



Mediterranean Marine Science

Vol 19, No 1 (2018)

Vol 19, No 1 (2018)



Fish assemblages along the coasts of Tunisia: a baseline study to assess the effectiveness of future Marine Protected Areas

EMNA BEN LAMINE, PAOLO GUIDETTI, MOHAMED SALAH ROMDHANE, PATRICE FRANCOUR

doi: <u>10.12681/mms.14206</u>

To cite this article:

BEN LAMINE, E., GUIDETTI, P., ROMDHANE, M. S., & FRANCOUR, P. (2018). Fish assemblages along the coasts of Tunisia: a baseline study to assess the effectiveness of future Marine Protected Areas. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, *19*(1), 11–20. https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.14206

Mediterranean Marine Science Indexed in WoS (Web of Science, ISI Thomson) and SCOPUS The journal is available online at http://www.medit-mar-sc.net DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.12681/mms.14206

Fish assemblages along the coasts of Tunisia: a baseline study to assess the effectiveness of future Marine Protected Areas

EMNA BEN LAMINE^{1,2}, PAOLO GUIDETTI², MOHAMED SALAH ROMDHANE¹ and PATRICE FRANCOUR²

¹National Agronomic Institute of Tunisia, UR Ecosystems and Aquatic Resources, 43 Avenue Charles Nicolle, Tunis 1082, Tunisia ²Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, FRE 3729 ECOMERS, Parc Valrose 28, Avenue Valrose, 06108 Nice, France

> Corresponding author: emna.lamine@gmail.com Handling Editor: Carlo Bianchi

Received: 14 June 2017; Accepted: 24 September 2017; Published on line: 15 March 2018

Abstract

The present study investigated coastal fish assemblages, using Underwater Visual Census (UVC) transects, that can be used as a baseline, at 3 locations in Tunisia (southern Mediterranean basin) where 3 MPAs will be established, before the implementation of protection measures. At each location, we used a sampling design where fish censuses were performed in two types of zone: zones that will be inside MPAs, and zones that will remain outside. On the whole, 49 taxa belonging to 19 families were censused. Our findings provided evidence of overfishing throughout the sampled areas, especially in terms of dominance of small- and medium-sized individuals of commercially relevant species. Our analyses, moreover, did not show any significant difference between fish assemblages (considering both density and biomass of whole assemblages, the different trophic categories and size distribution of commercial species) between future protected and unprotected zones. Overall, results suggest that: 1) current fish assemblages at the three studied locations are likely to be seriously impacted by fishing activities, and 2) these data could be used as a reliable baseline to assess the effectiveness of protection measures within the MPAs that will be established in the future. Our study is the first in Tunisia, and along the North African coasts, that has assessed distribution patterns of coastal fish assemblages by means of UVC, using a formal spatially replicated sampling design for resource management.

Keywords: Underwater Visual Census (UVC), Marine Protected Areas, Southern Mediterranean, Tunisia.

Introduction

Tunisia is located in the south-central Mediterranean Sea. It includes about 1670 km of coastline. It is considered as a crossroad between the eastern and western Mediterranean as it lies biogeographically in the Strait of Sicily, known for its high biodiversity, especially in terms of fish diversity (Bianchi et al., 2012). As in the rest of the Mediterranean (Sala et al., 2012 and references therein), professional (artisanal and industrial) and recreational fishing, along with a number of illegal practices, are seriously threatening coastal fish assemblages in Tunisia (Coll et al., 2010; CAR/ASP - PNUE/PAM, 2014; Halouani et al., 2015). In this context, Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) that include fully protected zones (also referred to as no-take zones or marine reserves) are important tools for conservation and management (PISCO & UNS, 2016). Worldwide, MPAs with fully protected zones have been clearly demonstrated to be effective for protecting fish assemblages (Di Franco et al., 2009; Guidetti et al., 2014, Mellin et al., 2016; PISCO & UNS, 2016; Bergseth et al., 2017). In the Mediterranean Sea, recent studies provided significant evidence that well-managed and well-enforced MPAs trigger clear ecological responses (particularly from large predatory fishes; Guidetti *et al.*, 2014; Giakoumi *et al.*, 2017) and provide fishery benefits (Di Franco *et al.*, 2016).

