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Abstract

The present work aims to relate the settlement of marine fouling organisms in three different harbours in Alexandria city which present a wide range of ecological/environmental variations. These are the Abu Qir, Eastern and El-Dekheila harbours.

Monthly samples of marine fouling were collected from the three harbours by using white roughened polystyrene test panels (12.5x12.5 cm), as well as physicochemical, chemical and other biological data. Results were treated with multivariate statistical analysis (PCA).

At the Abu Qir harbour, it was found that water temperature and salinity are the most important environmental factors controlling the temporal distribution of total fouling density, whereas water temperature and chlorophyll a are the most significant environmental factors controlling the total biomass. At the Eastern harbour it was demonstrated that ammonia and phosphate are the most significant environmental factors controlling the temporal distribution and total biomass of fouling organisms. Concerning El-Dekheila harbour, the total density and total biomass of fouling organisms were inversely correlated with the total zooplankton.
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Introduction


Studies of fouling communities extend to other Egyptian marine waters. GHOBASHY (1977) reported the absence of fouling attachments on the pier or wharf of the Sallum Bay. GHOBASHY et al. (1980) made a general survey of fouling in the Suez Canal. RAMADAN (1986) studied the fouling in the northern part of Suez Canal and in the Western harbour of Alexandria. EL-KOMI (1992 b) observed the fouling settlement in El-Ghardaqa water (Red Sea). EL-KOMI et al. (1998) and EMARA (2002) studied the ecology and settlement of marine fouling in the Suez Bay.

The present work is the first in the Egyptian waters to deal with the environmental factors controlling the total density and biomass of fouling organisms at the studied harbours.
Materials and Methods

The present work was carried out in three harbours in Alexandria city. From east to west these are the Abu Qir harbour (A.H.), the Eastern harbour (E.H.) and the El-Dekheila harbour (D.H.) (Fig. 1). For simplicity, these harbours are denoted A, B and C from east to west, respectively.

Abu Qir Harbour (Harbour A):
It is a military harbour situated nearly at the head of the Abu Qir bay between the dead and open seas. The water of Abu Qir bay is affected by three continental discharges. These are the Boughaz El-Maadia opening, the El-Tabia pumping station and the opening of the Rashid Nile branch.

The Eastern Harbour of Alexandria (Harbour B):
This is a relatively shallow semi-circular bay surrounded by the city except on its northern side, where it communicates with the sea through two outlets. LABIB (2002) mentioned that due to water circulation the harbour is subjected to an amount of municipal wastewater from the main sewer of Alexandria (Kayet Bey), located at its western vicinity.

El-Dekheila Harbour (Harbour C):
El-Dekheila Harbour is a semi-enclosed basin constructed recently after 1986 on the western side of El-Mex bay (FAHMY et al., 1997). According to ABDALLA et al. (1995) and FAHMY et al. (1997), the harbour’s water is subjected to several sources of wastewater. A huge volume of brackish water is discharged into El-Mex bay through the El-Umoum drain. On its western side, near the harbour this bay also receives industrial wastes from several sources. The degree of

Fig. 1: The area investigated; positions of the three sample harbours along Alexandria coast.
water contamination of the harbour water from the above-mentioned sources depends on water circulation in the bay.

**Sampling techniques**

Sampling was carried out monthly at harbour (A) from November 1998 through October 1999 while at harbours (B) and (C) it was conducted from October 1998 through to September 1999.

Water samples were collected at a depth of 0.5 m at the studied harbours. A simple mercury thermometer was used to measure water temperature. Water transparency and salinity were measured using a standard Secchi disc and Beckman induction salinometer (Model RS-7B), respectively. A digital portable pH-meter was used to measure pH. Dissolved oxygen was determined by titration according to the Winkler method explained in APHA (1995).

Data of marine chemistry used in the present statistical analysis are extracted from the works of NASR & EL-RAEY (1999) and ABBAS (2004) who collected their samples from the same locations during the same period as the present study. NASR & EL-RAEY (1999) provide data on nutrients (nitrite, nitrate, ammonia, total nitrogen, phosphate, total phosphorus, reactive silicate) and chlorophyll a in sea water, whereas, ABBAS (2004) give concentrations of total copper, zinc, lead, cadmium and chromium in seawater.

Sub-surface water samples were collected using a PVC Niskin Bottle (3 L). They were kept in well-cleaned stoppered polyethylene bottles. Chlorophyll a extraction and measurement was measured according to STRICKLAND & PARSONS (1972) and is expressed as (μg/l).

The zooplankton samples were collected by filtering 200 liters of seawater through a 55 μm standard plankton net. The filtrate was preserved in 6 % formalin. In the laboratory, the volume of each sample was standardized to 100 ml and triplicate subsamples (5 ml each) were removed for counting the zooplankton (using 100 X binocular microscope). The average population density $\text{m}^{-3}$ of the total zooplankton was calculated according to the equation:

$$\text{Average total zooplankton} = \frac{(N)}{3} \times 100$$

$N$ is the sum of total zooplankton in the three subsamples.

At each harbour, three white roughened polystyrene test panels (each 12.5x12.5 cm) were used to collect fouling samples. These panels were regularly replaced every month. Using a binocular zoom stereo-microscope (20X), the fouling community was investigated to identify the foulers and associated organisms.

