Δελτίον της Χριστιανικής Αρχαιολογικής Εταιρείας

Τομ. 17, 1994

ΔΕΛΤΙΟΝ ΤΗΣ ΧΡΙΣΤΙΑΝΙΚΗΣ ΑΡΧΑΙΟΛΟΓΙΚΗΣ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑΣ

ΠΕΡΙΟΔΟΣ Α’ ΤΟΜΟΣ 17
1993-1994

ΔΕΛΤΙΟΝ ΤΗΣ ΧΡΙΣΤΙΑΝΙΚΗΣ ΑΡΧΑΙΟΛΟΓΙΚΗΣ ΕΤΑΙΡΕΙΑΣ

Η ομορφιά των κάστρων: Μια περιγραφή του 10ου αιώνα για ένα πύργο στην Κωνσταντινούπολη.

MAGUIRE Henry

http://dx.doi.org/10.12681/dchae.1086

Copyright © 1994

To cite this article:

The Beauty of Castles: A Tenth Century Description of a Tower at Constantinople

Henry MAGUIRE


ΛΘΗΝΑ 1994
The epigrams of John Geomètres, a poet and rhetorician who was active in the second half of the tenth century, are a still insufficiently utilized source for the history of Byzantine art and architecture. Although these poems were written in an allusive and often obscure style, they can be made to reveal both concrete information about buildings and their decoration, and also valuable insights into Byzantine reactions to works of art. In this tribute to the memory of Doula Mouriki, who was one of the twentieth-century’s foremost interpreters of Byzantine monuments, I would like to discuss a tenth-century interpretation of a Byzantine structure, namely a description by John Geomètres of a fortified tower. Even though the text of the poem, as it has come down to us, is incomplete, the Byzantine writer’s ekphrasis has a special interest in that the specific building that he described still survives, and we are thus able to compare the reactions of the medieval viewer with our own.

The text, which I reproduce here from the edition by Cramer, is as follows:

1. Αλλοι κατοικείτωσαν εἰς γῆν ώς μείες·
   ὤς ἐρπετῶν χείριστα καὶ τῶν κνωδάλων,
   τρώγλας, χαράδρας· οὐ γαρ οἰκίας λέγω
   σπήλαια καὶ σήραγγας ή μυωξίας,
5 ή σαθρά καὶ τρέμοντα παίγνια ξύλων,
   ἀθύρμα παιδῶν, ἐργα καὶ πάσης βίας,
   ομβρων, πυρός, σεισμῶν τε βρασμῶν, πνευμάτων.
   Ημΐν Θεός δέδωκε πύργον ἰσχύος,
10 πάντων κρατούντα τῶν παθῶν τοῦ βίου,
   καὶ βαρβάρων χειρῶν τε καὶ τεχνασμάτων
   πάντων καλῶν γέμοντα καὶ θεαμάτων
   πύργωμα κάλλους, πύργον ἀφράστου γάνους,
   ἐκ γῆς, θαλάττης, αέρος, φωτός, πόλου*
15 κάλλους δὲ μάλλον κράμα τῶν ὀρῶν, ὄρον
   γῆς καὶ βαλάττης δεσμός ἔστι, καὶ ὄρος
   συνδεῖ κατ’ αὐτό καὶ διείργει τὰς φύσεις·
   υψος κολοσσός, καὶ τέμνων τὸν αέρα
   -βιάζεται πως καὶ πρὸς αἰθέρα φθάσαι.
20 Το δ’ εύρος εὐρύς, καὶ τὸ μῆκος τι πλέον.
   Άμφοί δε τούτοις κάλλος, αλλ’ ὁσον πλέον.
   Κοσμεί λίθους τὸ σχήμα τετραγωνία*,
   έξαισία τις ἀρμογή καὶ πρὸς τρίχα
   τὸ σχῆμα πύργου, σχῆμα λεπτοῦ κηρίου*.
25 τὸ σχῆμα κάλλιστον ἐξαγωνία,
   ἱέραι πρὸς ἄστρα, καὶ τὰ κάλλη τοῦ πόλου.
   Μή μοι παλαιάν φράζε τυροποιῶν
   ἔκεινος οὐπό πύργος, ἀλλ’ ἐγε τέλος
   μέγαστος σύντος καὶ πεπύργωται, μόνος
30 έστηκεν αὐτός, καὶ φυλάττει τὴν πόλιν.
   Τέρπει δὲ μᾶλλον πάσαν ὄνω καὶ πόλιν,
   τῶν θαυμάτων τις χάρου, ἄρος μέσον
   τῶν πνευμάτων τὰς κόλπους, οἴκους Ἀδέλου*
 καὶ κάλλος αὐτός, πᾶν δὲ κάλλος ὑφόθεν
35 κόσμου θεαραν, κόσμος έστιν ὅμοιόν.
   ἐκ γῆς μὲν ἄνθη, δένδρα, λειμώνες, χλόαι,
   κρήνη, οὐράνες, ὅρανες, ἄθροις.
   ή δ’ ἀμπελός βριθοῦσα καρπῆς μυρίω,
   πολλῆ μὲν εὐθύς θεμερὶς μεθυτρόφος,
40 πολλῆ δὲ καὶ ἄμυθος ἀραιοτρόφος.
   έστι δ’ ὧσο πολλῆ άραιοτρόφος.
   ή δ’ ἀμπελός πυργοποιήσαν
   έστι δ’ ὥσο πολλ’ ἀραιοτρόφος.
   ούπω πύργος, αὐτός καὶ πεπύργωται,
   κοσμίως οὐκέτι πάλιν καὶ φυλάττει τὴν πόλιν.
45 σέ μίαν ἄφραστον, ἐκ γῆς τοῦ πόλου.*
   κάλλους αὐτός, έστι δ’ έκ γῆς, ἄφραστον.
   έστι δ’ οπού καὶ μίας ωρίμων.
   έστι δ’ οπού καὶ μίας ωρίμων.
   Αἱρε πρὸς ἄστρα φθάνειν
   τῶν θαυμάτων τις χώρος, τοῦ πόλου.