In most MPAs worldwide, scientists monitor the effectiveness of MPAs by assessing fish assemblages using Underwater Visual Census (UVC) methods after the establishment of the MPA, often comparing data collected under protected and fished conditions (e.g. Sala et al., 2012; Edgar et al., 2014; Aburto-Oropeza et al., 2015; Caldwell et al., 2016). Some authors have highlighted the importance of having time reference data, to be able to compare patterns before and after implementation of the MPA. This can be done by formally adopting the socalled 'Before vs After Control Impact' experimental design in the specific context of MPA studies (e.g. Guidetti, 2002; Pelletier *et al.*, 2008). The point is that comparing fish assemblage patterns only after MPA implementation by sampling at protected and fished control sites, might be subject to interference the 'reserve effect' (i.e. the response to protection, especially in terms of increased

abundance, size and biomass of fish as a consequence of protection measures) because, without 'before' data, uncontrolled factors, e.g. habitat and seascape complexity (Garcia-Charton *et al.*, 2000; Guidetti, 2002; Huntington *et al.*, 2010), may mask the effects of protection.

In this perspective, the present study has been undertaken to assess the status of coastal fish assemblages at three locations in Tunisia that will host MPAs in the near future (CAR/ASP - PNUE/PAM, 2015, but see also: http:// www.apal.nat.tn/site_web/contenu/creation_et_gestion_ des_amcp.html). The aim is to describe the status of these fish assemblages and use these data as 'before data' to test the effectiveness of MPAs once they are established and protection measures are implemented. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study carried out in Tunisia, and along the coasts of North Africa, that has assessed distribution patterns of coastal fish assemblages by means of UVC, and that has used a formal spatially replicated sampling design.

Methods

Sampling locations

UVC sampling was performed at three locations, referred to as Tabarka, Cap Negro-Cap Serrat and Kuriat Islands. Each location encompassed 4 sites that will be protected (i.e. that will be included within an MPA), and 2 adjacent sites (controls) that will remain open to fishing. Tabarka is situated on the west coast of Tunisia and the MPA will cover 327.855 ha, down to 40-m depth; Cap Negro-Cap Serrat is located in the north, and the MPA will cover 1 300 ha, down to 45-m depth; Kuriat islands, situated off the east coast, will be the site of an MPA covering 19 674 ha, down to 40-m depth (Fig. 1).

Sampling design and data collection

Six sampling sites were surveyed at each location (4 sites that will in the future be protected and 2 that will remain unprotected), using the visual census transect method (see Harmelin-Vivien et al., 1985) performed on rocky reefs from 10 to 20 meters depth. All sampling sites have similar characteristics in terms of habitat type, with rocky bottoms covering ~70%, and Posidonia meadows and sand covering the remaining $\sim 30\%$ of the substrate. One sampling survey per year was conducted (from 2014 to 2016 at Tabarka and Kuriat Islands, and in 2014 and 2016 at Cap Negro-Cap Serrat) during the warm season (from August to September) and under similar weather and sea conditions. The same person performed all fish counts at all sites, diving in one direction at constant speed, and performing three types of transects in terms of length and width: (1) transect A: 25 m x 5 m for necto-benthic fishes



Fig. 1: Locations where MPAs will be established along the Tunisian coast. Location of future protected sites (FP) and those outside (that will remain unprotected) (UP) (separated with dotted lines indicating borders of future MPAs as they are proposed in management plans).

(e.g. sea bream), (2) transect B: 35 m x 10 m for large mobile high-level predators (e.g. grouper), and (3) transect C: 10 m x 1 m for crypto-benthic fishes (e.g. Gobidae) (Prato et al., 2017 for further methodological details) (see Suppl. mat. 1 for the species list). Three replicates of each transect type were performed at each site and in each sampling year for a total of 144 replicates conducted in this study. At each transect, each species encountered was identified and its abundance recorded. Fish size (total length) was recorded within 5 cm size classes for large sized fishes (species maximum size > 50 cm), 2 cm size classes for other necto-benthic fish species and 1 cm for small crypto-benthic fishes (following Prato et al., 2017). Based on density and size data, fish biomass was estimated using length-weight relationships (Froese & Pauly, 2017).

Data analyses

Total fish density and biomass (pooling all species censused and calculated for all transect types together) were expressed as number of individuals or grams per square meter. Species richness was expressed as number of fish taxa per transect. Fish species were also characterized and pooled, in terms of density and biomass, according with their trophic category (see Bell & Harmelin-Vivien, 1983; Sala, 2004; Guidetti & Sala, 2007): large predators, small piscivores, invertivores 1 (major predators of sea urchins), invertivores 2 (with diet seldom including sea urchins), planktivores and herbivores (see Supp. Mat. 1 for further details). Then, for commercially relevant species (see table in Supp. Mat. 2), each recorded fish was assigned to one of three size classes, i.e. small, medium and large, corresponding to the lower, intermediate and upper 33% range of sizes reported in the literature (Froese & Pauly, 2017), and size-class frequencies were calculated for each location (see Supp. Mat. 2 for the total number of individuals per species used to build frequency distributions).

