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Abstract

In the hydrogeological practice and the groundwater resources management the accurate knowledge on the infiltration, effective porosity, transmissibility, and on the sustainable yield of water wells is of fundamental value. It is so, because these parameters are the information primarily required in prediction and decisions relevant to the ground water abstractions and the hydrogeological evaluation of specific sites.

In this paper, we present these quantities from the carbonate fissured formations of Attica, non metamorphic (limestones, dolomitic limestones, dolomites) and metamorphic (marbles), which all are of great areal extent and thus of great interest for groundwater exploitation. In addition, they show good geomorphological, structural and lithologic resemblance with analogous formations elsewhere in this country, so that figures calculated can be reliably transferred for use.

In our work we used methods of increased reliability, and having known precipitation (P) we estimated:

— The Infiltration Index (Ic) from the yield of springs of well defined hydrogeological catchments (natural lysimeters) and results found fall in the range of values
  \[ 0.38P < Ic < 0.42P \]
— The Effective Porosity (pe), obviously secondary because of the nature of the carbonate aquifers (fissure flow aquifers). We calculated it from groundwater level hydrograph and values found are in between
  \[ 1.31\% < p_e < 6.91\% \]
with the most common in between
  \[ 1.58\% < p_e < 4.8\% \]
— The Transmissibility (T), we calculated from pumping tests, with Jacob method, using semi-logarithmic plots of drawdowns (s) versus time (t) from start of pumping. Values found are mostly (70%) in the range
  \[ 10\text{m}^3/\text{h.m} < T < 75\text{m}^3/\text{h.m} \]
— The Critical Yield (Qc) of water wells we calculated from the “characteristic well curve” Q vs. s (with Q and s standing for yield and drawdown respectively). Values are mostly in between
  \[ 15\text{m}^3/\text{h} < Q_c < 75\text{m}^3/\text{h} \]

Cretaceous limestones showed the best of the parameters, followed by the marbles free of schist in-
tercalations and distant from schist boundary. Karstic processes on the fracture network, structures vulnerable to tectonic extension, like anticlines and tension faulting zones are the underlying reasons for better hydrogeologic performance and thus for higher values of the parameters studied. Dolomites outside the previous zones show very low values.
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1. Introduction

The experience, the senior of the authors has from the hydrogeology of the area of the wider Attica, after his work as a project manager and consultant (with IGME etc), raised the interest to go through the discussed hydrogeological issues and search them for more accurate approach, to the benefit of the hydrogeological practice. To this aim we examine basic quantities relative to the ground water study and exploitation and we introduce new and simple methods for the estimation of the critical yield of abstraction wells and of the specific yield (effective porosity) of aquifers. Further, we discuss the infiltration into the fissure flow carbonate aquifers and the transmissibility of same aquifers. We calculated infiltration index using natural lysimeters, it was found very representative and thus useful for further applications.

In addition, we present the generalized geological and hydrogeological structure and performance of the broader area to show the conditions under which the specified aquifers and sites of study perform.

2. Hydrogeology

2.1 General

The hydrogeological conditions of Attica almost fully conform to the geological and morphological structure. (Kounis et al., 2010).

Basic characteristics of almost all aquifers are their hydraulic continuity with sea, the low quality state at a distance of 4km from the coastline, the low infiltration rate, and the limited purely confined conditions.

Though the two aquifer systems, of the intergranular flow and of the fissure flow, predominate in Attica, due to the complicated geologic structure and the geomorphology, different aquifers can be distinguished by their particular conditions and problems, the hydraulic continuity between units being absent or of minor hydrogeological importance.

In the fissure flow system (carbonate system), which is of primary importance in this presentation, two main factors govern the existing hydrogeological conditions, namely the fissure network which shows a varying degree of widening of its elements, subsequent to the karstification process, and the impermeable substratum. Although a diffused circulation of ground water through the carbonate masses can well be accepted as the rule in Attica, some localized, convergent flow along major faults and relevant fracture zones, especially in West Attica, is equally taking place. Internal solution of all kind of fracturing for karstification reasons is varying in space, more pronounced being in West Attica and in the cretaceous limestone. Schist layers and similar lithologic elements in the marbles of East Attica have resulted in a comparatively decreased karstification of the mass. Pure dolomites hardly transmit water, except along heavily fractured zones, while dolomitic limestones show very locally high transmissibility.