The settlement of only countable fouling organisms on test panels was considered. The total biomass (wet weight) of fouling organisms settled on each test panel was measured in gm after removing excess water by blotting paper. The mean of the settling number of countable fouling species as well as the mean of total biomass was then calculated.

**Data treatment**

The data were treated by factor analysis using the Principal Components Analysis (PCA). A detailed explanation of PCA can be found in LUDWING & REYNOLDS (1988). This analysis was used to determine the most effective factors, which control the total density and biomass of fouling organisms at each harbour. Input data include physicochemical, chemical and biological variables. The biological variables include means of the total fouling density and total biomass. The factor loadings are unrotated. PCA was made by STATISTICA version 5.0 computer package.

To run the program properly; the number of variables (physicochemical, chemical and biological factors) must be equal or less than the number of cases, so a simple correlation coefficient ($r$) has been done between the total fouling density or total biomass and the other variables to determine the most significant environmental variables which can be used in the program.
Diversity indices
Population density (PD) data of countable taxa of fouling organisms were used to calculate diversity indices: Shannon-Wiener index (H) and Simpson’s index (D). The indices are calculated as described by DESHMUKH (1986).

\[
H = -\sum \frac{n_i}{N} \ln \left( \frac{n_i}{N} \right)
\]

\[
D = 1 - \sum \frac{n_i^2}{N^2}
\]

The (D) index gives higher values to the dominant taxa while the (H) index gives more stress to rare taxa.

Trophic State Index (TSI) = 30.6 + 9.8 ln (Chlorophyll a as µg/l) was calculated after Carlson equation for Trophic State Index (WETZEL, 2001).

Results

The physicochemical factors recorded at the studied harbours are presented in Table 1 and Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Water temp.</th>
<th>Transp.(cm)</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>D.O. mg/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 1998</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>37.73</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>37.33</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>4.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan., 1999</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>35.98</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>36.38</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>4.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar.</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>36.74</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>36.45</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>36.14</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>38.57</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>38.81</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>38.97</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>38.81</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>8.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>38.81</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly average</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>5.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
Monthly records of physicochemical factors at Harbour A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Water temp.</th>
<th>Transp.(cm)</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>D.O. mg/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct., 1998</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>35.67</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan., 1999</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>37.61</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>36.86</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>6.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar.</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>37.14</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr.</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>37.17</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>7.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>36.46</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun.</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>36.86</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul.</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>38.27</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>36.78</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>36.86</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly average</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>4.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Monthly records of physicochemical factors at Harbour B.
Tables 1-3. In general, the lowest water temperatures were recorded in winter months while the highest values were recorded during summer months. The values of transparency varied greatly from one season to another, the minimum value (60 cm) was recorded in May 1999 (spring) while the maximum value (450 cm) was recorded during November 1998 (autumn). These two values were recorded at harbour (C). Generally salinity values were lower at harbour (C) than those recorded at the other harbours, reflecting the effect of the El-Umum drain which discharged a huge volume of brackish water \((7.7 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{day})\) loaded with domestic, agricultural and industrial wastes into the El-Mex bay, while pH values of surface seawater showed a very narrow limit variations in the studied harbours. Regarding the dissolved oxygen values, the minimum concentration \((2.48 \text{ mg/l})\) was recorded during May 1999 (spring) at harbour (B) while, the maximum value \((10.6 \text{ mg/l})\) was recorded during October 1999 (autumn) at harbour (A).

Tables 4 and 5 show the concentrations of nutrients and some heavy metals in seawater respectively in the studied harbours. Table 6 demonstrates the mean monthly variations in the standing crop of total zooplankton in the studied harbours. Harbour (B) sustained the highest monthly average of total zooplankton \((27,209 \text{ indiv. }/\text{m}^3)\), while the lowest value \((5,066 \text{ indiv. }/\text{m}^3)\) was recorded at harbour (C). The maximum standing crop \((205,267 \text{ indiv. }/\text{m}^3)\) was recorded during November 1998 at harbour (B), while the minimum value \((403 \text{ indiv. }/\text{m}^3)\) was recorded during June 1999 at harbour (C).

Tables 7-9 show the monthly density of fouling organisms collected per panel area, total of the mean number of individuals of countable species (total fouling density), total biomass and diversity indices in the studied harbours. It is clear from Table 7 that a total of 27 species in addition to the Cyanophycophyta layer was recorded in harbour (A) during the whole period of study. The highest number of species (15) was recorded during June (summer) and October (autumn) while the lowest number (4 species) was recorded during January (winter). The highest total of the mean number of fouling organisms \((2825.7 \text{ indiv. }/\text{panel area})\) was recorded during July (summer) while the lowest \((89.6 \text{ organisms/panel area})\) was recorded during February (winter), with a monthly average of \((1114.4 \text{ indiv. }/\text{panel area})\). The maximum biomass value \((14.5 \text{ gm/panel area})\) was achieved during July 1999 (summer) whereas the minimum

### Table 3

**Monthly records of physicochemical factors at Harbour C.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor Month</th>
<th>Water temp.</th>
<th>Transp.(cm)</th>
<th>Salinity PPT</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>D.O. mg/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct., 1998</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>38.01</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>8.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>38.29</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>4.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>37.97</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan., 1999</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>30.56</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>37.65</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr.</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22.62</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>5.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>27.87</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22.62</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>5.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>24.89</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>5.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>25.72</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep.</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>21.92</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>8.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly average</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>5.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 4

