

## Mediterranean Marine Science

Vol 20, No 1 (2019)



### Epilithic diatom communities from areas of invasive *Caulerpa* species (*Caulerpa taxifolia* and *Caulerpa cylindracea*) in the Adriatic Sea, NE Mediterranean

ANA CAR, ANDRZEJ WITKOWSKI, NENAD JASPRICA, STIJEPO LJUBIMIR, MARIJETA ČALIĆ, SŁAWOMIR DOBOSZ, IRIS DUPČIĆ RADIĆ, ENIS HRUSTIĆ

doi: [10.12681/mms.14330](https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.14330)

#### To cite this article:

CAR, A., WITKOWSKI, A., JASPRICA, N., LJUBIMIR, S., ČALIĆ, M., DOBOSZ, S., DUPČIĆ RADIĆ, I., & HRUSTIĆ, E. (2019). Epilithic diatom communities from areas of invasive *Caulerpa* species (*Caulerpa taxifolia* and *Caulerpa cylindracea*) in the Adriatic Sea, NE Mediterranean. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 20(1), 151–173. <https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.14330>

## Epilithic diatom communities from areas of invasive *Caulerpa* species (*Caulerpa taxifolia* and *Caulerpa cylindracea*) in the Adriatic Sea, NE Mediterranean

Ana CAR<sup>1</sup>, Andrzej WITKOWSKI<sup>2</sup>, Nenad JASPRICA<sup>1</sup>, Stijepo LJUBIMIR<sup>1</sup>, Marijeta ČALIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Sławomir DOBOSZ<sup>2</sup>, Iris DUPČIĆ RADIĆ<sup>1</sup> and Enis HRUSTIĆ<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Marine and Coastal Research, University of Dubrovnik, Kneza Damjana Jude 12, 20000 Dubrovnik, Croatia

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Geosciences, Institute of Marine Sciences, University of Szczecin, Mickiewicza 16a, 70383 Szczecin, Poland

<sup>3</sup>Center for Marine Research, Ruđer Bošković Institute, Giordano Paliaga 5, 52210 Rovinj, Croatia

Corresponding author: [ana.car@unidu.hr](mailto:ana.car@unidu.hr)

Handling Editor: Adriana ZINGONE

Received: 11 August 2018; Accepted: 9 November 2018; Published on line: 30 April 2019

### Abstract

Epilithic diatom community structures are compared among three locations of the eastern Adriatic Sea coast (Croatia), in the presence of two different invasive *Caulerpa* species, *C. taxifolia* and *C. cylindracea*, which have spread rapidly in the Mediterranean in the last decades. Forty samples were taken seasonally at three sites (island of Hvar, island of Mljet, and Dubrovnik) between November 2008 and October 2010. Light and electron microscopy examinations were used for qualitative analysis. Altogether 310 epilithic taxa belonging to 65 genera were identified. The highest number of these taxa belonged to *Mastogloia* (48) and *Amphora* (32), followed by *Diploneis* (24), *Nitzschia* (23), *Navicula* (22), and *Cocconeis* (19). A similar seasonal shift in growth form structure was observed for epilithic communities at the three sampling sites, where the number of erect group diatoms doubled (Hvar, Mljet) and tripled (Dubrovnik) in spring. Apart from erect forms, Dubrovnik and Mljet were characterised by adnate forms, while Hvar was characterised by tube-dwelling forms. The highest values of the Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index were recorded in autumn and ranged from 5.26 to 5.34. ANOSIM tests performed on species relative abundance data confirmed that the diatom communities differed significantly between the sampling sites, and that the differences were correlated with the presence of the two species of invasive macroalgae (Hvar – area of *C. taxifolia*; Mljet and Dubrovnik – areas of *C. cylindracea*). As revealed by SIMPER analyses, the group of taxa contributing the most to variance between diatom assemblages from sites of different invasive alga included *Cocconeis scutellum* var. *scutellum*, *Rhopalodia pacifica*, *Navicula ramosissima*, and *Berkeleya rutilans*. Although the spatial variation in communities may also reflect the effects of unmeasured environmental variables, the results suggest the possible influence of invasive seaweeds of the genus *Caulerpa* which may affect the habitat by competing with autochthonous algae. This study contributes to the knowledge of diatom diversity both at regional and at broader scales in these challenged environments.

