

HAPSc Policy Briefs Series

Vol 2, No 2 (2021)

HAPSc Policy Briefs Series



Just Transition Mechanism and Lignite Phase-Out in Greece: Challenges and Prospects

Danai Lypiridi

doi: [10.12681/hapscpbs.29493](https://doi.org/10.12681/hapscpbs.29493)

Copyright © 2021, Danai Lypiridi



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

To cite this article:

Lypiridi, D. (2021). Just Transition Mechanism and Lignite Phase-Out in Greece: Challenges and Prospects. *HAPSc Policy Briefs Series*, 2(2), 75–84. <https://doi.org/10.12681/hapscpbs.29493>

Just Transition Mechanism and Lignite Phase-Out in Greece: Challenges and Prospects¹

Danai Lypiridi²

Abstract

The guidelines for the future of the energy sector in Greece are largely determined by the relevant strategic decisions of the European Union. A key role in this context is played by the EU's Energy Union Strategy, which seeks to ensure secure, sustainable, competitive and affordable energy for EU citizens and businesses. Therefore, the radical transformation of the energy sector in Greece, as part of the European Union's strategy to achieve the long-term goal of climate neutrality by 2050, will require in the next few years significant investments for the just transition of the lignite-dependent regions, which will be disproportionately affected. In this paper, Greece's energy deficit is examined, as well as Just Transition Mechanism and its contribution to the ongoing green transition of the Greek energy sector. By attempting to identify the systemic inexpediencies of the energy sector in Greece, the aim of this paper is to paint a realistic picture of the country's lignite phase-out plan and the challenges it faces during the ongoing energy crisis. It is concluded that the green and just transition is a long process, which requires strategic planning and a policy framework with clear implementation objectives and timetables.

Keywords: Just Transition Mechanism; Lignite Phase-Out; Energy Sector; Energy Crisis; Multiannual Financial Framework; SDAM; Green and Just Transition; Energy Deficit; GHG Emissions; Lignite-Dependent Regions

Introduction

The European Union (EU) is committed to achieve the elimination of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2050 and has incorporated this target into the European Green Deal adopted in 2020. In this context, in January 2020, the European Commission proposed the Just Transition Mechanism; a key tool to help European regions whose economies are most dependent on fossil fuels to alleviate the socio-economic impact of the transition to a sustainable economy. Concerning Greece, lignite-fired power plants will have ceased to operate by 2028 and this commitment will, inevitably, have significant consequences. This paper aims to examine the lignite phase-out in Greece in order to identify the systemic inexpediencies of the energy sector in Greece and the policy orientation required in order to increase the country's energy security and reduce dependence from energy imports. In the first section, systemic deficiencies in the Greek energy sector and the implications of the ongoing global energy crisis are analyzed. In the second section, EU's Just Transition Mechanism is presented.

¹ To cite this paper in APA style: Lypiridi, D. (2021). Just Transition Mechanism and Lignite Phase-Out in Greece: Challenges and Prospects. *HAPSc Policy Briefs Series*, 2(2), 75-84. DOI: 10.12681/hapscpbs.29493

² Department of International and European Studies, University of Piraeus, Greece.

Finally, the challenges of Greece's decarbonization plan are discussed for the design of a resilient energy policy.

Systemic inexpediencies of the energy sector in Greece and the energy crisis of 2021

According to Squalli (2007), an economy, constrained by mismanagement of resources, could bring forward inefficiencies and the diminution in the demand for goods and services, including energy consumption. Energy is an input in the production process because it is used for commercial and non-commercial activities. Therefore, (secondary effects on inflation notwithstanding) energy has a direct link to a country's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and, due to this positive correlation between energy and economic growth, any negative impact on energy, such as rising energy prices (imbalances in the supply – demand chain) or the impact of “damaging” energy policies, will have a negative impact on GDP (Sharma, 2010). Regarding Greece, one of the most basic elements of its energy sector concerns is the reliance of the Greek economy on fossil fuels (namely coal, oil and natural gas), as well as its high dependency on primary energy imports. According to U.S. Energy Information Administration, energy consumption in Greece reached 1.17 quadrillion British Thermal Unit (Btu) in 2019, while its energy conversion reached 0.3 quad. Btu., indicating an overall energy deficit of 87%, directly burdening the current account balance (which posted at a deficit of 6.7% in 2020) (diaNEOsis, 2021). Furthermore, the energy deficit in question seems to contribute negatively to the country's GDP and public debt (which exceeded €388.49 billion in July 2021). Thus, Greece's gross debt as a percentage of GDP stood at 236% of GDP in July, compared to 233% of Japan, which has the next worst indicator (OECD iLibrary, 2021).