The poem may be translated:

"Let other creatures, such as mice, live in the earth, and such as the lowest of reptiles and of beasts, in holes and ravines. I pass over dwellings such as caves, hollows in rocks, or mouse-holes, or unsound and trembling trifles constructed of (pieces of) wood, playthings of children, full of all beauties and wonders. A towered fortification of beauty, a tower of ineffable joy, from land, from sea, from air, from water, from fire, from earthquakes and tremors, and of winds. To us God gave a tower of strength, a tower of firmness, a tower of life not to be broken, overcoming all the sufferings of life and the assaults and machines of the barbarians, full of all beauties and wonders. A towered fortification of beauty, a tower of ineffable joy, from land, from sea,
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from the air, from the light, from the sky. Rather, a mixture of the beauty of the seasons, it is a bond of land and sea at their limits, although the limit thus (both) unites and separates their natures. A colossal in height, and cleaving the air, it strives somehow to reach even the sky. It is wide in breadth, and in length greater. In both dimensions there is beauty, but how much more! The stones are beautified by their quadrangular shape, while an admirable and finely (constructed) arrangement (beautifies) the shape of the tower, namely the shape of a delicate honey-comb; a hexagon raises its most beautiful shape to the stars and to the beauties of the firmament. Do not talk to me about ancient tower construction. That was not yet a tower, but its construction came to an end. But this tower has been raised to the greatest height, it stands alone, and guards the city. But more, it delights every sight and the city. It is a place of wonders, in the midst of the air, a kind of hollow of the breezes, a house of Aeolos. And contemplating the beauty, all the beauty of the world from above, it is a world of adornment for the eyes. From the earth (are seen) flowers, trees, meadows, foliage, springs, coppices, pastures, and streams; the vine heavy with innumerable fruits, many a wine-producing vine, and many a fruit bearing tree. And there is in some places even a beautiful mixture, for the vine is raised up on towering trees, branches together with beautiful tendrils, fruits together with grapes, and more, and beds of leaves, and stoas and roofs. Do you wish to know the whole prospect of the tower? Raise your eyes straight, look from nearby at the virtues of the earth..."

