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Abstract

A correlation between the non-dilatational friction angle ($\phi_m$) of rock discontinuities and the constant $m_i$ of the Hoek and Brown criterion for intact rock is investigated, using the results of a focus oriented laboratory program. The program consisted of two types of laboratory tests: a series of triaxial compression tests on intact rock samples for the determination of the constant $m_i$ and an independent series of direct shear tests on tensile fractures of the same rock types for the determination of the rock joint friction angle $\phi_m$. Four typical rock types from Northern Greece were used: a granite, a sandstone, a limestone and a marble, covering a range of $m_i$ between 8 and 34, and an unconfined compressive strength between 60 and 120 MPa. Apart from the certain range of parameters that is presented for this specific rocks, the experimental results show that the non-dilatational friction angle of the rock fracture determined by direct shear testing ($\phi_m$) decreases logarithmically with the value of the constant $m_i$.
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Περίληψη

Στην παρούσα εργασία διερεύνηται η συσχέτιση μεταξύ της μη-διαστολικής γωνίας τριβής ($\phi_m$) ασυνεχειών και της σταθεράς $m_i$ του κριτηρίου Hoek and Brown για τον άρρητο βράχο, με τη χρήση των αποτελεσμάτων ενός προσανατολισμένου προγράμματος εργαστηριακών δοκιμών. Το πρόγραμμα περιλαμβάνει δύο τύπους εργαστηριακών δοκιμών: μια σειρά από δοκιμές τριαξονικής θλίψης σε ακέραια δείγματα πετρώματων για τον προσδιορισμό του $m_i$ και μια ανεξάρτητη σειρά δοκιμών για την καταγραφή της γωνίας διάτμησης ασυνεχειών, που προέκυψε από εργαστηριακές δοκιμές τριαξονικής θλίψης. Εκτός από τους παραπάνω παραδείγματα, οι παρατηρήσεις δείχνουν ότι η μη-διαστολική γωνία τριβής της ασυνέχειας βράχου που προσδιορίζεται από τη δοκιμή άμεσης διάτμησης ($\phi_m$) μειώνεται λογαριθμικά με την τιμή της σταθεράς $m_i$. 
1. Introduction

The Hoek-Brown (H-B) failure criterion is used extensively in practice to describe the mechanical behavior of an intact rock and a rock mass (Hoek and Brown, 1980, 1970) (Hoek et al., 1992, 2002). In this criterion, the \( m_i \) constant is an important factor which defines the slope of the initial portion of \( \sigma_1-\sigma_3 \) curve. This parameter is very approximately analogous to the angle of friction, \( \phi \), of the conventional Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion (Hoek, 1983). The estimation of \( m_i \) is based on triaxial tests under confining pressures in the range \( 0-0.5\sigma_c \), where \( \sigma_u \) is the unconfined compressive strength. In the absence of such tests, its value is estimated from a table of values provided by Hoek-Brown depending on rock type (Hoek and Marinos, 2000). From this table it can be concluded that the value of \( m_i \) is higher for silicate rocks (granites and sandstones) than for carbonate ones (limestones and marbles).

On the other hand, there is adequate evidence that the friction angle \( (\phi_m) \) of flat rock surfaces resulted from sand-blasted, rough-sawn and residual surfaces is higher for carbonate rocks than for silicate rocks (for example see Barton, 1976). Based on this remark, an investigation of the possible correlation between the friction angle of rock joints and the \( m_i \) constant is hereby presented.

The determination of the parameter \( m_i \) Hoek (1983) requires a data acquisition process from triaxial tests in the range \( 0\leq\sigma_3\leq0.5\sigma_c \), as well as, data from tensile tests to anchor the envelope. The correct adjustment of the envelope and the determination of \( m_i \) constant requires at least five equally spaced triaxial data points covering a confining pressure range from 0 to 0.5\( \sigma_c \). The nonlinear failure criterion by Hoek et al. (2002) in the generalized format is given by equation 1.

\[
\sigma_1 = \sigma_3 + \sigma_u \left( \frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_u} + 1 \right)^a
\]

where

- \( \sigma_1 \) is the major principal stress,
- \( \sigma_3 \) is the minor principal stress,
- \( \sigma_u \) is the uniaxial compressive strength of the intact rock material,
- \( m_i \) is constant which depends on the texture and internal structure of the rock,
- \( a \) is a constant which controls the curvature of the envelope and depends on the properties of the rock.

