

## Mediterranean Marine Science

Vol 16, No 1 (2015)

Vol 16, No 1 (2015)



### Highly polymorphic microsatellite markers for the Mediterranean endemic fan mussel *Pinna nobilis*

M. GONZALEZ-WANGUEMERT, J. COSTA, L. BASSO, C. DUARTE, E. SERRÃO, I. HENDRIKS

doi: [10.12681/mms.949](https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.949)

#### To cite this article:

GONZALEZ-WANGUEMERT, M., COSTA, J., BASSO, L., DUARTE, C., SERRÃO, E., & HENDRIKS, I. (2014). Highly polymorphic microsatellite markers for the Mediterranean endemic fan mussel *Pinna nobilis*. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 16(1), 31–35. <https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.949>

## Highly polymorphic microsatellite markers for the Mediterranean endemic fan mussel *Pinna nobilis*

M. GONZÁLEZ-WANGÜEMERT<sup>1</sup>, J. COSTA<sup>1</sup>, L. BASSO<sup>2</sup>, C.M. DUARTE<sup>2,3</sup>, E.A. SERRÃO<sup>1</sup>  
and I. HENDRIKS<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CCMAR, CIMAR-Laboratório Associado, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologias, Universidade do Algarve, Gambelas, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal

<sup>2</sup> IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), Instituto Mediterráneo de Estudios Avanzados, C/ Miquel Marqués 21, 07190, Esporles (Mallorca), Spain

<sup>3</sup> UWA Oceans Institute and School of Plant Biology, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley 6009, Australia

Corresponding author: [mwanguemert@ualg.pt](mailto:mwanguemert@ualg.pt)

Handling Editor: Panagiotis Kasapidis

Received: 7 June 2014; Accepted: 30 July 2014; Published on line: 30 October 2014

### Abstract

*Pinna nobilis* is an endemic bivalve of the Mediterranean Sea whose populations have decreased in the last decades due to human pressure; as a consequence, it was declared a protected species in 1992. Despite its conservation status, few genetic studies using mitochondrial markers have been published. We report on the isolation and development of 10 microsatellite loci for the fan mussel, *Pinna nobilis*. All loci (2 di-nucleotide, 5 tri-nucleotide, 2 tetra-nucleotide and 1 penta-nucleotide) are characterized by high levels of polymorphism in 76 individuals tested from two populations in the Balearic Islands (Spain, Western Mediterranean Sea). The number of alleles ranged from 4 to 24 and expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.4269 to 0.9400. These microsatellites could be very useful for the assessment of the genetic diversity and connectivity patterns of *P. nobilis* and the establishment of new conservation strategies.

**Keywords:** *Pinna nobilis*, conservation genetics, connectivity, Mediterranean

### Introduction

The fan mussel *Pinna nobilis* (Linnaeus 1758) (Mollusca: Bivalvia) is an endemic Mediterranean species with great conservation interest due to regression of its populations as a consequence of overexploitation and habitat deterioration (Rabaoui *et al.*, 2011; Sanna *et al.*, 2013). It has been recognized as an endangered species in the Mediterranean Sea according to European Council Directive 92/43/EEC and is under strict protection (Annex IV of the directive); its capture is forbidden (92/43/EEC).

*Pinna nobilis* is the largest bivalve species in the Mediterranean Sea with maximum lengths of 1.20 m, occurring at depths between 0.5 and 60 m on soft bottoms mainly characterized by seagrass meadows, although it has also been observed on stands of *Caulerpa prolifera* (Hendriks *et al.*, 2012; per. observ. M. González-Wangüemert). *P. nobilis* presents veliger-stage larvae, which drift in the water column for 5-10 days before settling in the sediment, showing highly variable recruitment rates (Hendriks *et al.*, 2012). Despite its endangered conservation status, few studies have addressed population genet-

ics and connectivity patterns in *P. nobilis* (Katsares *et al.*, 2008; Rabaoui *et al.*, 2011; Sanna *et al.*, 2013; 2014). These studies have used 2 mitochondrial (COI and 16S genes) and 2 nuclear (18S and 28S) markers, which were limited in their resolution to assess the current genetic structure of *P. nobilis* populations. In order to study the connectivity and dispersal patterns of the species, highly polymorphic genetic markers are needed. Therefore, we hereby report on the isolation and development of ten highly polymorphic microsatellites for *Pinna nobilis*.

