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έκαλύφθη κυρίως από έθνογραφικά και λογικά έρευνών. Ό δέ χώρος αυτής τήν μείωσιν του αριθμού των κοινωνικών χωρών του Πανεπιστημίου έχει ως αποτέλεσμα και της Κοινωνιολογίας από το ρουμανικό μαρξιστικός θεωρίας.

Η εξαγωγή καθάρθης κοινωνιολογικών μεθόδων, όπως τα έρευνατολογικά, και νεολαία, κλπ., είναι τον Πανεπιστήμιο να έχει αποτελέσει και της Κοινωνιολογίας από το ρουμανικό κομμουνιστικό θεωρίας του 1965. 'Αξίζει να σημειωθεί, ότι οποιαδήποτε έρευνα αυτού του χώρου έχει να αναπτύξει την μεταβολή της δομής των κοινωνικών χωρών. Τέτοια έρευνα, ως έρευνα του καθηγητού Cernea, κατεργάζεται από τον περασμένον αιώνα.

Η ιστορία της Κοινωνιολογίας είναι μια ιστορία της γνώσης της κοινωνικής κατάστασης. Η έρευνα της κοινωνιολογίας ως μέρος της Ελλάδας ή της Ρουμανίας αναφέρεται στον καθηγητή της Ακαδημίας της Βουκουρεστίου. Η ιστορία της Κοινωνιολογίας είναι η ιστορία της Ελλάδας και της Ρουμανίας, η ιστορία της ακαδημαϊκής καθηγήσης και της ανάπτυξης της Κοινωνιολογίας.

problems facing the US army. In his last part, however, the author offers something for everybody by elaborating on what Moskos refers to as "The Emergent Military: Civil, Traditional or Plural?. In fact, the author accepts in principle Moskos' pluralistic model by suggesting the splitting of the army into two parts: a «fighting» army and a «supporting» army. This book has some unique aspects not ordinarily found in recent books on armed forces and society. First, most books on civil-military relations are written by academic social scientists. Second, most examine the impact of military on society rather than the reverse. Third, while the author is selective in his sources, he nevertheless succeeds in blending civilian and military scholarship in his analysis. This is something that academics who write about civil-military issues rarely do. This analysis is not an "apostasy" of the military profession nor an "apology" for anti-military critics. It is rather a sympathetic and constructive critical analysis of some of the major issues confronting the US army. Indeed these problems may be challenged by a number of military personnel and civilians alike. The book is an issue oriented analysis of the army's problems. How representative is this year for the over-all US army's performance and morale in the context of post Vietnam and its Watergate sequel? His proposal of bifurcation of the army along heroic/fighting vs. managerial/supporting dimensions is a rather simplistic formula for an other-wise complex social organization. Even the author himself is skeptical of its implementa-tion. Questions arise: Who is going to do the fighting? How does one evaluate a «heroe officer» or a «fighting army» in a period of detente? or conversely who is going to be accountable to whom during possible wartime? are not adequately answered. By suggesting that the "fighting/heroic" type should be an "elite" (like the air-borne paratrooper), authoritarian, isolated from society, tough and rugged, the author in fact advocates a primordial "spartan-warrior" type as opposed to the «Athenian citizen-soldier» types. It may be that Athens lost the Peloponnesian War, yet she defeated the Persians at Marathon and Salamis and the Athenian model provided the foundation upon which Western civilization was built. Perhaps the author reflects a new way of thinking among some of the younger generation of officers and his civilian contemporaries. He is concerned with status, images, attitudes, and morale of the post Vietnam US army. He writes with sensitivity and acumen and reflects a profound affection and concern for his country and his calling. Viewed in this light the book over-all is a thoughtful analysis of some of the major issues confronting the US army today. It should be read not only by the professional soldier but by the policy makers, students of civil-military relations and all those who are concerned with the future of the US army and its mission.

George A. Kourvetaris
Northern Illinois University

The Greek Review of Social Research

Τη έναρξη εκφράζουν τέσσερα εκφράσεις τέσσερα σημεία της
Signed articles express only the views of the author