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THE QUESTION OF THE ROLE OF THE DONOR AND OF THE PAINTER
A RUDIMENTARY APPROACH

The question of the artist’s dependence on the patron in producing his work, the manner, that is, in which the latter intervenes in the process of artistic creation and the degree of the painter’s independence from the donor; also, the degree of recognition enjoyed by the artist, are always issues of particular interest in the study and understanding of the society of each period and of the cultural role of the various social groups. However, we have very little information on the mediaeval Byzantine society and, as regards the above questions, only some elements — indications rather — can for the time being be found. A general aphorism is the following well-known passage from the Seventh Ecumenical Council of AD 787, which states that: “the painter’s domain is limited to his art, whereas the disposition manifestly pertains to the holy fathers who built [the churches]”. Yet the painter’s role in artistic creation and, by extension, his place in Byzantine society, have been very little understood. Thus, the examination of certain monuments permitting the formulation of observations from this angle, constitutes an attempt to pose some relevant questions and to seek certain indications.

Two groups of almost contemporary wall-paintings in Cyprus — that of the Enkleistra with the bema of the Holy Cross, in the hermitage of Saint Neophytos, near Paphos and that of the church of the Panagia tou Ara-
ka at Lagoudera⁵ — present an interest from this point of view, in spite of the different form of the two monuments. In the first case, that is, we are speaking of the adornment of the cell and of the bema of a hermitage, which has been almost cut out of the rock, while in the second case we have the murals of a single-aisled cruciform domed church. These two groups have not only been definitely dated, but have also preserved for us information on the identity of their patrons and even, to a certain extent, on aspects of their personalities. In the first of these groups, the name of the painter has also survived⁶, and it is quite likely that the same artist was responsible for the greater part of the decoration of the second monument, as well⁷.

The inscription which has been preserved in the Enkleistra of the monastery of Saint Neophytos, along the upper rim of a small niche over which is depicted a monumental representation of the Deesis, with Saint Neophytos on his knees in an attitude of worship, gives the name of the painter, Theodore Apseudes, and the date as 6691 (= AD 1183), in the first Indiction⁸. In the church of the Panagia tou Araka, over the entrance in the north wall, the patron’s inscription in three verses has been preserved, accompanying the representation of the Hagion Keramion (Holy Tile). The donor of the mural decoration of the monument, Leon Authentes, is recorded, as is the date, 6701 (= AD 1192), the month, December, and the 11th Indiction⁹.

Another inscription in the bottom left corner of the representation of the Baptism constitutes a monk’s invocation¹⁰. In view of the fact that the examination of the letters, in comparison with those which were used in the inscriptions in the church, appears to show that the above-mentioned text is contemporary with the murals, and in view of the fact, too, that this is actually a personal invocation — that of a monk who is not the patron but who, however, is able to record his invocation in a private church — it seems very likely that the inscription refers to the artist, although his capacity and his name have not survived.

In the first monument, the patron¹¹, a local monk, Saint Neophytos, is an important and unusual personality for the society of the time. As we know from the “Typikon” of the monastery which he founded in AD 1170, Saint Neophytos was born in AD 1134 of a rural family, in Lefkara, Cyprus, and died just after AD 1214, year in which the revised version of the above-mentioned text was copied. In his youth, Saint Neophytos travelled to the Holy Land, seeking a place in which to live as a hermit. Despite being totally illiterate up to the age of 18 and only having learnt to read and write after that age, at the monastery of Saint John Chrysostomos, at