### Materials and Methods

Next generation ‘454’ sequencing was performed by Biocant (Portugal) from genomic DNA of 8 adults of *Pinna nobilis* (Mallorca, Balearic Islands, Spain), isolated according to the Sambrook *et al.* (1989) protocol. This generated a total of 53,236 reads of which 2,460 contained di- to hexa-nucleotide microsatellites (4.6 %) with a minimum of ten repeats identified using MSATCOMMANDER (Faircloth, 2008). Primers were designed using PRIMER3 software (Rozen & Skalesty, 2000; <http://>

bioinfo.ebc.ee/mprimer3/) for 31 microsatellites. From a total of 17 primer pairs tested, 10 (Genbank accession numbers: KJ541808-KJ541817) revealed high polymorphism on a subset of 76 individuals from two different locations (Biniacolla in Menorca island and Cabrera island) in the Balearic Islands (Table 1).

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed in 20 µl total volume, which includes 10 ng of DNA, 1.5-2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.25 µM of each primer, 100 µM dNTP's and 0.5 U Taq polymerase (Table 1). Cycling conditions consisted of an initial denaturation step of 3 min at 95 °C, followed by 30 cycles of 50 s at 95 °C, 50 s at annealing temperature (55-63 °C; Table 1), 1 min at 72 °C and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 5 min. All PCR reactions were performed in a GeneAmp 9700 thermocycler (PE Applied Biosystems). Individuals were genotyped by assessing allele size using forward primers labelled with FAM (SIGMA), HEX (SIGMA) and NED (Applied Biosystems). Allele sizes were scored according to the protocols of the Molecular Biology Service (CCMAR, Faro, Portugal) using an ABI Prism 3130 automated genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

Raw allele sizes were scored using the STRand software (v. 2.4.59 <http://www.vgl.ucdavis.edu/STRand>). The

number of alleles per locus, observed ( $H_o$ ) and expected heterozygosity ( $H_e$ ) and linkage disequilibrium (Black & Krafur's method; 10 000 permutations) were calculated in GENETIX v. 4.05 (Belkhir *et al.*, 2004). Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) across all samples were characterized by  $F_{IS}$  and tested using exact test in GENEPOP v. 4.0.10 (Rousset, 2008) software. In instances where the observed genotype frequencies deviated significantly from HWE, the Micro-Checker v.2.2.3 program (Van Oosterhout *et al.*, 2004) was used to test for null alleles. The genotypic (G-based) and genic (Fisher's method) differentiation for the pair of locations were estimated using the GENEPOP v. 4.0.10 software. The differentiation among locations was also quantified by  $F_{ST}$  (using the estimator  $\theta$  of Weir & Cockerham, 1984) and tested for allele-frequency heterogeneity using an exact test (ARLEQUIN v.3.11; Excoffier *et al.*, 2005).

## Results and Discussion

The number of alleles per locus ranged from 4 (P.n 2.2) to 24 (P.n 4.3) (Table 1) and expected heterozygosity ( $H_e$ ) ranged from 0.4269 (P.n 3.5) to 0.940 (P.n 4.3) (Table 2). Therefore, microsatellite loci showed high levels

