

UNDERSTANDING THE PHYSICS OF KAPPA (κ_0): INSIGHTS FROM THE EUROSEISTEST NETWORK

Ktenidou O.-J.¹, Abrahamson N.², Drouet S.³ and Cotton F.¹

¹Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, 14467, Potsdam, Germany, olga.ktenidou@gmail.com, fcotton@gfz-potsdam.de

²University of California, Berkeley, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Berkeley, CA, USA, abrahamson@berkeley.edu

³Observatório Nacional, São Cristóvão, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, st.drouet@gmail.com

Abstract

In this study we estimate the spectral decay factor κ_0 for the EUROSEISTEST array. Site conditions range from soft sediments to hard rock over 14 surface and 6 downhole accelerographs. First, we separate local and regional high-frequency attenuation and measure κ_0 . Second, we use the existing knowledge of the geological profile and material properties to correlate κ_0 with different site characterisation parameters (V_{s30} , resonant frequency, depth-to-bedrock). Third, we use our results to improve our physical understanding of κ_0 . We propose a conceptual model comprising two new notions. On the one hand, we observe that κ_0 stabilises for high V_s values; this may indicate the existence of regional values for hard rock κ_0 . If so, we propose that borehole measurements may be useful in determining them. On the other hand, we find that material damping may not suffice to account for the total measured attenuation. We propose that, apart from damping, additional site attenuation may be caused by scattering from small-scale profile variability. If this is so, then geotechnical damping measurements may not suffice to infer overall crustal attenuation under a site; but starting with a regional (borehole) value and adding damping, we might define a lower bound for site-specific κ_0 .

Keywords: high frequencies, attenuation, downhole array, strong ground motion.

Περίληψη

Στην παρούσα μελέτη υπολογίζουμε την παράμετρο απόσβεσης στις υψηλές συχνότητες, κ_0 , για το δίκτυο EUROSEISTEST. Οι εδαφικές συνθήκες στους 14 επιφανειακούς και 6 υπόγειους επιταχυνσιογράφους κυμαίνονται από μαλακές αποθέσεις έως σκληρό βράχο. Πρώτα διαχωρίζουμε την τοπική από την περιφερειακή απόσβεση και υπολογίζουμε το κ_0 . Έπειτα, χρησιμοποιούμε την υπάρχουσα γνώση του εδαφικού προφίλ και των δυναμικών εδαφικών ιδιοτήτων για να συσχετίσουμε το κ_0 με διάφορες γεωτεχνικές παραμέτρους (V_{s30} , συχνότητα συντονισμού, βάθος έως το βραχώδες κατόβαθρο). Τέλος, χρησιμοποιούμε τα αποτελέσματά μας για να βελτιώσουμε τη φυσική κατανόηση του κ_0 . Προτείνουμε ένα μοντέλο που περιλαμβάνει δύο καινοτόμες ιδέες. Αφ' ενός, παρατηρούμε πως οι τιμές του κ_0 σταθεροποιούνται για υψηλές τιμές V_s , κάτι που πιθανώς σημαίνει πως οι τιμές κ_0 συγκλίνουν ανά περιοχή για σκληρό βράχο. Σε αυτήν την περίπτωση, προτείνουμε τη χρήση δεδομένων από όργανα εντός γεωτρήσεων για τον υπολογισμό τους. Αφ' ετέρου, παρατηρούμε πως η εδαφική απόσβεση δεν επαρκεί για να περιγράψει τη συνολική μετρηθείσα απόσβεση. Προτείνουμε την ύπαρξη

μιας επιπλέον πηγής απόσβεσης πέραν της εδαφικής: την απόσβεση διασποράς που οφείλεται στις μικρής κλίμακας ετερογένειες του εδαφικού προφίλ. Σε αυτήν την περίπτωση, οι γεωτεχνικές μετρήσεις της απόσβεσης των υλικών ενδέχεται να μην επαρκούν για την εκτίμηση της συνολικής τοπικής απόσβεσης. Ξεκινώντας όμως από μια εκτίμηση της απόσβεσης της περιοχής (από γεώτρηση), και προσθέτοντας την απόσβεση του υλικού, μπορεί κανείς να προσδιορίσει μία ελάχιστη τιμή για το κ_0 .
Λέξεις κλειδιά: υψηλές συχνότητες, απόσβεση, κατακόρυφο δίκτυο, ισχυρή εδαφική κίνηση.

