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Abstract

Four samples of bentonite, two of perlite, a kaolin and a pumice sample from five mines of Milos Island, have been investigated for their Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and the mineralogical composition (wt.%), by using the Ammonium Acetate Saturation (AMAS) method and X-Ray Powder Diffraction (XRPD) method, respectively. The bentonite samples contain 68-100 wt.% clay minerals and show CEC values of 35-121 meq/100g, while the kaolin sample contain 41 wt.% clay minerals and show CEC value of 28 meq/100g. The amorphous materials are the main constituent of the perlite samples (73-77 wt.%) and pumice sample (88 wt.%). The CEC values of perlites were 3-4 meq/100g, while the pumice sample showed a CEC value of 73 meq/100g. The CEC of the samples showed positive correlations with the total content of microporous minerals (clay minerals+micas) as well as with the microporous minerals+amorphous content, mainly affected by the clay minerals content.
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Περίληψη

Τέσσερα δείγματα μπεντονίτη, δύο περλίτη, ένα καολίνη και ένα κίσσηρης από πέντε ορυχεία της Νήσου Μήλου, μελετήθηκαν ως προς την ιοντοανταλλακτική τους ικανότητα (ΙΑΙ) και την ορυκτολογική τους σύσταση (% κ.β.) με τις μεθόδους του κορεσμού σε οξικό αμμώνιο και της περιθλασιμετρίας ακτίνων-Χ, αντίστοιχα. Τα δείγματα του μπεντονίτη περιέχουν 68-100% κ.β. αργιλικά ορυκτά και παρουσιάζουν ΙΑΙ 35-121 meq/100g, ενώ το δείγμα του καολίνη περιέχει 41% κ.β. αργιλικά ορυκτά και παρουσιάζει ΙΑΙ 28 meq/100g. Τα άμορφα υλικά αποτελούν το κύριο συστατικό των δειγμάτων του περλίτη (73-77% κ.β.) και του δείγματος της κίσσηρης (88% κ.β.). Οι τιμές της ΙΑΙ των περλιτών είναι 3-4 meq/100g, ενώ το δείγμα της κίσσηρης 73 meq/100g. Η ΙΑΙ των δειγμάτων εμφανίζει θετική συσχέτιση με τις περιεκτικότητες του συνόλου των μικρο-πορώδων ορυκτών (αργιλικά ορυκτά+μαρμαρυγίες), καθώς και με το σύνολο μικρο-πορώδων ορυκτών+άμορφα υλικά, επηρεαζόμενη κυρίως από το ποσοστό των αργιλικών ορυκτών.

Λέξεις κλειδιά: μπεντονίτης, καολίνης, περλίτης, κίσσηρη, ΙΑΙ.
1. Introduction

In Milos Island many mineral deposits (bentonite, kaolin, barite, sulphides, sulphates, alunite, perlite, manganese, obsidian, crystalline and non crystalline silica, diatomite, pozzolana and zeolite) are found. The bentonite, kaolin, perlite, barite, pozzolana and amorphous silica are mainly exploited (Decher et al. 1996, Stamatakis et al. 1996, Perraki and Orfanoudaki 1997, Hein et al. 1999, Hein et al. 2000, Drakoulis et al. 2005).

The mineralogical composition and the cation exchange capacity of the industrial minerals are important factors for classifying the type of applications and uses. Concerning perlite and pumice, amorphous-rich industrial minerals, certain uses are affected from the sorption ability of the contained amorphous material, such uses are for perlite: carrier for herbicides, pesticides, insecticides and fertilizers, for pelleting seed, soil conditioner and rooting medium, and for pumice: soil substitute and additive, catalyst carrier, floor sweep products, acid-washing, grease collector in gas-fired grills, herbicide, fungicide and pesticide carrier (Harben 2002).

In the present paper the cation exchange capacity is correlated with the mineralogical composition of the industrial minerals of Milos Island. In particular, bentonite, kaolin, pumice and perlite have been collected from different mines of the island.

2. Materials and Methods

Representative bulk samples having a weight of ~20 kg each were collected from five mines of the island. More precisely, four samples of bentonite, one of kaolin, one of pumice and two of perlite were collected. The approximate location of the studied samples is shown in Figure 1, while their precise location is given in Table 1. Each sample was ground (<125 μm), homogenized and separated into two equal portions. The first portion was used to determine their cation exchange capacity. The second portion was ground further (<63 μm) and used for mineralogical analysis.

