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Abstract

A Pleistocene section, cropping out in the northern Kos Island has been selected for study. The main lithology (clayey sands, sandy marls) of the section is interrupted by a prominent \textit{Cladocora} bank which allows a proper extraction of its faunal contents and identification at species level. The evaluation of benthic foraminiferal assemblages from this bank helps to determine the range of the environmental controls in sedimentation. The foraminiferal assemblage is related to a relatively high supply of organic material and rather strong current intensities in water depth of the coral growth. We suggest that the fossil reef of \textit{Cladocora} grew in coastal waters characterised by a marked seasonality, with periodical inputs of terrigenous sediments, moderate turbidity and higher temperature than today. Such results, although preliminary, suggest that \textit{Cladocora} together with benthic foraminifera could be reference species for future work on changing Mediterranean climate and sea level.
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1. Introduction

The Mediterranean Sea is an interesting place to study global change because it is land-locked and acts like a miniature ocean, which reacts faster to environmental changes than the open ocean (Béthoux et al., 1990). Under this respect, the study of Mediterranean faunas can be used to model predictions on how this semi-enclosed basin responds to global climatic and environmental change.

One important tool for monitor these environmental and climatic changes is the distribution and abundance of benthic foraminifera in paralic and shallow marine environments. It is well documented that benthic foraminifera are very sensitive to variations in depth, salinity and substrate texture (Murray, 1991). Consequently, benthic foraminiferal assemblages preserved in the sedimentary record provide a useful palaeoecological indicator, because some taxa tolerate extreme conditions but react very quickly to environmental changes (e.g. Jorissen 1987, 1988; Murray 1991).

\textit{Cladocora} coral is endemic and present throughout the whole Mediterranean (Zibrowius, 1980; Peirano et al., 1998). Large fossil \textit{Cladocora} formations were found as old as Late Pliocene, when this coral formed true reefs both in the Eastern and Western Mediterranean Sea (Aguirre and Jiménez, 1998; Dornbos and Wilson, 1999). Large fossil banks of \textit{Cladocora} are also known from the Early
Pleistocene (Bernasconi et al., 1997), from the Middle and Late Pleistocene and from the Holocene (for a review, see Peirano et al., 1998). The bathymetric distributions of these corals range from 5 to 40 m depth and they are well adapted to turbid coastal environments with high sedimentation rates (Zibrowius, 1974; Peirano et al., 2005).

The aim of the present study is to document the benthic foraminiferal faunal composition of a well exposed *Cladocora caespitosa* bank of Pleistocene age on the eastern Mediterranean island of Kos. The evaluation of benthic foraminiferal assemblages from this coral bank will help to characterize the range of the environmental controls in sedimentation.

2. Geological setting

The island of Kos off the Turkish coast in the Eastern Aegean Sea (Fig. 1) forms part of the Hellenic-Tauric system, a complex mountain belt extending from southeastern Europe to Turkey. The Hellenic arc results from the collision of the Apulian microcontinent with the crystalline complexes of north-eastern Greece during Mesozoic to Cenozoic times. It displays a pronounced southwest vergent nappe character. Apart from minor Palaeozoic units, the nappes consist of Mesozoic to Cenozoic sediments and magmatic rocks, including ophiolites. Some of these nappes have been subject to different degrees of metamorphism during collision (Jacobshagen 1986). Metamorphism and thrusting took place during several events between Jurassic and Miocene times (Altherr et al. 1994, Seidel et al. 1977, 1982).

