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Abstract

Since the first introduction of the landing obligation (a.k.a. Discard ban) in 2015, the EU Mediterranean fisheries are facing some unforeseen challenges. The demersal bottom trawl fisheries, being the most significant contributors to the so-called ‘discard problem’, are confronted with the greatest challenges. Data from the Italian and the Greek fleet, spanning over two decades (1995–2015), were analysed with the intention of revealing the diversity and heterogeneity of the discard problem, especially for regulated species. Species composition of discards, as well as discarding rates, were shown to be irregular, fluctuating among areas, depth strata, seasons and years. Although fish dominated the discarded gross catch in weight, benthic invertebrates (other than commercial cephalopods and crustaceans) were the taxa discarded almost exclusively. The established minimum conservation reference size was largely ignored by fishers. From a management point of view, the present investigation suggests that the recently established Discard Management Plans lack scientific evidence (given the high intrinsic variability of the parameters and confusion regarding the rules) and provide exemptions from the landing obligation that will in practice allow the average Mediterranean bottom trawl vessel to continue business as usual. Moreover, detecting if these rules are actually respected is an almost impossible task for the Mediterranean control and enforcement authorities. Incentivizing the adoption of fishing technologies and practices that reduce pre-harvest mortality and post-harvest discards, while avoiding damage to sensitive marine species and habitats, seems the only way to move forward, rather than dealing with the problem after it has occurred.
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Introduction

European Union (EU) fisheries are responsible for a high level of discarding (Kelleher, 2005; Feekings et al., 2012), which is attributed to low-selectivity fishing techniques, excessive fishing effort, low enforcement and a patchy species distribution (Johnsen & Eliasen, 2011). The European Commission (EC) has associated the ‘discard problem’ with poor economic performance and a significant component of marine ecosystem functioning (EU, 2009). Almost a hundred regulations and amendments (mainly technical measures) have been introduced since the 1980s that aim to reduce discards (Santurtun et al., 2014). In an attempt to solve the discard problem, the EU eventually decided to follow a more aggressive approach. The reformed Common Fisheries Policy (CFP, EU, 2013) pursues the gradual elimination of unwanted discarding practices at sea, through the gradual introduction of a “landing obligation” (Art. 15) for regulated species (originally scheduled to start from 2017 for demersal fisheries in the Mediterranean), which are subject to a Minimum Conservation Reference Size (MCRS) and/or Total Allowable Catch (TAC). As a result, EU fisheries are currently transitioning to reducing discards at sea and bringing all undersized catches of tabulated stocks to land obligatorily. This represents a fundamental shift in the management approach of EU fisheries, switching from landings monitoring to catch monitoring. Furthermore, regionalised decision making (e.g. at the Geographical Sub Area or GSA level) becomes a management option.

The EU fisheries management scheme, the CFP, has always given privileged treatment to the Mediterranean
region and specific regulations were explicitly introduced to outline those regulations (the ‘Mediterranean regulations’, EU, 1994 and EU, 2006). In brief, instead of an output control system (e.g. landing quotas and TACs), input control through an effort-regulating regime has been considered the most appropriate management strategy, with few exceptions. Consequently, the landing obligation in the Mediterranean does not apply to the species subject to catch limits (i.e. TAC and quota species), but to those subject to minimum conservation reference size (currently 27 species/taxa in Annex III of EU, 2006).

Implementation of the landing obligation to different types of fisheries is being undertaken in stages according a timetable (2015-2019). In this context, the EU Mediterranean fisheries are facing some unforeseen challenges and are currently on the brink of a new era.

In general, reducing or eliminating discards is the most complex in the Mediterranean multi-specific demersal trawl fisheries, which lack clear target species and have catches comprised of numerous unwanted species of variable or zero value. In such fisheries, discard mitigation measures are difficult to develop and implement. On average, most of the discards in the Mediterranean Sea (>35% by weight of the total catch) are attributable to such fisheries (Tsagarakis et al., 2014).

This study is based on a series of data obtained on-board commercial bottom trawlers during the past two decades (1995-2015) and aspires to highlight the complexity of the problem from a management point of view, linking it to the recently introduced discard management plan (EU, 2017). Particular attention is paid to more specific aspects of discarding fisheries, such as catch profiles, discarding trends (annual, seasonal and by depth) of commercial and sensitive (e.g. long-lived, deep water and chondrichthyan) species, as well as the fate of major species in relation to length (linked to MCRS limits compliance).