The semi-quantitative mineralogical composition of the samples was determined by X-Ray Powder Diffraction (XRPD) method. XRPD analysis was performed using a Philips PW1710 diffractometer with Ni-filtered CuKα radiation on randomly oriented samples. The samples were scanned from 3 to 63° 2θ at a scanning speed of 1.2 °/min. Semi-quantitative estimates of the abundance of the mineral phases were derived from the XRPD data, using the intensity of specific reflections, the density and the mass absorption coefficient for CuKα radiation, for the minerals present.
The semi-quantitative estimation of the percentage of total amorphous material was achieved by comparing the area of each broad background hump, which represented the amorphous material in each sample, with the analogous area of standard mixtures of minerals with different contents of natural amorphous material, scanned under the same conditions (Kantiranis et al. 2004b, Drakoulis et al. 2005).

The ammonium ion is most commonly used for CEC testing of materials and the results therefore are referred as ammonium exchange capacity. The ammonium cation exchange capacity of the studied samples was determined according to the AMmonium Acetate Saturation (AMAS) method (Kantiranis et al. 2004a, Drakoulis et al. 2005).

3. Results and discussion

The semi-quantitative mineralogical compositions and the cation exchange capacity of the studied samples are presented in Table 1. Micas and clays constitute the microporous minerals of the samples, while the non-microporous minerals are quartz, feldspars, calcite, barite and gypsum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location from Adamas Village</th>
<th>Rock Type</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Mi</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>TMM</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>TMM+A</th>
<th>Qz</th>
<th>Fs</th>
<th>Ce</th>
<th>Ba</th>
<th>Gy</th>
<th>TNM</th>
<th>CEC meq/100g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3 km E22N Aggeries mine</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>MIL8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>MIL10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>MIL21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9 km E15N Koufi mine</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>MIL17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pumice</td>
<td>MIL26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4 km W24S Ralaki mine</td>
<td>Kaolin</td>
<td>MIL12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 km N31W Trahila mine</td>
<td>Perlite</td>
<td>MIL29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2 km S23E Tsigrado mine</td>
<td>Perlite</td>
<td>MIL30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Clay-rich samples (bentonite and kaolin) and amorphous-rich samples (pumice and perlite) were identified in the investigated materials. The bentonite samples contained 68-100 wt.% montmorillonite, while the kaolin sample contained 41 wt.% kaolinite. The pumice and perlite samples contained 73-88 wt.% amorphous material.

Several researchers in their individual sampling of bentonite, pumice and perlite from near-about the same mines or locations, rendered more or less similar results concerning the composition of clay minerals for bentonites (Decher et al. 1996, Kantiranis et al. 2005) and amorphous material for pumice and perlite (Drakoulis et al. 2005). The similarities apply for the cation exchange capacity concerning the bentonites (Kantiranis et al. 2005). The higher CEC values for perlites and pumice are mainly explained by the differences observed in the chemistry of the amorphous material contained in their samples (Drakoulis et al. 2005).

The CEC of the samples mainly depends on the type and content of the clay mineral. A good positive correlation is observed between CEC and the clay mineral content of the samples (Fig. 2). The same good positive correlation exists between the CEC and the total microporous minerals (clay minerals+micas) of the samples (Fig. 3). A general positive correlation exists between CEC and the total microporous minerals+amorphous material (Fig. 4), since amorphous materials includes anionic charges that are responsible for the sorption ability of the amorphous materials,
The bentonite samples contain up to 100 wt.% clay minerals and the Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) reaches values up to 121 meq/100g. The kaolin sample contains 41 wt.% clay minerals and exhibit a CEC value of 28 meq/100g. The perlite and pumice samples are mainly rich in amorphous material (up to 88 wt.%) and show very low CEC values (3 and 4 meq/100g), while the pumice sample show a much higher CEC value of 73 meq/100g. The cation exchange capacity of the studied industrial minerals show a positive correlation with clay minerals content, as well as with the microporous minerals (clay minerals+micas)+amorphous materials. The cation exchange
Figure 4 - Correlation between CEC and total microporous minerals + amorphous material capacity is mainly affected by the clay minerals contained in the rock samples. The amorphous material probably affected to a less extent the CEC values, depending on their chemistry and despite of their lack in crystallinity, since the chemical structure of the amorphous material includes anionic charges and thus may result to their sorption ability.
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