The geological units on the island of Kos form part of the Central Hellenic nappes (Fig. 1, Jacobshagen 1986). The oldest units form the Dicheo Massif in the central part of the island towards the south coast (Fig. 1b and c). They consist of Permocarboniferous marls, impure limestones and sandstones, phyllites and rare mafic intercalations (Altherr et al. 1976, Gralla 1982) that have been subject to post-Permocarboniferous regional metamorphism at very low grades. At 12 Ma, this series was partly contact metamorphosed by the intrusion of a large quartz monzonite (Altherr et al. 1982, Henjes-Kunst et al. 1988). Subsequent to intrusion and exhumation Permocarboniferous metasediments and Miocene quartz monzonite were tectonically overridden by Cretaceous to Eocene lime-
stones that crop out mainly north and west of the pluton (Fig. 1c). After tectonic emplacement of these limestones, the area west of the Dicheo Massif was covered by Pliocene and Pleistocene sediments and mostly Quaternary volcanics (Keller 1969; Dürr and Jacobshagen 1986). To the east, the Dicheo Massif is tectonically bordered by a flysch sequence of Late Cretaceous to Tertiary age (Dürr and Jacobshagen 1986).

The Neogene sedimentary cover of Kos consists of Lower Miocene molassic sediments on western Kos unconformably overlying the Mesozoic carbonates (Papanikolaou and Nomikou 1998), and north of the Dicheos window ranges from middle Miocene to Pleistocene shallow marine to terrestrial deposits (Böger et al. 1974, Altherr et al. 1976, Willmann 1983). It dips southward as a result of north-dipping normal faults that separate the sediments from the pre-Neogene basement (Böger et al. 1974).

The island of Kos is dominantly non-volcanic but contains Miocene to Pleistocene volcanic centres. The Kamari caldera is of mid-Pleistocene age and contains the 1.0–0.55 million-year-old, post-caldera Zini lava dome. The widespread Kos Plateau Tuff (160,000 years ago), which blankets much of the western half of Kos, originated from a submarine source between Kos and Nisyros islands and resulted in the formation of a large caldera. The caldera dimensions are uncertain, but may extend as much as 20 kilometres from Kefalos Bay in southwestern Kos Island to Nisyros Island. Several solfatara fields are found on Kos Island, including Vromotopos at Kefalos Isthmus on the western side of the island and a group of thermal areas at the eastern side of Kos. Thermal activity consists of weak hydrogen sulfide emission, sulfur deposits, and two hot springs along the southeastern coast.

Bardintzeff et al. (1989) grouped the Kos volcanism into two eruptive episodes which have occurred during the Tertiary and Quaternary eras. A Miocene (10.4–7.5 Ma) subduction-related early episode which produced a welded ignimbrite formation exposed throughout the whole island, and a more recent (~2.7 Ma) episode which is related to the present subduction of the African plate beneath the Aegean micro-plate, associated with eruptive products which can be observed only in the central and western parts of Kos.

3. Material and Methods

3.1 The coral-bearing unit

The sediment samples used in this investigation were collected from the basal part of a section cropping out along Mastichari Bay, north Kos Island, located under the Kos ignimbrite which is dated to 145000 ± 5000 years BP and represents an important volcano-stratigraphic time-marker in the Eastern Mediterranean. Foraminiferal faunas were examined in one representative sample recovered from the studied succession (Fig. 2).

The relevant outcrop exposes a deepening – upwards succession with littoral sands at the base giving way to nearshore silts and sands with a very rich marine macrofauna assemblage including Cladocora caespitosa corals (sample K13 in Fig. 2). According to Peirano et al. (2004), the assemblage is typical of temperate-warm, shallow sea and of mixed carbonate-terrigenous, infralittoral environment.

3.2 Micropalaeontological analysis

In the laboratory, foraminifera were separated from the sediments by washing them through a 125 μm sieve. Identification of benthic foraminiferal species relies upon original descriptions and several key papers (Jorissen 1988, Albani and Serandrei Barbero 1990, Cimerman and Langer 1991, Sgarrella and Moncharmont Zei 1993, Fiorini and Vaiani 2001).
Benthic foraminiferal assemblage diversity (Fisher alpha-diversity) was determined by plotting the total number of individuals against the total number of species in a Fisher alpha-a diversity base-graph. Species richness diversity was determined for the sample, categorized as very low (1–7 species), low (8–25), medium (26–50), or high (51–79).