Materials and Methods

Study area—Fisheries features

The Mediterranean Sea constitutes less than 1% of the total surface water on the planet, with 22 different countries bordering its coastline. It extends from the Straits of Gibraltar to the Near East for about 4000 km, reaching its maximum depth (5121 m) in the eastern Ionian Sea (Barale, 2008). The Mediterranean Sea can be divided into two main basins of almost equal size, the western basin and the eastern basin, connected by the Strait of Sicily (Würtz, 2010). Despite its small size, the fish biodiversity and absolute number of species are relatively high: about 6% of the entire world’s fish species occur in its waters (Fredj et al., 1992; Coll et al., 2010).

During the period 1995-2015, 35 vessels (16 Italian and 19 Greek) were monitored during years where on-board observations were available in three Mediterranean geographical sub-areas: FAO GFCM GSA 09: Ligurian and North Tyrrhenian Sea, GSA22: Aegean Sea and GSA23: Crete. The monitored vessels were chosen randomly and were representative of the ones operating in the study areas in terms of vessel size and fishing operations.

A total of 1297 hauls (949 hauls in the Aegean Sea/Crete and 348 in the Ligurian and northern Tyrrhenian Seas) were conducted on board the aforementioned commercial otter bottom trawlers at depths between 15 and 597 m (Fig. 1; Tables 1 and S1, S5). The vast majority of hauls in depths less than 50 m were conducted by the Greek fleet.

Table 1. Summary description of some operational and economic characteristics of the fisheries under study. GSA 22-23: Aegean Sea and Crete; GSA 09: Ligurian and North Tyrrhenian Sea. (Monitored vessels are shown in Table S4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Nb Vessels</th>
<th>Fishing Depth (Avg)</th>
<th>Fishing Depth (Min)</th>
<th>Fishing Depth (Max)</th>
<th>Depth Range</th>
<th>Nb Species Discarded</th>
<th>% of catch Discarded</th>
<th>Landings Value per Vessel (x1000 Euros)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GSA22-23</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA22-23</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA22-23</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA22-23</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA22-23</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA22-23</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA9</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA9</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA9</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA9</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA9</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Typical otter bottom trawlers in this study were characterized by vessels usually longer than 25 m in length, with engine powers from 300 to 700 HP and mesh sizes of 40 mm squared/50 mm diamond. Towing speed was approximately three nautical miles per hour (range 2.4-3.6), and the average tow duration (with start considered right after the final stop of the winches) was 212 minutes (range 50-550 minutes).

Data were collected on board by scientific personnel, who did not interfere with the normal fishing practices of the crew. Observers performed species identification, discarded and marketed fraction weight and count for each species and recorded fishing operational data (date, position, depth and haul duration). The length of the specimens caught was recorded (total length or TL; 1 cm) for fish, mantle length (ML; 1 mm) for cephalopods, and carapace length (CL; 1 mm) for crustaceans. Catch per unit of effort (CPUE) was defined as the total weight of each species/taxon caught per hour of trawling, and it was considered a relative measure of population abundance in weight, although the proportionality constant (i.e. catchability, ranging from 0 to 1 or more than 1 in the case of a herding effect) is unknown and may vary by species, season, daylight etc. However, catchability for each species was assumed invariant by haul, as all hauls were carried out by similar vessels and gear configuration in all GSAs.

Operational and economic characteristics of the fleets (vessel capacity, vessel energy consumption and vessel annual landings value) were available only for the most recent years and were derived from the Annual Economic Reports of the EU Fishing Fleet and the corresponding electronic annexes.

Statistical analyses-Modelling

Catch profiles of the monitored fishing operations were analysed as groups of major taxa: 1. Fish; 2. Cephalopods; 3. Crustaceans and 4. Other Invertebrates (Table S2), in relation to depth stratum (by 100 m), season and year. Results were visualized as the contribution in total catch for the marketed (retained) and discarded fractions in polar coordinate plots.