The Greek energy deficit is mainly covered by primary energy imports (470 million barrels per day (Mb/d) and 184 billion cubic feet (bcf) of oil and natural gas respectively), and -to a lesser extent- by domestic energy conversion of Renewable Energy Sources (RES) (0.159 Btu) and coal (0.133 Btu). Meanwhile, the share of domestic crude oil and natural gas extraction is still very small (17 Mb/d and 0.4 bcf respectively) (EIA, 2019a; 2019b). As far as electricity is concerned, Greece's total electricity generation reached 47 billion kWh in 2019, whereas net electricity consumption was at 54 billion kWh, marking another deficit of 7% (EIA, 2019c; 2019d). More specifically, fossil fuels generated 30 billion kWh, while Renewables or RES produced the remaining 17 billion kWh (EIA, 2019c).

In the context of energy security, Greece's energy dependency is an issue that is directly affected by hydrocarbon exploration and exploitation. Overall, the dependence rate on energy imports in Greece was 70.5% in 2018, compared to 58.2% in the European Union (EU), indicating its inextricable energy reliance compared to most of the other EU Member States (Vettas et al., 2021). The energy

dependency is due to the particularly high use of petroleum products and natural gas, which cumulatively cover more than 65% of gross energy consumption and are almost entirely imported from countries outside the European Economic Area (Lypiridi, 2021a). Greece, in particular, seems to be energy dependent on Turkey, because currently about 70% of natural gas imports pass through a pipeline system located on Turkish territory (Stampolis, 2021); the rest is imported in the form of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) through the Hellenic Gas Transmission System Operator (DESFA) terminal in Revithoussa (DESFA, 2021). It is also worth mentioning that natural gas currently occupies the largest percentage of Greek energy balance (RAE, 2020).

Moreover, despite the discovery of potentially commercially exploitable oil and gas deposits in various parts of Greek territory (e.g. “Epanomi” natural gas field) and the estimates for an underwater natural gas field in the Eastern Mediterranean (e.g. “Talos” natural gas field in South Crete), Greece has not proceeded with their exploitation, resulting in importing almost 100% of its present hydrocarbon needs³ (Lypiridi, 2021a). It is also noteworthy that Greece already imports 11 billion kWh of electrical energy via undersea and underground electricity interconnections with Italy and Bulgaria (EIA, 2019e), while recently signed a new memorandum of energy cooperation with Egypt regarding the electrical interconnection of the two countries through an undersea cable that will transmit power produced by renewables (EuroAfrica Interconnector, 2021). These figures highlight the country's significant energy dependence on energy imports and, consequently, the intensification of its energy reliance on external energy suppliers, undermining its energy security and autonomy as well as its public debt and economic output.

An energy crisis seems to be underway comparable with the early 1970s oil crisis. Energy prices are soaring, as demand from the economies that are recovering from the COVID-19 shutdown is not covered by supply. This record increase in global energy prices can be attributed to a number of factors. Firstly, it is theorized that the energy price increase is mainly due to the increased demand, as economic activity returns to pre-pandemic levels, as well as due to the still on-going supply chain disruptions caused by the pandemic (Toyrkchoritis, 2021). Secondly, it is considered that the increasing restrictions placed on traditional energy sources have resulted in the so-called “green inflation” price spike (Rees, 2021). Government regulators have been increasingly working in recent years to encourage the use of renewable energy in order to meet the 2050 net zero targets. Consequently, this aggressive push towards RES adoption, the expanded use of “green” technologies that are not cost-effective yet and the underinvestment in the development of European and American

³ excluding the only domestically productive off-shore oil field in the region of Kavala-Thassos (Prinos oil field) (Lypiridi, 2021a).

oil and gas fields during the 2015-2021 period (Toyrkochoritis, 2021), have led to energy supplies insufficient to meet growing demand. Furthermore, as rising energy prices influence economic decisions throughout the supply chain, they have had a significant impact on commodity markets. Plans to intensify “green” investments after the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly in “clean” energy, and the adoption of “green” energy policies (e.g., carbon taxes imposed by EU Emissions Trading System - EU ETS) have resulted in increasingly high prices for certain raw materials such as rare earths that are crucial components for RES technologies.

