056 was the *protospatharios and krites of the hippodrome* Georgios Hexamilites, who is mentioned in documents preserved in the archives of the Monastery of Iviron¹⁷.

θέματος περιδόξου (πρωτοσπα) $\theta(\alpha) \rho(iov)$ ἐπὶ τ(οῦ) Χρυ(σοτρι)κλ(ίνου) κυ(ροῦ) Μ(ι) χα(ηλ) τοῦ Σερβλίου (hereafter, Actes d'Iviron).

13. Bλ. Ecloga Basilicorum B.7.3.1 (251.38), which mentions the Serbliai in the plural; cf. L. BURGMANN, Vier Richter des 12. Jahrhunderts, in: XVI. Internationaler Byzantinistenkongress, Wien 4-9 October 1981, Akten II/2, JÖB 32/2 (1982) 369-372, and here 372 and n. 13; IDEM, Rechtsprechung 924 and n. 77. Another member of this family, Petros Serblias, is also mentioned on 11th-century seals as magistros, vestes, krites of the velum, of the Peloponnese and Hellas; see NESBITT – OIKONOMIDES, Catalogue, v. 2, no. 8.26. See also v. 3, no. 39.16; cf. SCHLUMBERGER, Sigillographie, 270-271.

14. Ι. LEONTIADES, Μολυβδόβουλλα του Μουσείου Βυζαντινού Πολιτισμού Θεσσαλονίκης [Βυζαντινά Κείμενα και Μελέται, 40], Thessalonike 2006, no. 17.

15. J.-CL. CHEYNET – C. MORRISSON – W. SEIBT, Les sceaux byzantins de la collection Henri Seyrig, Paris 1991, no. 197 (hereafter CHEYNET – MORRISSON – SEIBT, Seyrig); see also: www.pbw.kcl.ac.uk (Boulloterion 538). Regarding thesmographos see GKOUTZIOUKOSTAS, Aπονομή δι×αιοσύνη5, 200-201.

16. ZACOS, Seals, no. 964; cf. KYRIAZOPOULOS, $\Theta \rho \dot{\alpha} \varkappa \eta$, 209; cf. www.pbw.kcl.ac.uk (Boulloterion 1007).

17. Actes d'Iviron, no. 34.15, 27 and p. 94, no. 35.12, 35 (1062), no. 48.12 (1098-1103); cf. A.-K. WASSILIOU, Die Familie Hexamilites. Ein Beitrag zur byzantinischen Prosopographie,

The record of a *dialysis* procedure settling a dispute between Constantine Phasoulos and the Monastery of St Panteleimon Sphrentze of the Thessalonians concerning the ownership of a piece of land lying between their properties, which is dated August 1056, mentions the *hypatos, krites of the velum, of the hippodrome, of Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike* Leon Thylakas¹⁸. The litigants in this case, however, opted to have the matter settled by arbitration before the judicial decision was published¹⁹. Leon, as *hypatos, krites of the velum, Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike*, also signs an act (September 1056) removing the metochion of Melissourgion from the *epitropoi* of the monk Kontoleon and returning it to the *lavra* of Iviron. According to this document, Leon had been commissioned by the Empress Theodora (1055-1056) to settle this dispute²⁰.

Documents preserved in the archives of Athonite monasteries mention another two persons who are thought to be the same Leon Thylakas²¹. The monastery of Iviron has a document issued by the *patrikios, anthypatos, krites of the velum, notarios of the emperor and anagrapheus of the*

19. Actes de Dionysiou, ed. N. Oikonomidès [Archives de l'Athos, IV], Paris 1968, no. 1.14-19: Υμεῖς δὲ πάλιν προετίνεται λέγειν ἀγορᾶς τρόπ(ω) ἔχειν ταῦτα ἀπὸ Λέοντος σπαθ(α)g(ο)κ(αν)δ(ι)δ(ά)τ(ου) τοῦ Φουσκούλου. Ώστε καὶ εἰς Λέοντ(α) τὸν περίβλεπτον ὕπατ(ον), κριτ(ἡν) τοῦ βίλου τοῦ ἱπποδρ(όμου) Βολερ(οῦ), Στρυ(μόνος) καὶ Θε(σσαλονίκης) τὴν ἔγκλησιν μὲν ἐποιησάμην καὶ τὰ ἐκάτερα μέρει ἐδικάσθημεν, οῦπω δὲ ἀπηρτήσθη παραυτοῦ ἡ ὑπόθεσις. Καὶ γὰρ τινὲς τῶν ἐμμέσω ἡμῶν εὑρεθέντων φιλοθέων ἀνδρῶν καὶ τὰ πρὸς εἰρίνην βραβεύσαντες, ἐκρίναμεν τὰ ἑκάτερα μέρει πάντα ἐκποδῶν ἀποκροῦσαι τὰ ἀναμεταξὺ ἡμῶν προτινόμε(να) καὶ τὸ τῆς εἰρήνης ἀγαθὸν ἀσπάσασθαι. Cf. D. PAPADATOU, Η συμβιβαστική επίλυση ιδιωτικών διαφορών κατά τη μέση και ὑστερη βυζαντινή εποχή [Forschungen zur Byzantinischen Rechtsgeschichte, Athener Reihe, 9], Athens - Komotine 1995, 34-35, 84, 120-121.