The determination of the friction angle of the rock wall material \( (\phi_m) \) was based on the Papaliangas’ criterion (Papaliangas, 1995). For each shear test the measured peak shear strength is analyzed in two components of shear strength: a) The dilational (geometrical) component, which arises from overriding of asperities at an angle determined by the slope of the asperities. b) The non-dilational component, which arises from the shearing resistance rock contacts. The peak shear strength criterion used for the analysis of the experimental results is given by the following expression:

\[
\tau_p = \sigma_n \tan(\phi_m + \psi)
\]

Equation 1: Hoek and Brown criterion for intact rock

Equation 2: Peak shear strength of rock joints
Equation 3: Dilation angle of rock joints

\[ \tan \psi = \tan \psi_0 \cdot \log_{10} \frac{\sigma_{nT}}{\sigma_n} / \log_{10} \frac{\sigma_{nT}}{\sigma_{no}} \]  

Where:
- \( \tau_p \) is the peak shear stress
- \( \sigma_n \) is the normal stress
- \( \phi_p \) is the peak friction angle of the rock joint
- \( \phi_m \) is the friction angle of the rock wall material under high normal stress and
- \( \psi \) is the instantaneous dilation angle corresponding to the peak shear stress.
- \( \psi_0 \) is the maximum dilation angle which is approximately equal to maximum asperity slope angle
- \( \sigma_{no} \) is the normal stress under no-damage normal stress
- \( \sigma_{nT} \) is the normal stress where the dilation is fully suppressed

The friction angle \( \phi_m \) is different from the “basic friction angle” (Barton, 1971), and its relevance to the field shear strength of rock surfaces has been demonstrated elsewhere (Papaliangas et al., 1996, 1997). The non-dilational component of shear strength is for an effectively planar yet naturally textured surface and, for design, it can be used with a low shear strength factor of safety as a lower bound (Hencer, 1995).

2. Laboratory test results

The testing program consisted of a series of laboratory direct shear tests on artificially generated joints and a series of triaxial compression tests of intact rock specimens in marble, sandstone, limestone and granite. Each rock type was from a different site of Northern Greece. The Kavala marble and Demati (Ioannina) sandstone were supplied by a local stone supplier, limestone was sampled from the quarry of Lafarge Beton at Messaio village, Kilkis and granite was sampled from the area of Arnaia, Chalkidiki. It is important to mention that, for each rock type, the specimens used for the direct shear tests and the triaxial compression tests were prepared from the same block. All laboratory tests were conducted at the Laboratory of Geomechanics of the Department of Civil Engineering TE of the Alexander Technological Educational Institute of Thessaloniki.

2.1 Direct shear tests

Four sets of samples were used. One set of each rock type (marble, sandstone, limestone, granite) comprises 6 samples of artificial surfaces generated by fracturing of larger samples subjected to bending tests till failure. The resulted surfaces represent the highest degree of surface roughness since they resulted from tensile failure. Each sample was subjected to multistage direct shear testing (6 different normal stresses, including one under their self weight which represents a normal stress of approximately 5 kPa). At the end of each stage, the sample was unloaded, the surfaces were examined and photographed and the loose debris was removed. The sample was then reset at its original position prior to changing the normal load for the next stage. The resulting total number of direct shear tests was 138.

All samples having a length 8-12 cm, were first tested under their self weight (negligible surface damage), so that the maximum dilation angle \( \psi_0 \) could be determined. This is considered to represent the maximum asperity angle of the sample. Following this “zero normal load” test, the samples were subjected to shearing under higher normal stresses up to a maximum of 2.0 MPa for the joints. All tests
were performed using a purpose-built direct shear box, capable of accommodating samples as long as 1.20 m and applying a maximum shear load of 300 kN and a maximum normal load of 250 kN.

For each data pair of shear (τ) and normal (σ) stress the non-dilational shear and normal stress (τ₁ and σ₁) was determined using the instantaneous dilation angle (ψ). These stresses represent shearing along a plane surface with natural texture, i.e. shearing without dilation (in other words shearing under constant volume conditions). This method is presented by Hencher and Richards (1989) and is based on the assumption that shearing of a dilating joint is equivalent to a shear movement along an inclined plane, having a slope angle equal to the instantaneous dilation angle. Analysis of stresses along the inclined plane (τ₁) and its perpendicular direction (σ₁) results in the following relations:

\[
\tau_1 = \tau \cos \psi - \sigma \sin \psi \cos \psi
\]  

Figure 1 - Results of direct shear tests on rock joints showing the non-dilational friction line for the investigated rocks.

**Equation 4:** Shear stress acting on the inclined plane

\[
\tau_1 = \tau \cos \psi - \sigma \sin \psi \cos \psi
\]
Equation 5: Normal stress acting on the inclined plane

\[ \sigma_1 = (\sigma \cos \psi + \tau \sin \psi) \cos \psi \]  

(5)

The results presented as shear strength \( \tau_1 \) vs. normal stress \( \sigma_1 \) diagrams for all the investigated rock types are presented in Figure 1.

