Δελτίον της Χριστιανικής Αρχαιολογικής Εταιρείας

Τομ. 16, 1992

Εικόνες της Πρώτης Οικουμενικής Συνόδου της Νίκαιας

WALTER Christopher

https://doi.org/10.12681/dchae.1068

Copyright © 1992

To cite this article:

Icons of the First Council of Nicaea

Christopher WALTER

ΑΘΗΝΑ 1992
Christopher Walter

ICONS OF THE FIRST COUNCIL OF NICAEA

In this volume of the Δελτίον dedicated to the memory of the late André Grabar, it seems appropriate to return to the theme of my doctoral dissertation written under his direction. When I published it, I knew only one icon of an oecumenical council, that which was painted by Damaskinos. Such icons are unknown in Byzantine art, and they are rare in post-Byzantine art, although less rare than I supposed. At least three others, besides that by Damaskinos, have been published. There are also, no doubt, other unpublished ones besides those which have recently come to my notice and which are the main subject of this article.

One of these icons of an oecumenical council, which I recently saw in a private collection, particularly merits publication by reason of the high quality of its execution and of its unusual iconography (Fig. 1). It measures 320 mm high by 233 mm wide by 24 mm thick. The wood on which it is painted is in excellent condition apart from a crack at the top, probably the result of holes being pierced in it at a later date, so that it could be suspended by string or wire. The icon is surrounded by a dark brown border, inside which the sunken area is entirely covered in gold. The upper part is slightly marred by craquelure, which has hardly affected the lower part, where colours have been layered on the gold. The subject of the icon, the first council of Nicaea, is inscribed in the legend at the top, written in red although the letters of the second line have been overpainted in black: [Η] ΑΓΙΑ ΚΑΙ ΟΙΚΟΥΜΕΝΙΚΗ. At the top, above the legend, a painted in red lines, indicating that segment has been painted in black:

[ΣΥΝΟΔΟΣ / ΕΝ ΝΙΚΑΙΑ. At the top, above the legend, a

inscription in the legend at the top, written in red al-

though the letters of the second line have been over-

painted in black apart from some initial letters and the abbrevi-

ation marks above Jesus Christ which are in red.

Since the icon is not signed or dedicated, the only clues to its date and provenance are provided by the style and possibly by the iconography. So far as the style is concerned, it is not difficult to dissociate this icon from those which have recently been so well studied, the products of painters working in the Cretan tradition. It displays neither the delicate modelling nor the subtle and sophisticated use of colours characteristic of the Cretan School. Also absent are the exuberance and the developed exploitation of perspective to be found in seventeenth-century icons painted in the Cyclades and the Ionian Islands. One is therefore obliged to look north for comparative material, but, in doing so, one enters a region which, at present, is largely unchartered, and which stretches from the Adriatic coast across Macedonia into Thrace.