Concentrations of some chemical factors at the studied harbours. a, Nitrite; b, Nitrate; c, Ammonia; d, Total nitrogen; e, Phosphate; f, Total phosphorus; g, Reactive silicate; h, Chlorophyll \( \alpha \). (After Nasr and El-Raey, 1999)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Harbour</th>
<th>A (µmol/l)</th>
<th>B (µmol/l)</th>
<th>C (µmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 1998</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1999</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 1999</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1999</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul. 1999</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 1999</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Harbour</th>
<th>A (µmol/l)</th>
<th>B (µmol/l)</th>
<th>C (µmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 1998</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1999</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 1999</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1999</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul. 1999</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 1999</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Harbour</th>
<th>A (µmol/l)</th>
<th>B (µmol/l)</th>
<th>C (µmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 1998</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1999</td>
<td>24.59</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 1999</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1999</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul. 1999</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 1999</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Harbour</th>
<th>A (µmol/l)</th>
<th>B (µmol/l)</th>
<th>C (µmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 1998</td>
<td>67.37</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>164.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1999</td>
<td>126.9</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>131.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 1999</td>
<td>37.24</td>
<td>55.17</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1999</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>77.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul. 1999</td>
<td>79.32</td>
<td>22.59</td>
<td>25.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 1999</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Harbour</th>
<th>A (µmol/l)</th>
<th>B (µmol/l)</th>
<th>C (µmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 1998</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1999</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 1999</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1999</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul. 1999</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 1999</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Harbour</th>
<th>A (µmol/l)</th>
<th>B (µmol/l)</th>
<th>C (µmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 1998</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>17.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1999</td>
<td>11.53</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 1999</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1999</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul. 1999</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 1999</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
biomass value was recorded in January 1999 (winter) where the panel collected 0.3 gm of fouling individuals. The monthly average of total biomass amounted to (6.1 gm/panel area).

Results of Table 8 indicated that a total of 24 species in addition to the Cyanophyco-phyta layer was recorded at harbour (B) during the whole period of study. The highest number of species (15) was recorded during both August and September (summer and autumn) while; the lowest number (6 spe-

### Table 5

Variations of concentration of some heavy metals in seawater of the studied harbours as µg/l. a, Total Copper; b, Total Zinc; c, Total Lead; d, Total Cadmium; e, Total Chromium.

(---)= Not recorded (after Abbas, 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Harbour</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 1998</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar.</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr.</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun.</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul.</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep.</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct.</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Harbour</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 1998</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>14.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>15.31</td>
<td>18.51</td>
<td>12.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1999</td>
<td>14.94</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>16.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>40.02</td>
<td>31.74</td>
<td>20.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr.</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun.</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul.</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>15.58</td>
<td>63.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep.</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>9.68</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct.</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>11.89</td>
<td>12.66</td>
<td>14.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Harbour</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 1998</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1999</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar.</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr.</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun.</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul.</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep.</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct.</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
cies) was recorded during December (winter). The maximum total of the mean number of fouling individuals (2466.7 indiv./panel area) was recorded during September 1999 (autumn) while the minimum (52.9 indiv./panel area) was recorded during February 1999 (winter), with a monthly average of (935 indiv./panel area). Also the highest biomass value (59 gm/panel area) was achieved during September 1999 (autumn) while the lowest biomass value (2 gm/panel area) was recorded during February 1999 (winter), with a monthly average of (14.1 gm/panel area).

It is noticed from Table 9 that a total of 19 species in addition to the Cyanophycophyta layer was recorded during the whole period of study at harbour (C). The maximum number of species (14) was recorded during May 1999 (spring) while the minimum number (1 species) was recorded during November 1998 (autumn). The highest total of the mean number of fouling (750.7 indiv./panel area) was recorded during February 1999 (winter) while the lowest (2.7 indiv./panel area) was recorded during November 1998 (autumn), with a monthly average of (137 indiv./panel area). Moreover, the highest biomass value (6 gm/panel area) was achieved during May 1999 (spring) while the lowest biomass value (0.5 gm/panel area) was recorded during November 1998 (autumn), with a monthly average of (2.2 gm/panel area).

Table 5 (Continued)
(e) Total Chromium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Harbour</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 1998</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1999</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar.</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr.</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun.</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul.</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep.</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct.</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6
Mean monthly variations of the total zooplankton (organisms/m3) ± S.E. recorded at the studied harbours during the period of study.