20. Actes d'Iviron, no. 31.47, 61, which is signed by Leon. With regard to the above case, see also Actes d'Iviron, no. 36 and 37; The document Actes d'Iviron, no. 31 is the same as that cited by KYRIAKIDES, BO λ EQÓV, 314, no. 3 and 351 no. 4 but with an error in the date; see Actes de Lavra, v. I, Des origines à 1204, ed. P. LEMERLE – A. GUILLOU – N. SVORONOS, avec la collaboration de D. PAPACHRYSSANTHOU [Archives de l'Athos, V], Paris 1970, p. 221 (hereafter Actes de Lavra).

21. See also: www.pbw.kcl.ac.uk (Leon 119) and (Leon 120).

 $E\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\nu\varkappa\alpha$ 52.2 (2002) 243-261 and here 248, no. 8, which mentions Georgios Hexamilites and his career. See also: www.pbw.kcl.ac.uk (Georgios 131).

^{18.} The name Thylakas is known as well from another document in the Monastery of Iviron; See *Actes d'Iviron*, no. 37.5-6 (1063).

West that has been dated by its editors to 1059²². Similarly, a document in the Monastery of Esphigmenou (1078) refers to an *isocodex* drawn up by the *dishypatos* and *judge of the velum* Leon, who, according to the editors, served as *judge of Boleron*, *Strymon and Thessalonike*²³ *circa* 1060²⁴. Another document, this one in the Great Lavra Monastery (1079)²⁵, mentions a *praxis* of the *dishypatos*, *krites and anagrapheus of Boleron*, *Strymon and Thessalonike* Leon from the third indiction before 1079, i.e. the year 1064/5²⁶. As scholars have noted²⁷, the identification of the above three persons, that is, Leon Thylakas, Leon the *patrikios and anagrapheus of the*

22. Actes d'Iviron, no. 32. See also p. 83 (comments) and no. 31 (comments on p. 75).

23. Actes d'Esphigménou, ed. J. LEFORT [Archives de l'Athos, VI], Paris 1973, no. 4.2-4: Ava $\theta \epsilon \omega \varrho \eta \theta(\tilde{\eta}) v \alpha i \delta i \tilde{\alpha} \tau \sigma \pi i \kappa(\tilde{\eta} \varsigma) \dot{\epsilon} \varrho \epsilon \dot{\nu} v \tau(\tilde{\omega}) \dot{i} \sigma \sigma \kappa \omega \delta(i \kappa \omega) \dot{\epsilon} \mu \varphi \epsilon \varrho \phi \mu(\epsilon v \alpha) \delta \varrho \alpha$ $\kappa \alpha i \gamma [v \omega] \varrho(\sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha, \tau \tilde{\omega} \kappa \dot{\alpha} i \dot{\epsilon} \mu \varphi \alpha v i \zeta \rho \mu \dot{\epsilon}(v \omega) \pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha} \tau \sigma \tilde{\upsilon} (\mu o v) \alpha \chi(\sigma \tilde{\upsilon}) K \lambda \eta \mu(\epsilon v) \tau(\sigma \varsigma) \kappa \dot{\alpha} i$ $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \gamma o v \mu \dot{\epsilon}(v o v) \tau(\tilde{\eta} \varsigma) \mu o v(\tilde{\eta} \varsigma) \tau \sigma \tilde{v} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \rho i \gamma \mu \dot{\epsilon}(v o v) \kappa \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \alpha i v o \mu \dot{\epsilon} v \omega \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \tilde{i} v \alpha i \pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha} \tau \sigma \tilde{\upsilon}$ $\delta i \sigma v \pi \dot{\alpha}(\sigma v) \kappa (v \varrho o) \tilde{v} \Lambda \dot{\epsilon} o v \tau \sigma(\varsigma) \kappa \varrho i \tau (\sigma \tilde{v}) \beta \eta \dot{\lambda}(\sigma v) \kappa \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma \tilde{\upsilon} \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau (\sigma \sigma v \tau (\sigma \varsigma))$ $\tau \sigma \tilde{v} \kappa \alpha \theta' \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \varsigma \tau \sigma \dot{\tau} \sigma v \phi \dot{\epsilon}(\mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma) (hereafter Actes d'Esphigménou).$

24. Actes d'Esphigménou, p. 51; It is more likely to have been written in 1064/65, as indicated by another document by the *dishypatos* Leon; See also the following note.

