


The Greek Review of Social Research

Vol 24 (1975)

24 B'



Social organization, A general systems and role theory perspective, by Alvin I. Bertrand

Jiri Kolaja

doi: [10.12681/grsr.439](https://doi.org/10.12681/grsr.439)

Copyright © 1975, Jiri Kolaja



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

To cite this article:

Kolaja, J. (1975). Social organization, A general systems and role theory perspective, by Alvin I. Bertrand. *The Greek Review of Social Research*, 24, 365. <https://doi.org/10.12681/grsr.439>

book
reviews

Another good classification is differentiation between roles according to their reciprocity such unilateral, multilateral or omnilateral. On page 109 the group is defined as having roles reciprocal with every other position. However, if one conceives of a communicative disease unit it appears that that group contained several roles in which occupants are non-reciprocally related to a patient. In my judgement, the author defines complex organization in a helpful manner by proposing that it is composed of at least two «elemental groups with socialized functions dedicated to a particular goal and linked by interstitial groups through reflexive reciprocal role relationships» (p. 132).

The interstitial group gains recognition by a proposition that the latter comes into existence to link two or more elemental groups. Several differences between social system and social institutions are helpfully spelled out especially on page 103. In the last chapter, phenomena of social disorganization are summarily reviewed. As stated above, Bertrand, is good in specifying additional differences between such phenomena as role conflict, role incongruity, role inadequency, role frustration, role superfluity, role non-reciprocity, role ambivalence, and role boredom. Generally, the author should be praised because of his endeavor to additionally structure some more or less established concepts.

How can the book be criticized? Let me say one more word in regard to influences on the author. The reviewer was pleased that several times the author quoted P.A. Sorokin. However, it appears that the most referred to author was Frederick L. Bates. While reading the book, it came to my mind another sociologist who is not listed by Bertrand, i.e., L. von Wiese and his extensive classification of social relations. A criticism of Bertrand's useful treatise is that in his categories of concepts one is possibly uncertain of his fundamentum divisionis, for example, in his section on Roles and Deviance. One could further ask if and how these and other subclassifications should and could be more organized into a system or systems? Generally, however, this is a good book.

JIRI KOLAJA
West Virginia University

Signed articles express only the views of their author.

ΕΠΙΘΕΩΡΗΣΙΣ
ΚΟΙΝΩΝΙΚΩΝ
ΕΡΕΥΝΩΝ

*The Greek Review of Social
Research*

Ἐκδότης: Ἐθνικὸν Κέντρον
Κοινωνικῶν Ἐρευνῶν (ΝΠΔΔ)
Σοφοκλέους 1, Ἀθήναι 122
Ἔτος βον. Τεύχος 24
Β' τετράμηνον 1975

ὑπεύθυνος τυπογραφείου Ἰ. Ὀρφανίδης
Θησέως 52—Π. Φάληρον
στοιχειοθεσία - ἐκτύπωσης - βιβλιοδεσία
Ἐπτάλοφος Ε.Π.Ε.
Ζαλοκόστα 5, Ἀθήναι
ἐπιμέλεια ἐκδόσεως
Ἑφημερίδα Ἐνημερώσεως ΕΚΚΕ

ΤΙΜΗ ΤΕΥΧΟΥΣ ΔΡΧ. 100

Single issue \$ 5
συνδρομαί ἑσωτερικοῦ (3 τεύχη):
διὰ ἰδιώτας δρχ. 330,
διὰ σπουδαστῶν δρχ. 240,
διὰ νομικὰ πρόσωπα, τραπέζας,
ὀργανισμοῦ δρχ. 500,
συνδρομὴ ἑξωτερικοῦ (3 τεύχη): \$ 12

ἡ ἀναδημοσίευσίς τῆς ὅλης τοῦ
περιοδικοῦ ἐπιτρέπεται μόνον κατόπιν
ἀδείας τοῦ διεθυντοῦ συντάξσεως