A general family likeness may be observed between this
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icon and the more academically styled icons produced in this vast region during the seventeenth century. They have in common their avoidance of plasticity, their fidelity to traditional iconographical details and their sobriety. However, specific elements of comparison are harder to formulate. A fairly close resemblance may be observed with the icon of Savvas in the Demetrios Ekonomopoulos collection. They have in common the border, the gold background, the lower ground (which here is red not green) and the use of abundant fine brush-strokes in white on the beards. The pattern on Savvas's halo resembles that on the vestments in the council icon. Chrysanthi Baltouanni suggested that the Savvas icon may have been executed on Lefkada. A more general resemblance may be observed with certain icons in the George Tsakyrogilou collection — the Constantine and Helena, the archangel Michael, the Antipas and the Demetrios and Theodore, although Agapi Karakatsani does not venture a provenance for them. Some traits in common may be noticed with the Peter and Paul in the Charcopos collection and the Nicolas in the Vallianos collection on Cephalonia. However in no case would I be prepared to suggest that these icons were executed by the painter of the council icon. These comparisons only serve to situate him in one trend of later seventeenth-century icon painting. Another possible provenance for this icon would be a workshop in Bulgaria, where religious culture in the seventeenth century was still predominantly Greek. Here also the state of research is not sufficiently advanced to permit more than a tentative comparison between the council icon and certain more sophisticated productions of the “School of Philippopolis” (Plovdiv). Notably an icon of Athanasius and Nicolas combines the same high level of meticulous detail with fidelity to tradition. It is still in the church of Saint Petka the Older at Plovdiv. Two others of the same tradition, representing Marina and Stylianos, also from Plovdiv, are now in the Ecclesiastical Museum of History and Archaeology in Sofia. Before considering the iconography of this council icon, it will be as well to discuss briefly the other icons of the same subject. Outstanding among them, of course, is that by Michael Damaskinos (Fig. 3). In 1970 I had not yet seen it and knew it only from a rather inferior reproduction. Signed and dated 1591, it is the earliest known icon of a council. Large in size (1.200 mm × 910 mm ± 20 mm), it forms part of a series of six icons painted by Damaskinos for the monastery of Saint Anthony τοῦ Βροντισίου. Moved in 1800 to Saint Minas, it is now in Saint Catherine, Herakleion. Although widely known and frequently cited, its iconography has not, so far as I am aware, been analysed in detail. The basic schema, with the emperor and church fathers seated in a semi-circle and the condemned heretic prostrate in the foreground, is conventional. It was also customary to represent the Vision of Saint Peter of Alexandria in the background. The bishops' features are individualized, although they do not all correspond to conventional portrait types. Spyridon, with his conical head-dress, may be identified, as can Sylvester of Rome, wearing a tiara with a sakkos. The bishop beside the emperor, also wearing a sakkos, would be Alexander of Constantinople. The bald bishop beside Sylvester would be John Chrysostom and the bishop with a black beard in the background beside Peter of Alexandria would be Basil. The fact that neither was present at the first council of Nicaea (any more than Sylvester of Rome and Peter of Alexandria) is irrelevant, for the icon is as much a celebration of orthodoxy as an official promulgation of dogma. Damaskinos underlines this subtly by introducing other details. Prominent among these is the enthroned Gospel Book. In Byzantine art this occurs only once in the well-known miniature of the first council of Constantinople in the Paris Gregory of Nazianzus (Paris graec. 510, f. 355).
It is highly unlikely that Damaskinos knew this miniature, but he was obviously aware that, at the first councils, the Gospel Book was enthroned and presided in the place of Christ. The text which he has inscribed on it: "I am the light of the world..." (John 8, 12) underlines this point. Below the enthroned Gospel Book Christ is represented conferring their mission on the apostles. We are no doubt intended to infer that they transmit their mission to the fathers of the council.

Other original details are perhaps less significant: the Crucifixion on Sylvester's Gospel Book, following an established practice for their covers; Christ Emmanuel on the altar cover doubling the infant Christ of Peter of Alexandria's vision; the single military guard, whose helmet, cuirass and shield, with the bicephalous eagle, do not obviously belong to Byzantine tradition in its purest form.

Besides the signature of Damaskinos in the bottom right hand corner and the text inscribed on the Gospel Book, there are two other legends. At the top the council is named: Η ΑΓΙΑ ΚΑΙ ΙΕΡΑ ΠΡΩΤΗ ΣΥΝΟΔΟΣ Η ΕΝ ΝΙΚΑΙΑ. Arius holds an unrolled scroll on which is inscribed: ΑΡΙΟΣ Ο ΘΕΟΜΑΧΟΣ ΚΑΙ ΠΡΟΤΟΣ (sic) ΤΩΝ ΚΑΙΩΝ. (Arius enemy of God and first of those who burn). Thus Damaskinos, in his personal style, uses traditional elements from Byzantine iconography to create a new and profound image of orthodox teaching on the first council of Nicaea.

Another published, but less well-known, icon of an ecumenical council is now in the National Museum, Belgrade. It measures 223 mm x 320 mm x 24 mm. It is

10. Agapi Karakatsani, Συλλογή Γεωργίου Τσακύρογλου, Athens 1980, no. 30, no. 49, no. 50, no. 88.
13. V. Pandurski, Pametnici na izkustvo v c'urownjia istoriko-archeologeyski muzej Sofia, Sofia 1977, no. 175, no. 176, 395.
18. Conciles, pp. 35-37, fig. 7; Leslie Brubaker, Politics, Patronage and Art in Ninth-Century Byzantium, DOP 39 (1985), pp. 4-6, fig. 4.
Fig. 3. First council of Nicaea (Damaskinos, St. Catherine, Herakleion).
bilateral with portraits of Petka and Nedelja (Paraskevi and Kyriaki) on the other side. Again the iconography is conventional, although different from that of the Damaskinos icon. The emperor is enthroned between two bishops, seated in an exedra as if they were judging the dispute taking place below them. There, to the left, is a group of orthodox bishops with haloes. They confront the group of heterodox bishops, without haloes, to the right. The first of the orthodox bishops extends a Gospel Book toward the heretics, as if he was inviting them to accept true doctrine, but the front three of the heterodox raise their right hand to the side of their face, a gesture which probably signifies their deafness to truth. Mirjana Ćorović-Ljubinković attributes the icon to the School of Peć and Decani, dating it to the second half of the seventeenth century and placing it in the tradition of the known painter Radul. This alternative formula for the representation of a council was already current in Serbia in the thirteenth century; it was used at Sopoćani (1263-1268) and Arilje (1296), as well as later at Dečani and Peć. However, since it was used for all the oecumenical councils, in the absence of a legend the council represented here cannot be identified.