25. Actes de Lavra, no. 39.1 f.f.: Ἰωάννης βέστης βασιλ($i\varkappa$) $\partial(\zeta)$ νοτάριος τῶν οἰχει(αχῶν) στρατη(γὸς) χαὶ ἀναγρα(φεὺς) Σμολέν(ων) σὺν τῆ νέ(α) διοιχή(σει) Θεσσαλον(ίχης) (καὶ) Σερρῶν ὁ Καταφλῶρ(ον) κ(α)τὰ τὴν θείαν (καὶ) βα(σιλικὴν) πρ(όστα)ξ(ιν) τοῦ κραταιοῦ καὶ ἁγίου ἡμῶ(ν) βασιλ(έως) ἔρευναν ποιούμενο(ς) τῶν $\pi(\alpha \rho \alpha)$ τ(ῶν) πρὸ ἡμῶ(ν) πρακτ(ό)ρ(ων) πράξεων, λέγω δὴ Ἰω(άννου) ἀσηκ(ρή)τ(ου) καὶ γεγονότ(ος) κριτ(οῦ) καὶ ἀναγρα(φέως) Βολερ(οῦ) Στρυμ(όνος) καὶ Θεσσαλον(ίκης), πρό(ς) δὲ καὶ Ἀνδρονί(κου) (πρωτο)(σπα)θ(αρίου) καὶ κριτοῦ χρημ(α)τίσαντ(ος) τῶν $a d t \tilde{\omega} v \theta \epsilon \mu(\alpha) \tau(\omega v)$, καὶ μέχοι τοῦ δισυπ($\dot{\alpha}$)του Λέ(ov)τ(o_{ζ}) ὡς κἀκείνου κατὰ τ($\dot{\eta} v$) παρελθοῦ(σαν) $\gamma^{(\eta v)}$ (ἰνδιχτιῶνα) χριτοῦ καὶ ἀναγρα(φέως) χρηματίσαντο(ς), ἀχριβῶς καὶ ἡμεῖς τὰς τούτου πράξεις ἀναζητήσαντες πολυπραγμονήσαντές τε καὶ ἐπιμελῶς διελθόν(τες), ἀνεγραψάμ(ε)θ(α) καὶ τὸ μετόχ(ιον) τοῦ Σωτῆρο(ς) τ(ῆς) μο(νῆς) τοῦ Καλιούργ(ου) τὸ ἀπὸ τ(ῆς) ὑποτα(γῆς) τοῦ κ(ά)στρ(ου) Ἐρισοῦ, καὶ ἐτυπώσαμεν καὶ τὸ τούτου δημόσιον κατὰ τὸ δέον ἐπαυξή(σαντες) ἐπὶ τῶ(ν) πρώην δημοσι(ακῶν) τούτου [τελεσμάτων] καθώς ὑποτέτακται. For the isocodex see F. Dölger, Beiträge zur Geschichte der byzantinischen Finanzverwaltung besonders des 10. und 11. Jahrhunderts [Byzantinisches Archiv, 9], Leipzig – Berlin 1927 (Hildesheim 1960), pp. 108-109.

26. Actes de Lavra, p. 221-222. According to the editors the document should be dated to 1049/1050. Cf. however Actes d'Iviron, p. 83, with the annotation that the act of the dishypatos, krites and anagrapheus of Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike Leon should be dated to 1064/1065, the year that corresponds to the preceding third indiction, as noted in the document («κατὰ τ(ην) παρελθοῦ(σαν) γ^(ην) (ἰνδικτιῶνα)»), and not 1049/1050.

27. Actes d'Iviron, p. 83.

West, and Leon the dishypatos and anagrapheus, as one and the same raises problems relating to their titles and period of office in the particular region. However, it cannot be excluded that the dishypatos Leon and Leon Thylakas are perhaps one and the same person. If this is indeed the case, then one must assume that Leon served a second term as krites, and additionally as anagrapheus, in the same theme, following his promotion from hypatos to dishypatos. The alternative is that these are two different persons, in which case we have another instance of a judge of the velum being sent as judge to the theme of Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike. As regards the patrikios and anagrapheus of the West, if we adopt the editors' dating of the document in which he is mentioned, i.e. 1059, then he cannot possibly be the same person as Leon Thylakas or Leon the dishypatos, since this presumes a demotion from patrikios to dishypatos²⁸.

A *chrysobull* of Nikephoros III Botaneiates concerning the Great Lavra Monastery (1081) mentions a Niketas as *patrikios*, *krites of Boleron*, *Strymon and Thessalonike*. Concretely, it refers to a document written by Niketas before February 1062 when, as *doux of Thessalonike*, Botaneiates reversed the judge's decision²⁹. This Niketas may, as N. Oikonomides thinks³⁰, perhaps be the same person as the *patrikios*, *krites of the velum*, *judge of Boleron*, *Strymon and Thessalonike and king's man* mentioned on a seal, and if this is the case he must be added to the list of those who were sent to Thessalonike after having served in Constantinople³¹.

This document also suggests that the *doux of Thessalonike* sometimes heard disputes or ratified judicial decisions, like the one mentioned below,

30. NESBITT – OIKONOMIDES, *Catalogue*, vol. 1, no. 18.26. See also CHEYNET – MORRISSON – SEIBT, *Seyrig*, no. 197; cf.www.pbw.kcl.ac.uk (Boulloterion 254) and (Niketas 122).

31. See GKOUTZIOUKOSTAS, Απονομή δικαιοσύνης, 203 n. 917.

^{28.} Moreover, the editors of the relevant documents note that the signature of the *hypatos* Leon (*Actes d'Iviron*, no. 31) is not the same as that of the *patrikios* Leon (*Actes d'Iviron*, no. 32).