Overall fish assemblage structure (based on density and biomass data) was analysed using multivariate statistical techniques. Specifically, two "taxon x samples" matrices of species density and biomass (n = 49 taxa, n =144 samples) were analysed. Univariate techniques were used for: (1) species richness (one matrix n = 1 variable, n = 144 samples), (2) total density and total biomass (two other matrices: n = 1 variable, n = 144 samples), and, (3) density and biomass per trophic category (12 matrices: n = 1 variable, n = 144 samples). Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed using a four-way permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA, Anderson, 2001). For all Permanovas, the following factors have been taken into account: factor "Protection" (P) (2 levels, Future Protected (FP) and Unprotected (UP)) was considered as fixed; factor "Location (Lo)" (3 levels: Kuriat, Cap Negro-Cap Serrat, Tabarka) and factor "Site" (Si), (2 to 4 levels) nested in each level of P, and factor "Time (Ti)" (2 to 3 levels), were both considered as random. We used MonteCarlo permutations whenever the number of possible permutations was < 200. The PRIMER 7 package implemented with PERMANOVA+ was used to perform the analyses.

Results

Multivariate analyses

Overall, fish assemblages comprised 49 taxa belonging to 19 families: 48 taxa were found at Tabarka, 45 at Kuriat islands and 34 at Cap Negro-Cap Serrat. Sparidae and Labridae were strongly represented families in terms of number of species (see Table in Supp. Mat. 1).

Multivariate analyses performed on density data show that fish assemblage structure changed significantly between sites that will be protected and those that will remain outside MPAs (factor 'P' significant; Table 1). Assemblage structures in terms of both density and biomass also changed significantly over time, but with changes that were not the same at the spatial scales of locations and sites (interaction 'TixLoxSi(P)' significant; Table 1).

Univariate analyses

Mean species richness ranged from 8.2 ± 0.7 taxa in UP Kuriat to 14.2 ± 1.4 taxa in UP Tabarka (Fig. 2-a). Mean fish density (pooling all species) ranged from 0.7 ± 0.1 ind/m² in UP Kuriat to 1.5 ± 0.3 ind/m² in UP Cap Negro Cap Serrat (Fig. 2-b). Mean fish biomass (again pooling all species) ranged from 11.9 ± 2.9 in UP Kuriat to 50.1 ± 7.9 gr/m² in UP Tabarka (Fig. 2-c).

Table 1. PERMANOVA (multivariate analysis) on square roottransformed data of fish density and biomass. Ti: Time; Lo: Lo-
cation; Si: Site; P: Protection. *p <0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p <
0.001.

		Density		Biomass			
Source	df	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F		
Ti	2	10405	5.00***	13488	5.43***		
Lo	2	7504.7	1.25	11354	1.65*		
Р	1	12003	2.16*	8628.8	1.43		
Si(P)	5	4527.8	2.09	4391	1.71*		
TixLo	3	4141	2.01*	4415.5	1.97**		
TixP	2	2731.4	1.31	4065.9	1.63		
LoxP	2	3862.1	1.04	5873.8	1.25		
TixSi(P)	10	2239.4	2.02***	2621.2	1.58***		
LoxSi(P)	6	3380.2	1.61*	3701.1	1.64*		
TixLoxP	3	2316.2	1.12	2733.8	1.22		
TixLoxSi(P)	8	2101.4	1.89***	2260.2	1.36*		
Res	99	1106.6		1657.3			
Total	143						



Fig. 2: Mean species richness (a), mean density (b) and mean biomass (c) (±standard error) per location (KU: Kuriat islands, CNCS: Cap Negro-Cap Serrat, TA: Tabarka) and protection level (UP: Unprotected, FP: Future Protected).

Univariate analyses showed that: 1) none of the three variables (species richness, total density and biomass of fish) significantly differed between UP and FP (factor 'P' was never significant; Table 2), 2) species richness was significantly variable over sampling times (factor 'Ti' significant); 3) fish density displayed a variability over sampling times that differed at both the spatial scales of locations and sites (interaction 'TixLoxSi(P)' significant); 4) fish biomass changed over time (factor 'Ti' significant) and was significantly variable at the scale of locations (factor 'Lo' significant).

Trophic category density and biomass

Density per trophic category displayed different patterns (Fig. 3, Table 3). Density patterns of herbivore fishes did not change for any factor considered here. Large predator and invertivore 1 densities showed a significant temporal variability ('Ti' significant). Small predator density was not consistent over time between locations (interaction 'TiXLo' significant). Finally, mean densities of invertivore 2 fishes changed over time at both the spatial scales of locations and sites (interaction 'TiXLoX-Si(P)' significant), while density of planktivores changed over time at the scale of locations (interaction 'TiXLo' significant).