Just Transition Mechanism: for a transition to a sustainable economy

As the European Union moves toward climate neutrality, it became evident that not all its Member States or regions have the same starting point, due to disparities regarding their development potential. The EU regions most at risk of socio-economic disruption from this drastic process of reducing pollutant emissions are those where jobs depend on the extraction and production of fossil fuels, especially coal. In January 2020, the European Commission proposed the Just Transition Mechanism to help regions whose economies are most dependent on fossil fuels in order to cope with the socio-economic consequences of the transition to a sustainable economy. EU Member States have to identify the regions that are eligible, focusing on those that should phase-out the production and use of coal, lignite, peat and oil shale or transform GHG-intensive industrial processes without using natural gas as a “transition fuel” (Lypiridi, 2021b).

The JTM consists of three pillars; the Just Transition Fund (JTF), a dedicated just transition scheme under InvestEU and a public sector loan facility with the European Investment Bank (EIB) Group backed by the MFF (European Commission, 2020). In accordance with Regulation 2020/0006 (COD) of the European Parliament and of the Council of the EU establishing the Just Transition Fund, the JTF will be used to provide grants, the InvestEU scheme will attract private investment and the partnership with the EIB will leverage public funding.

The JTF will focus on the economic diversification of the territories most affected by the climate transition, the acquisition of new skills of the workforce and the active inclusion of workers and jobseekers in these territories. The JTF has a budget of €17.5 billion in public spending. Funding will be available to all Member States, while focusing on regions with the greatest transition challenges. JTF funds will be complemented by additional resources under the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and the European Social Fund Plus (ESF+) through a transfer mechanism (European Commission, 2020). Finally, Member States will provide national resources in addition to the EU

funds while the level of EU funding will be determined according to the category of the region in which the identified territories are located (European Commission, 2020).

The dedicated transition scheme under InvestEU will provide a further €1.8 billion (in 2018 prices), supporting a wider range of private investments, including investments in energy and transport infrastructure, digitalization and digital connectivity, as well as in the circular economy (European Commission, 2020). Moreover, the public sector loan facility from the EIB Group will combine a grant of €1.5 billion in public expenditure from the MFF and a loan of up to €10 billion from the EIB, which is expected to mobilize €25-30 billion of public investments in energy and transport infrastructure, district heating networks, energy efficiency measures; including buildings renovation and social infrastructure (COM/2020/22 final).

Particularly, the activities financed by the JTF will include micro-enterprises, sustainable tourism, social infrastructure, universities and public research centers, energy storage technologies, low-emission district heating, smart and sustainable transport, digital innovation (including digital and precision farming), actions to combat energy poverty; as well as culture, education and community building (COM/2020/22 final). A prerequisite for the start of the whole funding process is the preparation and implementation of the Territorial Plans on the basis of which the spatial picture of the economic interventions and activities in the affected areas will be depicted (COM/2020/22 final).

Lignite phase-out in Greece: the challenge of the green and just transition

Currently in Greece there are five municipalities which have based their economic activity on energy conversion by lignite⁴. The investment in electricity conversion using domestic lignite was an important step in the electrification of Greece and in the development of areas with significant reserves of this energy resource, thus creating significant externalities (SDAM Steering Committee, 2020). However, the need to comply with EU's commitments under the Paris Agreement concerning the reach of zero GHG emissions by 2050 leads to a gradual cessation of this activity, with major socioeconomic consequences in the respective areas. In this context, a comprehensive Just Development Transition Plan (supported mainly by the aforementioned JTM) has been conceived for the developmental transformation of these regions, in order to offset the economic impact and secure jobs in the affected areas (SDAM Steering Committee, 2020).

⁴ They are the municipalities of Eordea and Kozani in the regional unit of Kozani, the municipalities of Amyntaio and Florina in the regional unit of Florina, and the municipality of Megalopolis in Arcadia.