^{29.} Actes de Lavra, no. 41.31-38: Κἂν γὰρ τὸ γεγονὸ(ς) ἔγγραφον τῶ τοῖς μοναχοῖς ἀντιδικήσαντι Θεοδόρω τότε παρὰ τοῦ π(ατ)ρικίου Νικήτ(α) (καὶ) γεγονότο(ς) κριτοῦ Βολεροῦ Στρυμόνο(ς) (καὶ) Θεσσαλονίκ(ης) ἐπὶ τῆ πρὸς αὐτὸν παραδόσει ἐπὶ νομῆ τῶν ἐπεγνωκότων δεσπότας τοὺς μοναχοὺς τοπίων παρὰ τοῦ Θεοδώρου ποτὲ ῆ τοῦ μέρους αὐτοῦ ἐμφανίζηται, εἰς οὐδὲν παρὰ πᾶσι κριταῖς λογισθήσεται τῶ παρόντι χρυσοβούλλω ΛΟΓΩ τ(ῆς) εὐσεβοῦς ἡμῶν βασιλείας τὰ νῶτα κλίνον (καὶ) πᾶσαν σχολὴν κατακρινόμενον καὶ ἀσθένειαν.

which is co-signed by Botaneiates and the *judge of the theme* Nikolaos Serblias. In any case, the participation of the *doux* in court proceedings is an indication both of the fluidity prevailing in the administration of justice as regards the persons of the court officials and of the fact that from the middle of the 11th century on the *doux* performed military as well as civil functions³².

The Monastery of Iviron possesses a judicial decision signed by Nikolaos Serblias (August 1062) as *hypatos*, *krites of the hippodrome*, *krites of the velum*, *krites of Boleron*, *Strymon and Thessalonike*, and also the related report drawn up by the *a secretis* Petros³³. Nikolaos Serblias also appears as *krites of the hippodrome* and *krites of the velum* on a seal published by V. Laurent, which has been dated to the middle of the 11th century³⁴. Taken together, these two sources confirm that this judge's career took him from the courts of the imperial capital to those of the *theme*.

Another judge who was transferred from Constantinople to the *theme* of Boleron, Strymon, and Thessalonike was the protovestarches and krites of the velum Christophoros L..., who is recorded in a document preserved in the archives of the Monastery of Esphigmenou (1078)³⁵.

Judges of the theme, however, were not the only magistrates who heard cases in the courts of Thessalonike. The highest court in the Empire was the Imperial Court, and all citizens had the right to petition it for the settlement of a case or to hear an appeal. The emperor usually appointed imperial commissioners to hear cases, and then signed their decisions. An example of this is enshrined in a *chrysobullos logos* of Alexios I Komnenos (1084), according to which the emperor had mandated the *protoanthypatos* and *krites of the hippodrome* Michael Rhodios to investigate a dispute between

32. See also Gregoriou-Ioannidou, $\Pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varkappa \mu \eta$, 81.

33. Actes d'Iviron, no. 34.33, no. 35.39-40, 51; cf. Kyriakides, Βολεφόν, 315, no. 6; Kyriazopoulos, Θράχη, 211; cf. www.pbw.kcl.ac.uk (Nikolaos 115).

34. V. LAURENT, Le corpus des sceaux de l'empire byzantin, v. 2: L'administration centrale, Paris 1981, no. 842.

35. Actes d'Esphigménou, no. 4.1-2: Katà tòv Máqtu(ov) µῆva t(ῆς) ἐνισταμέ(vης) $\pi q \omega t(η_{5})$ ινδ(ικτιῶνος) τοῦ .cφπ[ς´] ἐτους παρεκβόλι(ov) ἐνεχειρίσθ(η) ἐμὸι [N]ικολάω (πρωτο)σπαθ(αρίω) καὶ ἀν(θρώπ)ω τοῦ λαμπροτάτου (πρωτο)βε[σ]τάρχου κριτοῦ τοῦ βῆλ(ου) [Βολεροῦ Στρυ]μον(ος) κ[αὶ] Θεσσ[αλονί]κ(ης) κ(υρο)ῦ Χριστοφόρου τοῦ $\Lambda[....]\delta($) $\pi e(ρι) ἔχων αὐταῖς λέξεσιν οὕτ(ως). Cf. Kyriazopoulos, Θράκη, 212; see also www.pbw.kcl.ac.uk (Christophoros 110).$

the Great Lavra Monastery and the emperor's brother, the *pansebastos protosebastos* Adrianos. The *judge of the hippodrome* wrote a *parasemeiosis*, that is, a synopsis of his decision, and the monks then asked the emperor to ratify this decision with a *chrysobullos logos* to secure their rights, which he did³⁶.

The case of Michael Rhodios is particularly interesting, because he is mentioned in other contemporary documents as *krites of Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike*. Specifically, he is cited in a *chrysobull* of Alexios I Komnenos of July 1104 as having been ordered by the emperor to settle a land dispute³⁷. It is thus clear that after having served as a judge in Constantinople Michael Rhodios was later transferred to Thessalonike. The information contained in the Athonite documents sheds light on his career

36. Actes de Lavra, no. 46 (1084); F. DÖLGER – P. WIRTH, Regesten der Kaiserurkunden des oströmischen Reiches von 565-1453. 2. Teil (1025-1204) zweite, erweiterte und verbesserte Auflage, München 1995, no. 1118 [1154] (hereafter Dölger – WIRTH, Regesten); cf. GKOUTZIOUKOSTAS, $A\pi ovo\mu \eta \delta$ iraiooúv $\eta \zeta$, 156-157; see also Actes de Lavra, no. 47.1 (1085); cf. KYRIAZOPOULOS, $\Theta \rho \alpha \pi \eta$, 214.