(---) = Not collected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Harbour</th>
<th>A Mean ± S.E.</th>
<th>B Mean ± S.E.</th>
<th>C Mean ± S.E.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 1998</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>43,967 ± 2745</td>
<td>205,267 ± 15,812</td>
<td>6,385 ± 326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>62,366 ± 2546</td>
<td>205,267 ± 15,812</td>
<td>25,200 ± 750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>8,900 ± 557</td>
<td>11,700 ± 351</td>
<td>2,567 ± 145</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1999</td>
<td>5,167 ± 121</td>
<td>2,800 ± 265</td>
<td>4,234 ± 788</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>9,067 ± 731</td>
<td>3,467 ± 240</td>
<td>3,200 ± 153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar.</td>
<td>1,800 ± 100</td>
<td>667 ± 88</td>
<td>4,767 ± 240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr.</td>
<td>9,400 ± 1607</td>
<td>2,967 ± 120</td>
<td>2,367 ± 176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>17,167 ± 1601</td>
<td>13,367 ± 696</td>
<td>5,667 ± 636</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun.</td>
<td>71,834 ± 7518</td>
<td>11,067 ± 463</td>
<td>403 ± 58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul.</td>
<td>12,134 ± 177</td>
<td>7,800 ± 436</td>
<td>3,600 ± 208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>5,134 ± 546</td>
<td>13,900 ± 1858</td>
<td>668 ± 67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep.</td>
<td>23,834 ± 1419</td>
<td>9,534 ± 694</td>
<td>1,735 ± 176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct.</td>
<td>2,400 ± 264</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly average</td>
<td>19,100</td>
<td>27,209</td>
<td>5,066</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7

Monthly settlement of fouling organisms on panels (mean no. of organisms/panel area), total of the mean number (total density), total biomass and diversity indices during Nov. 1998 to Oct. 1999 at harbour (A).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxa</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae</td>
<td>Cyanophycophyta</td>
<td>87 ± 3.3</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>88 ± 1.6</td>
<td>98 ± 1.6</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrozoa</td>
<td>Obelia geniculata</td>
<td>77.0 ± 14.4</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>28.0 ± 4.4</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>23.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryozoa</td>
<td>Celleporaria</td>
<td>63.0 ± 4.3</td>
<td>56.0 ± 25.6</td>
<td>3290.0 ± 7.3</td>
<td>4850.0 ± 2.8</td>
<td>14.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>14.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>14.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>14.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheilostomata</td>
<td>Bugula neritina</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptosula pallasiana</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watersipora subtorquata</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amnida</td>
<td>Polychaeta</td>
<td>1187.0 ± 29.9</td>
<td>115.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9650.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>157.0 ± 13.3</td>
<td>689.6 ± 26.9</td>
<td>2644.0 ± 603.6</td>
<td>1198.6 ± 232.5</td>
<td>817.0 ± 194</td>
<td>2316.3 ± 93.0</td>
<td>836.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxa</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirobranchus tetraceros</td>
<td>13.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>5.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirorbis sp.</td>
<td>92.0 ± 12.0</td>
<td>100.3 ± 9.8</td>
<td>17.3 ± 12</td>
<td>20.0 ± 3.5</td>
<td>193 ± 2.0</td>
<td>20.0 ± 7.5</td>
<td>273 ± 2.7</td>
<td>66.3 ± 7.7</td>
<td>18.6 ± 9.3</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomatostegus poliirostri</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthropoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustacea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirripedia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanidae:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanus amphitrite</td>
<td>375.0 ± 25.0</td>
<td>33.6 ± 4.4</td>
<td>70.0 ± 4.5</td>
<td>68.0 ± 3.5</td>
<td>237 ± 28</td>
<td>51.3 ± 4.3</td>
<td>56 ± 2.8</td>
<td>80 ± 2.5</td>
<td>60.6 ± 5.5</td>
<td>177.6 ± 25.4</td>
<td>273 ± 7.2</td>
<td>64.6 ± 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanus eburnaus</td>
<td>130 ± 0.6</td>
<td>13 ± 1.3</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanus perforatus</td>
<td>4450 ± 9.7</td>
<td>26 ± 2.6</td>
<td>10 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanus sp.</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanaris dulongii</td>
<td>113 ± 1.2</td>
<td>136 ± 1.2</td>
<td>46 ± 1.4</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptochela savignyi</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cymodoceae truncata</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gnathia sp.</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corophium acutum</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>30 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corophium sextomac</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>60 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elasmopus pectoralis</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>38 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podocerus variegatus</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>356 ± 11.6</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoliphas galassus</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythronia brasiliensis</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipoda tubes</td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>940 ± 16.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
### Table 7. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxa density</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pycnogonida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammothea sp.</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunicata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styela partita</td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total biomass (gm)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>143 ± 0.3</td>
<td>47 ± 0.9</td>
<td>14.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>11.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of the mean number of fouling* (Total density)</td>
<td>1654</td>
<td>148.9</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>1811.9</td>
<td>1100.6</td>
<td>692.9</td>
<td>2825.7</td>
<td>1429.3</td>
<td>916.4</td>
<td>24105</td>
<td>11144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon index (H)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson index (D)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) indicates area of the panel occupied by a fouling organism. (*) Number of individuals for countable species only.