Fig. 1: Map of the studied areas with the sampling locations indicated as red open circles.
Discard trends were assessed through generalized additive model approaches, which modelled the effects of various predictor variables (year, season, depth, longitude and latitude) on the relative abundance (expressed as CPUE) of total and sensitive taxa catch (selected invertebrates and elasmobranchs). The functional relationships between population density of marine species and environmental variables are usually neither linear nor monotonic. Assuming an inherent non-linearity, generalized additive models (GAMs; Hastie & Tibshirani, 1990) were applied to identify influential variables, reveal the form of the relationships, and quantify their effect on the relative index of abundance (CPUE). Implementation was done in R v.3.5.0 (R Core Team, 2018) using the package mgcv (Wood, 2006), according to the general formulation:

\[ f(\text{CPUE}) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_i(Z_{m}) \]

where \( f \) is the link function, LP is the linear predictor, \( \beta_0 \) is the intercept, \( s_i \) is the one-dimensional smooth function of covariate \( Z_m \), and \( Z_m \) is the value of covariate \( Z \) for the \( i \)-th observation. The smooth function \( s_i \) was represented using penalized regression splines (cubic splines with basis dimension \( q=10 \)), estimated by penalized iterative least squares. Identification of the underlying probability distribution for the errors in the dependent variable (CPUE) was performed using the Akaike information criterion (AIC; Akaike, 1973) and by checking residual patterns. After selecting the appropriate error distribution family, an information theoretic approach was followed (Burnham & Anderson, 2002) to discriminate among the best model including the most influential parameters affecting catches. A set of pre-defined candidate models were investigated, and the optimum model was selected based on the lowest AIC score.

To assess compliance with the MCRS, the probability of discarding by length was estimated for certain selected species driving the fisheries. The 'fate' of each individual fish (C=Commercial, D=Discarded) in relation to a series of predictor variables (size, year, season, depth) was modelled with GAMs. Discarding probabilities were visualized as a logistic curve (ogive) on a two-dimensional graph with distinctive two-level coloration. The 50% retention length (\( L_{50} \) - the size at which 50% of the specimens are retained) and the retention range (\( L_{15} - L_{85} \)) were also calculated for the aforementioned species. Analyses were partitioned in two periods (before and after 2006) related to the two EU regulations establishing size limits in the Mediterranean (EU, 1994 and EU, 2006).

The operational and economic characteristics of the fleets were linked to discards using a regression of various factors (vessel capacity, vessel energy consumption, vessel annual landings value and fishing depth) against the percentage of discards (Discards/Total Catch) or the total number of species/taxa discarded. The trends were evaluated by a simple linear regression, and significance was assessed by the super-imposed corresponding confidence intervals.

Finally, to estimate what percentage of the vessels (among the ones monitored) are eligible for an exemption from the landing obligation (discard plan-EU, 2017), species-specific annual landings were expressed as percentage of total landings for all vessels under study.

**Results**

**Operational and economic characteristics of the bottom trawl fisheries under study**

A brief summary description of the operational and economic characteristics of the discarding fisheries under study is given in Table 1. The number of species discarded was not regressed against fishing depth because there was a clear difference in the sampling protocols among the two GSAs, with the Greek observers focusing mainly on target species related to the EU Fisheries Data Collection Framework (Tables S2-S3). Due to this difference in sampling protocols, fishing depth was investigated only against the discard ratio (%) and was found to be significantly (although with a low \( r^2 \)) negatively related (\( r^2=0.54, p<0.05; \) Fig. 2). Moreover, vessels that were financially ‘successful’ and achieved higher revenues were also more likely to discard. Landing value per vessel was positively and marginally significantly associated with discarding rates (\( r^2=0.35, p<0.05; \) Fig. 2).

**Discarded and Marketed Catch profiles**

** Aegean Sea and Crete (GSA 22-23)**

Fish comprised the majority of discards (in terms of weight) and were more prevalent within the continental shelf (depth <200m; first and second stratum and around autumn) (Fig. 3A). Out of a total of 139 fish species discarded (Table S3), just five of them accounted for more than a third of these discards (horse mackerel *Trachurus trachurus*, hake *Merluccius merluccius*, spotted catshark *Scyliorhinus canicula*, bogue *Boops boops* and pilchard *Sardina pilchardus*). The list of major taxa discarded included 13 species of crustaceans and 23 species of cephalopods. On the other hand, marketed species were mainly represented by fish (124 species) and crustaceans (20 species) (Table S3), with the latter characterized by high market values and predominantly driven by deep water rose shrimp (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, discarded fractions were considerably lower for crustaceans compared to fish. ‘Other Invertebrates’ were largely discarded (Fig. 4).

