

Social Organization. A General Systems and Role Theory Perspective, by Alvin L. Bertrand, Philadelphia, F.A. Davis, 1972, XV, 226.

Broadly stated, the author, in his book, says his program is «to move in a systematic way from the smallest to the largest unit used in the analysis of social structure,» (p. 149). Starting with the analvsis of interaction and types of interaction, one moves from norms to social roles. Role conjuctivality and role reciprocality are both subdivided in either bilateral or reflexive roles. Another in-teresting classification is introduced if two norms belong to the same role or to two or more roles, etc. Bertrand terms such distances between norms as a first order norms etc., up to fourth order norms. In the judgement of the reviewer this is an insightful classification that should still be more developed.

Another good classification is differentiation between roles according to their reciprocality such unilateral, multilateral or omnilateral. On page 109 the group is defined as having roles reciprocal with every other position. However, if one conceives of a communicative disease unit it appears that that group contained several roles in which occupants are nonreciprocally related to a patient. In my judgement, the author defines complex organization in a helpful manner by proposing that it is composed of at least two «elemental groups with socialized functions deficated to a particular goal and linked by interstitial groups through reflexive reciprocal role relationships» (p. 132).

The interstitial group gains recognition by a proposition that the latter comes into existence to link two or more elemental groups. Several differences between social system and social institutions are helpfully spelled out especially on page 103. In the last chapter, phenomena of social disorganization are summarily reviewed. As stated above, Bertrand, is good in specifying additional differences between such phenomena as role conflict, role incongruity, role inadequency, role frustration, role superfluity, role nonreciprocality, role ambivalence, and role boredom. Generally, the author should be praised because of his endeavor to additionally structure some more or less established concepts.

How can the book be criticized? Let me say one more word in regard to influences on the author. The reviewer was pleased that several times the author quoted P.A. Sorokin. However, it appears that the most referred to author was Frederick L. Bates. While reading the book, it came to my mind another sociologist who is not listed by Bertrand, i.e., L. von Wiese and his extensive classification of social relations. A criticism of Bertrarnd's useful treatise is that in his categories of concepts one is possibly uncertain of his fundamentum divisionis, for example, in his section on Roles and Deviance. One could further ask if and how these and other subclassifications should and could be more organized into a system or systems? Generally, however, this is a good book.

> JIRI KOLAJA West Virginia University

ΕΠΙΘΕΩΡΗΣΙΣ ΚΟΙΝΩΝΙΚΩΝ ΕΡΕΥΝΩΝ

The Greek Review of Social Research

R

R

'Εκδότης: 'Εθνικόν Κέντρον Κοινωνικών 'Ερευνών (ΝΠΔΔ) Σοφοκλέους Ι, 'Αθήναι 122 "Έτος 6ον. Τεύχος 24 Β' τετράμηνον 1975

ύπεύθυνος τυπογραφείου 'Ι. 'Ορφανίδης Θησέφς 52--Π. Φάληρον στοιχειοθεσία - ἐκτύπωσις - βιβλιοδεσία Έπτάλοφος Ε.Π.Ε. Ζαλοκώστα 5, 'Αθήναι ἐπιμέλεια ἐκδόσεως Υπηρεσία Ένημερώσεως ΕΚΚΕ

ISI

Ŋ

lin

ΤΙΜΗ ΤΕΥΧΟΥΣ ΔΡΧ. 100

Single issue \$ 5 συνδρομαί ἐσωτερικοῦ (3 τεύχη): διά ἰδιώτας δρχ. 330, διά σπουδαστάς δρχ. 240, διά νομικά πρόσωπα, τραπέζας, όργανισμούς δρχ. 500, συνδρομή ἐξωτερικοῦ (3 τεόχη): \$ 12

ή ἀναδημοσίευσις τῆς ὕλης τοῦ περιοδικοῦ ἐπιτρέπεται μόνον κατόπιν ἀδείας τοῦ διευθυντοῦ συντάξεως

Signed articles express only the views of their author,