Koutsovendi, where he initially sought refuge, he left, at his death, 16 volumes of his writings, all of them on religious subjects, many of which are still unpublished. From these texts, it is apparent that he was aware of the theological issues of his time and indeed, that he took positions in the relevant discussions. It is interesting to observe, as regards his personality, that at the monastery which he founded, he is depicted three times, in the Enkleistra and in the bema, on murals painted by Theodore Apseudes, as well as in the nais, which was decorated somewhat later, in AD 1196. 5. A. Stylianou, AI tochographiai tou naios tou Panagias tou Arakaou, Lagoudera. First Preliminary Report, 1968. With an Appendix by C. Mango, DOP 23-24 (1969-1970), p. 377-380, pls 1-7. 1d., Reports, op.cit., p. 626-264, pls 10-12, 14-16. 1d., Dumbarton Oaks (Harvard University) Work at Lagoudera and Monargi, 1970, RDAC 1971, p. 147-148, pls XXXV.1-XXXVI. 1d., Final Report, op.cit., p. 284-287, pls LXVIII. 1-1, LIX.1-2. Stylianou, The Painted Churches, op.cit., p. 157, 158, figs 84-102. 6. Andreas and Judith Stylianou, Donors and Dedictory Inscriptions. Suppliants and Supplications in the Painted Churches of Cyprus, JOB 21 (1972), p. 187; Stylianou, (note 6), p. 99; Eid., Some Problems, p. 354-355.

...as regards his personality, that at the monastery which he founded, he is depicted three times, in the Enkleistra and in the bema, on murals painted by Theodore Apseudes, as well as in the naos, which was decorated somewhat later, in AD 1196...
On the murals of the bema, Saint Neophytos has been portrayed on the eastern side of the ceiling, in counter-position with the representation of the Ascension. This is a full-figure, frontal representation: Saint Neophytos, his arms crossed in front of his chest (Fig. 1), stands between the archangels Michael and Gabriel. Above his head, a distich inscription in dodecaisyllabic verse has survived, expressing the holy man’s supplication that he be received among the angelic hosts, by virtue of his monastic status. In the mural of the Enkleistra, the monk is depicted kneeling, grasping the right foot of Christ with both hands (Fig. 2), in a monumental representation of the Deesis which we have mentioned above. Beside him an open inscribed scroll can be seen, containing a hexastich invocation inscription in dodecaisyllabic verse, recording his prayer for his eternal salvation through the mediation of the Holy Virgin and of Saint John the Baptist.

Another two inscriptions which have survived in the Enkleistra are only fragmentary, but they allow us to see that they are directly related to the venerable founder of the church. The one on the eastern part of the ceiling, directly above the wall, gives us some biographical information on the founder. The other inscription, on the face of the wall, above the tomb of the holy man, constitutes an epitaph written in the first person.

From the above, the personal intervention of the patron, also reflecting elements of his personality, is obvious both in the representations as well as in the content of the decoration: his supplication is clearly formulated, together with his certainty of future salvation. In the church of the Panagia tou Araka at Lagoudera, the sponsor of the painted decoration is a local nobleman, Leon Authentes who, in AD 1192, as we can see from the dedicatory inscription mentioned above — which is to say after the Latin conquest — took refuge on his land, on the slopes of Mount Troodos. According to another inscription in dodecaisyllabic verse which has been preserved on the south wall of the church, on either side of the monumental representation of the Virgin “Arakiotissa” (an early type of the Virgin of the Passion) Leon, a local archon, quite probably the son of a notable Byzantine dignitary, prays, together with his wife and fellow-servant, for happiness during the remainder of their life, for themselves and their children, and for their final salvation.

As has been observed from the iconographical analysis of the church’s dome, the concepts which are expressed are the Incarnation, with the special significance it has for human salvation, and the Ecumenical Power of the Pantocrator. It has been considered that this semantic content of the representations of the dome, in conjunction with the inscription mentioned above, express a personal and — for a man of mediæval times — relatively anthropocentric view of the world; this is perhaps due to the high cultural level — based on a classical education — of the donor himself. It therefore becomes apparent that the iconographical content in both monuments is inspired by the patrons’ personal desires and bears a relation to their individual personalities.

It has been observed, moreover, that, although the relevant reference has not been recorded — or, at any rate, preserved — the painter of the church of the Panagia tou Araka is Theodore Apsues and that, in fact, the murals which he painted at Lagoudera represent a more mature phase, naturally enough, of the distinctly manneristic period of his art. If the above-mentioned surviving inscription at the church of Panagia tou Araka does indeed refer to him, it would seem that at the end of his life this painter became a monk, which was not an unusual occurrence in mediæval times.