**Ligurian and northern Tyrrhenian Seas (GSA 09)**

Fish dominated both discards and landings and were more prevalent on the continental shelf (depth <200m) and in summer/autumn (Fig. 5A). One hundred fifty-one fish species were discarded (Table S3), with hake and pilchard accounting for more than 70% of discarded species. In addition, 36 species of crustaceans and 26 species...
of cephalopods were discarded (Table S3). In contrast, 136 fish species were landed, with horse mackerel comprising a quarter of these landings. Marketed species included significant quantities of crustaceans (45 species) and cephalopods (29 species) (Table S3), especially from deeper strata (>300m) (Fig. 5B). 'Other Invertebrates' were mostly discarded in contrast to crustaceans and cephalopods (Fig. 6).

**Discard trends**

Modelling discard rates (Table S4) in relation to various driving factors revealed interesting spatiotemporal differences and patterns.

**Aegean Sea and Crete**

Spatial depiction of discarding locations by year is shown in Figure 7. Higher discards occurred in the north-eastern part of the Aegean Sea, in waters less than 100 m deep. Discarding as a practice was less pronounced during winter and showed a diminishing trend through the years (Fig. 8). Summer observations are absent because the Greek bottom trawl fishery is regulated through a general summer closure.

Analysis of sensitive taxa (invertebrates other than crustaceans and cephalopods and elasmobranchs) did not indicate any dissimilar trends in comparison to all other taxa (Fig. S1).

---

**Fig. 2.** Maximum fishing depth (m) regressed upon percentage of total catch discarded (A) and Landings value per vessel (x1000 €) regressed upon percentage of total catch discarded (B). Results are depicted by geographical area studied.
Fig. 3: Discard (A) and landings (marketed; B) catch profiles of major taxonomic groups by depth stratum, season and year in the Aegean Sea and Crete (GSA 22-23) trawl fishery. (Y-axis is expressing absolute values in kg). Invert denotes invertebrates other than cephalopods and crustaceans.
Ligurian and northern Tyrrhenian Seas

Discarding locations for the Italian bottom trawl fleet is shown in Figure 9. Higher discards occurred in the south-eastern sectors with a fluctuating pattern in relation to depth stratum (Fig. 10), indicating no extensive discarding within certain depth ranges. There was no apparent seasonal trend, with a non-significant increasing annual tendency.

Analysis of sensitive taxa showed that elasmobranchs residing in deeper waters were more prone to discarding (Fig. S2).

Discards of undersized regulated commercial species

Assessment of the probability of discarding by size, a feature linked to MCRS compliance, revealed that the prohibition of landing undersized individuals was not widely respected. Table 2 provides \( L_{50} \) retention size and ReR (Retention range) in comparison to established MCRS for the most common species. As a general rule, fishing in deeper strata resulted in catching larger individuals, and as a result, shallow coastal waters were more associated with specimens below the MCRS. To avoid any misinterpretations, it must be clarified here that the succeeding discard ogives (probabilities of a fish being discarded or not) depict the choice of fishers to retain the fish for marketing depending on its size. They do not reflect the actual selection curve of the catch, which is linked to operational features of the gears.

Aegean Sea and Crete

For hake, although the overall investigation of pooled data indicated that most fish smaller than the MCRS were discarded (Fig. 11), analyses by season and depth stratum revealed that numerous undersized specimens (between 17-19 cm TL) were landed in winter, mostly fished at depths <100m (Fig. S3). No significant change in the retention size throughout the years was observed (Table 2; Fig S3). For red mullet, Mullus barbatus, fish between 9-11 cm in total length were retained, largely ignoring the 11 cm MCRS (Fig. 11). Discarding occurred mostly for fish caught in waters less than 200 m of depth. Although retention size increased after 2006, it was still well below the MCRS (Fig. 11). Horse mackerels were not discarded due to MCRS restrictions, but rather because of market considerations. Almost all fish <20 cm were discarded, this size being far above the MCRS of 15 cm (Fig. 11). Deep-water rose shrimp (Parapenaeus longirostris) landings respected the established MCRS (20 mm CL) in general (Fig. 11; Table 2); however, this was largely ignored during winter (when all specimens above 17 mm were retained) and during 2014. Anglerfish (Lophius budegassa) in the absence of any recent MCRS limitation, were landed solely based on market demand. As a general rule, almost all fish <10 cm TL were discarded (Fig. 11). However, before 2006 when the species was regulated by a 30