37. Actes de Lavra, no. 56.34 f.f. (1104): ...(Kai) $\epsilon \tilde{i}\delta \eta(\sigma \iota \zeta) \mu \epsilon \nu \tau o \iota \tau(\omega \nu) \epsilon \delta(\delta) \theta(\eta)$ τῆ βασιλ(εία) μου διὰ τοῦ γεγονότο(5) πρακτ(ικοῦ) π(αρὰ) τοῦ ἀναγραφ(έω5), ὡς εἴρητ(αι), πρό(σ)τα(ξις) δὲ ταύτ(ης) πρὸ(ς) τ(ὸν) (πρό)εδρ(ον) (καὶ) τηνικ(αῦ)τ(α) κριτ(ην) Βολερ(οῦ) Στρυμ(όνος) καὶ [Θεσσ]αλον(ίκης) κ(α)τεπέμφθη τ(ὸν) Ῥόδ(ιον), $\dot{\alpha}$ να χε $\tilde{\iota}\varrho(\alpha_5)$ λαβ(ε $\tilde{\iota}v$) $[\alpha\dot{v}]\tau(\dot{o}v)$ διοριζ $[\rho\mu\epsilon]v(\eta)$ $[\tau\dot{o}]$ π(αρα) τοῦ κουροπα(λά)τ(ου) Κων(σταν)τ(ί)ν(ου) γεγονὸ(ς) πρακτ(ικὸν) κἀκεῖθ(εν) διαγνόν(τα) τά τε π(αρὰ) τ(ῆς) βασιλ(είας) μου προορισθέντ(α) (καὶ) τὰ π(αρὰ) τούτου [πραχθέν]τ(α)...Καὶ ἐπὶ μὲν τῆ ἀνταλλα(γῆ) ταῦτ(α) ποιῆσαι ἡ βασιλ(εία) μου τότε τῶ Ῥοδ(ίω) παρεκελεύσατ(ο)...Κ(α) τὰ τὴν $\pi(\epsilon \rho(\lambda(\eta \psi \iota v) \circ \tilde{v} \tau(\eta \varsigma) \tau \circ \iota \alpha \circ \tau(\eta \varsigma) \pi \rho \circ (\sigma) \tau \alpha(\xi \epsilon \omega \varsigma) \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \varkappa \epsilon \pi \rho \delta(\varsigma) τ \delta$ $π(\tilde{\eta}_{\zeta})$ μον($\tilde{\eta}_{\zeta}$) μέρο(ζ) ὁ πρόεδρ(οζ) (καὶ) κριτ($\tilde{\eta}_{\zeta}$) Βολερ(οῦ) Στρυμ(ό)ν(οζ) (καὶ) Θεσσαλον(ίχης) ό Ρόδ(ιος) δι' ένερ(γείας) Λέοντ(ος) μαγίστρ(ου) τοῦ Ἐνδρειωμ(έ) ν(ου) τό τε ὅλ(ον) προ(ά)στ(ειον) τὴν Ἀσμαλ(οῦ) μοδ(ίων) εὑρεθ(ἐν) τετραχισχιλ(ίων) έννεαχοσί(ωv) ὀγδοηχοντ(α)δύο ήμισυ... Cf. LEMERLE, Philippes, 164-165, who notes that Michael Rhodios was a krites of the above themes prior to 1104; Dölger - WIRTH, Regesten, no. 1220e, who date the emperor's prostaxis to shortly before 1104. A Rhodios, possibly Michael, is also mentioned in a record of proceedings of the krites and notarios Gregoras Xerites; see Actes de Lavra, no. 47.1 (1085); cf. KYRIAZOPOULOS, Θράκη, 214-215, while a seal mentions the exisotes of Athens Michael Rhodios (11th/12th c.); see NESBITT - OIKONOMIDES, Catalogue, v. 2, no. 9.2; The editors of the Lavra documents raise the question as to whether Michael Rhodios is the same person as the Michael who was pansebastos sebastos and logothetes of the sekreta; see Actes de Lavra, p. 291 (comments), no. 58.24, 42-43, 47 (1109) (comments p. 302); cf. www.pbw.kcl.ac.uk (Michael 252) and (Michael 254).

and thus confirms that those mentioned, chiefly on seals, as *judges of the velum and judges of the hippodrome* and also as *judges* of a *theme* were transferred for a time from the courts of Constantinople to a provincial centre with the higher rank of *judge of a theme*; as we have already seen, the *judges of the velum* and the *judges of the hippodrome* were inferior judges, whereas the *judges of the themes* ranked as *archons*.

An imperial *horismos* from the Palaiologan era preserved in the archives of the Monastery of Zographou concerning a dispute between that foundation and the Monastery of Karakalou over the Lontzian *proasteion* in the region of Strymon refers to earlier documents relating to a series of disputes and examinations in which two of the judges were the *sebastophoros*³⁸, *judge of the hippodrome and logariastes of the estates of the despoina* (probably of Anna Dalassene³⁹) Basileios and the *megalepiphanestatos kouropalates, krites of the velum, praitor* and *apographeus of Boleron, Strymon* and *Thessalonike* Euthymios⁴⁰. There can be no doubt, however, that the excerpts of the documents contained in the imperial *horismos* date

^{38.} For the honorific title of *sebastophoros* see R. GUILLAND, Études sur l'histoire administrative de l'empire byzantin, Le Sébastophore: Ὁ σεβαστοφόρος, *REB* 21 (1963) 199-207 [=IDEM, *Titres et fonctions de l'Empire byzantin*, Variorum Reprints, London 1976, XVI]. Cf. *ODB*, v. 3, entry Sebastophoros (A. KAZHDAN).

