The Greek Scribe Neophytos and the Oldest Attested Name for the Monastery of Panagia Archangeliotissa near Xanthi

GETOV Dorotei
Institute for Historical Research-Byzantine Dept., Athens

https://doi.org/10.12681/byzsym.1184

Copyright © 2015 Dorotei Getov

To cite this article:

DOROTEI GETOV

THE GREEK SCRIBE NEOPHYTOS
AND THE OLDEST ATTESTED NAME FOR THE MONASTERY
OF PANAGIA ARCHANGELIOTISSA NEAR XANTHI

ΑΘΗΝΑ • 2015 • ATHENS
THE GREEK SCRIBE NEOPHYTOS AND THE OLDEST ATTESTED NAME FOR THE MONASTERY OF PANAGIA ARCHANGELIOTISSA NEAR XANTHI

Eight liturgical manuscripts, kept now in the library of The Ecclesiastical Historical and Archival Institute (EHAI) of the Patriarchate of Bulgaria in Sofia¹, were copied by the monk Neophytos who is the subject of the present article. In addition to his scribal colophons, Neophytos wrote two dedication notes, transcribed below, which reveal how the monastery of Panagia Archangeliotissa was called in the 3rd quarter of the 16th century.

Discovered, numbered and paginated in 1907–1908 by Chrysostomos Chatzistauroi (1880–1968)², and described briefly by him in 1912³, the manuscripts of Archangeliotissa as well as those of the nearby monastery of Panagia Kalamou were transferred to Sofia the very next year while Western Thrace was administered by Bulgarian civil and ecclesiastical authorities⁴. About sixty years later Linos Politis announced their rediscovery in the Ecclesiastical collection of Sofia⁵. Soon afterwards plans for comprehensive cataloguing of the Greek manuscripts in EHAI were drawn and a team of

---

1. On this library see J.-M. Olivier, Répertoire des bibliothèques et des catalogues de manuscrits grecs de Marcel Richard [Corpus Christianorum], Turnhout ¹1995, nos. 2217–2230.
4. Between 1913 and 1919, in accordance with Article V of the Treaty of Bucharest – cf. Traité de Paix entre la Bulgarie, la Grèce, le Monténégro, la Roumanie et la Serbie, Sofia 1913, 6, 16.
Greek scholars put in charge. These plans have yet to materialize. Meanwhile, in 1997 the present author published a “Checklist” for this collection, and has since then been working on a full descriptive catalogue of its ca. 210 Greek manuscripts.

Thirty-three of them proved to come from the monastery of Panagia Archangeliotissa. All except one served the liturgical needs of daily worship, and most were written in the 16th century – the earliest attested period for the monastery of Archangeliotissa. Copying in the third quarter of that century, a monk, named Neophytos, a professional scribe, produced the following of its manuscripts:

- **ΕΗΑΙ 321 (Arch. 10)**
  - 24 September 1558, paper, 1 + 444 pp., 310 x 210 (180 x 100) mm, col. 1, lines 20. Psalter (dated and subscribed on p. 444).

- **ΕΗΑΙ 387 (Arch. 19)**
  - 30 March 1558, paper, 558 pp., 320 x 215 (205 x 60) mm, coll. 2, lines 27. Menaion for December (dated and subscribed on p. 556).

- **ΕΗΑΙ 388 (Arch. 8)**
  - 16th c. (3rd quarter), paper, I + 398 pp., 310 x 215 (200 x 60) mm, coll. 2, lines 27. Menaion for July (identified by its ductus).

- **ΕΗΑΙ 392 (Arch. 20)**
  - 31 October 1557, paper, I + 440 pp., 305 x 215 (200 x 60) mm, coll. 2, lines 27. Menaion for September (dated on p. 440).


10. Archangeliotissa, followed by the olim-number given in Chatzistaurou, Κατάλογοι.
Neophytos’ career and background are obscure because he was very sparing in his subscriptions, giving only the date of completion, his name and title of monk, as in his scribal note of 22 July 1558, reproduced on Plate 2 here:

+ ἔτ(ους) ͵ζ ῳξῷςῷ´ ἐν μηνὶ | ἰουλ(ίῳ) κβ: Νεόφυτος (μον) αχ(ό)ς:

He might have stayed in Archangeliotissa while working on codices commissioned by this monastery or its sponsors, or he might have pursued his professional scribal duties elsewhere. In any case, from published catalogues the monk Neophytos is known to have copied and subscribed in his very characteristic manner four Athonite manuscripts as well as one now in Ioannina, all of which I have not personally seen:

Athos, Dionysiou 303, “Εὐαγγέλιον” copied in 1555,
Athos, Xiropotamou 122, “Εὐαγγέλιον” copied in 1559,
Athos, Iviron 437, “Ἐφραὶμ τοῦ Σύρου λόγοι ξα´” copied in 1572,
Athos, Vatopedi 952, “Εὐαγγέλιον” copied in 1571,

12. LAMPROS, Κατάλογος, vol. I, 207 (no. 2455) as well as EUĐOKIMOS XIROPOTAMINOS, Κατάλογος ἀναλυτικὸς τῶν χειρογράφων κωδίκων τῆς βιβλιοθήκης τῆς ἐν Ἁγίῳ Ὄρει τοῦ Ἅθω ἱερᾶς καὶ σεβασμίας βασιλικῆς, πατριαρχικῆς καὶ σταυροπηγιακῆς Μονῆς τοῦ Ξηροποτάμου, Thessaloniki 1932 (= OLIVIER, Répertoire, no. 1141), 51.
14. S. ELESTRATIADIS – ARKADIOS VATOPEDINOS, Κατάλογος τῶν ἐν τῇ Ιερᾶ Μονῆ Βατοπεδίου ἀποκειμένων κωδίκων [Ἀποφεύγετη Βιβλιοθήκη 1]. Paris 1924 = Catalogue of the Greek Manuscripts in the Library of the Monastery of Vatopedi on Mt. Athos [Harvard Theological Studies 11], (= OLIVIER, Répertoire, no. 1122), 175, as well as S. N. KADAS, Τὰ σημειώματα τῶν χειρογράφων τῆς Ιερᾶς Μεγίστης Μονῆς Βατοπαιδίου, Ἅγιον Ὄρος 2000, 172. This manuscript was identified as copied by our Neophytos in GEORGANTZIS, Συμβολή στὴν Εκκλησιαστικὴ ἱστορία, 914.
Ioannina, Archimandreio 13, “Εὐαγγέλιον” copied in 1549\textsuperscript{15}.

For reasons of their own, in their repertory of scribes Marie Vogel and Victor Gardthausen listed under \textit{twο Νεόφυτοι} the first three Athonite codices above\textsuperscript{16}. As a matter of fact, Neophytos’ books, being late and liturgical, seem not to have been of interest to the collectors for the major libraries in England, France, Italy, the Vatican, and his name is absent from the new \textit{repertorium} of copyists\textsuperscript{17}. Yet, it seems very likely that more of his work may survive in depositories on the Balkans and elsewhere than has yet been identified.

Reproduced here are one specimen of Neophytos’ formal script style (plate 1), and two of his more cursive dedication notes (plates 2 and 3). His handwriting is slightly right-inclined, in a deep brown ink with large, thick and round lettering on a generous page lay-out. It is traditional and archaizing in style, descending from the time-honored \textit{Perlschrift} used for centuries in liturgical book-production. The degree of its aesthetic appearance is superior to that of most of his contemporaries or immediate predecessors. Neophytos was a calligrapher of ability. The internal harmony and rhythm of his script is formed by elegant diagonal currents produced by the descendents of \(\chi, \lambda \) and \(\zeta\) (plate 1, line 5) as well as by vertical currents through \(ι\) and \(\psi\) (plate 1, lines 1 and 2 \textit{ab imo}). His letter forms are generally regular, with graceful curves, yet non-exuberant. Occasionally, one may notice enlarged letters such as \(κ\) (plate 2, line 4), uncial \(ζ\) (plate 1, line 9), \(φ\) (plate 2, line 3) and \(υ\) (plate 3, line 2). Some further scribal features are

\textsuperscript{15} Sp. Lampros, Ἡπειρωτικά, Τὸ ἐν Ἰωαννίνοις Ἀρχιμανδρεῖον καὶ οἱ ἐν αὐτῷ κώδικες (= Olivier, Répertoire, no. 1208), \textit{NE} 10 (1913), 411; K. N. Konstantinidis – G. N. Maouromatis – I. Ch. Nesseris, Ἄνω Μεγαλόπολις, Ὁσα σημαντικά Χειρόγραφα τῆς πόλεως τῶν Ἰωαννίνων. Κατάλογος ἐκθέσεως, Athens 2009, 28–29, with Plate IX.