1. Introduction

At high frequencies, the acceleration spectral amplitude decreases rapidly; this has been modelled with the spectral decay factor κ (Anderson and Hough, 1984). Its site component, κ_0 , is used widely today in ground motion prediction and simulation, and numerous approaches have been proposed to compute it (Ktenidou *et al.*, 2014). Above a given frequency, the amplitude of the Fourier acceleration spectrum (FAS) decays linearly if plotted in linear-logarithmic space. κ is then related to the slope of this line as follows:

$$\kappa_r = -\lambda/\pi \quad (1)$$

Measured κ_r values at a given station scale with distance. The zero-distance intercept of the κ trend with distance (denoted κ_0) corresponds to the attenuation that S waves encounter when travelling vertically through the geological structure beneath the station. The distance dependence corresponds to the incremental attenuation due to predominantly horizontal S-wave propagation through the crust. As a first approximation, the distance dependence may be considered linear and denoted by κ_R , so that the overall κ can be written as follows, in units of time:

$$\kappa_r = \kappa_0 + \kappa_R \cdot R \quad (2)$$

We choose a site marked by complex surface geology, where records are available from a variety of geological conditions ranging from soft soil to hard rock, and where the geometry and dynamic properties of the formations are well known through extensive geotechnical and geological surveys. This will allow us to perform three tasks: 1. Estimate κ_0 at stations of varying site conditions. 2. Correlate our κ_0 estimates with parameters used in site characterisation (Vs30, depth to bedrock, resonant frequency). 3. Use results to better understand the physics of κ and κ_0 , particularly with respect to its relation with damping and its values for hard rock.

2. Study area and data

The area under study is the Mygdonia basin (Northern Greece), an elongated graben between lake Langada and lake Volvi bound by active normal faults. Over the past two decades, the area has undergone extensive studies in terms of geological structure and soil properties as well as seismic site response; see e.g. Manakou (2007) and references therein. A permanent accelerometric network named EUROSEISTEST (Pitilakis *et al.*, 2013; <http://euroseis.civil.auth.gr>) has been installed around the basin centre, comprising 14 surface and 6 downhole receivers. The surface layout of the array has the shape of a cross, extending in two directions, perpendicular and parallel to the basin axis (Figure 1). The stations have been installed in different formations to sample ground motion in various geological conditions (Figure 2). They range from very soft, deep valley deposits (TST-000 station at the valley centre) to weathered rock outcrop (PRO-000 and STE stations on the neighbouring hills) and very hard rock (PRO-033 and TST-196 downhole stations).

We use a dataset of 84 earthquakes, recorded by the surface and downhole stations of the permanent network over 13 years. Their moment magnitudes range from 2 to 6.5, with distances out to 150 km. All events are crustal, with depths down to 15km (Figure 3).




Figure 1 – Layout of the EUROSEISTEST array – plan view (adapted from Manakou *et al.*, 2010).




Figure 2 – Layout of the EUROSEISTEST array - cross-section (adapted from Pitilakis *et al.*, 1999).




Figure 3 – Moment magnitude and depth of events versus epicentral distance.

3. Kappa estimation

We apply the classical approach after the definition of Anderson and Hough (1984), now called the ‘acceleration spectrum’ (AS). We measure κ on the acceleration spectrum of individual records at various distances from the site, and then extrapolate to zero-distance to derive the site κ_0 . We compute the Fourier amplitude spectrum for the S-window and pick frequencies f_1 and f_2 between which the spectral acceleration amplitude decreases linearly in lin-log space. We follow the steps proposed in Ktenidou *et al.* (2013), and for a more detailed description of the procedure the reader is referred to that work.

Figure 4 shows the picking of f_1 and f_2 and the computation of κR for an earthquake recorded at all stations of the TST borehole. The results are shown with depth, starting from TST-000, the centre of the basin where $V_{s30}=175$ m/s, down to TST-196, the downhole bedrock station where $V_{s30}>1500$ m/s (see Figure 1). As expected, the computed κR values differ greatly, with κR at depth being less than half the surface κR . We now have pairs of values for κR and distance for all records (Figure 5). κR values are correlated with the site conditions; data from station TST-000 (blue points) lie above data from TST-196 (red points); however, the scatter is large. There is also an increase of κR with epicentral distance after 15 km. We compute a common κR (see eq. 2) using data from all the stations together, and then estimate of κ_0 separately for each individual station, given their different site conditions. The regression results are shown in Figure 5 for stations TST-000 and TST-196.