**Table 1.** Characterization of ten microsatellite loci for *Pinna nobilis*.

| Locus   | Repeat motif          | Primer sequences (5'-3') and the fluorescent dye to mark the 5' end of the forward primer | T <sub>a</sub> (°C) | MgCl <sub>2</sub> (mmol/L) | N° Alleles | Size range (bp) |
|---------|-----------------------|---|---------------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| P.n 2.1 | (GT) <sub>14</sub>    | F: FAM-TGCACCTTTTCTTGGACGG<br>R: GGAAC TGCACTCGATGACG                                     | 57                  | 1.5                        | 12         | 201-231         |
| P.n 2.2 | (AC) <sub>10</sub>    | F: FAM-GGCCATAAGTGCCGAACAC<br>R: ACAGGAAAATTAGAACTTAGGAACG                                | 55                  | 2                          | 4          | 230-236         |
| P.n 3.2 | (AAC) <sub>12</sub>   | F: FAM-CCGAGGTCCCGTATCACAG<br>R: TGCCCTTTGTGTCATTATTCG                                    | 63                  | 1.5                        | 15         | 194-228         |
| P.n 3.3 | (ATT) <sub>13</sub>   | F: HEX-CGAGACGGAGTTCCAAAGC<br>R: TGGCCCTGAACAGTAGGTG                                      | 56                  | 2                          | 8          | 226-242         |
| P.n 3.4 | (ATC) <sub>10</sub>   | F: HEX-CCTCGTTCATTGCACCCTG<br>R: ACAGTGCTTTCATATGTCGGG                                    | 55                  | 2                          | 8          | 123-155         |
| P.n 3.5 | (AAT) <sub>13</sub>   | F: FAM-CCTAGCCTACATTCATATGTGC<br>R: TCATGTCTATGTCAAATGAACTCG                              | 55                  | 2                          | 11         | 149-183         |
| P.n 3.6 | (AAT) <sub>13</sub>   | F: NED-AGGGACTTAAATTGACCGCTTC<br>R: CGGACTTTGTGTCAGTATGATCGG                              | 55                  | 2                          | 13         | 208-236         |
| P.n 4.2 | (AGAT) <sub>10</sub>  | F: FAM-TCCTTTAATTCAGTGGGTCCG<br>R: ATCCCGCAAATCCATCGC                                     | 62                  | 1.5                        | 15         | 163-211         |
| P.n 4.3 | (ATTT) <sub>17</sub>  | F: HEX-TGGATCTAGACTCTTTGTTTGTCTTC<br>R: ACAGTGCCATGCTATGTTGC                              | 56                  | 2                          | 24         | 238-350         |
| P.n 5.2 | (ATAGT) <sub>11</sub> | F: HEX-TTGCATGTGCCACCATAATC<br>R: TTCATACCGATGAGCCAAATG                                   | 61                  | 2                          | 13         | 181-223         |

**Table 2.** Parameters of genetic diversity from the two *Pinna nobilis* populations (N: number of individuals;  $H_O$ : observed heterozygosity;  $H_E$ : expected heterozygosity; BIN: Biniacolla; CAB: Cabrera).

| Locus          | Population |           |
|----------------|------------|-----------|
|                | BIN        | CAB       |
| <b>N</b>       | 34         | 42        |
| <b>P.n 2.1</b> |            |           |
| Allele number  | 11         | 11        |
| $H_O$          | 0.5588     | 0.4615    |
| $H_E$          | 0.7845     | 0.7449    |
| $F_{IS}$       | 0.2907***  | 0.3835*** |
| <b>P.n 2.2</b> |            |           |
| Allele number  | 3          | 3         |
| $H_O$          | 0.7187     | 0.9750    |
| $H_E$          | 0.4876     | 0.5420    |
| $F_{IS}$       | -0.4854    | -0.8172   |
| <b>P.n 3.2</b> |            |           |
| Allele number  | 14         | 13        |
| $H_O$          | 0.7647     | 0.8250    |
| $H_E$          | 0.8665     | 0.8864    |
| $F_{IS}$       | 0.1191     | 0.0701    |
| <b>P.n 3.3</b> |            |           |
| Allele number  | 6          | 7         |
| $H_O$          | 0.5454     | 0.4500    |
| $H_E$          | 0.6606     | 0.6870    |
| $F_{IS}$       | 0.1766*    | 0.3479*** |
| <b>P.n 3.4</b> |            |           |
| Allele number  | 6          | 8         |
| $H_O$          | 0.3529     | 0.4634    |
| $H_E$          | 0.6866     | 0.6703    |
| $F_{IS}$       | 0.4897***  | 0.3113*** |
| <b>P.n 3.5</b> |            |           |
| Allele number  | 11         | 6         |
| $H_O$          | 0.4412     | 0.4500    |
| $H_E$          | 0.4569     | 0.4269    |
| $F_{IS}$       | 0.0351     | -0.0548   |
| <b>P.n 3.6</b> |            |           |
| Allele number  | 10         | 11        |
| $H_O$          | 0.2593     | 0.2059    |
| $H_E$          | 0.8763     | 0.8310    |
| $F_{IS}$       | 0.7081***  | 0.7550*** |
| <b>P.n 4.2</b> |            |           |
| Allele number  | 8          | 14        |
| $H_O$          | 0.6061     | 0.5238    |
| $H_E$          | 0.8149     | 0.8858    |
| $F_{IS}$       | 0.2593***  | 0.4116*** |
| <b>P.n 4.3</b> |            |           |
| Allele number  | 20         | 21        |
| $H_O$          | 0.9412     | 0.8809    |
| $H_E$          | 0.9337     | 0.9400    |
| $F_{IS}$       | -0.0081    | 0.0636    |
| <b>P.n 5.2</b> |            |           |
| Allele number  | 8          | 12        |
| $H_O$          | 0.6969     | 0.6098    |
| $H_E$          | 0.6103     | 0.5869    |
| $F_{IS}$       | -0.1446    | -0.0395   |