In West Attica the principal ground water divide coincides with the major anticlinal axis of Par-
nis-Kitheron Mt., along which the impermeable palaeozoic substratum crops out frequently. Approximately two thirds of the mass of the north limb of the anticline is drained to the Euboean Gulf, preferably by converging flows to fractured zones and sites. Partial hydraulic interchange between the fissure and overlying porous system is evidenced. Ground water from the rest of the same anticlinal limb outflows to the Korinthian Gulf, again great faults being of prime importance in this drainage performance. The south anticlinal limb shows a rather diffused underground drainage pattern towards an extended front along Saronikos and Korinthian gulfs. A hydraulic relationship with the overlying porous system in low altitudes should be considered as a common situation. Due to a generally high slope gradient of the impermeable substratum in West Attica, circulation of water towards the saturation zone, formed approximately at sea level, is comparatively rapid and the mixing process with seawater therefore accentuated both in magnitude and areal extent. Water table in the saturation zone during dry season is formed at an average maximum of 10m above sea level, and depths to it are commonly between 200m and 300m, allowance being made for topography, sea contamination and other technical and economic constraints.

In East Attica the main fissure aquifer is represented by the lower marble although other marble members in the lithological sequence are equally important, if not intruded by sea. Water table in lower marble is formed at an average maximum elevation of 5m above sea level in dry season.
higher elevations attained locally for tectonic mainly reasons. Common depths to the saturation zone
are between 100m and 230m, allowances made for the various constraints and the mean annual fluc-
tuation is of 5m. The upper marble horizon of the lithologic sequence and other marble units show
a saturation zone at higher elevations too, depended on the position of their impermeable schist sub-
stratum. In that case maximum depths are commonly under 150m. Final receptor of flows in mar-
ble aquifers is the sea, either directly or through some porous medium hydraulically placed in
between. (Fig. 1, Kounis, 1998, 1986).

2.2 Infiltration

We studied two jurassic – triassic and one cretaceous fissured and moderately karstic, carbonate
masses, with very well defined geologic boundaries on the Parnis Mt. All three have an
aquiclude/aquitard substratum, the palaeozoic stratigraphically the first two, the flysch and tecton-
ically the third. Also all are discharging their annual replenishment through contact springs (natural
lysimeters). These masses belong to the “ Subpelagonic geotectonic zone ” of Greece ( or “ Eastern
Greece geotectonic zone ” and crop out at elevations in between 680m and 1163m above msl.

Data on springs discharge used are of six years (1976 – 1982) and are highly accurate. Of the same
period are the data on precipitation taken from raingauge measurements, at the altitude of 1020m.asl.
The raingauge site is in the near vicinity and on very close elevation to those of the masses studied,
while it is also representative of the overall climatic conditions of the area of interest ( Mariolopou-
los et al. 1955). The rest of the minor, influencing factors are the same (orientation, relief and incli-
nation, vegetation etc), with the weathering mantle being moderate or thick and sporadic. Additionally,
no other input and output except of that of precipitation and springs discharge respectively exists.

In table 1 the necessary data are given. From that, the very close results, as a calculated infiltration
index (Ic), are revealed. A slight decrease of the index in the case of Platy Vouno and Borsi, in rela-
tion to that from Aghia Triada mass, is recognized, and as other geological factors are practically the
same, we attribute the fact to the increased faulting that has brought about an increased fracturing, and
preparation of surface karstification ( erosional depression and doline forms), to produce and sustain
soil and vegetation cover.

The resulted average infiltration index is 0.397, ie the infiltration of the water in the studied masses
is the 39.7% of the precipitation on those masses. We calculate the infiltration index (Ic) as the ratio
of the annual discharge (Qy) of the springs of a specified rock mass over the respective annual pre-
cipitation volume fallen on the area of the same mass.

The figure found we accept as a very accurate for further use in hydrogeological and natural water
balance calculations. In addition, we suggest this as very representative for fissure flow carbonate
aquifers, with moderately pronounced the surface karstic attack and forms, and more specifically for
those of the “Eastern Greece geotectonic zone ”. And this is mostly the case of the cretaceous and
jurassic ,limestones, to a less extent of the triassic carbonates as well. Dolomitic component, espe-
ially if in abundance in the composition , as it is the case with the jurassic and particularly the tri-
assic, reduces the infiltration index , sometimes considerably, in favour of the runoff for the decreased
dissolubility and widening of the receiving the infiltration fissure network . Unless, and rarely,
 favourable but linear depleted depression forms have resulted from a strong faulting, without worth-
while soil and vegetation cover .

Finally, we think that values of the Ic appearing in various studies greater enough of those shown in
the Table 1, either come out by the use of indirect methods of estimation, not fully applicable under
the specified conditions of study or by an underestimated evapotranspiration in the natural water balance approach (Kounis et al., 2005).