Our regression yielded a value of $\kappa R \sim 0.00048$ s/km in the frequency range about 15-35 Hz. Assuming an average crustal shear wave velocity of $\beta=3.5$ km/s, this corresponds to a frequency-independent regional Q of 590. This is a relatively low value, especially at such high frequencies.

4. Correlation with site characterisation parameters

In this section we make use of the extensive geological, geophysical, and geotechnical studies already conducted at EUROSEISTEST to correlate κ_0 with the main parameters used in site characterisation and response. Often, when there is not enough data to measure κ_0 , empirical correlations are used to infer it. These are made primarily with V_{s30} , such as those introduced by Silva *et al.* (1998). In Figure 6 (top) we see a positive correlation with a coefficient of $R^2=47\%$. If we did not include downhole data, the correlation would decrease to $R^2=25\%$. Most existing correlations with V_{s30} have even lower coefficients. Given the lack of hard rock surface stations, we propose that downhole data could provide valuable information for κ_0 at higher V_s values.

However, it is evident that there is a large scatter in κ_0 values. We now look at the correlation of κ_0 with f_{res} and H_{bed} (depth to bedrock, where by bedrock we mean formations G/G* of Figure 2), in Figure 6 (bottom). The correlation coefficients are again of the order of 40-50%. This indicates that κ_0 is also correlated with the deeper structure to a similar degree as with V_{s30} . This is expected, since it is considered to relate to several hundreds of meters beneath a site. We then propose that

correlations with indices of deeper geology can be used to complement the classical correlations with V_{s30} .




Figure 4 – a. Example of picking f_1 and f_2 and $\kappa_{r,AS}$ measurement for an event recorded at all stations in the TST borehole. Noise spectrum plotted in grey, S-window in black, κ fit in red. **b.** The time histories of the records. **c.** The distribution of measured $\kappa_{r,AS}$ values (± 1 standard error) with sensor depth.




Figure 5 – a. Individual κ_r , AS measurements with distance for TST000 (blue), TST-196 (red), and all other stations (black). The lines show the regression results for TST-000 and TST-196, ± 1 standard deviation. b. Individual κ_r , AS measurements out to 35 km, for all stations (black crosses), for TST-000 (blue diamonds), for TST-196 (red circles), and for PRO-033 (red crosses).




Figure 6 – Top: Correlation of κ_0 , AS values with V_{s30} (top), resonant frequency (bottom left) and depth to bedrock (bottom right). Dotted lines indicate limits between EC8 site classes A through D. Downhole values are shown as squares and surface values as circles.




Figure 7 – Left: Existing data and correlations from literature, all suggesting a downward tendency for hard rock. Right: The alternative asymptotic functional form based on data from Euroseistest (red) and Switzerland (blue), following the AS measurement method.

5. A new conceptual model for κ_0

5.1. Regional asymptotic values of κ_0

As seen in Figure 7 (left), there are very little data available in literature for high V_{s30} , and the functional forms proposed are very poorly constrained above 1500 m/s. For very hard rock, the question arises: what is the minimum value of κ_0 ? For the sites in our region, we have shown (Figure 6) the downward trend we observed is mainly due to site classes B and C. If we focus on results on rock alone, our data show no significant decrease of κ_0 beyond $V_{s30}=550$ m/s. So an alternative interpretation to the classic functional form would be that κ_0 first decreases as the material hardens, but then reaches an asymptotic value for rock. This type of interpretation also draws from the observation in Figure 5b, in which the short-distance measurements of κ_r at TST-196 and PRO-033 are indistinguishable, indicating common attenuation properties for the baserock material in the region.