Fig. 4: Fate (discarded- or marketed) of major taxonomic groups by depth stratum, season and year in the Aegean Sea and Crete trawl fishery. (Y-axis is expressing absolute values in kg of the gross catch)
Fig. 5: Discard (A) and commercial (marketed - B) catch profiles of major taxonomic groups by depth stratum, season and year in the Ligurian and northern Tyrrhenian Seas trawl fisheries. (Y-axis is expressing absolute values in kg).
cm MCRS, the retention size was much higher, but still below the MCRS (Table 2; Fig. S3). Finally, all bogue discarded were above the national MCRS of 10 cm (Fig. 11; Table 2). Detailed outputs of the GAM derived discard probabilities by size are given in the supplementary figures for various depth strata, years and seasons (Fig. S3).

Ligurian and northern Tyrrhenian Seas

Hake discarding was partly driven by MCRS compliance, (Fig. 12) with the exception of winter/spring (where numerous fish smaller than 20 cm TL were landed) and
the period before 2006 (Fig. S4). The two discrete discards evident in Figure 12 denote two groups: the group before 2006, which mostly ignored the size limit, and another group after 2006, which partially respected the MCRS (Fig. S4b; Table 2). In both cases, the retention size was far from the MCRS of 20 cm. Although the deep-water rose shrimp MCRS was respected more than the hake MCRS, the retention size (15 mm) fell far from being within legal limits (Fig. 12; Table 2). A conspicuous deviation was observed during autumn, when undersized specimens were landed. All horse mackerel marketed were above the MCRS of 15 cm TL, and most of them were actually above 20 cm TL (Fig. 12; Table 2). Although the global red mullets discard ogive gives the impression that very few specimens below the MCRS were retained (Fig. 12), analysis by season, depth stratum and year revealed large quantities of undersized fish being marketed in the distant past and during spring (Fig. S4). Retention size increased significantly after 2006 but was still below the MCRS (Table 2). Striped red mullet (Mullus surmuletus) discards were irregular (Fig. 12), far from the MCRS (Table 2), and all specimens during spring and summer were retained for marketing (Fig. S4). As a rule, only large bogues were marketed (Fig. 12); however, in 1995, even very small specimens were landed (Fig. S4). Detailed outputs of the GAM-derived discard probabilities by size are given in the supplementary figures for various depth strata, years and seasons (Fig. S4).

Species-specific annual landings linked to discard plan

Hake, red mullet and deep-water rose shrimp landings were checked to see if they exceed the threshold set in
the discard plan (25% of total landings during the reference period 2014-2015). No Italian vessel had annual hake landings exceeding 25% of its total landings, and only one exceeded this threshold for red mullet and will have to comply with the landing obligation (Table 3). In the Greek fishery, no vessel exceeded the 25% threshold in hake or red mullet annual landings; however, 5 vessels were above this threshold for deep-water rose shrimp. Moreover, applying the previous calculations beyond the reference period of 2014-2015 and extending to the whole study period (1995-2015; Table S1) revealed that a third of the Italian vessels had hake landings above 25%, while the majority of Greek vessels exceeded this limit for deep water rose shrimp.