Patterns of biomass also changed, depending on the trophic category (Fig. 4, Table 4). Biomass patterns of herbivores and invertivores 1 did not change for any factor considered here. Biomass of large predator fishes showed a significant variability over time ('Ti' significant). Small predator biomass was not consistent between times and locations (interaction 'TiXLo' significant). Mean biomass of herbivores was not consistent either, in the spatial (locations and sites) and temporal scales (interaction 'TiXLoXSi(P)' significant). Finally, biomass of invertivores 2 changed over time, but differently for sites

Table 2. PERMANOVA (univariate analysis) on species richness, total density and total biomass of fish. Ti: Time; Lo: Location;Si: Site; P: Protection. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

		Species ric	chness	Density		Biomass	
Source	df	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F
Ti	2	394.25	21.59***	9546.8	13.46***	11532	10.326***
Lo	2	221.54	4.43	1415.7	0.74	8621.9	3.9498**
Р	1	287.76	1.95	6112.3	2.77	5629.4	1.9601
Si(P)	5	178.62	1.41	1428.5	1.94	948.87	0.83053
TixLo	3	150.75	0.51	1532.4	1.63	1443.7	1.4839
TixP	2	86.99	0.79	1316.2	1.85	2748.6	2.4612
LoxP	2	123.76	2.94	931.1	1.03	5348.9	2.1407
TixSi(P)	10	86.24	2.09	761.65	1.932*	1166.8	1.4275
LoxSi(P)	6	153.41	1.01	1632.2	1.71	936.74	0.95839
TixLoxP	3	108.46	1.79	231.28	0.24	1951.3	2.0056
TixLoxSi(P)	8	85.40	1.85	959.02	2.43**	979.65	1.1985
Res	99	49.72		394.22		817.41	
Total	143						



Fig. 3: Mean density (±standard error) per trophic category at the sampling locations (KU: Kuriat Islands, CNCS: Cap Negro-Cap Serrat, TA: Tabarka) and per protection level (UP: Unprotected, FP: Future Protected).

Table 3. PERMANOVA (univariate analysis) on density data per trophic category. Ti: Time; Lo: Location; Si: Site; P: protection.*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

Density Large predator		tor	Small predator		Planktivore		Herbivore		Invertivore 1		Invertivore 2		
Source	df	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F
Ti	2	9958	0.00*	0.01	6.55*	1.70	5.76*	9954	0.02	0.17	6.15*	0.09	10.81**
Lo	2	0.00	0.92	7,8785E-06	0.09	1.04	0.68	0.03	0.64	0.13	3.07	0.00	1.16
Р	1	5,6519E-08	0.63	0.00	0.67	1.63	2.32	0.10	3.98	0.06	3.97	0.00	1.05
Si(P)	5	0.00	1.36	0.00	0.88	0.44	1.48	0.00	0.84	0.02	0.79	0.01	1.47
TixLo	3	0.00	1.01	0.00	8.94**	1.10	8.38**	0.01	1.11	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.19
TixP	2	2,4098E-06	0.00	0.00	0.76	0.45	1.55	0.02	2.88	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.17
LoxP	2	0.00	1.12	0.00	1.19	0.21	0.59	0.00	0.34	0.05	1.29	0.01	1.98
TixSi(P)	10	0.00	0.60	0.00	1.65	0.30	1.28	0.00	0.33	0.03	1.64	0.00	1.18
LoxSi(P)	6	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.92	0.56	4.39*	0.05	3.52	0.03	1.53	0.01	0.79
TixLoxP	3	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.83	0.02	0.21	0.00	0.44	0.02	0.88	0.00	0.14
TixLoxSi(P)	8	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.49	0.12	0.53	0.01	0.84	0.02	1.42	0.01	2.41*
Res	99	0.00		0.00		0.23		0.01		0.01		0.00	
Total	143												



Fig. 4: Mean biomass (±standard error) per trophic category at the sampling locations (KU: Kuriat Islands, CNCS: Cap Negro-Cap Serrat, TA: Tabarka) and per protection level (UP: Unprotected, FP: Future Protected).

Table 4. PERMANOVA (univariate analysis) on biomass data per trophic category. Ti: Time; Lo: Location; Si: Site; P: protection.*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