A fourth icon, no. 3371 of the Ecclesiastical Museum of History and Archaeology, Sofia, measures 640 mm × 450 mm (the thickness is not specified). At the top there is a legend: Η ΑΓΙΑ ΚΑΙ ΗΚΟΥΜΕΝΗ Α’ ΣΙΝΟΔΟΣ ΓΕΝΟΜΕΝΗ ΠΑΡΑ ΤΟΥ ΜΕΓΑΛΟΥ Κωνσταντίνου. Basically the iconography is close to that of the Damaskinos icon, with the bishops seated in a semi-circle to either side of the emperor, while others, together with monks, stand behind the exedra accompanied by a single guard. Peter of Alexandria's vision is represented in the background, accompanied by the traditional phrases of his dialogue with the Christ Child: "Who has torn your clothing, Christ?" "The senseless Arius, Peter." (Not legible on the photograph). In the foreground Arius is prostrate at the feet of the fathers of the council. Božkov has proposed the identity of some of the bishops, but, except possibly for John Chrysostom, the bald bishop beside Sylvester, who wears a mitre, his identifications do not correspond to their known portrait types (Athanasius, Basil, Gregory of Nyssa). On the other hand, Spyridon, with his conical head-dress, whom Božkov did not identify, appears to the extreme right of the front row behind the exedra. On the other side of the icon there is a portrait of Nicolas. Pandurski plausibly proposes a seventeenth-century date, but gives no information as to the icon's provenance.

Two further icons in the Byzantine Museum, Athens, both hitherto unpublished, also seem dateable to the

seventeenth century. No. 1726 measures 330 mm × 250 mm (Fig. 5). The bishops, of whom, curiously, none are haloed, sit in a semi-circle to left and right of the emperor. Further figures, including at least two guards, stand behind the exedra. There is no legend, but the bishop to the right who leans forward wears a head-dress like Spyridon. This detail, together with the prostrate heretic in the foreground, makes it likely that this is the first council of Nicaea. The ornate background, with arches and a cupola, recalls the Pentecost at San Georgio dei Greci, Venice, which M. Chatzidakis has attributed to the School of Tzanes. However, the gestures of the figures are more exuberant and dramatic, although lacking the

23. Conciles, pp. 107, 109, 111-113, 115, 116, 257-58. For the dates of the paintings at Sopoćani and Arilje, see V. Djurič, Vizantijske freske u Jugoslaviji, Belgrade 1974, pp. 41, 44.
delicacy and finesse of the better seventeenth-century painters like Damaskinos. Perhaps this icon can be attributed to a lesser artist working either in Venice or in the Ionian Islands.

No. 114 of the Byzantine Museum, formerly in the church of the Trinity, Thessaloniki, measures 355 mm x 265 mm (Fig. 6). Again the bishops are grouped around the emperor in a semi-circle. The bishop to the right of the emperor (Sylvester?) wears the sakkos and a pointed head-dress with a chequered pattern. Behind the exedra stand other bishops and a single guard. In the background the Vision of Peter of Alexandria is represented and in the foreground Arius prostrate. Although there is no legend, the presence of Peter of Alexandria makes it clear that this is the first council of Nicaea. The sobriety of the style suggests that it also belongs to the “Northern School”. If it was originally painted for the church of the Trinity, it may well be due to a local artist. With the exception of the icon in the National Museum, Belgrade, which repeats another iconographical type well-attested in monumental painting, all these latter icons use the same formula, of which the earliest surviving examples are in the Paris Gregory\textsuperscript{27} and the Menologium of Basil II, Vatican graec. 1613, p. 108\textsuperscript{28}; the emperor and bishops sit in judgment on and condemn the heretic. In three cases the Vision of Peter of Alexandria is associated with the council, a practice first attested in the metropolitan church at Mistra\textsuperscript{29} (first quarter of the fourteenth century)?\textsuperscript{30} and later widespread. But even no. 1726 of the Byzantine Museum, from which the Vision of Peter of Alexandria is absent, is also a representation of the first council of Nicaea.