**Table 2.** Retention size ($L_{50}$), retention range (ReR) and Minimum Conservation Reference Size (MCRS) for most common species of the discarding fisheries under study. MCRSs refer to the size limits set in the relevant EU regulations: period before 2006 (COM 1626/94) and after 2006 (COM 1967/2006). (n.a. = not applicable).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$L_{50}$ (mm)</th>
<th>ReR (mm)</th>
<th>MCRS (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aegean Sea and Crete</td>
<td>M. merluccius</td>
<td>139 141</td>
<td>20 23</td>
<td>200 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegean Sea and Crete</td>
<td>M. barbatus</td>
<td>62 74</td>
<td>28 18</td>
<td>110 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegean Sea and Crete</td>
<td>T. trachurus</td>
<td>179 151</td>
<td>67 63</td>
<td>n.a. 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegean Sea and Crete</td>
<td>P. longirostris</td>
<td>20 18</td>
<td>6 6</td>
<td>n.a. 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegean Sea and Crete</td>
<td>L. budegassa</td>
<td>149 102</td>
<td>37 43</td>
<td>300 n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegean Sea and Crete</td>
<td>B. boops</td>
<td>136 129</td>
<td>58 69</td>
<td>n.a. n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Tyrrhenian &amp; Ligurian Sea</td>
<td>M. merluccius</td>
<td>98 163</td>
<td>26 22</td>
<td>200 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Tyrrhenian &amp; Ligurian Sea</td>
<td>M. barbatus</td>
<td>62 71</td>
<td>27 35</td>
<td>110 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Tyrrhenian &amp; Ligurian Sea</td>
<td>M. surmuletus</td>
<td>85 57</td>
<td>29 42</td>
<td>110 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Tyrrhenian &amp; Ligurian Sea</td>
<td>P. longirostris</td>
<td>15 15</td>
<td>4 4</td>
<td>n.a. 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Tyrrhenian &amp; Ligurian Sea</td>
<td>T. trachurus</td>
<td>260 190</td>
<td>90 30</td>
<td>n.a. 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Tyrrhenian &amp; Ligurian Sea</td>
<td>B. boops</td>
<td>210 160</td>
<td>40 30</td>
<td>n.a. n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 10:** Generalized additive models (GAM) derived effects of various parameters on the discard probability of the catch in the Italian trawl fishery. Dashed lines indicate two standard errors above and below the estimates. Relative density of data points is shown by the ‘rug’ on the x-axis.
Discussion

Although discarding in the Mediterranean has been documented to vary highly across the different GSAs, both among species (Tsagarakis et al., 2017) and among the different fishing gears (Tsagarakis et al., 2014), this study provides evidence that even vessels operating the same gear during different time periods (seasons and years) and spatial locations exhibit quite diverse discarding patterns. This high heterogeneity and spatiotemporal variation in discarding practices make it even more challenging to apply the Landing Obligation because the quantities of catches affected by the regulation cannot be estimated with high accuracy.

The current status

The Mediterranean Sea fisheries account for a sizeable 230,000 t of discards annually or 18.6% of the average annual catches (Tsagarakis et al., 2014), although true values may be higher (Pauly & Zeller, 2016). Among them, the bottom trawl fisheries and especially the EU

Fig. 11: GAM derived discard probability by total length with super-imposed discard ogives for major commercial species discarded in the Greek trawl fisheries in the Aegean Sea and Crete.
Mediterranean trawl fisheries, exhibit figures usually above 40%, being the most significant contributors to the ‘discard problem’. The reasons for discarding are numerous and include legal (e.g. specimens smaller than some prefixed minimum catchable/retainable on board/landing size), economic (low market value and high-grading), technical (e.g. characteristics of fishing gears and vessel hold capacity), biological (e.g. species composition and recruitment period) and environmental aspects (e.g. weather conditions affecting sorting practices) (Stratoudakis et al., 1998; Rochet & Trenkel, 2005; Tsagarakis et al., 2014; Uhlmann et al., 2014).

Discarding trends among the studied areas were contradictory; Aegean Sea and Crete fisheries (GSA 22-23) showed a diminishing trend through the years, while the Italian trawl fisheries in the Ligurian and Tyrrhenian Seas (GSA 09) remained stable or at least showed an indication of an increasing annual trend, though not statistically significant. To some extent, this confirms the findings of Uhlmann et al. (2014) that discard rates are usually more homogeneous across fisheries than regions. Fish and invertebrates other than cephalopods and crustaceans comprised the largest proportion of discards; however, the latter were almost totally discarded. Apparently, the