\textsuperscript{16} M. Vogel – V. Gardthausen, \textit{Die griechischen Schreiber des Mittelalters und der Renaissance} [Zentralblatt für Bibliothekswesen, Beiheft 33], Leipzig 1909 (= Olivier, Répertoire, no. 5), 333.

shared by many copyists: superposition of τ in τὸ (plate 2, line 3); compendia for ὅν at line ends (plate 3, line 8); juxtaposition of ε (plate 1, line 16). One letter form, however, seems to be idiosyncratic (Kennbuchstaben) – that of initial or final ν with a crescent-like curve (plate 2, line 2 as well as plate 1, line 11).

Dedication notes by the hand of Neophytos in two manuscripts of Archangeliotissa indicate that these books were produced for the monastery of the Theotokos called Χαλχαλιώτισσα – presumably an allonym for Αρχαγγελιώτισσα. The first note appears on the last page of a manuscript copied by Neophytos himself in 1558 – ΕΗΑΙ 575 (Arch. 13), p. 894 (plate 2):

+ ἀφιερώθ(η) τὸ θείον χ(αί) ἱερὸν εὐθα(γε)λ(ιον) εἰς τὴν | σεβασμῖαν καὶ ἱερὰν μο(νὴν) τ(ῆς) ἱερ(ίας) ἑπετ(οῦ) π(ήδης) ἀπικεκλημένης Χαλχαλιωτῆσις ὑπὸ τῶν τιμωτῶν καὶ συντεκτῶν ἀγόριν(ων), κυρ(οῦ) Αργυροῦ καὶ κυρ(οῦ) Γεωργίου | Γεωργίου εἰς μνημόσυνον οὐκ ὑπὸ τῶν τιμωτῶν καὶ τῶν ἱερῶν καὶ εἰς τὰς βουλήθεις ἐξώσαι αὐτὸ | ἐκ τῆς εἰρημένης μονῆς, ἐχέτω αὐτὸς ἀντίδικον, κ(αὶ) τὴν ὑπεραγίαν θεοτόκου, ἐν τῷ νῦν αἰώνι, και ἐν τῷ μέλλοντι:-

(The divine and holy evangelion was dedicated to the venerable and holy monastery of our most holy mistress the Mother of God, called Chalchaliotissa, by the most honorable and sensible archontes kyr Argyros and kyr Georgios in memory of themselves as well as of their parents. And if anyone would wish to remove the book from the above-mentioned monastery, may he have the most holy Mother of God, too, as his accuser in present as well as in future times).

Neophytos wrote one more dedication note on a blank page of a Festal Menaion, copied in 1557/58 by another scribe, Georgios ieréis καὶ πρωτονοτάριος, a contemporary of his – ΕΗΑΙ 396 (Arch. 3), p. 208 (plate 3):

+ ἃ εἰριζοθ(η) τὸ άνθολογι(ομ) ὑπάρχει τοῦ κυρ(οῦ) Μανουήλ τοῦ | Δημητρίου καὶ ἀφιέρωθ(ε) αὐτὸ εἰς τ(ῆς) Μονῆς | ἀντίδικον | Σοφίας· ἐτι δὲ χ(αί) τῶν γονε(ον) | αὐτοῦ· κ(αὶ) τῆς συμβίου αὐτοῦ | Σοφίας· ἐτι δὲ χ(αί) τῶν γονε(ον) | αὐτοῦ· κ(αὶ) τῆς συμβίου αὐτοῦ· κ(αὶ) τῶν γονε(ον) | αὐτοῦ.· ἀποξενώσαι αὐτὸ ἐκ τῆς εἰρημένης μονῆς καὶ τῇ ἡγούμενῃ καὶ τῷ λαϊκῷ, ἦγουν κοσμικός,
ἐχέτω ἀντίδικον | τ(ὴν) ὑπεραγί(αν) θ(εοτό)κον ἐν ἡμέρ(ᾳ) | κρίσεως, κ(αὶ) τὰς ἀρὰς τ(ῶν) ἁγ(ίων) | π(ατέ)ρων:-

(The present book, anthologion, belongs to kyr Manuel, son of Dimitrios, and he dedicated it to the monastery of the most holy Mother of God, called Chalchaliotissa, in memory of himself and of his wife Sophia as well as of his parents. And if anyone, whether hegoumenos, or monk, or lay – that is – worldly person, is found to have alienated the book from the above-mentioned monastery, may he have the most holy Mother of God as his accuser on the day of judgement as well as the curses of the holy Fathers).