2.3. Effective porosity

It is known as the ratio ($p_e$) of the volume of the gravitational water contained, at saturation state, in a rock mass over the total volume of that mass (rock and water). It is the specific yield of the unconfined aquifers (Todd, 1959).

We made use of the groundwater hydrograph, from boreholes sunk in carbonate aquifer (limestones, dolomitic limestones and dolomites) of the "Eastern Greece geotectonic zone", in Attica. From such graphs we measured the annual ground water fluctuation ($\Delta H$) and from the recession curve the annual recession ($Re$), so that all height of infiltrating water in the aquifer is taken into account, as in the condition supposed that no groundwater outflow from the aquifer takes place. This total height, resulting from the annual difference between maximum and minimum GW level fluctuation plus the annual component of the height lost by the ground water flow, is the real quantity, the most reasonable and corresponding to the infiltration, and the method approaches very well the value for the effective porosity or the specific yield of the aquifer.

---

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feeding mass</th>
<th>Springs</th>
<th>Precipitation</th>
<th>Qy/Vp %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Elevat.n masl</td>
<td>Area km²</td>
<td>Rel. no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platy Vouno</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>1 Roumani</td>
<td>19.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>820</td>
<td>2 Roumani</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 Kynigon</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Goura</td>
<td>53.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>areal figure</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aghia Triada</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>5 Ag.Triada</td>
<td>13.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>areal figure</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>6 Dhasikon</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 Palaeochoriou</td>
<td>26.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borsi</td>
<td>8902</td>
<td>8 Ano Borsi</td>
<td>13.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>680</td>
<td>9 Balkeva</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Mavromytou</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elevat.n masl</th>
<th>Area km²</th>
<th>Rel. no</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Discharge Q, m³/h</th>
<th>Qy, m³/y</th>
<th>Height P,m</th>
<th>Volume Vp,m³/year</th>
<th>Ic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platy Vouno</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>1 Roumani</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>820</td>
<td>2 Roumani</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 Kynigon</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Goura</td>
<td></td>
<td>53.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>areal figure</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aghia Triada</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>5 Ag.Triada</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>areal figure</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>6 Dhasikon</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 Palaeochoriou</td>
<td>26.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borsi</td>
<td>8902</td>
<td>8 Ano Borsi</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>680</td>
<td>9 Balkeva</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Mavromytou</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The calculation of the height (GW column) lost by recession was carried out in a few segments of the recession curve, as indicatively in the Table 2 is shown.

The recession curve, which is of the, widely used, general form of

$$ht = h_0 e^{-\lambda t}$$

(1)

where $h_t$, $h_0$, $\lambda$, $e$ stand for GW level at time $t$, at time 0, recession coefficient and the neperian base of logarithms respectively of the GW hydrograph, is segmented, for linear/graphical solution, in few parts and is calculated at specified $h_t$ the slope $\Delta h/\Delta t$. Slopes found for the corresponding $\Delta ts$ are summed up and mean value is taken. Time is given in days and recession loss of GW level is determined for the specified year. The found annual recession loss of GW level (Re, in m) is added to the respective fluctuation width. Finally, the effective porosity is estimated by the ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BH1</th>
<th>BH2</th>
<th>BH3</th>
<th>BH4</th>
<th>BH5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H (m)</td>
<td>Re (m/day)</td>
<td>H (m)</td>
<td>Re (m/day)</td>
<td>H (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>0.00571</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>0.0413</td>
<td>9.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>0.0118</td>
<td>8.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>0.00296</td>
<td>8.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΣRe</td>
<td>0.0333</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.05148</td>
<td>0.0738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0111</td>
<td>0.0186</td>
<td>0.01285</td>
<td>0.01476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reγ (m)</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>6.789</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>5.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔH (m)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reγ+ΔH</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>8.199</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>7.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (m)</td>
<td>0.2382</td>
<td>0.2382</td>
<td>0.2382</td>
<td>0.2382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (%)</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where for the infiltration, in meters, we made use of the infiltration index we calculated for the same fissured carbonate aquifers of the area (2.2 above) and of the average precipitation, which is 600mm at the average elevation of 500m.asl for the area studied. Data on the GW level are of 1982 which is a hydrological year of the time period considered in the calculation of infiltration of the paragraph 2.2.

In the Table 2 are BH3 the borehole, H the GW level elevation, from points on the recession curve of the GW hydrograph, Re = Δh/Δt the recession of GW level, ΣRe the sum of daily recession, the mean daily recession, Re, the annual recession, ΔH the annual GW fluctuation (hmax – hmin), I the annual infiltration, pe the effective porosity( specific yield).