As was stated above, it is possible to identify the specific fortification tower that John Geometres was describing, namely the first tower on the inner line of the Theodosian land-walls of Constantinople, which stands at the point where that wall adjoins the wall running along the Sea of Marmara ("Tower 1", in Fig. 1). This identification is suggested by several passages in the poem:

1. The poem describes a fortification tower, which guards the city from barbarians (lines 11 and 30).
2. The poet says that the tower stands at the junction of land and sea (lines 15-17: "it is a bond of land and sea at their limits, although the limit thus (both) unites and separates their natures").
3. The tower is hexagonal in its upper part (lines 23-26: "...an admirable and finely (constructed) arrangement (beautifies) the shape of the tower, namely the shape of a delicate honey-comb; a hexagon raises its most beautiful shape to the stars and to the beauties of the firmament"). This is true of tower number 1 of the land-walls, which is pentagonal in its lower parts, where it abuts the curtain wall (Fig. 1), but hexagonal above, where it rises clear of the wall. Older, nineteenth-century photographs show that at its top the tower was given an additional facet on its southeast side, where it surmounts the thickness of the wall (Fig. 2).
4. The poet may imply that the tower he describes had recently been restored, or completed (lines 27-29).

Drawing a contrast with the unfinished Tower of Babel, he says: "Do not talk to me about ancient tower construction. That was not yet a tower, but its construction came to an end. But this tower has been raised to the greatest height...").

Tower number 1 still displays in its upper part (i.e. in the portion of the tower that rises above the height of the land-walls) an inscription identifying the tower as that of the emperors Basil and Constantine. This is one of two inscriptions appearing on the walls of Constantinople that mention these two emperors together; the other one is on the north tower of the gate of the Pege. It is generally believed that these inscriptions record repairs made to the fortifications by the Emperors Basil II and Constantine VIII after the severe earthquake of 989, which, according to Leo the Deacon, brought down the "towers of Byzantium" as well as the dome of St. Sophia. Thus the date of the reconstruction of tower number 1 that is indicated by its inscription fits with the date of the composition of the poem (second half of the tenth century). There may be a reference to the earthquake that necessitated the reconstruction in line 7 ("the victim of every form of violence... of earthquakes and tremors").

These considerations make an identification of the tower described in our poem with the first tower of the land-walls of Constantinople extremely likely. The only other possible candidates on the land-walls would be the two hexagonal towers attached to the "wall of Heraclius" at the Blachernae, which might also be said to be at the junction of land and sea (i.e. these two towers are near the point where the land-walls meet the Golden

Fig. 1. Plan of the southern end of the land-walls of Constantinople. (After: B. Meyer-Plath - A. M. Schneider, Die Landmauer von Konstantinopel, II, 1943, pl. 1).
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Fig. 2. Inner land-wall of Constantinople, view of tower number 1 from the southwest, taken circa 1870.
(After: Meyer-Plath - Schneider, op.cit., pl. 25).

Horn). But the description is less apt for these towers, for they are, in fact, some seventy-five meters inland, while tower number 1 stands right at the water's edge. Furthermore, the poet stresses that his tower is one that "stands alone" (lines 29-30), and this characterization does not describe the hexagonal towers of the Blachernai well, since they are set in a clump together with other towers.

It could also possibly be argued that our tower was one of those on the sea-wall, fronting the Sea of Marmara. But such a location also seems less likely on account of the description "stands alone", which better suits a corner position such as that occupied by tower number 1. In addition, there is another reference in the poem which suggests that our tower faced the suburbs of Constantinople on its land side, as would have been the case if it were part of the land-wall, and not the city itself, as


3. *Πύργος Βασιλείου και Κωνσταντίνου πιστών έν Χ(ριστ)ω αυτο­ κρατόρων εύσεβεῖς βασιλεῖς Ρωμ(αί)ων*.

Meyer-Plath - Schneider, op.cit., p. 123.