Biomass Large predator		redator	Small predator		Planktivore		Herbivore		Invertivore 1		Invertivore 2		
Source	df	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F	MS	Pseudo-F
Ti	2	620.92	4.20*	4.55	2.38*	135.7	2.21	369.98	2.51	2159.8	1.52	4049.7	53.69***
Lo	2	348.03	1.20	1.21	0.22	3.261	0.62	376.76	0.89	1601.4	0.99	440.13	2.62
Р	1	188.36	0.58	2.52	0.73	140.39	3.31	517.01	1.15	457.57	0.81	235.55	0.60
Si(P)	5	367.81	2.55	2.03	1.02	32.69	0.51	177.08	1.24	1182.6	0.84	126.71	1.93
TixLo	3	227.73	1.50	8.40	11.43**	65.21	1.15	34.65	0.06	1201.5	0.68	95.65	0.69
TixP	2	216.16	1.46	4.22	2.20	32.08	0.52	422.76	2.87	932.43	0.65	380.28	5.04*
LoxP	2	424.44	1.32	1.20	0.74	30.04	2.66	75.58	0.48	1997.5	1.12	639.65	1.50
TixSi(P)	10	140.1	0.72	2.04	1.77	65.48	1.78	137	0.66	1372.9	0.82	56.01	0.29
LoxSi(P)	6	180.27	1.20	0.03	0.04	31.73	0.55	990.29	1.93	2194.7	1.24	122.59	0.89
TixLoxP	3	246.18	1.63	2.46	3.34	2.10	0.03	258.09	0.51	1171.7	0.66	378.13	2.73
TixLoxSi(P)	8	149.08	0.77	0.71	0.62	57.36	1.56	516.91	2.49*	1758.5	1.05	135.93	0.71
Res	99	193.59		1.15		36.69		207.3		1662.1		191.44	
Total	143												

that will be protected compared to sites that will remain outside MPAs (interaction 'TiXP' significant).

Size-class frequency distribution

Overall, small and- medium -sized individuals dominated and large-sized fishes were fairly rare (Fig. 5). Large-sized *Epinephelus marginatus*, *Dentex dentex*, *Sparus aurata* and *Serranus cabrilla* were censused only in UP, at Cap Negro-Cap Serrat and Tabarka. Large-sized *Epinephelus costae* and *Coris julis* were only recorded in FP at Tabarka. Large-sized individuals belonging to other species, such as *Sciaena umbra*, *Diplodus sargus*, *Diplodus vulgaris*, *Diplodus annularis*, *Diplodus puntazzo* and *Mullus surmuletus*, were recorded in both UP and FP conditions.

Discussion

The taxa composition of the assemblages censused at the three locations investigated in Tunisia showed that fishes belonging to Sparidae, Labridae and Serranidae families were the most strongly represented in terms of number of species, a classic pattern for the western Mediterranean fish assemblage (e.g. García-Charton & Pérez-Ruzafa, 2001; Seytre & Francour, 2014). Fishes belonging to Sparidae and Labridae families were also the most strongly represented at the three studied locations in terms of both density (minimum 59% of total density) and biomass (minimum 71% of total biomass). It is worth noting the presence of vulnerable and endangered species, such as the grouper Mycteroperca rubra and Epinephelus marginatus, and the common guitarfish Rhinobatos rhinobatos [see IUCN red list of threatened species, (IUCN, 2017)].

Fish assemblage structure, both in terms of density and biomass (see multivariate results), was quite variable over time and space. It differed slightly between sites that will be protected and sites that will remain outside MPAs. The observed patterns can be attributed to factors that are clearly not dependent on protection (not yet in place in the studied locations), such as habitat type distributions, slope, algal cover, bottom rugosity or seascape complexity, that have not been taken into account in the present study (see Garcia-Charton et al., 2000). Thus, this finding shows that other factors could contribute to differences in fish assemblage density and has, therefore, implications for future monitoring. This result stresses once more the usefulness of adopting proper sampling designs, such as, in this case, the crucial importance of 'before data' in the framework of BACI (before-after/control-impact) designs, to properly test hypotheses related to MPA effectiveness (Guidetti, 2002).

Mean values of total fish density assessed in this study are far lower than those assessed in many well-protected MPAs and are, on the other hand, comparable with the values reported from unprotected rocky reefs, open to fishing in the Mediterranean (e.g. Harmelin-Vivien *et al.*, 2008; Guidetti *et al.*, 2014; La Mesa *et al.*, 2017). Concerning the total fish biomass, the values observed in the present study range between ~11 and 50 gr/m², with an overall average around 40 gr/m². These values are lower than those reported from many well-managed MPAs in the Mediterranean (e.g. Tavolara, Cabo de Palos, Torre Guaceto, Medes Island, Carry-le-Rouet, Banyuls-sur-Mer) where values range between ~65 and 120 gr/m² (Harmelin-Vivien *et al.*, 2008, Guidetti *et al.*, 2014). The fish biomass values observed in this study in Tunisia are in any case relatively high, in comparison with other Mediterranean sites open to fishing, where average values range from ~5 to 30 gr/m² (Guidetti *et al.*, 2014).