^{39.} Alexios I Komnenos granted his mother with extended administrative powers (see Dölger – Wirth, *Regesten*, no. 1073) and she issued various documents. See Dölger – Wirth, *Regesten*, nos 1083, 1137, 1137a, 1138, 1139, 1147, 1148, 1151, 1277 with the relevant references.

^{40.} Actes de Zographou, ed. W. REGEL – E. KURTZ – B. KORABLEV, VV 13 (1907), Παράρτημα No. 1, no. 35.56-59 (1342?): προστάξει δὲ δεσποινικῆ ἐτηρήθη ἡ τοιαύτη ὑπόθεσις τοπικῶς παρὰ Βασιλείου Σεβαστοφόρου κριτοῦ ἐπὶ τοῦ ἱπποδρόμου καὶ λογαριαστοῦ τῶν κτημάτων τῆς δεσποίνης ἐκείνης πρότερον, 35.94-100: περὶ ἦς καὶ αὖθις ἁψιμαχίαι οὐκ ὀλίγαι καὶ ἀγωγαὶ προέβησαν μέσον αὐτῶν, εἶθ' οὕτως καὶ δι' ὄρκου ἀποκατάστασις ἔχει δὲ ἡ ἀποκατάστασις τῆς τοιαύτης ὁρκωμοσίας, τῆς παρὰ Μιχαὴλ βέστου τοῦ Σπανοπούλου γεγονυίας, ἐξ ἐπιτροπῆς πεποιηκότος ταύτην τοῦ μεγαλεπιφανεστάτου κουροπαλάτου καὶ τῆ [κριτοῦ, according to F. Dölger] τοῦ βήλου πραίτορος καὶ ἀπογραφέως Βολεροῦ, Στρύμμονος καὶ Θεσσαλονίκης κυροῦ Εὐθυμίου. Cf. F. Dölger, Regesten der Kaiserurkunden des oströmischen Reiches von von 565-1453. 4. Teil (1282-1341), München 1960, no. 2612, who thinks that the imperial horismos should be dated to the reign of Michael VIII Palaiologos, or even earlier; Cf. Kyriakides, Βολερόν, 329-331; Kyriazopoulos, Θράκη, 213; Gkoutzioukostas, Απονομή δικαιοσύνης, 179-180.

from the late 11th century and concern earlier disputes and contemporary attempts to settle them; this is further confirmed by the fact that Euthymios is cited as *protokouropalates*, *krites of the velum*, *praitor* and *anagrapheus* in a document of 1095 preserved in the Monastery of Esphigmenou⁴¹.

The evidence relating to the *krites* Euthymios suggests that it may be necessary to revise to some extent the assumption, based on the sources and especially on the seals, that the term *praitor* was used, unlike that of *krites*, for a person whose career was not that of a jurist⁴². The case of Euthymios shows that the titles of *praitor* and *krites* do not indicate a difference in the legal training of their bearers⁴³, since, like him, *praitors* could also have previously followed a judicial career and have acquired legal experience as *judges of the hippodrome* or of *velum*. Nor is this the only such case: other *praitor of Antioch* Ioannes Katotikos (second or third quarter of the 11th century)⁴⁴, the *praitor of Armeniakon* Basileios (11th c.)⁴⁵, the *praitor*

^{41.} Actes d'Esphigménou, no. 5.1-4, no. 34 (1095): Eὐθύμιος (πρωτο)κουροπ(α) $\lambda(\dot{a})$ $\tau(\eta\varsigma)$ πραίτ(ω)ρ καὶ ἀναγρα(φεὺς) Bo($\lambda\varepsilon$)ρ(οῦ) Στρυμ(ό)ν(ος) καὶ Θεσσαλονί(κης), $\kappa(\alpha)$ τὰ θείαν καὶ βασιλικὴν πρόστα(ξ)(ιν) διερευνώμενο(ς) τὰς πράξεις τῶν πρὸ ἡμῶν γεγονότ(ων) ἀναγραφέων τῶν αὐτῶν θεμάτ(ων), ἦγουν τοῦ τε μαγίστρου $Nι(κή)\tau(\alpha)$ τοῦ Ξιφιλίνου καὶ τοῦ μοναχοῦ κυροῦ Γρηγορίου καὶ ὑπερτ(ί)μ(ου) τοῦ Ξηροῦ, καὶ ἐφ' οἶς δέον ταύτας ἐπανορθούμενο(ς)...ΞΥΘΥΜ(ΙΟΣ) ΠΡΟΝΟΙΑ Θ(ΕΟ)Υ ΠΡΩΤΟΚΟΥΡΟΠΑΛΑΤ(ΗΣ) ΚΡΙΤ(ΗΣ) ΤΟΥ ΒΗΛ(ΟΥ) ΠΡΑΙΤ(Ω)P (KAI) ἈΝΑΓΡΑ(ΦΕΥΣ). Cf. ΚΥRIΑΖΟΡΟULOS, Θράκη, 213; GKOUTZIOUKOSTAS, Απονομή δικαιοσύνης 179-180; cf. www.pbw.kcl.ac.uk (Euthymios 113).

^{42.} N. OIKONOMIDES, L'évolution de l'organisation administrative de l'empire byzantin au XIe siècle (1025-1118), TM 6 (1976) 125-152 [=IDEM, Byzantium from the Ninth Century to the Fourth Crusade. Studies, Texts, Monuments, Variorum Collected Studies Series CS369, Hampshire – Brookfield 1992, X], 148.