### Table 8

Monthly settlement of fouling organisms on panels (mean no. of organisms/panel area), total of the mean number (total density), total biomass and diversity indices during Oct. 1998 to Sept. 1999 at harbour (B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxa density</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanophyceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanophyceae layer (%)</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulva lactuca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodophyceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Table 8. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxa density</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corallina officinalis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cnidaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrozoa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obelia geniculata</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>32.0 ± 4.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryozoa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalinolomatida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bugula neritina</td>
<td>(colony)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>30.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>76 ± 2.9</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>46 ± 4.6</td>
<td>60.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cymbopodia pallasiana</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amnedula</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychaeta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepiolidae:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroidea elegans</td>
<td>1194.3 ± 15.5</td>
<td>1827.3 ± 999</td>
<td>432.7 ± 51.0</td>
<td>105.3 ± 14.7</td>
<td>5.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>21.0 ± 4.2</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1413.3 ± 40.6</td>
<td>572.7 ± 31.7</td>
<td>604.7 ± 44.7</td>
<td>1253.3 ± 307.0</td>
<td>537.0 ± 78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mollusca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivalvia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modiolus barthii</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arhipodida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ctenocia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanidae:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanus amphitrite</td>
<td>643.9 ± 9.9</td>
<td>68.0 ± 8.1</td>
<td>153.7 ± 9.0</td>
<td>100.3 ± 34.0</td>
<td>19.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>24.0 ± 32</td>
<td>48.0 ± 6.7</td>
<td>50.7 ± 16.8</td>
<td>30.7 ± 129</td>
<td>128.7 ± 24.2</td>
<td>462.3 ± 103.8</td>
<td>818.0 ± 42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanus eburneus</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>107.3 ± 58.3</td>
<td>17.3 ± 5.5</td>
<td>47 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>52.7 ± 30.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanus perforatus</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Table 8. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Mean no.(± S.E.)</th>
<th>Mean no.(± S.E.)</th>
<th>Mean no.(± S.E.)</th>
<th>Mean no.(± S.E.)</th>
<th>Mean no.(± S.E.)</th>
<th>Mean no.(± S.E.)</th>
<th>Mean no.(± S.E.)</th>
<th>Mean no.(± S.E.)</th>
<th>Mean no.(± S.E.)</th>
<th>Mean no.(± S.E.)</th>
<th>Mean no.(± S.E.)</th>
<th>Monthly average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balanus sp.</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanais dulongii</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>10.7 ± 5.2</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>20.7 ± 4.3</td>
<td>11.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>11.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>33.3 ± 22.2</td>
<td>102.0 ± 27.1</td>
<td>303.0 ± 7.8</td>
<td>270.0 ± 42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cratana borina</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>200.0 ± 9.6</td>
<td>18.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphaeroma walkeri</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>47.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>26.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphaeroma serratum</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paralellia crassus</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corophium acutum</td>
<td>199.3 ± 65.2</td>
<td>63.3 ± 22.4</td>
<td>10.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>16.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>10.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>34.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>720.0 ± 21.5</td>
<td>283.0 ± 40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corophium antennae</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eulimropus spectabilis</td>
<td>40.0 ± 11.1</td>
<td>47.7 ± 27.3</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>20.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>7.3 ± 6.4</td>
<td>217.0 ± 36.5</td>
<td>184.7 ± 15.1</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podocerus variegatus</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>5.3 ± 3.0</td>
<td>480.0 ± 13.7</td>
<td>426.0 ± 63.3</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenothoe gallensis</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>9.3 ± 9.9</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>23.7 ± 12.2</td>
<td>102.7 ± 12.2</td>
<td>182.3 ± 20.0</td>
<td>98.7 ± 14.2</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipoda tubes</td>
<td>3777 ± 98.7</td>
<td>192.3 ± 75.7</td>
<td>25.3 ± 6.8</td>
<td>45.3 ± 9.9</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>23.7 ± 32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decapoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liocarcinus depurator</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total biomass (gm)</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>17.2 ± 6.6</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>18.8 ± 3.2</td>
<td>42.7 ± 6.2</td>
<td>59.0 ± 17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of the mean number of foulings* (Total biomass)</td>
<td>1506</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>606.3</td>
<td>322.3</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>234.3</td>
<td>665.7</td>
<td>903.3</td>
<td>2177</td>
<td>2466.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon index (H)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson index (D)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates area of the panel occupied by a fouling organism, (*) number of individuals for countable species only, (+) rarely represented.
Table 9
Monthly settlement of fouling organisms on panels (mean no. of organisms/panel area), total of the mean number (total density), total biomass and diversity indices during Oct. 1998 to Sept. 1999 at harbour (C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxa Density</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td>Mean no.(± S.E.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanophyceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanophyceae (layer %)</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cnidaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrozoa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obelia geniculata</td>
<td>8.0 ± 8.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryozoa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ctenostomata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowerbankia gracilis</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheilostomata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conopeum reticulum</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>38.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annelida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychaeta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Errantia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nereidae:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nereis diversicolor</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saccinaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepiolidae:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroidea elegans</td>
<td>61.0 ± 9.3</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>7.0 ± 3.5</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>15.0 ± 11.5</td>
<td>117.3 ± 23.6</td>
<td>16.3 ± 4.9</td>
<td>46.0 ± 10.8</td>
<td>101.7 ± 35.4</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sperchiidae</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomatoceros triqueter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
### Table 9. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Taxa</strong></td>
<td><strong>Density</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean no.(±S.E.)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean no.(±S.E.)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean no.(±S.E.)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean no.(±S.E.)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean no.(±S.E.)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean no.(±S.E.)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean no.(±S.E.)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean no.(±S.E.)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean no.(±S.E.)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean no.(±S.E.)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean no.(±S.E.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthropoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustacea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirripedia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanus amphitrite</td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>19.3 ± 3.3</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>4.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>71.7 ± 20.6</td>
<td>89.3 ± 10.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanus eburneus</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanus perforatus</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>86.7 ± 17.1</td>
<td>720.3 ± 49.3</td>
<td>57.7 ± 13.1</td>
<td>51.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>14.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>779</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanus trigonus</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanaidacea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanais dukongi</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphaeroma walkeri</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.3 ± 2.6</td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>5.7 ± 3.2</td>
<td>47.3 ± 3.3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradella dianae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corophium acutum</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>15.3 ± 5.2</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corophium septemlineatum</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elasmopus pectenicrus</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podocerus variegatus</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenothoe galtensis</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipoda tubes</td>
<td>36.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>15.7 ± 3.5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total biomass (gm)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of the mean number of fouling*</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>750.7</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon index (H)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson index (D)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) indicates area of the panel occupied by a fouling organism, (*) number of individuals for countable species only.
Figure 2 shows results of application of PCA method to data of total fouling density and the most significant environmental factors (Table 10) that may affect the temporal distribution of fouling biota at different harbours.