2.4 Transmissibility

Transmissibility values (T) come out of the Jacob approach in unsteady state conditions of pumping tests and are by 93% less than 55m³/h.m, while by 43% are less than 11m³/h.m. Dolomites show the lowest values, even along fault routes, since no worthwhile improvement of the hydraulic performance of the indissoluble fissure network has been brought about. In this case values are of few m³/h.m or less (e.g sites 1,2,3,4,15,16, Fig.1) (Kounis et al 1983, Kounis 2008).

The higher values are associated with the dissoluble limestones and calcareous marbles, while hydraulic improvement of their fissure network and an increased karstification along extension preferably faults is the fact (Fig.1, sites 6,7,9,11,13). In this case transmissibility values are mostly in between 10 m³/h.m and 50 m³/h.m.

In sites where schist intercalations are frequently present, or some schist boundary is nearby, sufficient reasons for a bad hydraulic performance of the fissure network and even for a hydraulic blockade, the transmissibility is very low to practically extremely low (e.g sites 12,17,18, Fig.1). Where carbonates show a densely present fissuring and we attribute the case mostly in a brecciated mass the performance is highly improved, the rest of the favourable factors being present. In this case transmissibility is even greater of 100m³/h.m.

2.5 Critical yield

In the hydrogeological decision making the critical yield (or critical discharge, Qc) of an abstraction well is a useful quantity. Under steady state conditions of flow, it refers to the discharge of the well that divides the set of the pairs of values of the discharge (Q) and the respective drawdown (s) into two domains; the one below the critical yield where drawdown is practically small and increases quite proportionally (linearly) with the increase of discharge, the other, greater of the critical value, where drawdown is large and goes disproportionally (non-linearly) with the increase of discharge.

On an arithmetic graph of Q vs. s the Qc value corresponds to the point of maximum curvature of the curve, and is approximately determined. To precisely find that point, we introduced the transformation logQ vs. logs, i.e a plot on log – log paper. The above defined domains are shown in this transformation by straight lines of which the intersection point corresponds to the critical yield (Kruseman et al. 1976).

The transformation comes out from the Dupuit formulae, for a steady state radial flow in a full penetrating well,

\[ Q = \frac{2\pi kb(H-h)}{ln(R/r)} \quad (\text{confined aquifers}) \]
\[ Q = \frac{\pi k(H-h)(H+h)}{ln(R/r)} \quad (\text{unconfined aquifers}) \]
where Q, k, b, H, h, R, r stand for well discharge, permeability of the aquifer, thickness of confined aquifer, GW height at distance R from pumped well, GW height in the well, radius of influence of pumped well, well radius respectively, and \( \pi = 3.14 \), H-h =s the drawdown of the piezometric surface or of the GW level (Bogomolov 1965, Denisov 1957).

The logQ vs. logs transformation to exploit a graphical description and solution is apparent. In Fig. 2 are indicatively given some “characteristic curves” of boreholes to show the precise critical yield. Shown also is the line of practically nil well losses, and only of aquifers losses, totally expressed by s, that is the line for

\[ Q = c \cdot s \]  

with c a constant, incorporating all constant quantities of the previous formulae. Yields with no well losses and hence with no energy consumption for them, are very low, as the log- curves of Fig. 2 suggest. However, in groundwater exploitation and management the critical yield, as previously defined, is with no doubt preferred, if economic, quality and other constraints allow it. The higher values are offered by carbonate aquifers free of dolomite component, schists intercalations and alike boundaries. If brecciated the aquifer, critical yield is improved.

3. Conclusions

- For the natural water balance assessment and studies of fissure/karstic flow carbonate aquifers of the “Eastern Greece geotectonic zone “ and of alike formations, an infiltration of approximately 0.4 of the respective precipitation is likely to be the proper figure.
- In same hydro lithology the pertinent specific yield (effective porosity ) is in between 0.015 and 0.043.
- The transmissibility values that are mostly expected are in between 10m³/h.m and 75m³/h.m.
- While critical yield of boreholes is most likely to exist in the range of values from 15 m³/h to 75 m³/h

**Fig. 2:** Indicative curves Q vs. s in log – log transformation to precisely appear the critical yield, as the intersection of the straight segments.
• Whatever the aquifer is the log Q vs. log s transformation offers the precise critical yield of an abstraction well and should be preferred in decisions, while also the annual recession loss from a well hydrograph has to be added in the annual GW level fluctuation to precisely determine specific yield in water balance studies.
• Consequently the hydrogeologist who will be on duty to preliminarily decide on the capabilities of specified hydrogeological sections, abstraction sites or catchments will be safely supported by the above quantities. These will also constructively serve comparative and detailed hydrogeological studies.
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