SDAM is divided into two parts based on the sources of funding: the national and the co-financed by the EU. For the co-financed part, a political agreement has been reached with the EU institutions on the terms and conditions that will apply during the 2021-2027 period, especially on the operation of JTM, such as the preparation of Territorial Just Transition Plans for each carbon-dependent region (SDAM Steering Committee, 2020). The consultation with the European Commission is at an advanced level and the relative Plans are expected to be approved under the conditions that they adequately describe, inter alia, each Member State's commitment to the green transition process in accordance with their “National Energy and Climate Plan” (NECP) (SDAM Steering Committee, 2020). Given that the main source of funding of SDAM is the JTM, the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) for the 2021-2027 period and the new Recovery Fund, SDAM will be regularly updated by the Steering Committee, following the progress of the NSRF planning and the new distinct Just Transition Development Program 2021-2027 in which the three Territorial Just Transition Plans are to be incorporated: two for the Greek lignite regions (Kozani-Florina and Megalopoli) as well as one for Crete and the North and South Aegean Islands (SDAM Steering Committee, 2020).

By 2028, all existing lignite-fired power plants are expected to end all operations in Greece. This commitment, part of the need to achieve the pan-European goal of “climate neutrality” by 2050, will, inevitably, have significant consequences. Greek energy deficit is expected to exacerbate, a significant number of jobs are likely to be lost and entire regions, which until now have been dependent on the economic activity of PPC factories and mines, are about to face serious economic problems (Dousi et al., 2020). However, the current energy crisis (with the soaring fuel prices and the resulting increases in a plethora of consumer products) puts the undertaken ambitious decarbonization time targets in a new perspective.

Regarding Greece's lignite phase-out plan, the rapid implementation of its demanding commitments entails drastic changes not only in the production of goods and the provision of services, but also in the economy of local communities, which have been dependent on lignite for decades. Western Macedonia and Arcadia are two territories that have played a leading role in Greece's electricity supply for many years and which are expected to be mostly affected by these developments. Both face serious socioeconomic challenges, such as a lack of employment opportunities and the absence of alternative economic activities for the absorption of the workforce following the shutting-down of lignite-fired power plants (Dousi, 2020).

The transition from carbon-intensive to carbon-neutral technologies presupposes a large-scale transformation with new investments and specialized human resources that will undertake their implementation. While the said transition has not been completed, the Greek economy still has to

produce low GHG emissions, otherwise it needs to obtain more emission allowances. As a result, transport and production prices are on the rise, and combined with increased demand that arose after the pandemic, they have a particular effect on energy bills and on retail tariffs for other products and services. Since “green” technologies are not cost-effective yet in order to be widely implemented and with the current upward trend on energy prices (causing inflation and fall in the purchasing power of consumers), it seems only logical that lignite phase-out time targets should be aligned with the current economic situation in Greece.

There will likely be a need to draw up and implement more realistic timetables which will enable the domestic construction potential to regroup and contribute to the new “green” investments, thus avoiding a rapid deterioration of the trade and energy balance that would be caused by the massive imports of RES. The implementation of JTM assists in overcoming the problem of mass financing RES technologies due to the funds allocated for their purchase and installation. Nevertheless, it does not answer the problem of participation of Greek companies in their construction and installation nor to the ways in which the national fuel, lignite, could be exploited. Therefore, Greece's balance of payments seems to be in danger of further deficit due to the fast pace “green” transition (Christodoulakis, 2021). Furthermore, it is doubtful whether “green” investments will be able to replace lignite as the new and efficient electricity providers in such a short notice, taking also into account their average short period of life. Consequently, it would be preferable for the decarbonisation targets to be reviewed in order for the green and just transition to be done comprehensively and with national participation. According to Orfanoudakis, extending Greece’s “green” transition deadline would be entirely appropriate in order to achieve the long-term goal of climate neutrality by 2050. It would be in the country’s best economic interests to operate the units that have not been depreciated yet; such as Agios Dimitrios Thermal Power Plant (TPP) 5, Megalopoli TPP 4, Florina TPP and Ptolemais TPP 5, since an immediate and abrupt shut-down is estimated to cost increase (Orfanoudakis, 2021).

It is also worth considering operating the potentially commercially exploitable oil and gas deposits in various parts of Greek territory and maritime Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZ), in order to strengthen Greece’s energy autonomy and minimize its energy deficit and energy dependency from LNG and natural gas imports. A cooperation between Hellenic Petroleum S.A. and major oil companies, that have the proper expertise and financial capacity, could contribute, according to an estimate by Foskolos, to the Greek State at least \$20 billion per year from energy fuel exports over 50 years, upgrading exponentially its geopolitical position (Foskolos, 2021). Finally, it could be argued that nuclear energy could contribute to the objective of a zero-emission economy. Taking advantage of

the continuing energy crisis in Europe, nuclear energy is advocated as an affordable, stable and independent energy source that can protect European consumers from price fluctuations (Euronews, 2021). Unlike RES, nuclear energy is a constant and reliable energy source as it does not depend on weather conditions. As a result, in times of high demand, nuclear power plants are able to fully meet the needs of a country's electrical grid, at all times. Greece should look further into it as nuclear energy could dramatically reduce electricity costs for businesses and households, while contributing to the country's green transition and reducing its energy dependency on third countries.