At harbour (a), the factor loadings indicate that total fouling density; salinity and water temperature are grouped together in one cluster, while ammonia; phosphate and zinc are grouped together in another cluster. At harbour (B), the factor loadings indicate that total fouling density; ammonia and phosphate are grouped together in one cluster. Meanwhile at harbour (C), the factor loadings indicate that total zooplankton, phosphate, ammonia, total phosphorus and nitrate are grouped together in one cluster, while water temperature and chlorophyll $a$ form another cluster.

Figure 3 shows results of application of PCA method to data of total biomass of fouling and the most significant environmental factors (Table 10) that may affect the total biomass of fouling biota at different harbours. At harbour (a), the factor loadings indicate that total biomass; water temperature and chlorophyll $a$ are grouped together in one cluster, while ammonia; phosphate and zinc are grouped together in another cluster. A third cluster which includes nitrate and reactive silicate is detected. At harbour (B), the factor loadings indicate that total biomass; ammonia and phosphate are grouped together in one cluster. Meanwhile at harbour (C), the factor loadings indicate that total zooplankton; transparency, nitrate and

### Table 10

Values of correlation coefficient ($r$) between Total fouling densities (Only countable species), Total biomass and various environmental factors at the studied Harbours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Factor</th>
<th>Harbours</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total fouling</td>
<td>Total biomass</td>
<td>Total fouling</td>
<td>Total biomass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp.</td>
<td>0.654458</td>
<td>0.770646</td>
<td>0.439341</td>
<td>0.546648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans.</td>
<td>-0.16573</td>
<td>0.017165</td>
<td>-0.1021</td>
<td>-0.02898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal.</td>
<td>0.539927</td>
<td>0.316038</td>
<td>-0.29239</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>-0.52834</td>
<td>-0.31977</td>
<td>-0.29904</td>
<td>-0.09865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.O.</td>
<td>0.26858</td>
<td>-0.11743</td>
<td>0.39212</td>
<td>0.543423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3$</td>
<td>0.694408</td>
<td>0.633809</td>
<td>0.626239</td>
<td>0.350097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
<td>-0.10109</td>
<td>0.287811</td>
<td>0.167568</td>
<td>0.194272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_3$</td>
<td>-0.54048</td>
<td>-0.54041</td>
<td>0.841056</td>
<td>0.940986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>-0.31883</td>
<td>-0.41126</td>
<td>-0.22679</td>
<td>-0.52226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO$_4$</td>
<td>-0.46198</td>
<td>-0.535</td>
<td>0.59643</td>
<td>0.565433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>-0.40765</td>
<td>-0.45452</td>
<td>0.565559</td>
<td>0.503492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.404008</td>
<td>0.316392</td>
<td>0.617765</td>
<td>0.305022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl.$a$</td>
<td>0.34054</td>
<td>0.65543</td>
<td>-0.50648</td>
<td>-0.79367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.22835</td>
<td>0.368975</td>
<td>-0.18066</td>
<td>-0.09848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>-0.56168</td>
<td>-0.58255</td>
<td>-0.35358</td>
<td>-0.23138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.02632</td>
<td>0.466939</td>
<td>0.08816</td>
<td>0.123842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>-0.13069</td>
<td>0.00293</td>
<td>0.451401</td>
<td>0.496868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>-0.09799</td>
<td>0.464309</td>
<td>-0.65809</td>
<td>-0.55022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot.Zoo.</td>
<td>0.024975</td>
<td>-0.13894</td>
<td>0.459579</td>
<td>0.068435</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$p_{0.05} = 0.57$
reactive silicate are grouped together in one cluster, while ammonia, phosphate and total phosphorus are grouped together in another cluster. These two clusters can form a single large cluster. Nitrite and pH of water are grouped together in a separate cluster.

It is clear from Figures 2 & 3 that water temperature and salinity are the most important environmental factors controlling the temporal distribution of total fouling density at harbour (A) whereas chlorophyll $a$ and water temperature are the most important environmental factors controlling the total biomass. Ammonia and phosphate are the most important environmental factors controlling the temporal distribution and total biomass of fouling biota at harbour (B). At harbour (C) the total density and total biomass of fouling biota are inversely correlated with the total zooplankton.