Indeed, if we exclude the depictions of the Virgin between the archangels (Fig. 4) and the Hierarchs in the apse of the Araka church, which are rendered in a relative...
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tively simplified manner in relation to the meticulous and elaborate execution of the modelling of the flesh and the drapery of the other representations (Figs 3, 5, 6, 10, 12, 14), the two groups — that is the murals of the Enkleistra and of the Bema of the hermitage (Figs 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13) of Saint Neophyto, which are works of Theodore Apseudes, and the greatest part of the wall-paintings of the church of the Panagia tou Araka, can very probably be attributed to the same artist.

It is not only the elegant, elongated figures with their refined features, the elaborate modelling which, however, does not allow the volumes in the rendering of the flesh to be broken up with intensity, nor the refined ornamentality and the "lyric" line which are so similar in the two groups — these, besides, are elements characteristic of a certain tendency inspired by an aristocratic-decorative mood and dating to the last two decades of the 12th century. It is, rather, the resemblance in the technical execution of the above-mentioned murals and certain details which speak in favour of this view. The rendering of the hair, for example, its outline drawn with a dark brown line, allowing the shape of the brow and temples to be clearly defined, is very similar in the two groups (Figs 9, 10). The eyes, too, in most cases, present a particular resemblance in these monuments, as the iris is painted with a light brown colour which is strongly underlined, especially on the lower rim, now with dark brown and now with dark blue tones, while, at the same time, the pupil is marked by a very fine dot, characteristics which give the gaze a luminous expression, unknown in other murals (Figs 1, 6-8, 12-14). The same close resemblance is also seen in the manner with which the brows have been drawn as, in several male figures in both these monuments, the bare brown underpainting forms an acute angle at the top, just above the bridge of the nose (Figs 1, 6, 11-14). This angle tends to form a "hypsilon" in this place. Noteworthy also is the resemblance of the lettering in general, which only in the rendering of the omega — which becomes angular in the church of Panagia tou Araka — shows any substantial difference.

Since these two groups of murals in Cyprus have been executed, in the main, by the same painter, and seeing that the different social origin and education of the patrons is obvious, a comparative examination of the two, in conjunction with the evidence from the inscriptions and the writings by Saint Neophyto, might possibly contribute to the formulation of certain ideas, with regard to the questions mentioned above. However, the confined limits of an article, in this volume dedicated to the memory of Doula Mouriki — an unforgettable personality who has so greatly contributed to our knowl-

An interesting representation, which has survived with
variations in the two groups and which must be the work in both cases of Theodore Apseudes, is that of a type of the Virgin, whose mediatory role towards Christ is rendered very explicitly. In this representation, the Virgin addresses herself to her son and holds an open scroll, inscribed with a text in verse in the form of questions, and answers from him. In the small niche which is above the tomb of Saint Neophytos in the Enkleistra, the Virgin is portrayed enthroned and holding the Christ Child, between two bishops, John Chrysostom and Basil the Great. In the inscribed scroll which she is holding, the text which usually accompanies the representation of the Virgin Paraklesis has been paraphrased: πάρεσθε / λόσιν θε/ μου το κει/μένω + / δίδωμι / [καμ]φθείς / [σαΐς λιταϊς]

In the inscribed scrolls of the Hierarchs attending the Virgin, the texts refer to the two basic representations of the Divine Economy, regarding human salvation depicted, in the area of the tomb; they complement the composition: Chrysostom’s scroll refers to the Crucifixion, and Basil’s roll to the Anastasis.

The entire programme is clearly adapted to the sepulchral area which it adorns, and the inscription on the Virgin’s scroll has an entirely personal character, expressing, as it does, the patron’s special requests, in a space which was destined by him to remain forever closed.

In this case, it is quite probable that Neophytos


himself determined the iconographical vocabulary and dictated the text on the scroll of the Virgin and Child, according to the custom current at the time regarding the typical representation of the Panagia Paraklesis, although he adapted it to his own visions.