Planktonic foraminifera are treated as a separate group; their proportional abundance (in % of the foraminiferal assemblages) was calculated.

4. Results-Discussion

A total of 287 specimens belonging to 20 species have been identified in the studied sample on which it was possible to perform a quantitative analysis. Only well-preserved tests (without breakages or abrasions) that did not show any sign of reworking and/or transportation were counted and, as a consequence, considered in the statistical analysis. However, most of the individuals do not show signs of re-deposition.

Benthic foraminifera are large- to medium-sized and usually well preserved; only a few specimens are fragmented. Furthermore, the presence of a relatively large amount (6%) of highly-reworked planktonic foraminifera was noticed.

The low number of taxa (20) of benthic foraminifera in this “microenvironment” suggests ‘drastic’ ecological conditions for the benthic microfauna. Since planktonic remains are restricted to low percentage values we conclude that the deviation from the norm of palaeoenvironmental conditions is affecting the preservation of biogenic material and limiting the establishment of the benthic population.
The foraminiferal assemblage is moderately diversified (Shannon index: 2.218 and Fisher-alpha index: 4.891), consisting of *Ammonia beccarii* group (27.87%), *Haynesina depressula* (16.72%), *Cibicides lobatulus* group (11.85%) and *Neoconorbina terquemi* (14.63%).

The high abundance values of *A. beccarii* are indicative of a shallow-marine environment with sandy bottom (Sgarrella and Moncharmont Zei 1993). Jorissen (1988) found that this species is very abundant in the Adriatic along a belt parallel to the Italian coast at a water depth of less than 20 m. The highest abundance is found between 15 and 20 m water-depth in samples with intermediate percentages of organic matter in which at least some sand fraction is present. A strict interpretation based on the known modern distribution of *A. beccarii* would confine the species to upper shoreface environments (Hayward et al. 2004).

The high occurrence of *H. depressula* indicates increasing salinity together with pH > 6.0 and less stressful environmental conditions.

*Cibicides locatulus* group together with *Neoconorbina terquemi* represent species with strong positive correlation with sandy substrates and a negative one with organic matter. They are epiphytic species, preferring the presence of vegetation.

The moderate frequency values of *Cancris oblongus* indicate tolerance to mesotrophic - eutrophic conditions.

*Cladocora caespitosa* colonies occupy a wide ecological range, from hard to soft substrates and from low- to high-turbulence water (Zibrowius 1980; Schiller 1993a). The abundance of *Cladocora* fragments with the in situ colonies reinforces the indication by foraminiferal assemblage for preservation in moderate-energy shallow environment.

5. Conclusions

The micropalaeontological analysis allows us to describe the microenvironments, considering ecological conditions as well as preservation and/or sedimentary features.

The microfaunal assemblage is indicative of a marine environment characterised by moderate water energy. The absence of turbulent deposition and the presence of vegetation, as this is illustrated by the high frequency numbers of epiphytal species, usually set a depth limit of approximately 5–20 m although these plants may sporadically also reach down to 45 m deep (Langer 1993). The foraminiferal assemblage supports and extends these conclusions. *Haynesina* and *Ammonia* favour a water depth of 0–50 m (Murray 1991, 2006).

In conclusion, the foraminifera suggest an infralittoral environment with a water depth of between 20 and 50 m. This interpretation is supported by the low abundance of planktonic foraminifera and medium diversity of benthic foraminifera. The diversity of the latter group increases with water depth, and a low-diversity benthic foraminifer fauna is generally typical for marginal marine environments (Sen Gupta 1999, Murray 1991, 2006).

The assemblage is indicative of an infralittoral environment with vegetation cover as suggested by the presence of epiphytic species. Species adapted to live in coarser substrates are also abundant. The presence of *Haynesina depressula* suggests relatively high concentrations of organic matter.

6. Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the two reviewers for their suggestions on the manuscript.
7. References