**Discussion**

Settlement and recruitment of marine benthic invertebrates are influenced by a wide variety of biotic factors (e.g. competition, predation) and abiotic factors (e.g. environmental conditions, substratum types, water flow) (MEADOWS & CAMPBELL, 1972; CRISP, 1984; PAWLIK, 1992; HARVEY et al., 1995; WALTERS et al., 1997).

The analysis of PCA indicated that water temperature and salinity of water are the most important environmental factors controlling the temporal distribution of total fouling density at harbour (A), meanwhile, chlorophyll $a$ and water temperature are the most important environmental factors controlling the total biomass of fouling biota at that harbour.

The importance of water temperature for the total density and biomass of fouling fauna has been investigated by many authors. WISELY (1959), LEPORE & GHERARDI (1977) and RAMADAN (1986) indicated that settlement of fouling fauna was heaviest during the summer months in Sydney harbour (Australia), Brindisi harbour (Italy) and in Port Said and Western harbour of Alexandria (Egypt), respectively. MONTANARO & TURSI (1983) mentioned that the settlement of encrusting forms of Bryozoa is influenced by high temperatures, since it facilitates fixation of calcium carbonate. EL-KOMI (1992a) indicated that the continuous reproduction of fouling organisms at the Eastern Harbour of Alexandria coincides generally with the warmer season, when the temperature exceeds 20°C. He added that growth and biomass differed greatly in the different seasons depending upon temperature, season of immersion and duration of exposure to seawater. TSUKAMOTO (2002) mentioned that low water temperature has a stronger influence than low food density on inhibiting the growth of the barnacle *Balanus amphitrite* under culture conditions. This was the case at harbour (A) where the maximum total of the mean number of fouling individuals (2825.7 indiv. /panel area) and maximum total biomass (14.5 gm/panel area) were recorded during July (summer) whenever the water temperature reached its maximal value (30°C). On the other hand, the minimum fouling density (89.6 indiv. /panel area) and total biomass (0.3 gm/panel area) were recorded during February and January (winter) when the water temperature decreased to reach 18.5 and 17°C, respectively.

FOSTER (1970) indicated that salinity might act as a limiting factor influencing the dominance of fouling organisms as well as their survival and growth. ANWAR & MOHAMMED (1970) reported that the increase in the salinity of seawater leads to an increase in the concentration of calcium carbonate, which supports the settlement of more shelled animals (barnacles, mollusks, and polychaetes with calcareous tubes) so this may increase the number of recorded species and total density of fouling organisms. Results of salinity at the studied harbours indicated that harbour (A) has the highest values of salinity with a monthly average of 37.5 PPT which supported the highest number of recorded species (27 species), the highest
Fig. 2: Graphical representation of the application of PCA method to monthly data of total fouling density and the most significant environmental factors that may affect the temporal distribution of fouling organisms at different harbours. T.foul. = Total fouling density; Sal. = Salinity; Temp. = Water Temperature; Chl.a = Chlorophyll a; D.O. = Dissolved oxygen; Si = Reactive silicate; TP = Total phosphorus; T.Zoo. = Total Zooplankton.
**Fig. 3:** Graphical representation of the application of PCA method to monthly data of total biomass and the most significant environmental factors that may affect the total biomass of fouling organisms at different harbours. T.biom. = Total biomass; Temp. = Water Temperature; Trans. = Transparency; Chl.a = Chlorophyll a; D.O. = Dissolved oxygen; Si = Reactive silicate; Tn = Total nitrogen; TP = Total phosphorus; T.zoo. = Total Zooplankton.
total fouling density (with monthly average 1114.4 indiv./panel area) and a high number of encrusting bryozoan species (4 species). On the other hand, the decrease in number of recorded species and total fouling at harbour C (19 species and monthly average of 137 individuals/panel area) may be associated with lower salinity (Table 3). That agrees with DharmaRaj & Nair (1981) who demonstrated that the numbers of species of sedentary fouling animals collected on test panels has a direct correlation with salinity on the southwest coast of India.

Concerning the importance of chlorophyll $a$ in water for the total biomass of fouling organisms at harbour A, the high content of chlorophyll $a$ at harbour (A) with average of (11.26 µg/l) (Table 4) indicates a relatively high phytoplankton biomass which leads to high productivity of water and high food content available for fouling organisms. This may increase the total biomass of fouling biota.

Results of total fouling biomass at the studied harbours indicated that the highest monthly average (14.1 gm/panel area) was recorded at harbour (B). This could be related to the highest mean number of settled barnacles having heavy calcareous shells (187.2 individuals/panel area) whereas the recorded numbers of barnacles at harbours (A) and (C) were 129.3 and 96.1 individuals/panel area. The monthly averages of total biomass at these harbours were 6.1 gm/panel area and 2.2 gm/panel area, respectively.

At harbour (B), the PCA revealed that ammonia and phosphate are the most important environmental factors controlling the temporal distribution and total biomass of fouling organisms.

The food requirements of all marine animal life ultimately depend on the photosynthetic production of organic matter by the phytoplankton. The growth of phytoplankton is dependent on many factors including sunlight, nutrients (N, P, Si), temperature, growth promoting compounds such as auxins, gibberellins, vitamin B$_{12}$ and certain metals such as K, Ca, Mg and Fe (Topping & McIntyre, 1972). The effects of the addition of nitrogen and phosphorus normally operate mainly through the primary producers (phytoplankton and/or macro-algae), and their effects on the environment or the rest of the food chain may follow (McIntyre & Johnston, 1974).