**Keywords:** Adriatic Sea; allochthonous species; Bacillariophyta; benthos; biodiversity; Croatia; environmental parameters.

### Introduction

Diatom communities are widely used as indicators of ecological changes in aquatic environments (Ulanova & Snoeijjs, 2006). Benthic diatoms are the most abundant and diversified part of the marine phytobenthos and play an important role in marine ecosystems. Marine ecosystems have been exposed to serious anthropogenic impacts including habitat loss and degradation, fishing, pollution, climate change, eutrophication, and the introduction and establishment of alien species (Álvarez-Blanco & Blanco, 2014).

Abundance and composition of marine benthic diatom communities are reported from several areas around

the world, e.g., the Baltic Sea (Hillebrand & Sommer, 1997, 2000; Hillebrand *et al.*, 2000; Vilbaste *et al.*, 2000), Antarctica (Cunningham & McMinn, 2004; Majewska *et al.*, 2016), and Brazil (Brandini *et al.*, 2001). In the Mediterranean Sea, Álvarez-Blanco & Blanco (2014) provided a comprehensive overview of benthic diatom taxa.

The composition of diatom populations grown on natural substrates has also been described, in both heavily anthropised and undisturbed areas (Facca *et al.*, 2002a, b; Facca & Sfriso, 2007; Çolak Sabancı & Koray, 2010; Çolak Sabancı, 2011, 2012a; 2012b, 2013; Çolak Sabancı *et al.*, 2011; Cibic & Facca, 2010 and references therein). Furthermore, studies have been conducted on epiphytic diatom communities on macroalgae and seagrasses (Car

*et al.*, 2012; De Stefano *et al.*, 2000) and epizoic diatoms on animals (Romagnoli *et al.*, 2007, 2014 and references therein). Among the epiphytic diatoms, communities on *Posidonia oceanica* (Linnaeus) Delile are among the most analysed (Mazzella, 1983; Mazzella & Spinoccia, 1992; Mazzella *et al.*, 1994; De Stefano *et al.*, 2000; Majewska *et al.*, 2014). In the Adriatic Sea, previous studies have been conducted in the northern coastal areas and estuaries, while seasonal fouling by diatoms has been studied mainly on artificial substrates (e.g., Burić *et al.*, 2004; Munda, 2005; Totti *et al.*, 2007; Caput Mihalić *et al.*, 2008; Levkov *et al.*, 2010; Mejdandžić *et al.*, 2015; Nenadović *et al.*, 2015).

Benthic diatom communities of the Italian North Adriatic have been well studied on an artificial hard substrates at two stations, one in the Gulf of Trieste (Bartole *et al.*, 1991-94) and the other in Venice lagoon (Tolomio & Andreoli, 1989; Tolomio *et al.*, 1991), and in natural sediment samples from the Gulf of Trieste (Sdrigotti *et al.*, 1999; Welker *et al.*, 2002; Cibic *et al.*, 2007; 2009; 2012; Franzo *et al.*, 2014, Rogelja *et al.*, 2018), the Venice lagoon (Tolomio *et al.*, 1999, 2002; Facca *et al.*, 2002a, b; Tolomio, 2004; Facca & Sfriso, 2007), the coast from Ancona to the Po delta (Totti, 2003), between Rimini and Pesaro (Franzo *et al.*, 2015), and in the Lesina lagoon (Gambi *et al.*, 2003). While an extensive literature on microphytobenthic species of the Italian seas exists (see Cibic & Facca, 2010 and references therein), only limited information is available on the composition of epilithic assemblages grown on either natural or artificial substrates in marine coastal waters of the eastern Middle and South Adriatic, e.g., epilithic diatoms from stones in the oligotrophic Neum Bay in Bosnia and Herzegovina (Hafner *et al.*, 2018) and along Albanian coastal wetlands (Miho & Witkowski, 2005). The only study on benthic diatoms from areas with the invasive species *C. taxifolia* in the Middle Adriatic was focused on morphology and valve ultrastructure of a newly described marine epiphytic diatom, *Cocconeis caulerpacola* (Car *et al.*, 2012). In general, studies on the diatom communities associated with the *Caulerpa* spp. are inadequate. Diatoms epiphytic on *Caulerpa cylindracea* were analysed along the Pacific coast of Japan, where the alga is not an invasive species, with a focus primarily on the morphology of taxa of genus *Cocconeis* (Suzuki *et al.*, 2001). From the rich literature available on epilithic microalgae in general, it is clear that benthic diatom communities must play a particularly important role in the functioning of the Mediterranean Sea coastal ecosystems whilst some aspects of their ecology, taxonomy, distribution, and biodiversity remain unexplained.