of polymorphism in *P. nobilis*, which is consistent with the number of alleles observed in other bivalve species (Martínez *et al.*, 2009; Pereira *et al.*, 2010).

Significant heterozygote deficiency was detected in five loci (Table 2). Such deviations from HWE may be due to the Wahlund effect, non-panmixia (inbreeding, groupings of relatives, selection against heterozygotes) or to genotyping errors (null alleles and other scoring errors) (González-Wangüemert & Vergara-Chen, 2014). Inbreeding seems an unlikely explanation in bivalves with large populations and pelagic larvae. Non-random mating is also unlikely in our case, as deficits were heterogeneous among loci (significant and non-significant  $F_{IS}$  values). Selection against heterozygotes cannot be demonstrated from our results; although microsatellite loci are typically recognized as neutral genetic markers, it is possible that one or more loci are linked to genes or gene groups under selection (Astaneï *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, none of the microsatellite loci showed significant probability ( $P > 0.05$ ) of “large allele dropout” or “stuttering”. However, three of the loci with significant heterozygote deficiency (P.n 3.4, P.n 3.6, P.n 4.2) showed significant values ( $P < 0.05$ ) for “null alleles”. These are thought to be common in bivalve species and possibly associated with high mutation rates (Miller *et al.*, 2013). The Wahlund effect could also explain the deficit of heterozygotes due to the recruitment of genetically variable cohorts of larvae (González-Wangüemert *et al.*, 2007), such as could occur in *P. nobilis* (Hendriks *et al.*, 2012). Only 1 pair of loci out of 45 tests showed significant linkage disequilibrium after Bonferroni correction.

The pattern of differentiation, based on  $F_{ST}$  statistic, pointed to non-significant differences between the two sampled localities ( $F_{ST}=0.0067$ ;  $P=0.1113$ ). However, significant genic differentiation was found among localities for every loci and for each population pair across all loci ( $\chi^2 = 71.1878$ ;  $P=0.0000$ ). Similar results were detected on genotypic differentiation ( $\chi^2 = 51.8727$ ;  $P=0.0001$ ).  $F_{ST}$  is frequently used as a summary of genetic differentiation among groups. It depends on the allele frequencies at a locus, showing peculiar properties linked to genetic diversity: higher values for biallelic single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) than for multiallelic microsatellites, low values among high-diversity populations viewed as substantially distinct, and low values for populations that differ primarily in rare alleles (Jakobsson *et al.*, 2013). Due to these reasons, several authors argued that measures of  $F_{ST}$  may be poor measures of genetic differentiation when the level of diversity is high (Jakobsson *et al.*, 2013 and references therein). This could explain the non-differentiation detected among our locations using  $F_{ST}$  considering the high diversity showed by our microsatellites and the high number of rare alleles. However, genic and genotypic differentiation was detected between localities, stressing the importance of genetic studies at small spatial scales (González-Wangüemert & Vergara-Chen, 2014).

The 10 microsatellite loci developed from the *P. nobilis* genome show high genetic diversity, making them useful for connectivity studies aimed to establish conservation strategies for this endangered species. Caution is required for the loci that exhibited significant heterozygote deficiency and evidence of “null alleles”.

## Acknowledgements

This study received financial support from the Mari-nerA project ‘Shifting’, funded in Portugal by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT). MGW was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT, Portugal) postdoctoral grant (SFRH/BPD/70689/2010). We thank Ana Balau and Marta Valente for genotyping work.