However, the unpublished icon in a private collection with which this article begins is not unique. There is one closely similar to it in the monastery of Koutloumous, Mount Athos, measuring 340 mm x 260 mm x 35 mm. Parts of the border are damaged but the painting itself is intact\textsuperscript{31} (Fig. 7). Two bishops stand each side of the emperor, while the top of the head and halo of others are visible behind them. The bishop to the extreme left wears the kind of head-dress associated with Cyril of Alexandria. Possibly the bishop on the right of the emperor is Nicolas. Stylistically there are traits common to the two icons, the fine brush-strokes in white on three of the bishops’ beards and the pattern on the emperor’s sakkos. However, the execution is less competent.
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Fig. 7. First council of Nicaea (Monastery of Koutloumous).

Fig. 8. First council of Nicaea (Monastery of Iviron).

figures, bunched together, extend to left and right outside the space reserved for the subject, and the large scroll, on which is inscribed the Symbol as far as καὶ παθόντα κ[αί] ταφέντα, seems to float in the air. Of the legend at the top in red, the first line is hardly legible (ἐν Νικαια?). It then continues: Ἡ ἉΓΙΑ ΗΚΟΥΜΕΝΙΚΗ ΠΡΟΤΗ ΣΙΝΟΔΟΣ ΤΟΥ ΜΕ(ΓΑ)ΛΟΥ ΚΩΝΣΤΑΝΤΙΝΟΥ. At the bottom there is a votive legend: [Δ]ΕΗΣΙΣ ΤΟΥ ΔΟΥΛΟΥ ΤΟΥ ΘΕΟΥ ΑΡΣΕΝΙΟΥ ΜΟΝΑΧΟΥ ΕΚ ΠΟΛΕ[ΩΣ]. It is unlikely that the monk Arsenius could be identified, but he may have brought the icon with him from Constantinople.

There is another icon of the first oecumenical council in the refectory of the monastery of Iviron, Mount Athos (Fig. 8). It measures 220 mm x 210 mm x 20 mm. On this icon the standing figures are grouped under an arch. The first phrases of the Symbol are inscribed on the unrolled roll before them. There is a legend: Η ΠΡΟΤΙ ΣΥΝΟΔΟΣ ΕΝ ΝΙΚΑΙΑ. A third icon of an oecumenical council, about which I have no exact information, is in the monastery of Stavronikita.

The Damaskinos icon and no. 3371 in Sofia are large in format. Most of the others are about the same size (ca 350 mm x 250 mm). This suggests a certain standardisation of format, if not of iconography, which would have been convenient for a proskynesis icon, to be exposed for veneration on the day when the first council of Nicaea was commemorated. The choice of date for this commemoration is a somewhat complex subject. It seems that originally the Byzantines commemorated the first council of Nicaea on May 29th. Later the commemoration took place on the Sunday between the Ascension and Pentecost. Although primarily the feast of the first council of Nicaea, it came to enoble all the oecumenical councils. This explains why only one proskynesis icon of a council was necessary. Usually named in the accompanying legend the first council of Nicaea, it nevertheless enoble, like the commemoration, all.

27. See above, note 18.
31. I gratefully thank Mr Charalampos Dendrinos for providing me with information about the three Athonite icons.
the others. The name of the commemoration in calend­
ers came to be simply τῶν Πατέρων. This liturgical title helps to explain why the alternative iconographical type persisted, representing not, strictly speaking, a council but "the Fathers". There are an­tecedents in Byzantine art. The initial T of the reading for the commemoration of the first council of Nicaea in the Lectionary New York, Morgan 639, f. 42, is made up of the busts of a motley collection of bishops (Fig. 9). It is accompanied by the legend: οἱ ἄγιοι πατέρες. Closer in iconography, except that the emperor is absent, is the miniature illustrating the commemoration of the sixth ecumenical council (September 16th) in another Lectionary, Vatican graec. 1156, f. 126. Here, as on the icons, a number of Fathers in the back row are indicated by the top of their head and their halo (Fig. 11). These three miniatures all appear in a liturgical manuscript. Their iconography would have been inappropriate in the more usual pictures of councils, wall-paintings which served as an official promulgation of orthodox doctrine. However, this objection could not be made in the case of a proskynesis icon for a commemoration motivated by the Church’s desire to glorify God by the celebration of the Fathers’ memory ("Εκτεταρτάς οὖν θεο­τάξουσα ἡ Ἑκκλησία τῆς τουατείναν ἀνάμνησιν δοξά­­ζει τὸν Θεόν)37.