^{43.} The terms *krites* and *praitor* are considered to be synonymous. See H. GLYKATZI-AHRWEILER, Recherches sur l'administration de l'empire byzantin aux IXe -XIe siècles, *BCH* 84 (1960) 1-109 [=H. AHRWEILER, *Études sur les structures administratives et sociales de Byzance*, Variorum Reprints, London 1971, VIII], 75-76; GREGORIOU-IOANNIDOU, Παρακμή, 83; AIK. CHRISTOPHILOPOULOU, *Bυζαντινὴ Ίστορία*, v. II.1 (610-867), Thessalonike ²1998, 310.

^{44.} CHEYNET - MORRISSON - SEIBT, Seyrig, no. 163.

^{45.} ZACOS, Seals, no. 967.

of Boukellarion Constantine Promoundenos (11th c.)⁴⁶, and the praitor of Macedonia and Adrianople Niketas (950-1050)⁴⁷.

The prosopographical material yielded by seals and documents (11th c.) thus leads us to the conclusion that several of the *judges* who were appointed to serve in Thessalonike came from Constantinople, where they had acquired both legal training and judicial experience. The administration of justice in the district of Thessalonike, as in other provinces, was organised on two levels, one on which cases were heard by the *judge of the theme*, and one on which they were heard by a *judge* from the capital who was sent out as a commissioned judge by the emperor or the central administration⁴⁸. It should also be noted that the decisions handed down by the *judge of the theme* theme could be appealed in the courts of Constantinople⁴⁹.

47. NESBITT – OIKONOMIDES, *Catalogue*, v.1, no. 44.8; cf. WASSILIOU – SEIBT, *Bleisiegel*, 213 no. 398.

48. Another person who had served as *judge of the hippodrome* and taken part in a court hearing as a commissioner sent from Constantinople to the region of Strymon for that purpose was Ioannes Melidones, *protovestes, krites of the hippodrome and megas oikonomos of the sekreton of the Oikoproasteion*, who was also *man of the caesar* Nikephoros Melissenos, the husband of the emperor's sister. In 1085 Melidones was commissioned by Nikephoros, together with the *magistros* and *vestarches* Stephanos Chrysodaktylos, to settle a property dispute between the Diocese of Ezebos and the Monastery of Iviron. See *Actes d'Iviron*, no. 43.6 f.f. and the editors' comments, p. 144. See also no. 52.323 (1104), which contains a reference to certain property that belonged to the *proedros* John Melidones. This Ioannes Melidones may well be the same person as the *protokouropalates* and *krites* of the same name who is recorded in a document in the Monastery of Docheiareiou as being a member of the court that heard a case in 1112. See *Actes de Docheiariou*, ed. N. OIKONOMIDES [Archives de l'Athos, III], Paris 1984, no. 3.13; cf. www.pbw.kcl.ac.uk (Ioannes 182) and (Ioannes 229).

49. GROUTZIOUKOSTAS, Απονομή δικαιοσύνης, 292.

^{46.} E. MCGEER – J. NESBITT – N. OIKONOMIDES (†), Catalogue of Byzantine Seals at Dumbarton Oaks and in the Fogg Museum of Art, v. 4, Washington DC 2001, no. 1.19. Cf. A.-K. WASSILIOU – W. SEIBT, Die byzantinischen Bleisiegel in Österreich, 2. Teil: Zentral- und Provinzialverwaltung [Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften. Veröffentlichungen der Kommission für Byzantinistik II/2], Wien 2004, 178 no. 228 (hereafter WASSILIOU – SEIBT, Bleisiegel).

Table of judges of the velum and judges of the hippodrome inThessalonike (11th c.)

	Name	Honorific Titles - Dignities	Primary Sources	Date
1.	Ioannes	protospatharios, krites of the hip- podrome and of Thessalonike	Schlumberger, <i>Sigillographie</i> , no. 3, p. 103	(before the second fifth of the 11th c.)
2.	Michael ↓ According to J. Nesbitt-N. Oikonomides ↓ =Michael Serblias	protospatharios, mystographos, kri- tes of the hippodro- me, of Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike protospatharios, epi tou chrysotrikli- nou and krites of Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike	ZACOS, Seals, no. 594; NESBITT – OIKONOMIDES, Catalogue 1, no. 18.25 Actes d'Iviron, no. 34.7 (1062)	(11th c.) (circa 1029)
3.	Niketas	protospatharios, thesmographos, kri- tes of the hippodro- me, of Boleron, Stymon and Thessalonike	Cheynet – Morrisson – Seibt, <i>Seyrig</i> , no. 197	(<i>circa</i> second fourth of the 11th c.)