We illustrate this tendency for stabilization at EUROSEISTEST in Figure 7 (right, red). In the same figure (blue) we include results of Edwards *et al.* (2015) for Swiss rock sites, using the same κ approach in roughly the same frequency range (15-30 Hz). In that case too, κ_0 seems to stabilise at high V_{s30} (>1600 m/s). The asymptotic values are about 21ms for Volvi and 12ms for Switzerland. Given the consistency in measurement method and frequency range, we propose that the difference in the high- V_{s30} asymptotic κ_0 values might be a regional characteristic of the rock. Figure 8 shows a conceptual physical model describing this. At rock level, the asymptotic κ_0 value is determined by the nature of the crust in the region (regional structure of the crust, e.g. V_s , Q , fracturation) and regional source characteristics (e.g., the upper frequency limit to the energy emitted by a source, etc.). As sedimentary layers are added to the rock base (i.e., as we move left on the V_s axis), κ_0 increases due to this additional ‘deeper site’ attenuation, probably due to intrinsic damping from the deeper layers. Finally, adding near-surface soil layers to the profile, the additional ‘shallow local’ attenuation leads to the final value of κ_0 measured at the surface, including damping and scattering from the top layers. Moreover, the attenuation in the uppermost layers might be affected by non-linear behaviour under high-level excitations.

5.2. Scattering as a site attenuation mechanism

In the field of exploration seismology, it is well known that wave propagation through fine layering can filter out high frequencies and may increase the apparent attenuation through short period multiples (O’ Doherty and Anstey, 1971), an effect referred to as stratigraphic filtering. However, current discussions on the nature of κ_0 do not explicitly include the contribution of scattering. We start from the definition of κ_0 as travel time along the vertical propagation path in the last few km:




Figure 8 – Example illustration of the possible regionalization of κ_0 and description of the suggested underlying model. We propose an asymptotic κ_0 value for very high V_s , which will depend on the source and regional upper crust (dotted lines). The cartoon (Kramer, 1996) illustrates the contribution of source, path, deeper and shallower site components to κ_0 .

$$t^* = \int_{path} \frac{dr}{v_s(z)Q(z)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{H_i}{v_{si}Q_i} = \kappa_0 \quad (3)$$

At EUROSEISTEST, we know the soil profile, the V_s and soil damping (i.e., shallow Q) values. We have both geotechnical (lab) and geophysical (site) measurements of the damping, which agree well, so that the uncertainty in the shallow Q is less than 50%. Based on the known profile we can examine the relation between damping and κ_0 in our data. We focus on the borehole TST, and start with the measured downhole κ_0 value at 196m (κ_0DH). Then by adding the borehole-to-surface t^* , we predict κ_0 at the surface (κ_0SUR). In Figure 9 we plot $\kappa_0DH=21ms$ at TST-196 as a starting point on the diagonal. Assuming that Q and V_s are constant and frequency-independent in each overlying layer, we add t^* (from equation 31) for each station in between. We predict a mean $\kappa_0SUR=36ms$ at TST-000. The measured surface value is 61 ± 11 s. So moving towards the surface, the starting point does not move along the diagonal (following the arrow), as it would if κ_0 were accounted for entirely by t^* . Instead, it moves to the right, since measured κ_0 is larger than predicted. This discrepancy is $\Delta\kappa_0=25ms$, which is significantly larger than the κ_0 measurement uncertainty. It is also not due to Q measurement errors (see red shaded area). We propose the discrepancy is due to the additional effect of scattering.




Figure 9 – Predicted vs measured κ_0 values for each station in the TST borehole (stations with more than 10 records). For the deepest downhole station the data points start on the diagonal. Nearing the surface, they move away from it, as measured κ_0 becomes larger than predicted. The error bars show uncertainty in κ_0 measurement. The light circles mark the final predicted κ_0 at the surface. $\Delta\kappa_0$ is measured between the measured and predicted surface κ_0 values. The shaded red area represents the epistemic uncertainty in predicted κ_0 due to Q uncertainty, computed for a 50% shift in Q over the entire profile.




Figure 10 – Transfer functions (left) at the surface (TST-000) with respect to the bedrock (TST-196) for 1D simulations performed on the profiles on the right: the 7-layer (blue) and the 20-layer profile (red). The increase in profile complexity leads to an increase in κ_0 , TF.