According to Wafer et al. (1986), ammonia has been recognized as a useful alternative nitrogen source for several aquatic plants and in most environments it may even be assimilated in preference to nitrate. Although the concentrations of ammonia and phosphate were not the maximum at harbour (B), their supplies might be adequate to establish the phytoplankton community at that harbour. This, in turn may increase the available food sources (total phytoplankton and/or total zooplankton) for fouling settlement and biomass at harbour (B). This agrees with our results which indicate that this harbour sustained the highest monthly average of total zooplankton (27,209 indiv./m$^3$).

At harbour (C) the PCA indicated that total density and total biomass of fouling organisms are inversely correlated with the total zooplankton. The PCA of factors affecting the total fouling density (Figure 2) shows that the factor loadings group total zooplankton, phosphate, ammonia, total phosphorus and nitrate in one cluster, while at figure (3) concerning total biomass, the factor loadings indicate that total zooplankton, transparency, nitrate and reactive silicate are grouped together in one cluster. Meanwhile, ammonia, phosphate and total phosphorus are grouped together in another cluster. These two clusters can form a single large cluster. This means that the total zooplankton is positively correlated with phosphate, ammonia, total phosphorus, nitrate, reactive silicate and transparency. Although the concentrations of most of these variables are high at harbour (C), the density of total zooplankton at that harbour is low (monthly average is 5,066 indiv./m$^3$). This means that there may be other effective factors which have not been
used in the analysis, but have negative effects on the total zooplankton at this harbour, such as planktivorous fish. MCQUEEN et al. (1986) and ZIMMER et al. (2001) indicated the negative effect of planktivorous fish on the species composition and abundance of zooplankton in the pelagic ecosystems. The negative correlation between the total fouling density or total biomass and total zooplankton indicates that the fouling organisms may graze on zooplankton.

Results of diversity indices might be related to degrees of eutrophication and/or industrial pollution at each harbour (Tables 4 and 5). The Trophic State Index showed that the estimated values of (TSI) at harbours A, B and C are 54.3, 49.6 and 54.7 respectively.

Thus, harbour (B) represents a mesotrophic basin, with the minimum concentrations of all nutrient salts (Table 4) and almost without an industrial source of pollution has the highest diversity indices (with monthly average of H=1.39, D=0.6), although due to water circulation the harbour may be subjected to additional amount of municipal wastewater from the main sewer of Alexandria (Kayet Bey), located in its western vicinity (LABIB, 2002). Meanwhile, harbour (C) representing a eutrophic, polluted, semiclosed basin, with the highest concentrations of nitrate, total nitrogen, phosphate, zinc, lead and chromium (Tables 4 and 5), has intermediate values of diversity indices (with monthly average of H=1.23, D=0.6). This harbour is mainly affected by the El-Umoum drain which discharges about 7.7X10⁶ m³/day of brackish water loaded with domestic, agricultural and industrial wastes, and by industrial wastes from a chloro-alkali plant, cement plant, oil refinery and other sources (ABDALLA et al., 1995 and FAHMY et al., 1997). ABDALLA et al. (1995) indicated that the El-Dekheila harbour might be considered as a eutrophic region. Moreover, ZAGHLLOUL et al. (1995) mentioned that the eutrophication in the El-Dekheila harbour is caused by brackish water from the El-Umoum drain. The circulation pattern of brackish water discharged from the El-Umoum drain took a clockwise direction in the El-Mex bay (EMARA & SHERIADAH, 1991), which resulted in an increase of eutrophication and decrease of salinity at the El-Dekheila harbour. Harbour (A) represents a eutrophic polluted exposed harbour, with the highest concentrations of nitrate, ammonia, total phosphorus, copper and cadmium (Tables 4 and 5), has the lowest values of diversity indices (with monthly average of H=0.99, D=0.42). MOHAMED & EL-MARADNY (2001) indicate that the water of the Abu Qir Bay is affected by continental discharges. They mentioned that the most important of these discharges is the slightly brackish water flowing from Edku Lake via Boughaz El-Maadia opening at a rate of about 6X10⁶ m³/day. They added that this water is mainly agricultural drainage. The other source is the El-Tabia pumping station. Its average daily discharge amounts to about 2X10⁶ m³ including drainage water from the El Behera governorate as well as industrial waters from 22 different factories. Industrial wastes include fertilizers, textile, dyes, weaving industries and food processing and canning plants (SAID et al., 1995).

It is clear from the results that harbour (B) has diversity indices higher than the other harbours. Harbour (A) has the lowest diversity indices. Harbour (C) is intermediate. This model of diversity differs from that of EMARA (2002) at Suez Bay where a maximum fouling diversity index was recorded at the polluted station but it agrees with that of KOCAK et al. (1999) in the Aegean Sea (Turkey) where the diversity index and evenness in the polluted site (suffering from industrial and domestic discharge) were relatively low compared with those in the unpolluted ones.
A decline in diversity indices usually indicates significant pollution (GRAY, 1980), but predation, competition, spatial heterogeneity and successive change may also influence diversity indices.
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