1.2. Triaxial compression tests

The triaxial compression testing program included at least eight specimens per rock type. More specifically, ten triaxial and one uniaxial for Arnaia granite, ten triaxial and two uniaxial, for Kavala marble nine triaxial and two uniaxial for Demati sandstone and eleven triaxial and one uniaxial compressive test for Messaio limestone. The total number of triaxial compression tests was 42 including 4 uniaxial compression tests. The specimens were cylindrical, with a diameter of 54cm and a ratio diameter/height of 1:2. The confining pressure used for all rock types were in the range 0 to 70 MPa, however only the range between 0 and 0.5\( \sigma_{ci} \) was used to determine the value of \( m_i \). All tests were carried out according to E103 - 84(6) and ASTM D7012 - 14A.

The results presented as axial strength \( \sigma_1 \) vs. confining pressure \( \sigma_3 \) diagrams for all rock types are presented in Figure 2, with the Hoek-Brown fit curve and parameters \( \sigma_{ci} \) and \( m_i \) shown on each diagram. The values of \( m_i \) were all within the ranges suggested by Marinos and Hoek (2000) except for the Mesaio limestone that was found to be marginally above the upper limit (15.9 vs. 15).

3. Correlation between friction angle and constant \( m_i \)

The values of the non-dilational friction angle and the constant \( m_i \) of the Hoek-Brown criterion for intact rock are given Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Kavala marble</th>
<th>Messaio limestone</th>
<th>Demati sandstone</th>
<th>Arnaia granite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \varphi_m )</td>
<td>39.0°</td>
<td>37.2°</td>
<td>34.3°</td>
<td>35.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_i )</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The correlation between the two parameters in graphical form is presented in Fig.3. A logarithmic curve described by Equation 6 has been found to fit the data satisfactorily.

Equation 6: Relation \( \varphi_m - m_i \)

\[ \varphi_m = -2.79lnm_i + 44.44 \]  

(6)

The friction angle \( \varphi_m \) appears to be independent of the normal stress and decreases from about 39° for the carbonates Kavala marble and Messaio limestone (\( m_i=8.9 \) and 15.9 respectively), to about 35° for the silicates Arnaia granite and Demati sandstone (\( m_i=34.0 \) and 18.9). The higher values of \( \varphi_m \) observed for the carbonates of this study as compared to those of silicates are in line with the values reported by Barton (1976) for the same rock types and are attributed to the difference in the friction angle of the corresponding rock-forming minerals. From the values of mineral friction reported by Horn and Deere (1962), it is clear that the friction angle of calcite, the mineral constituent of marble and limestone, is clearly higher than that of quartz and feldspar, the main constituents of granite and sandstone.
The value of $m_i$ can be determined if $\phi_m$ is known by Equation 7.

Figure 2 - Axial strength vs. confining pressure diagrams for the four investigated rocks.
Figure 3 - Correlation between the friction angle of rock joints and the constant $m_i$ of the Hoek-Brown criterion.

Equation 7: Relation $m_i - \phi_m$

$$m_i = e^{-\frac{44.44 - \phi_m}{2.79}}$$

4. Conclusions

The aim of this paper was to investigate experimentally the relation between the friction angle of rock surfaces determined by direct shear testing and the constant $m_i$ of the Hoek and Brown criterion for intact rock. Four different rock types were used in two types of laboratory tests: a series of triaxial compression tests on intact rock samples for the determination of the constant $m_i$ and an independent series of direct shear tests on tensile fractures of the same rock types for the determination of the rock joint friction angle $\phi_m$.

The laboratory tests suggest that the constant $m_i$ of the Hoek and Brown criterion increases logarithmically with decreasing friction angle of the rock wall material ($\phi_m$). The relation can be used to estimate the constant $m_i$ from the angle $\phi_m$, which is determined from direct shear testing of discontinuities and vice-versa. However, the main utility of the method is the indirect determination of the constant $m_i$ using a series of direct shear tests on discontinuities from the same rock, when suitable triaxial test results are not available. It should be noted that the correct determination of $m_i$ constant requires at least five cylindrical specimens for the production of five equally spaced triaxial data points covering a confining pressure range from 0 to 0.5$\sigma_{ci}$. On the other hand, for the determination of $\phi_m$, a multi-stage direct shear test on a single rock joint sample of any shape tested under three different normal stresses is acceptable (ISRM, 2014).

Another important contribution of this method is the estimation of $m_i$ for weathered rocks. Direct shear test on weathered rock joints can be carried out without any experimental difficulty, whereas cylindrical specimens for triaxial testing require coring from weathered rock pieces, which is quite difficult. Therefore, the decrease in the value of $m_i$ for a weathered intact rock sample can be estimated by this method.
The relation between the two parameters described earlier resulted from only four different rocks types which covered the range of constant \( m \) between 8 and 34. Consequently, the equation gives only a trend and not an accurate estimate of the constant \( m \), from \( \varphi_m \). To establish a more accurate expression, a number of additional different rocks types needs to be used. Moreover, for further detailed investigation of the correlation, specimens subjected to triaxial compressive tests can be used afterwards for direct shear testing.
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