4. Tower 36; Ibid., p. 129.


6. ...τά τε πυργώματα τού Βυζαντίου προς γήν κατερίπωσε... Bonn ed., p. 175.24-176.1. On this earthquake, see G. Downey, Earth­ quakes at Constantinople and Vicinity, A.D. 342-1454, Speculum 30 (1955), p. 599-600.
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would have occurred if it were attached to the sea-wall. The last preserved lines of the poem (lines 46-48), ask: "Do you wish to know the whole prospect of the tower? Raise your eyes straight, look from nearby at the virtues (άρετάς) of the earth". It is possible that these words are a reference to the suburban park and palace of the Aretai, rather than just a figure of speech. This district was described by the historian Anna Commena as being "near the city" of Constantinople. She wrote: "It is elevated above the plain, and, to those standing and looking on it from below, gives the appearance of rising into a ridge, inclining one of its flanks towards the sea, and the other towards Byzantium, and the two others to the North and to the West, being exposed to all the winds". Modern scholars have located the Aretai either in the area of Maltepe, which faces the northern portion of the land-walls, or further to the south, on the high ground west of Hazanaçiftlik, three kilometers north of Bakirköy. If our poem does indeed refer to the Aretai, then, since it says that this hill was visible from the tower, two conclusions follow: 1) The tower in question was part of the land-walls; it cannot have been attached to the sea-wall. 2) Since our tower should be attached on the extreme southern end of the land-wall, the park of the Aretai must have been situated well south of the region of Maltepe.

The poem, then, acquires interest as an empirical confirmation of reconstruction work on the walls of Constantinople by Basil II and Constantine VIII after the earthquake of 989. At least in the eyes of the poet, this reconstruction went beyond mere repairs, for he implies that the emperors heightened the tower, making it more conspicuous than the other towers of the Theodosian wall (lines 29-30 "...this tower has been raised to the greatest height, it stands alone, and guards the city").

The most interesting aspect of this poem, however, is not the factual information that can be gleaned from it, but what it reveals about the poet's reactions to a newly fortified wall enclosing Galgik’s city with its gardens; this wall was both "fearsome", and "adorned" with "very high and broad-based towers and raised bastions, which had in them deep niches with pleasure seats, where the king often took his ease with his sons and noble courtiers". The tenth-century palace at Madinat al-Zahra’ in al-Andalus certainly contained pavilions that were constructed as look-outs giving onto terraced gardens. It has been suggested that this palace also may have featured miradors on its buttress-towers, such as were built later into the fortifications of the Alhambra palace at Granada. The poem of John Goemetres, therefore, informs us of an aesthetic of fortifications in tenth-century Byzantium for which there is also evidence in other cultures at this time. It demonstrates that even though defensive towers were a necessity, they could be made a virtue, too, and that an appreciation of the beauty of castles was not only an invention of nineteenth-century Romanticism.

10. ...τάς καλυμμένας ἁρέτας. Τόπος δὲ οὗτος ἀρχον τής πόλεως διακεχείται, ὑπερτερούσοις δὲ τῆς παραβάσεως καὶ τοῖς κατέχω εἰσαγαγόμενοι καὶ πρὸς τοὺς δέκτους ἀνακάμπτωσιν καὶ τὴν ἐτέραν μὲν πλευρὰν πρὸς θάλασσαν ἀποκοιμάτων, κατὰ δὲ τὴν ἐτέραν πρὸς τὸ Βυζάντιον, ταῖς δὲ γε λοιπὰς ἄλλας πρὸς δέκτον καὶ δίδον, καὶ τὴν ἀνάωσιν καταισθάνομεν... Aeliax, 2.8.5; ed. B. Leib, I, Paris 1937, p. 90-91.
13. For a survey of the buildings at Agh’tamar, with earlier bibliography, see S. Der Nersessian - H. Vahramian, Agh’tamar (Documenti di Architettura Armena 8), Milan 1974.
17. For example, the Torre de las Infantes; O. Grábar, The Alhambra, 2nd ed., Sebastopol 1992, p. 66.