Fig. 12: GAM derived discard probability by total length with super-imposed discard ogives for major commercial species discarded in the Italian trawl fisheries in the Ligurian and northern Tyrrhenian Seas.
Table 3. Species-specific annual landings as the percentage of total landings by vessel during the reference period 2014–2015 stated in the discard management plan (EU 2017/86), for the monitored commercial vessels of this study. (Vessel names are masked - values exceeding 25% are shown in bold).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographical area</th>
<th>Vessel ID</th>
<th>Hake</th>
<th>Red mullet</th>
<th>Deep water rose shrimp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GSA 09 Ligurian and northern Tyrrhenian Seas</td>
<td>Vessel ITA 1</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessel ITA 2</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessel ITA 3</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessel ITA 4</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessel ITA 5</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA 22-23 Aegean Sea and Crete</td>
<td>Vessel GRC 1</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessel GRC 2</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessel GRC 3</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessel GRC 4</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>44.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessel GRC 5</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessel GRC 6</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessel GRC 7</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessel GRC 8</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessel GRC 9</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘other invertebrate’ species harvested by bottom trawlers are currently non-commercial or very low-value species, and commercial invertebrates found in the Mediterranean markets are usually extracted in the wild by means other than bottom trawling or are grown in farms. The full list of other invertebrate species/taxa affected by bottom trawlers from this study is given in supplementary Table S1. On the other hand, most of the crustacean catch was directed to the market, indicating their high value in the local markets and their significant contribution to the fishers’ incomes (Sartor, 2011).

In the Aegean Sea and Crete, discard volumes originated almost exclusively from catches within the continental shelf (<200 m), while the Italian fisheries demonstrated significant discards even in the 200–400 m zone. This was obviously an effect of the distinct fishing activities; Greek trawlers operated at an average depth of 130 m (range of single values 25–472 m), while the Italian ones spread out their activities further to the continental slope, at average depths of 230 m (range 15-597 m). The extended depth ranges where trawlers operate force them to interact with a larger part of the marine biota and this is one of the main reasons why bottom trawlers demonstrate such high levels of unwanted catches (Machias et al., 2001; D’Onghia et al., 2003).

Seasonal variations observed in both areas can be attributed to: (i) the uneven fishing periods (the Greek fishery is regulated by a four-month closure from June to September), (ii) the biological traits of the harvested species affecting their seasonal abundance, usually by depth (Moranta et al., 2000; Castriota et al., 2001; Quetglas et al., 2004; Sanchez et al., 2004), (iii) the weather conditions dictating fishing behaviour and limiting access to distant waters during winter (Sanchez et al., 2007) and (iv) fluctuating market demand (Tsagarakis et al., 2014).

A tendency towards “larger-deeper” and “smaller-shallower” (indicating the relation between specimen size and depth strata) for most of the species has been confirmed. This phenomenon is described also as “Heincke’s Law” (Macpherson & Duarte, 1991) and has been shown to be an important feature for the majority of Mediterranean demersal species (Labropoulou et al., 2008). Some authors argue that this may have been an anthropogenic effect (Moranta et al., 2004), and significant changes may have occurred in exploited communities following increasing fishing pressures in the traditional shallow fishing grounds. Nevertheless, the two fleets generally ignored the established size limits of the specimens caught; Italian trawlers’ discards were only partly driven by the MCRS restrictions (mostly after 2006), indicating a recent moderate compliance to the established minimum sizes (Sartor, 2011). On the other hand, Greek trawlers largely ignored the MCRS throughout the study period, confirming the strong local market demand for undersized fish (Damalas & Vassilopoulos, 2013).

Management considerations

The official legal document establishing the Landings Obligation (EU Regulation 1380/2013) includes provisions so that in certain circumstances, the landings obligation may not apply. Exceptions might occur in the case of a protected species whose capture is forbidden, when a species is exhibiting “high survivability” or situations that fall under the de minimis exemptions.

Under certain conditions, the de minimis exemption can be invoked, allowing fishers to discard undersized specimens that would otherwise be subject to the landing obligation. To realize these exemptions that are beneficial for fishers a ‘discard management plan’ is required defining the survival rates, the percentage of discards and reasonable justification for doing so. Furthermore, the suggestion of a regionalization approach for management (EU, 2013, Art.10) has a key role for the stocks shared among different Members States (MSs). This implies submission of joint recommendations (e.g. multiannual plans) to achieve the objectives of the EU relevant conservation measures.