With regard to the fish biomass, it is widely accepted that this variable is the most relevant (much more important than density) as a basis for drawing conclusions regarding the effects of protection in MPAs (McClanahan & Mangi, 2000; Harmelin Vivien et al., 2008; Guidetti & Sala, 2007). Biomass integrates information regarding the abundance and size of fish. This is of paramount importance, considering that fishing usually targets large individuals of commercial species (Sandin et al., 2008). In our data set, it is important to highlight the presence of a few large-sized fishes (e.g. Dasyatis pastinaca and Rhinobatos rhinobatos). However, given that they are not very abundant, they did not significantly contribute to average values of total fish biomass in our assessment. From this perspective, most of the fish biomass estimated at the different locations in Tunisia is attributable to invertivore (~53%), planktivore (~10%) and herbivore (~11%) fishes, while the contribution of large predators was negligible, i.e. no more than 9%, versus ~38% at Cabo de Palos (Spain), Tavolara (Italy) and Scandola (France) (Prato et al., 2017).

As far as size of fishes is concerned, fish size at the studied locations was quite variable (with no obvious differences between sites that will be protected and sites that will remain outside MPAs in the future), and fish assemblages were generally dominated by small- and medium-sized fishes. Previous studies have shown that it is the large individuals of commercially relevant species that are chiefly removed by fishing as they have the highest market value (Pauly *et al.*, 1998). The fact that large individuals are missing is thus widely considered a symptom of overfishing and of the community-wide impact of fisheries (Pauly *et al.*, 1998; Guidetti & Sala, 2007).

The evidence from the present study commented on above suggests that fish assemblages at the studied Tunisian locations are likely to be impacted by fishing, which is capable of removing a remarkably large fraction of the fish biomass, in particular that of large-sized predatory fishes such as *Epinephelus marginatus*, *Epinephelus costae* and *Dentex dentex*. Meanwhile, the creation of MPAs at these locations is ongoing, in order to protect the biodiversity and enhance sustainable economic activities (CAR/ASP - PNUE/PAM, 2015). Like other well-enforced Mediterranean MPAs (Guidetti *et al.*, 2014), we may expect that the future MPAs in Tunisia, if well-en-



Fig. 5: Size-class (S: small, M: medium and L: large) frequency distribution (%) of relevant target fishes in Unprotected (UP) and Future Protected (FP) zones at the three studied locations (KU: Kuriat Islands, CNCS: Cap Negro-Cap Serrat, TA: Tabarka), (Number of individuals used to calculate percentages is given in Supp. Mat. 2).

forced, could provide benefits with regard to fish assemblages, and in the long-term for fisheries.

The present study is the first carried out in Tunisia (and, to the best of our knowledge, the first performed along the southern coasts of the Mediterranean Sea) that has reported quantitative data and assessed distribution patterns of coastal fish assemblages using the appropriate underwater fish visual census method (UVC; Harmel-in-Vivien *et al.*, 1985; Prato *et al.*, 2017), and adopting a formal spatio-temporally replicated sampling design (Guidetti, 2002; Pelletier *et al.*, 2008; Bonaldo *et al.*, 2017).

From a methodological viewpoint, the UVC transect technique is widely accepted as a method that gathers quantitative data using a formal and appropriate sampling design, and offers a suitable basis for drawing reliable conclusions regarding the effects of protection within MPAs (Guidetti, 2002; Caldwell et al., 2016). This study satisfies both criteria, and could set a precedent for other studies that, it is to be hoped, will be carried out in Tunisia and in other countries in the southern and eastern Mediterranean in the future. This is important considering that a number of surveys and meta-analyses have reported that numerous studies have been carried out on the effects of Mediterranean MPAs, but almost all of them concern MPAs in Spain, France and Italy, fewer in Greece and Turkey, while the rest of the Mediterranean (more than half of its coasts) has been virtually ignored (Giakoumi et al., 2017 and references therein).

In conclusion, this study can be considered as an important baseline for future assessments of the health status of fish assemblages along the coasts of Tunisia, and the effects of protection measures that will be introduced after the implementation of the three planned MPAs. In addition, this study could trigger investigations in other North African Mediterranean countries and in the eastern Mediterranean that will provide a basis for a more exhaustive assessment of the effects of MPAs in the region as a whole. In Tunisia and in other south-eastern Mediterranean countries, it is of crucial importance, in addition to monitoring fish assemblages, that the proposed zoning of MPAs is applied and that restrictions, especially in notake zones, are enforced in order to enable fish assemblages to recover. Otherwise, the expected benefits for fish assemblages, overall biodiversity and ecosystems, along with a number of positive effects for society (e.g. with regard to sustainable economic activities such as artisanal fishing and diving), will not be forthcoming (PISCO and UNS, 2016). In this framework, monitoring, using appropriate methods for collecting data with proper sampling designs, is a very important resource management tool.