On the contrary, in the church of the Panagia tou Araka, the established type of representation is portrayed, in a typical position, on the western face of the northeastern pier. The interceding Virgin is depicted in three-quarters view (Fig. 5), turned towards the Christ Antiphonetes, on the corresponding southeastern pier (Fig. 6), and she holds in her covered right hand an open inscribed scroll. The conventional dialogue with her Son written there is rendered, in the usual manner, by the alternation of colours in the lettering of the verses of questions and responses: "Τη Μη(τε)ρ / ετης. την / βροχών / σοτηρίαν / παρώργι / σάν με, συ/μπάθησον / ύέ μου. αλλ ου / κ επιστρέ/φουσιν. και / σώσον χά / ῥιν. έξουσην / λυτρον ευχα/ριστό σι Λόγε, "What is your request, Mother? - The salvation of mortals - They have angered me - Forgive them, my Son - But they do not repent - And grant them Your grace - They will be redeemed - Thank you, o Word". On either side of the Virgin the inscription has survived with the epithet, established for this type of Virgin in Cyprus Eleousa ("of Mercy"). It has been observed that the composition was complemented by the figure of Saint John the Baptist, on whose open scroll is inscribed the well-known Messianic verse which often accompanies his representation: "Ιδε δ / δμνδζ / του Θ(εο)κ / δ αίρον / την ἁμαρ/τιαν του κοσ/μου (Ιωάννης, α’ 29), "Behold the Lamb of God, who taketh away the sins of the world" (John I, 29). Thus, a monumental Deesis is composed, as is usual in this period.

In this way, a personal tone is given to the content of the iconographical programme, since the desire of the individual who drew it up or possibly ordered it, is clearly revealed. His request is for salvation, and Christ re-
sponds to this. The fact, however, that this established type of representation is found either alone or in more complex depictions in other monuments of the same period, shows that at this time the theme was a favourite one, more generally, but was also usual, particularly in Cyprus. It seems quite probable, in fact, that it was one
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of the models which the painter was familiar with or one of the sketches he had\(^3\) and proposed to the sponsor. On his own initiative or, possibly, according to the patron’s wishes, the painter added the favourite epithet in Cyprus of the Virgin, “Eleousa”.

The representation of the Annunciation which has survived in both monuments, follows the usual disposition\(^3\) but is combined with the depiction of Christ-Emmanuel, who has been placed in both cases between the two basic figures, the Angel and the Virgin. In the church of Saint Neophytos, however, where the representation has been placed in the bema\(^37\) above the entrance to the cell, the Virgin (Fig. 7) is depicted seated on the left with her head turned in the opposite direction towards the Angel, approaching with a lively movement. Between them is the full-figure Christ-Emmanuel in a rectangular frame. He is depicted frontally and standing on a cushion of royal purple.

It is interesting to observe that in this case the painter, Theodore Apsseudes, has adapted the scene to the surfaces at his disposal. He continued, however, to follow the current convention of leaving a space between the two figures, as befits the natural and spiritual distance between Mary and Gabriel\(^38\). The placing, also, of the Virgin to the left, at that time, shows the intent of the artist to adapt the representation to the space available to it, so that Mary is turned towards the Altar, which is the direction the archangel is coming from\(^39\).

In the church of the Panagia tou Araka at Lagoudera, the Annunciation has been placed on the eastern pendentives. The archangel, to the left, in a rush of motion, is approaching the Virgin, on the right, who is seated with her head turned towards him. Both figures are depicted in front of multistoreyed edifices\(^40\). Between them, on the eastern section of the dome, is depicted Christ-Emmanuel, within a purple medallion. In this monument, too, the placing of the representation in the pendentives shows a flexibility in adaptation to space. It would seem, in fact, that the painter, on his own initiative — although it cannot be excluded that the wishes of the patron played a part — is following a local custom, since this has also been adopted in another well-known monument in Cyprus, that of the church of the Holy Apostles at Perahorio (1170-1180 AC)\(^41\).