At TST, the soil profile is very complex, due to numerous thin deposited near-surface layers. The borehole logging shows over 20 geological units, later simplified to produce the model of Figure 2. This important small-scale inhomogeneity of the profile may cause additional high-frequency attenuation through scattering through two mechanisms; multiple reflections of the upgoing waves; and the forward scattering of energy in the time history. This implies that the measured κ_0 SUR is the sum of intrinsic material attenuation and scattering, and that the former is accounted in the predicted κ_0 while the latter may not be. We test our assumption by forward 1D modelling. We

compute the site response of the TST soil column for the 7-layer profile of Figure 2, and for the 20-layer profile of Raptakis *et al.* (1998). We use the reflectivity method to compute the theoretical 1D transfer function between the surface and the bedrock. We measure κ on the transfer functions of the two models and find that by increasing the profile complexity, t^* increases from 12ms to 20ms, i.e. by 8ms (Figure 10). So adding a few layers to the profile has led to additional attenuation with respect to damping, which we believe may be related to wave reflections and scattering. The actual profile could yield higher attenuation, if one considers more layers and more small-scale velocity inversions. We propose that the stratigraphic filtering effect, previously considered only in the exploration context, should also be taken into account in the context of seismic hazard. The possibility that κ_0 comprises a scattering component, which is typically not discussed in hazard studies, should be. If our interpretation stands, it would entail that knowledge of ξ (or Q) for the surface layers may help compute a lower bound for κ_0 , which however may be higher if there is significant small-scale variability causing scattering in the profile.

6. Acknowledgments

Accelerometric, geophysical, and geotechnical data for EUROSEISTEST can be downloaded at: <http://euroseisdb.civil.auth.gr> (last accessed June 2015). The research was funded by EDF (Electricité de France) project SIGMA. Signal processing benefited from SAC2008 (<http://www.iris.edu/software/sac>) and some figures were made using Generic Mapping Tools v. 3.4 (www.soest.hawaii.edu/gmt).

7. References

- Anderson, J.G. and Hough, S.E., 1984. A model for the shape of the fourier amplitude spectrum of acceleration at high frequencies, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 74, 1969-1993.
- Edwards, B., Ktenidou, O.-J., Cotton, F., Fäh, D., Van Houtte, C. and Abrahamson, N.A., 2015. Near-surface attenuation (κ_0) determination at hard rock sites in Switzerland, *Geoph. J. Int.*, in press, doi: 10.1093/gji/ggv222.
- Ktenidou, O.-J., Gelis, C. and Bonilla, F., 2013. A study on the variability of kappa in a borehole. Implications on the computation method used, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 103, 1048-1068.
- Ktenidou, O.-J., Cotton, F., Abrahamson, N. and Anderson, J.G., 2014. Taxonomy of κ (kappa): a review of definitions and estimation methods targeted to applications, *Seismol. Res. Letts*, 85(1), 135-146.
- Manakou, M., 2007. Contribution to the determination of a 3D soil model for site response analysis. The case of the Mygdonian basin. PhD Thesis (in Greek with English abstract), Dept. of Civil Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki. Available in pdf format at: <http://invenio.lib.auth.gr/>.
- Manakou, M.V., Raptakis, D.G., Chavez-Garcia, F.J., Apostolidis, P.I. and Ptilakis, K.D., 2010. 3D soil structure of the Mygdonian basin for site response analysis, *Soil Dyn. Earthq. Eng.*, 30, 1198-1211.
- O'Doherty, R.F. and Anstey, N.A., 1971. Reflections on amplitudes, *Geophys. Prospecting*, 19, 430-458.
- Ptilakis, K., Raptakis, D., Lontzetidis, K., Tika-Vassilikou, Th. and Jongmans, D., 1999. Geotechnical and Geophysical description of EURO-SEISTEST, using field, laboratory tests and moderate strong-motion recordings, *J. Earthq. Eng.*, 3, 381-409.
- Ptilakis, K., Roumelioti, Z., Raptakis, D., Manakou, M., Liakakis, K., Anastasiadis, A. and Ptilakis, D., 2013. The EUROSEISTEST Strong-Motion Database and Web Portal, *Seismol. Res. Letts*, 84, 796-804.
- Raptakis, D., Theodulidis, N. and Ptilakis, K., 1998. Data analysis of the EUROSEISTEST strong motion array in Volvi (Greece): standard and horizontal to vertical spectral ratio techniques, *Earthquake Spectra*, 14, 203-224.
- Silva, W., Darragh, R., Gregor, N., Martin, G., Abrahamson, N. and Kircher, C., 1998. Reassessment of site coefficients and near-fault factors for building code provisions, Technical Report Program Element II: 98-HQGR-1010, Pacific Engineering and Analysis, El Cerrito, USA.