Financial support

This paper is part of the Ph.D. thesis of Emna Ben Lamine. This work has received funding from the Med-MPAnet Project and MedKeyHabitat project (both coordinated by the SPA/RAC), the Agence de Protec-

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the help of scuba diving instructors Mourad Saidane, Sofiane Ben Aissa, Mahdi Aguir, Wissem Houiji and Abderrahmane Mliki. The diving equipment was partially provided by the company Aqualung.

References

- Aburto-Oropeza, O., Ezcurra, E., Moxley, J., Sánchez-Rodríguez, A., Mascareñas-Osorio, I. *et al.*, 2015. A framework to assess the health of rocky reefs linking geomorphology, community assemblage, and fish biomass. *Ecological Indicators*, 52, 353-361. DOI : 10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.12.006.
- Anderson, M.J., 2001. Permutation tests for univariate or multivariate analysis of variance and regression. *Canadian Jour*nal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 58, 626-639.
- Bell, J.D., Harmelin-Vivien, M., 1983. Fish fauna of French Mediterranean *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass meadows-2. Feeding habits. *Tethis*, (11), 1-14 pp.
- Bergseth, B.J., Williamson, D.H., Russ, G.R., Sutton, S.G., Cinner, J.E., 2017. A social-ecological approach to assessing and managing poaching by recreational fishers. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 15, 67-73. doi:10.1002/ fee.1457
- Bianchi, C.N., Morri, C., Chiantore, M., Montefalcone, M., Parravicini, V. *et al.*, 2012. Mediterranean Sea biodiversity between the legacy from the past and a future of change. p. 1-55. In: *Life in the Mediterranean Sea: a look at habitat changes.* Stambler N. (Ed.). New York, NY: *Nova Science Publishers*,
- Bonaldo, R.M., Pires, M.M., Junior, P.R.G., Hoey, A.S., Hay, M.E., 2017. Small Marine Protected Areas in Fiji Provide Refuge for Reef Fish Assemblages, Feeding Groups, and Corals. *PloS ONE*, 12, e0170638.
- Caldwell, Z.R., Zgliczynski, B.J., Williams, G.J., Sandin, S.A., 2016. Reef fish survey techniques: assessing the potential for standardizing methodologies. *PLoS ONE*, 11 (4), e0153066. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153066
- CAR/ASP PNUE/PAM, 2014. Elaboration d'un Plan de Gestion pour l'Aire Marine et Côtière Protégée des îles Kuriat (Tunisie) Phase 1: Bilan et Diagnostic. Par Thetis-Cabinet Sami Ben Haj. Ed. CAR/ASP Projet MedMPAnet, Tunis: 72 p + annexes
- CAR/ASP PNUE/PAM, 2015. Elaboration d'un Plan de Gestion pour l'Aire Marine et Côtière Protégée des îles Kuriat (Tunisie) - Phase 2: Gestion de l'AMCP, définition des objectifs et planification des opérations. Par Thetis-Cabinet Sami Ben Haj. Ed. CAR/ASP - Projet MedMPAnet, Tunis: 56 p + annexes
- Coll, M., Piroddi, C., Steenbeek, J., Kaschner, K., Ben Rais Lasram, F. *et al.*, 2010. The Biodiversity of the Mediterranean Sea: Estimates, Patterns, and Threats. *PLoS ONE*, 5 (8), e11842. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011842
- Di Franco, A., Bussotti, S., Navone, A., Panzalis, P., Guidetti,

P., 2009. Evaluating effects of total and partial restrictions to fishing on Mediterranean rocky-reef fish assemblages. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 387, 275-285. doi:10.3354/meps08051