## References

- Astaneï, I., Wilson, G.J., Powell, E., 2005. Genetic variability and phylogeography of the invasive zebra mussel, *Dreissena polymorpha* (Pallas). *Molecular Ecology*, 14, 1655-1666.
- Belkhir, K., Borsa, P., Goudet, J., Chicci, L., Bonhomme, F., 1996-2004. GENETIX 4.05, logiciel sous WindowsTM pour la génétique des populations. Laboratoire Génome, Populations, Interactions, CNRS UMR 5000, Université de Montpellier II, Montpellier (France). Available on <http://www.univ-montp2.fr/genetix/genetix/genetix.htm>.
- Excoffier, L., Laval, G., Schneider, S., 2005. Arlequin ver. 3.0: an integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online*, 1, 47-50.
- Faircloth, B.C., 2008. MSATCOMMANDER: detection of microsatellite repeat arrays and automated, locus-specific primer design. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 8, 92-94.
- González-Wangüemert, M., Pérez-Ruzafa, Á., Cánovas, F., García-Charton, J.A., Marcos, C., 2007. Temporal genetic variation in populations of *Diplodus sargus* from the SW Mediterranean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 334, 237-244.
- González-Wangüemert, M., Vergara-Chen, C., 2014. Environmental variables, habitat discontinuity and life history shaping the genetic structure of *Pomatoschistus marmoratus*. *Helgolander Marine Research*, 68, 357-371.
- Hendriks, I., Basso, L., Deudero, S., Cabanellas-Reboredo, M., Alvarez, E., 2012. Relative growth rates of the noble pen shell *Pinna nobilis* throughout ontogeny around the Balearic islands (western Mediterranean, Spain). *Journal of Shellfish Research*, 31, 749-756.
- Jakobsson, M., Edge, M.D., Rosenberg, N.A., 2013. The relationship between  $F_{ST}$  and the frequency of the most frequent allele. *Genetics*, 193, 515-528.
- Katsares, V., Tsiora, A., Galinou-Mitsoudi, S., Imsiridou, A., 2008. Genetic structure of the endangered species *Pinna nobilis* (Mollusca: Bivalvia) inferred from mtDNA sequences. *Biologia*, 63 (3), 412-417.
- Martínez, L., Arias, A., Méndez, J., Insua, A., Freire, R., 2009. Development of twelve polymorphic microsatellite markers in the edible cockle *Cerastoderma edule* (Bivalvia: Cardiidae). *Conservation Genetics Resources*, 1, 107-109.
- Miller, A.D., Versace, V. L., Matthews, T.G., Montgomery, S., Bowie, K., 2013. Ocean currents influence the genetic structure of an intertidal mollusc in southeastern Australia-

- implications for predicting the movement of passive dispersers across a marine biogeographic barrier. *Ecology and Evolution*, 3, 1248-1261.
- Pereira, S., Arias, A., Méndez, J., Insua, A., Freire, R., 2010. Isolation of twelve microsatellite markers in the pullet carpet shell *Venerupis pullastra* (Bivalvia: Veneridae). *Conservation Genetics Resources*, 2, 201-203.
- Rabaoui, L., Tlig-zouari, S., Katsanevakis, S., Ben Hassine, K., 2011. Modelling population density of *Pinna nobilis* (Bivalvia) on the Eastern and Southeastern coast of Tunisia. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, 76, 340-347.
- Rousset, F., 2008. Genepop'007: a complete reimplementation of the Genepop software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 8, 103-106.
- Rozen, S., Skaletsky, H., 2000. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In: *Bioinformatics methods and protocols*. Krawetz S., Misener S. (Eds). Human Press, pp. 365-386.
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E.F., Maniatis, T., 1989. *Molecular cloning*. New York: Cold Spring Laboratory Press. 600 p.
- Sanna, D., Cossu, P., Dedola, G., Scarpa, F., Maltagliati, F. *et al.*, 2013. Mitochondrial DNA reveals genetic structuring of *Pinna nobilis* across the Mediterranean Sea. *PlosOne*, 8, e67372.
- Sanna, D., Dedola, G., Scarpa, F., Lai, T., Cossu, P. *et al.*, 2014. New mitochondrial and nuclear primers for the Mediterranean marine bivalve *Pinna nobilis*. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 15 (2), 416-422.
- Van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W. F., Wills, D.P.M., Shipley, P., 2004. MicroChecker: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 4, 535-538.
- Weir, B.S., Cockerham, C.C., 1984. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution*, 38, 1358-1370.