A final detail in this alternative iconography calling for comment is the unrolled roll inscribed with the opening words of the Symbol of Nicaea. Although legends are often present on monumental representations of councils, the practice of inscribing them on a roll is rare. In fact the only examples known to me are those in the series of ecumenical councils in the church of the Na­tivity, Arbanasi, Bulgaria, painted between 1632 and 1649. The iconography of all these pictures is that of an official promulgation, but in three of them (the first council of Nicaea, the first council of Constantinople and the second council of Nicaea), the participants hold an unrolled roll inscribed with a legend (Fig. 12). This fact might lend a little support to my tentative suggestion that the icon described at the beginning of this article was painted in a Greek atelier operating in Bulgaria in the seventeenth century. However against this it may be argued that this group of icons with a similar iconography were painted on Mount Athos or possibly brought there from an atelier in Constantino­ple. Unfortunately our present knowledge of icon-paint­ing in the northern sphere of Greek religious culture is too slight to admit of certitude where exact dates and provenances are concerned. On the other hand it can be affirmed that in the seventeenth century it became fa­shionable to paint proskynesis icons of councils, which rarely betray signs of Cretan or Venetian influence. On some the iconographical formulae used in monumental painting were taken up. On others a more specifically liturgical formula was used. Deriving from that which was current in Byzantine liturgical manuscripts for re­presenting the Fathers of the Church, it nevertheless introduces original elements. The emperor figures among the Fathers and the first phrases of the Symbol of Ni­caea are inscribed on a large extended scroll.

APPENDIX

In my two articles cited above (note 1), I added to the repertory in my original study of the iconography of...
councils further examples not known to me when I wrote it. Besides the icons described in the main body of this article, I add here a few additional examples which I had not noted previously.

1. The fragmentary scenes of councils in the narthex of the catholicon of the Vlacherna monastery near Arta (early fourteenth century?)

2. Fragment of an icon, Byzantine Museum, Athens, no. 1497, from Asia Minor, measuring 525 mm x 265 mm. From a photograph it is difficult to distinguish the details precisely, some of which seem unusual: the members of the Trinity in a mandorla in the central background (?); Christ and the Virgin restoring his omophorion and Gospel Book to Nicolas in the right background (?).

3. Biographical icon of Spyridon with a scene of the first
41. G. Mariacher, Il Museo Correr di Venezia, Venice 1957, pp. 239, 241; Chatzidakis, op.cit. (note 26), pp. 95, 128.
43. A. Papadopoulos-Kerameus, Διονυσίου του έκ Φουρνά, op.cit. (note 24), pp. 21, 39, fig. 12.
44. Božkov, op.cit. (note 26), pp. 95, 128.
45. A. Papadopoulos-Kerameus, Διονυσίου του έκ Φουρνά, op.cit. (note 24), pp. 21, 39, fig. 12.
47. P. Van Den Ven, La légende de S. Spyridon évêque de Trinimorthone, Louvain 1953, pp. 140*-141*, notes the existence of unpublished popular Lives of Spyridon.
49. Walter, op.cit. (note 6), p. 104.
50. Olga Gratziou, 'Η Εικόνα τού Γεωργίου Κλόντζα στό Σεράγε­νε στό Παναγούδα, Thessaloniki, dated 1851 and measuring 405 mm x 280 mm (Fig. 13). This icon merits special attention, because, although the artist has not followed exactly the prescription of Dionysios of Founra for the representation of the first council of Nicaea, he has introduced one detail which I have not seen elsewhere. The icon as manifesting the mystery of the Trinity.
52. Božkov, op.cit. (note 26), pp. 95, 128.
53. Elka Bakalova, Rozenskijat manastir, Sofia 1990, p. 13, reproduction of a scene of Nicola in the Benaki Museum which is very similar to that reproduced in Fig. 154.