4.	Michael	patrikios, krites	LEONTHADES	(middle of
4.	INTICIDE	of the velon, of	Leontiades, Μολυβδόβουλλα,	the 11th c.)
		hippodrome, of	по. 17	the fith c.)
		Boleron, Strymon	110. 17	
		and Thessalonike		
		unu Thessulonike		
5.	Basileios	protospatharios,	ZACOS, Seals, no. 4	(middle of
		hypatos, and krites		the 11th c.)
		of the hippodrome		
6.	Georgios	protospatharios,	Actes d'Iviron, no.	
	<u>Hexamilites</u>	krites of the hip-	34.15, 27 (1062)	
		podrome and of		(between
		Boleron, Strymon		1047 and
		and Thessalonike	Actes d'Iviron,	1056)
			no. 35.12, 15, 35	
		protospatha-	(1062)	
		rios of the		
		Chrysotriklinon,		
		krites of the hip-	Actes d'Iviron, no.	
		podrome, basili-	48.12 (1098-1103)	
		kos notarios of		
		the ephoros and		
		krites of Boleron,		
		Strymon and		
		Thessalonike		
7.	Leon Thylakas	hypatos, krites of	Actes de	(August
		the velum, of the	Dionysiou, no.	1056)
		hippodrome, of	1.15-16	
	↓	Boleron, Strymon		
		and Thessalonike	Actes d'Iviron, no.	
			31.47, 61 (1056),	(September
			37.6 (1063)	1056)

	same or diff-	dishypatos, krites	Actes	(probably
	erent person	of the velum and	d'Esphigménou,	1064-1065)
	from	judge of Boleron,	no. 4.4 (1078)	
	Leon dishypa-	Strymon and		
	tos	Thessalonike		
	Ļ		Actes de Lavra,	
			no. 39.3 (1079)	
		dishypatos, kri-		
		tes and anagra-		
		pheus of Boleron,		
		Strymon and		
		Thessalonike		
	Leon patrikios	patrikios, anthypa-	Actes d'Iviron, no.	(1059)
	is rather a	tos, krites of the	32.41	
	different per-	velon, notarios of		
	son	the emperor and		
		anagrapeus of the		
		West		
8.	Niketas	patrikios, krites of	Actes de Lavra, no.	(before
		Boleron, Strymon	41.32-33 (1081)	February of
	Ļ	and Thessalonike		1062)
	(probably)	patrikios, krites	Nesbitt –	
	=Νικήτας	of the velum, of	Oikonomides,	
		Boleron, Strymon	Catalogue 1, no.	
		and Thessalonike	18.26	
		and man of the		
		emperor		
9.	Nikolaos	krites of the hip-	LAURENT,	(middle of
		· · ·	,	``
	<u>Serblias</u>	podrome and of	Corpus, no. 642	the 11th c.)
	Serblias	podrome and of velum	Corpus, no. 842	the 11th c.)
	Serblias	· · ·	Actes d'Iviron, no.	(August
	Serblias	velum		
	Serblias	velum hypatos, krites of	Actes d'Iviron, no.	(August
	Serblias	velum hypatos, krites of the hippodrome,	Actes d'Iviron, no. 34.33 and no.	(August
	Serblias	velum hypatos, krites of the hippodrome, of the velum, of	Actes d'Iviron, no. 34.33 and no.	(August

82

10.	Christophoros	Protovestarches,	Actes	(1078)
	L	krites of the	d'Esphigménou,	(20,0)
		velum, of Boleron,	no. 4.1-2	
		Strymon and		
		Thessalonike		
11.	Michael	protoanthypatos,	Actes de Lavra,	(1084)
	<u>Rhodios</u>	krites of the hip-	no. 46.37	
		podrome		
			Actes de Lavra, no.	(before July
		proedros and kri-	56.35, 46 (1104)	1104)
		tes of Boleron,		
		Strymon and		
		Thessalonike		
12.	Euthymios	kouvonalatas kui	Actes de	
12.		kouropalates, kri-		
		tes of the velum,	<i>Zographou</i> , no.	
		praitor and apogra-	35.98-100 (1342?)	
		pheus of Boleron,		
		Strymon and		(1005)
		Thessalonike	Actes	(1095)
			d'Esphigménou,	
		protokouropalates,	no. 5.1-4, 34	
		krites of the		
		velum, praitor and		
		anagrapheus of		
		Boleron, Strymon		
		and Thessalonike		

JUDGES OF THE VELUM AND JUDGES OF THE HIPPODROME IN THESSALONIKE (11TH C.)

The present study focuses on judicial officers coming from Constantinople to Thessalonike in the 11th century. The judge of the theme of Thessalonike was in charge of trying cases in the region. From the second fifth of the 11th century, however, his jurisdiction was extended to the greater financial and judicial unit of Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike as well. Lead seals and documents from the archives of the monasteries of Athos prove that many of the krites of Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike had been previously krites of the velum and judges of the hippodrome who performed their duties in the capital and belonged to the ranks of the "small judges". These judicial officers tried cases that were referred to them, while they could also function as assessors of the "great" or superior judges of Constantinople, i.e. the droungarios of the vigla, the dikaiodotes, the protoasecretis, the eparchos of the city, the koiaistor and the epi ton kriseon. The latter could delegate the authority to try cases to the "small" or inferior judges. Consequently, the judges of the velum and the judges of the hippodrome could also be sent from Constantinople to the *themes* by the emperor or other officials, in order to examine some cases and then return to the capital. This is confirmed by the primary sources, which mention for example the case of *judge of* the hippodrome Michael Rhodios, who was sent by Alexios I Komnenos in 1084 from Constantinople to the region of Thessalonike, in order to examine a dispute between the Lavra monastery and the brother of the emperor, Adrianos. Some years later Michael Rhodios was sent again to try cases in Thessalonike, but this time as krites of Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike. Consequently, apart from the judge of Boleron, Strymon and Thessalonike, other judges delegated by the emperor or by high officers could also examine cases there, as happened in other themes.