Both notes were first transcribed by Chatzistaurou, and republished and commented upon by regional historian Petros Georgantzis as well as by archimandrite Panteleimon Moutaphis. They are reproduced (plates 2 and 3) and transcribed here in order to correct a misreading of the word Χαλχαλιώτισσα as attested clearly on plate 2, lines 5-6, and again on plate 3, lines 5-6. The mistaken reading is due to Chrysostomos Chatzistaurou, the first cataloguer of the collection. Well-educated, yet palaeographically inexperienced at an age of less than 30, Chatzistaurou saw the second χ in Χαλχαλιώτισσα as κ and printed the word as Χαλκαλιώτισσα. Surprisingly, the superbly qualified and experienced Linos Politis, mentioning only the first note without transcribing it, misread the first λ in Χαλχαλιώτισσα as ρ and printed the word as Χαρχαλιώτισσα. One may assume that both scholars might have thought of a possible scribal error of assimilation – to the preceding χ in the first case, and to the following λ in the second – and that they might have tacitly corrected it. But Neophyto’s thousands of pages are exceptionally well-spelled, his orthography is impeccable. He wrote Χαλχαλιώτισσα twice!

20. Moutaphis, Τειχα Μονῆ, 27.
21. In another misreading of consequence, Chatzistaurou repeatedly rendered the common abbreviation (μον)αχ(ὸ)ς as ἀρχιερεὺς – an error, detected by Politis (Τὰ χειρόγραφα, 16), but ignored by others, who proceeded to look for a bishopric for Neophyto (cf. Georgantzis, Σημβολὴ εἰς τὴν ἱστορίαν, 246, note 4, and Georgantzis, Σημβολὴ στὴν Εκκλησιαστικὴ ἱστορία, 914).
22. Politis, Τὰ χειρόγραφα, 16.
A third witness for the 16th c. appellation of the monastery – this time an Ottoman one – was recently brought to light in a book by Phokion Kotzageorgis who published an Ottoman document – a receipt (tezkere) issued against payment of 200 aspra by the monks of Panagia Χαρχαλιώτισσα near Xanthi as annual tax for the year 1555. On line 4 the scribe wrote χρχλιοτ (خرخليوت)25.

This double documentary attestation of the mid-sixteenth century name of Archangelotissa Monastery forces us to choose between two variants: Χαλχαλιώτισσα as written by Neophytos, a native Greek scribe, and Χαρχαλιώτισσα as heard and rendered by a Turkish scribe. Thus, the now vulgate version Χαλκαλιώτισσα, a product of mistaken reading, has to be considered a corrigendum.


24. Archive of the Metropolis of Xanthi, folder 1, archive unit 11. Kotzageorgis (Μικρές πόλεις, 267) translated this document into Greek and reproduced it photographically on p. 301.

25. I am obliged to Olga Todorova for checking and transcribing the name in Arabic.
Plate 1. EHAI 575, p. 767: Handwriting of Neophytos
Plate 2. EHAI 575, p. 894: Subscription and dedication note by Neophytos
Plate 3. EHAi 396, p. 208: dedication note by Neophytos
Ο Ελληνας Αντίγραφεας Νεοφύτος και η Παλαιότερη Ονομασία της Μονής Παναγίας Αρχαγγελιώτισσας στην Περιοχή της Ξάνθης

Στο άρθρο αυτό, περιγράφεται η βιβλιογραφική παραγωγή του Νεοφύτου, ενός Έλληνα επαγγελματία γραφέα του 16ου αιώνα, ο οποίος αντέχραψε οκτώ χειρόγραφα για την Μονή της Παναγίας Αρχαγγελιώτισσας στην περιοχή της Ξάνθης. Πέντε ακόμα χειρόγραφα προερχόμενα από άλλες τοποθεσίες έχουν αναγνωριστεί ως έργα του Νεοφύτου. Εξετάζονται επίσης δύο αφιερώσεις που έγραψε ο ίδιος και οι οποίες αναφέρονται την ονομασία της Μονής της Αρχαγγελιώτισσας, κατά τον 16ο αι.: Χαλχαλιώτισσα. Αυτές οι σημειώσεις, εκδίδονται διπλωματικά και μεταφράζονται. Παρατίθενται επίσης τρεις πίνακες με τον γραφικό χαρακτήρα του Νεοφύτου.