The conjunction, however, of the Annunciation with the depiction of Christ-Emmanuel, which emphasizes the fact of the Incarnation of the Logos\(^42\), constitutes an interesting example characterizing both monuments. It is worth noting that while, from the 12th century on, this scene often co-exists with various subjects in which the representations’ epiphanic character is stressed\(^43\), the figure of Christ-Emmanuel is familiar from the front of the apse of the church of the Holy Sepulchre\(^44\). The above combination, which shows a good knowledge and easy use of the semantic content of the iconographical “vocabulary”, may reflect the personal wish of Saint Neophytos, since he would have known of this representation from his visit to the Holy Land. In fact, the painter seems to have also used the same composition in the church of the Panagia tou Araka, as he was familiar with it from the decoration of the bema of the hermitage of Saint Neophytos, where he first painted it. He succes-
fully adapted the ensemble moreover, in both cases, to the available space. It is actually worthy of notice that this combination is found in both Cypriot monuments, and is unknown elsewhere, although it successfully renders the semantic message of the composition, according to the demands of the time.

In the representation of the Anastasis (Descent into Hell) which has also survived in both monuments, the painter seems to have used the same models (Figs 11, 12), which he adapted to entirely different spaces.


36. G. Millet, Recherches sur l'iconographie de l'évangile aux XIe, XVe et XVIe siècles d'après les monuments de Mistra, de la Macedoine et du Mont-Athos, Paris 1960, p. 67-92. Originally it must have also included the naos.


39. As an example of the painter's manneristic development, according to the stylistic trends of his period, Megaw, Background Architecture, op.cit. (note 5).


However, the rich landscape, rendered with curved lines and ochra shadings in the church of the Panagia tou Araka, reveals the manneristic development of the artist’s work. The same applies to the scene of the Ascension, which has also survived in both monuments. On the ceiling of the bema of the hermitage of Saint Neophyto, against a blue field, the Virgin prays, turned in a three-quarters view towards Christ, while the angel is depicted in the centre, in frontal view. On the right and left, the groups of Apostles are placed separately in a rounded ochre-coloured landscape (Fig. 9), suggesting the earthly globe. In the barrel-vault of the bema of the church of the Panagia tou Araka, the scene has been disposed in two groups, with the frontal figures, that of the Virgin in the southern section and that of the Angel in the northern section, occupying the centre (Fig. 10). In this depiction, it is interesting to see the manner in which colour has been used. The green ground on which the figures stand constitutes the background in the entire western section of the scene while, in the eastern section — which is near the Altar — the field is blue, in an extreme expression of the painter’s chromatic mannerism. It may thus reflect the same theoretical dimension, as in the representation of the previous monument, suggesting the realisation of the Event between earth and heaven.

As regards the choice of the saints depicted in the two churches, the absence of any female figure, apart from that of Saint Mary of Egypt, in the church of the Panagia tou Araka, is worth noting. In the case of the Enkleistra and of the bema of Saint Neophyto, this omission is in accord with the personality and the views of the patron, since it is known that he had not only banned women from visiting the monastery but had also banned all female animals. As regards Lagoudera, however, the fact that the western wall of the church — where the depiction of female figures is usual in churches without a narthex — has been destroyed, weakens the above observation. However, if there was omission, it seems very likely that it was due to the painter’s own initiative. He may have been a monk himself at the time when he was executing the murals of the Araka church. It seems certain that it was not the patron’s wish; his personality, as we have seen, would not be concordant with such prejudices.

A similar indication is the stress laid on the depictions of monks in the same monument. Only they, together with Peter and Paul, are portrayed full-figured and with open, inscribed scrolls, in prominent positions, on the western pilasters and the western bays of the naos. In view of the fact that this choice does not seem to agree with the character and education of the patron, it must be attributed to the artist who, at a younger age, had painted the Enkleistra and the bema of Saint Neophyto and who, may have become a monk himself by this time.