Two tropical / subtropical *Caulerpa* species, *C. taxifolia* (Vahl) C. Agardh and *C. cylindracea* (Sonders), spread surprisingly quickly across the Mediterranean in the last decades, causing intense degradation of indigenous biota (Musco *et al.*, 2014). The green feather-like *C. taxifolia*, widely used as a decorative plant in the marine aquarium trade and accidentally released from the Monaco Aquarium in 1984 (Meinesz & Hesse, 1991), rapidly spread, primarily by means of fishing nets and anchors,

across the Mediterranean basin (Meinesz *et al.*, 2001). Paradoxically, *C. cylindracea* generated much less public interest although it was more invasive than *C. taxifolia* and spread much further and faster, primarily by sea currents (Nizamuddin, 1991). These invasive species are characterised by the presence of secondary metabolites such as caulerpenyne (CYN), the main function of which is chemical defence against herbivores and epiphytes (Box *et al.*, 2008; Sureda *et al.*, 2008, 2009). Concentrations of CYN for the “aquarium-Mediterranean” strain of *C. taxifolia* were observed to be at a maximum in autumn and a minimum in spring, reaching much higher values than those observed in other *Caulerpa* species (Dumay *et al.*, 2002).

In the eastern Adriatic, the invasive alga *C. taxifolia* was observed for the first time in 1994 in Stari Grad Bay (island of Hvar) on hard, sandy, and muddy substrates without vegetation or within meadows of the endemic Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* (Žuljević & Antolić, 2002). The invasive *C. cylindracea* was first recorded in the autumn of 2000 and the number of locations with this species increased very rapidly, in contrast with the single site with *C. taxifolia* (Žuljević *et al.*, 2003). Predominantly dispersed by sea currents, by the end of 2006 *C. cylindracea* was found at more than 50 locations along the eastern Adriatic coast, most of them in the Middle and South Adriatic. The impacts of *C. cylindracea* in the eastern Adriatic Sea have been described in the studies of Antolić *et al.* (2008), Kružić *et al.* (2008), and Žuljević *et al.* (2011).

The diatom community composition in areas invaded by *Caulerpa* species in the Adriatic has not been thoroughly examined, in spite of the potentially important role of diatoms in the functioning of the ecosystems influenced by *Caulerpa*. Based on the results of previous studies on the seasonality of diatoms (e.g., Munda, 2005; Totti *et al.*, 2007; Çolak Sabancı, 2012b), it was expected that the taxonomic composition of epilithic diatoms would vary across the seasons. The main objectives of this study were: i) to investigate the taxonomic composition of marine epilithic diatoms collected from areas influenced by invasive macroalgae *Caulerpa taxifolia* and *Caulerpa cylindracea* during a two-year period, ii) to compare the structure of the epilithic diatom assemblages between sites, and iii) to compare the structure of the epilithic diatom assemblages among seasons.

## Materials and Methods

### Study Area

Samples for studies on epilithic diatom communities were taken during a two-year period from November 2008 to October 2010 by SCUBA divers at three sites in the Middle and South Adriatic Sea coast, Croatia (Table 1, Fig. 1):

1. The Bay of Stari Grad, Island of Hvar (43° 10' 54" N, 16° 35' 00" E); an area affected by *Caulerpa taxifolia* at depths ranging from 5 m to 8 m,




Fig. 1: The locations of sampling sites.

Table 1. Samples taken at each sampling site throughout the seasons in 2008, 2009, and 2010.