- Di Franco, A., Thiriet, P., Di Carlo, G., Dimitriadis, C., Francour, P. *et al.*, 2016. Five key attributes can increase marine protected areas performance for small-scale fisheries management. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 38135. doi:10.1038/ srep38135
- Edgar, G.J., Stuart-Smith, R.D., Willis, T.J., Kininmonth, S., Baker, S.C. *et al.*, 2014. Global conservation outcomes depend on marine protected areas with five key features. *Nature*, 506, 216-220. doi:10.1038/nature13022
- Froese, R., Pauly, D. (Eds), 2017. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org, (06/2017)
- García-Charton, J.A., Williams, I.D., Ruzafa, A.P., Milazzo, M., Chemello, R. *et al.*, 2000. Evaluating the ecological effects of Mediterranean marine protected areas: habitat, scale and the natural variability of ecosystems. *Environmental Conservation*, 27, 159-178.
- García-Charton, J.A., Pérez-Ruzafa, Á., 2001. Spatial pattern and the habitat structure of a Mediterranean rocky reef fish local assemblage. *Marine Biology*, 138, 917–934. doi:10.1007/s002270000524
- Giakoumi, S., Scianna, C., Plass-Johnson, J., Micheli, F., Grorud-Colvert, K. *et al.*, 2017. Ecological effects of full and partial protection in the crowded Mediterranean Sea: a regional meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 7. doi:10.1038/ s41598-017-08850-w
- Guidetti, P., 2002. The importance of experimental design in detecting the effects of protection measures on fish in Mediterranean MPAs. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 12, 619-634.
- Guidetti, P., Sala, E., 2007. Community-wide effects of marine reserves in the Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Ecology Pro*gress Series, 335, 43-56.
- Guidetti, P., Baiata, P., Ballesteros, E., Di Franco, A., Hereu, B. *et al.*, 2014. Large-Scale Assessment of Mediterranean Marine Protected Areas Effects on Fish Assemblages. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e91841. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091841
- Halouani, G., Ben Rais Lasram, F., Khalfallah, M., Zeller, D., Pauly, D., 2015. Reconstruction of Marine Ficheries Catches of Tunisia (1950-2010). *Working Paper Series. Fisheries Centre*. The University of British Columbia, 12 p.
- Harmelin-Vivien, M., Harmelin, J., Chauvet, C., Duval, C., Galzin, R. *et al.*, 1985. Evaluation visuelle des peuplements et populations de poissons: méthodes et problèmes. *Revue* d'écologie, 40, 467-539.
- Harmelin-vivien, M., Le Direach, L., Bayle-Sempere, J., Charbonnel, E., Garcia-Charton, J. *et al.*, 2008. Gradients of abundance and biomass across reserve boundaries in six Mediterranean marine protected areas: Evidence of fish spillover? *Biological Conservation*, 141, 1829-1839. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2008.04.029

- Huntington, B.E., Karnauskas, M., Babcock, E.A., Lirman, D., 2010. Untangling Natural Seascape Variation from Marine Reserve Effects Using a Landscape Approach. *PLoS ONE*, 5, e12327. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012327
- IUCN, 2017. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2017-1._<http://www.iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded on 12 May 2017.
- La Mesa, G., Salvati, E., Agnesi, S., Tunesi, L., 2017. Assessment of coastal fish assemblages before the establishment of a new marine protected area in central Mediterranean: its role in formulating zoning proposal. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 18, 11. doi:10.12681/mms.1788
- McClanahan, T.R., Mangi, S., 2000. Spillover of Exploitable Fishes from a Marine Park and Its Effect on the Adjacent Fishery. *Ecological Applications*, 10, 1792-1805. doi:10.1890/1051-0761(2000)010[1792:SOEFFA]2.0.CO2
- Mellin, C., Aaron MacNeil, M., Cheal, A.J., Emslie, M.J., Julian Caley, M., 2016. Marine protected areas increase resilience among coral reef communities. *Ecological Letters*, 19, 629-637. doi:10.1111/ele.12598
- Pauly, D., Christensen, V., Dalsgaard, J., Froese, R., Torres, F. Jr., 1998. Fishing down marine food webs. *Science*, 279, 860-863.
- Partnership for Interdisciplinary Studies of Coastal Oceans and University of Nice Sophia Antipolis. 2016. The Science of Marine Protected Areas (3rd edition, Mediterranean). www. piscoweb.org. 22 pages.
- Pelletier, D., Claudet, J., Ferraris, J., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Garcia-Charton, J.A., 2008. Models and indicators for assessing conservation and fisheries-related effects of marine protected areas. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 65, 765-779. doi:10.1139/f08-026
- Prato, G, Thiriet, P, Di Franco, A, Francour, P., 2017. Enhancing fish Underwater Visual Census to move forward assessment of fish assemblages: An application in three Mediterranean Marine Protected Areas. *PLoS ONE*, 12 (6), e0178511. doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178511
- Sala, E., Ballesteros, E., Dendrinos, P., Di Franco, A., Ferretti, F. *et al.*, 2012. The Structure of Mediterranean Rocky Reef Ecosystems across Environmental and Human Gradients, and Conservation Implications. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e32742. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032742
- Sala, E., 2004. The Past and Present Topology and Structure of Mediterranean Subtidal Rocky-shore Food Webs. *Ecosystems*, 7, 333-340. doi:10.1007/s10021-003-0241-x
- Sandin, S.A., Smith, J.E., DeMartini, E.E., Dinsdale, E.A., Donner, S.D. *et al.*, 2008. Baselines and Degradation of Coral Reefs in the Northern Line Islands. *PLoS ONE*, 3, e1548. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001548
- Seytre, C., Francour, P., 2014. A long-term survey of *Posido-nia oceanica* fish assemblages in a Mediterranean Marine Protected Area: emphasis on stability and no-take area effectiveness. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 65, 244. doi:10.1071/MF13080