According to the above, then, certain interesting ideas may perhaps be formulated, based on a number of common representations in these two groups of murals of the late 12th century, whose patrons were men of different social background and education. As a matter of fact they represent different social groups, the one being a member of the monastic community and the other belonging to the laity. To begin with, it is important to note that both the above patrons employed the same excellent artist, who expressed himself stylistically in exactly the same way in both works. This fact, which constitutes evidence that stylistic similarity in works of art does not necessarily indicate identical social class of the patronage or vice versa suggests corresponding economic possibilities of the two donors.

In addition, as is apparent in both monuments, the intervention of the patron was determinative in the arrangement in general terms, of the content of the iconographical programme. However, it becomes evident that the initiatives of Saint Neophyto are more substantial — although he may not be the sponsor — than the contribution of the archon, Leon Authentes, both in the choice of the particular figures and in the formulation of the iconographical vocabulary, according to the semantic content of certain representations. Nonetheless, the role of the painter in this domain does not seem insignificant, since he also intervenes in the choice of certain representations. Thus, at the Enkleistra and the bema of the hermitage of Saint Neophyto, the whole content of the decoration, as well as the accompanying inscriptions, which must be attributed to the patron, are animated by his hope of future salvation and his establishment in paradise. On the contrary, in the church of the Panagia tou Araka, as the surviving inscriptions also reveal, the donor prays not only for future salvation but also for earthly happiness during the remainder of his life, together with that of his wife and his children.

In order, however, to formulate his own personal prayer which receives the favourable response of the Christ, Saint Neophyto chooses the representation of the Virgin and Child, holding an open scroll, where an unusual prayer is addressed to the Christ-Child, who responds favourably. In the case of the church of the Panagia tou Araka, however, on the same particular theme, Leon seems to have accepted the iconographical type which was usual at the time, and which must have been proposed by the painter, since it would have been included.
in the subject matter of the models that he brought with him. The conjunction, also, of the Christ-Emmanuel with the representation of the Annunciation, which was suggested by Saint Neophytos for the decoration of the bema at his monastery, inspired the painter who executed it on his own initiative in the church of the Panagia tou Araka as well, influenced as he was by his work on the murals of the bema in the hermitage of Saint Neophytos.

The emphasis, furthermore, on figures of monks in the programme of the church of the Panagia tou Araka, constitutes yet another indication of the further theoretical contribution of the painter had been clearly traced, along with the patron's intervention, and that there existed, therefore, a dialectical relationship between them, it is particularly interesting to note that the artist left his name on the Enkleistra of Saint Neophytos and perhaps also in the church of the Panagia tou Araka at Lagoudera. It becomes obvious, thus, that Theodore Apsides was fully aware of his contribution, which he dared express discreetly with the means available to him at the time; and this, during a period of reshufflings, such as was the 12th century, during which a class consciousness began to make its appearance which he dared express discreetly with the means available to him at the time; and this, during a period of reshufflings, such as was the 12th century, during which a class consciousness began to make its appearance...
Eulalios, who, during the years of the rule of Manuel Comnenus (1143-1180 AC) renewed the mosaic decoration of the church of the Holy Apostles and, in fact, included his self-portrait in a Biblical scene. It is equally interesting that, in both monuments of Cyprus, the donors have also very clearly left traces of their own personalities. The personal intervention, however, of the monk Neophytos with his lowly religious education, seems to be greater than that of Leon Authentes, whose anthropocentric — to a degree — view of life, within the framework, naturally, of the mediaeval world, did not give him the prerequisites nor the self-confidence necessary to impose intensely personal initiatives in the formulation of the iconographical "vocabulary" of the church he founded.

60. In another period (14th century) a very important personality with high humanistic culture, Theodore Metochites, dictated not only the layout but also the vocabulary of the iconographic programme of the parecclesion, the funerary chapel he founded, P. A. Underwood (ed.), The Kariye Djamî, New York 1966, 1, p. 192ff; 3, pls 335ff; I. Ševčenko, Theodore Metochites, The Chora, and the Intellectual Trends of His Time, in: P. A. Underwood (ed.), ibid., 4, Princeton 1975, p. 17ff.
61. As has been mentioned before the entire layout of the programme is not examined, because this supposes a general examination of the two ensembles. For the English translation I am indebted to Daphne Kapsambeli.