Conclusions

Greece is called upon to continue the difficult task of just and green transition of the lignite-dependent regions during an energy crisis that directly affects economic growth and does not create a favorable environment for the expanded use of “green” technologies that are not cost-effective yet. A just transition is necessary for the lignite phase-out process, but also a key condition for its successful implementation. The effectiveness of the transition process depends on the specific conditions prevailing in each region, the initial degree of dependence of the local economy on lignite and hard coal extraction as well as combustion activities, the adaptability of businesses, the workforce and the local community. Each case should therefore be dealt separately through a transition plan that takes into account the specific characteristics and conditions of each territory. However, the green and just transition is a long process, which requires first and foremost strategic planning and a policy framework with clear implementation objectives and timetables. So far, it seems that unilateral dependence on intermittent RES is not enough, not only for the stability of a country's electrical grid but also in order to resolve issues such as combating energy poverty, minimizing the state's energy deficit and maximizing its energy autonomy. Therefore, it would make sense to prioritize the strengthening of Greece's energy security (especially in the face of the current and possible future energy crises), taking into consideration the aforementioned options.

References

- Christodoulakis, N. (2021). Should we review the lignite phase-out targets?. NEWS 24/7. Available at: <https://www.news247.gr/gnomes/nikos-xristodoylakhs/mipos-na-xanavlepame-toys-stochoysoy-apolignitopoiisis.9385316.html> [in Greek] (Accessed: 10/11/2021).
- DESFA (2021). DESFA data on natural gas consumption in 2020. Available at: <https://www.desfa.gr/press-center/press-releases/stoixeia-desfa-gia-thn-katanalwsh-fysikoy-aerioy-to-2020> [in Greek] (Accessed: 10/11/2021).
- diaNEOsis (2021). Crisis Monitor of the Greek Economy. Available at: <https://www.dianeosis.org/crisis-monitor/> [in Greek] (Accessed: 10/11/2021).

- [illegible]

- Orfanoudakis, N. (2021). Violent de-lignification will only bring damage to the country! Open letter to the Prime Minister. *militaire.gr*. Available at: <https://www.militaire.gr/apolignitopoiisi-ptlemaida-anoixti-epistolimitsotakis/> [in Greek] (Accessed: 10/11/2021).
- RAE (2020), Energy Balance 2020. Available at: <https://www.rae.gr/%cf%83%cf%84%ce%b1%cf%84%ce%b9%cf%83%cf%84%ce%b9%ce%ba%ce%ac/> [in Greek] (Accessed: 10/11/2021).
- Rees, T. (2021). Counting the cost of going green as inflation and climate change collide. *The Telegraph*. Available at: <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/business/2021/06/27/counting-cost-going-green-inflation-climate-change-collide/> (Accessed: 10/11/2021).
- SDAM Steering Committee (2020). SDAM PROJECT REPORT 2020. SDAM [in Greek]
- Sharma, S. (2010). The relationship between energy and economic growth: Empirical evidence from 66 countries. *Applied Energy*, 87(11), 3565-3574
- Squalli, J. (2007). Electricity consumption and economic growth: bounds and causality analyses of OPEC countries. *Energy Economics*, 29(6), 1192-1205
- Stampolis, K. (2021). Turkey fully controls the natural gas flow to Greece!. *newsbreak.gr*. Available at: <https://www.newsbreak.gr/ellada/229886/i-toyrkia-elegchei-apolytos-ti-roi-fysikoy-aerioy-pros-tin-ellada/> [in Greek] (Accessed: 10/11/2021).
- Toyrkochoritis, P. (2021). In the throes of the energy crisis. *Documento*. Available at: <https://www.documentonews.gr/article/sti-dini-tis-energeiakis-krisis/> [in Greek] (Accessed: 10/11/2021).
- Vettas N., Danchev S., Maniatis G., Paratsiokas N. & Valaskas K. (2021). The Energy Sector in Greece: Trends, Prospects and Challenges. *diaNEOsis* [in Greek].