In July 2016, Mediterranean MSs submitted joint recommendations (JRs) to the European Commission concerning discard plans for demersal fisheries in the Adriatic Sea, the south/eastern Mediterranean Sea and the western Mediterranean Sea, respectively (background...
Putting in practice the aforementioned regulation in the real world, and based on our data, we concluded that according to the discard plan criteria, the landing obligation could be frequently invoked only for deep-water rose shrimp in the Greek waters and occasionally for red mullet in the Italian fleet. It can be easily deduced that the average EU Mediterranean bottom trawl vessel is qualified to discard part of or the entire unwanted catch of hake and red mullets. Moreover, identifying if these rules are actually respected by the fishers is almost an impossible task. Documenting actual catches/discard in an effort-regulated regime lacking any output control (e.g. TACs or quotas), such as the one governing the Mediterranean fisheries, does not allow the control authorities to detect a violation against the unknown ‘annual catches’ of each vessel during the reference period of 2016–2018. Access to official reports of annual landings data, such as the ERS (Electronic Reporting System) may serve as a solution, if these data are actually available. In the Greek fisheries for example, ERS was introduced in 2015 and only on a few vessels as a pilot implementation. The system became fully operational after 2016. In addition, the Greek version of the discard plan, as published in the Official Journal of the European Union, erroneously refers to striped red mullet and not red mullet. Nevertheless, there is a more or less general consensus that in the absence of TACs, the Landing Obligation has little or no application to the Mediterranean fisheries (Tsagarakis et al., 2014; Damalas, 2015; Garcia-Rivera et al., 2015; Sardà et al., 2015; Veiga et al., 2016; Bellido et al., 2017). Furthermore, it seems that in the landing obligation legal document, economic considerations are not fully taken into account; the contribution of undersized catch to the total landings per vessel of all species in 2014 and 2015 consist of more than 25 % of the total annual catches of this species by vessels using trawl nets; and...

(a) in the western Mediterranean Sea (point 1 of the Annex):

(i) for hake (Merluccius merluccius) and red mullet (Mullus spp.), up to a maximum of 7 % for 2017 and 2018 and up to 6 % in 2019 of the total annual catches of these species by vessels using trawl nets; and...

(c) in the south-eastern Mediterranean Sea (point 3 of the Annex):

(ii) for hake (Merluccius merluccius) and red mullet (Mullus spp.), up to 7 % for 2017 and 2018 and up to 6 % for 2019 of the total annual catches of these species by vessels using trawl nets; and...

(iii) for deep-water rose shrimp (Parapenaeus longirostris), up to 7 % for 2017 and 2018 and up to 6 % for 2019 of the total annual catches of this species by vessels using trawl nets.

Annex-point 1: Where the total landings per vessel of all species in 2014 and 2015 consist of more than 25 % of hake, the landing obligation shall apply to hake. Where the total landings per vessel of all species in 2014 and 2015 consist of more than 25 % of red mullet, the landing obligation shall apply to red mullet.

Annex-point 3: Where the total landings per vessel of all species in 2014 and 2015 consist of more than 25 % of either hake, or red mullet, or deep-water rose shrimp, the landing obligation shall apply to hake, or red mullet, or deep-water rose shrimp, or all together.
producers and NGOs work collaboratively to provide the scientific and technical basis to achieve the gradual elimination of discards in European marine fisheries. Selectivity improvements, analytical techniques, observational technology and gear modifications are there to provide key information such as spatiotemporal delineation of sensitive habitats and real-time monitoring to support managers, policy makers and the industry (Catchpole et al., 2006; Ragonec & Bianchini, 2006; Dimech et al., 2012; Rosen et al., 2013; Grazia Pennino et al., 2014; Colloca et al., 2015; Druon et al., 2015; Paradinas et al., 2016; Russo et al., 2016a; Russo et al., 2016b). The solutions for dealing with unwanted catches should be based on, in order of priority: avoidance, selection and utilization.

Finally, The EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive (EU, 2008), is an important policy innovation, which could have an important future impact on the sustainable exploitation of the fishery resources. MSFD requires that all EU Member States take measures to achieve a Good Environmental Status (GES) in their seas by 2020. Achieving GES involves protecting the marine environment, preventing its deterioration and restoring it where practical, whilst simultaneously providing for sustainable use of marine resources. Appropriate fisheries management measures will be critical to the achievement of the GES targets, and solving the discard problem seems to be a key priority of the MSFD agenda.
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