Season / Sampling site	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Total number of samples
<b>Hvar</b>	January 2009	April 2009	June 2009	November 2008	18
	January 2010	May 2009	July 2009	September 2009	
	February 2010	March 2010	August 2009	October 2009	
		April 2010	August 2010	November 2009	
		May 2010		September 2010	
				October 2010	
<b>Mljet</b>	February 2009	March 2009	July 2010	September 2009	14
	January 2010	April 2009		October 2009	
	February 2010	May 2009		November 2009	
		March 2010		September 2010	
		April 2010			
		May 2010			
<b>Dubrovnik</b>	December 2009	March 2010	August 2009	September 2010	8
		April 2010	July 2010		
		May 2010	August 2010		
<b>Total number of samples</b>	7	14	8	11	40

- National Park Mljet, Gonoturska Bay (42° 45' N, 17° 23' E); an area affected by *Caulerpa cylindracea* at depths ranging from 5 m to 10 m,
- Location Orsula near Dubrovnik (42° 37' 50" N, 18° 8' 2" E); an area affected by *Caulerpa cylindracea* at depths ranging from 5 m to 10 m.

The island of Hvar (H) belongs to the sunniest areas of Croatia with more than 2700 hours of insolation a year, or an average of more than 7 hours per day (Zaninović & Matzarakis, 2007). It belongs to a group of middle Adriatic islands, influenced by the open sea in the west and south and by the proximity of the coast in the east and north (Koletić *et al.*, 2012). Stari Grad Bay is a semi-enclosed bay, with the prevailing cyclonic currents mainly

driven by seasonal winds (Cvitković *et al.*, 2017). More information on the sampling site H is available in the reports of Žuljević *et al.* (1998), Antolić *et al.* (2001), Žuljević (2001), Žuljević & Antolić (2001, 2002), Cvitković *et al.* (2017), and references therein.

The Mljet National Park (M) was established in 1960 at the westernmost part of Mljet Island, south Adriatic Sea. The NW Mljet coast is exposed to waves generated by the NE wind (bora), S wind (sirocco), and NW wind (mistral). Detailed information on the M area investigated is available in Bralić (1990), Bognar & Curić (1995), Ridanović & Šimunović (1995), Benović *et al.* (2000), Zavodnik (2003), Dell'Angelo & Zavodnik (2004), Kružić *et al.* (2008), and Hrutić & Bobanović-Čolić (2017).

The Dubrovnik site (D) is located two miles SE from the Old Town. The whole South Adriatic is under the influence of incoming currents from the Ionian Sea which are known to modify Adriatic plankton community composition and to bring alien species of Atlantic/Western Mediterranean or Eastern Mediterranean/Lesepsian origin into the basin on a pluriannual scale (Batistić *et al.*, 2014; Garić & Batistić, 2016; Čalić *et al.*, 2018). This is notably controlled by the Bimodal Oscillating System (BiOS), which refers to the circulation regime in the North-Ionian gyre (Civitaresse *et al.*, 2010), whilst the primary productivity is positively related to the winter convective mixing events (Ljubimir *et al.*, 2017).

All three sampling sites have a Mediterranean climate and are exposed to anthropogenic impact (tourism) limited to the summer season.

The key variables of interest from our monthly-conducted samplings were gathered according to seasons: winter (December-February), spring (March-May), summer (June-August), and autumn (September-November).

### Physical-chemical parameters

In order to determine the relationships between diatom communities and environmental variables, water samples for physical-chemical analyses were taken monthly from November 2008 to October 2010 at 8-10 m depth in the same area as where diatom sampling was carried out. While at the site D samples were collected monthly throughout the whole study, at the site M physical-chemical variables were measured monthly from October 2009 to September 2010. At the site H, due to technical problems, only temperature (T) was measured by using a reversing thermometer (Richter und Wiese, Germany). Measurements were not performed in replicates.

At sites D and M, temperature (T) and salinity (S) values were obtained by using a CTD multiparametric probe (Seabird Seacat 19plus). Seawater samples for the nutrients and chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) analysis were taken with 5-L Niskin bottles. Measured nutrients included total inorganic nitrogen (TIN), where  $TIN = \text{nitrate (NO}_3^-) + \text{nitrite (NO}_2^-) + \text{ammonium (NH}_4^+)$ , orthophosphate ( $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ) and orthosilicate ( $\text{SiO}_4^{4-}$ ). Samples for  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^-$ ,  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$  and  $\text{SiO}_4^{4-}$  were frozen ( $-22\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) and analysed in a laboratory in accord with Strickland and Parsons (1972). Subsamples (50 mL) for  $\text{NH}_4^+$  were fixed immediately after collection on board with 2 mL of  $1\text{ mol L}^{-1}$  phenol/EtOH, kept at  $4\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and later analysed in accord with Ivančić and Degobbis (1984). Chl *a* was determined from 1-L sub-samples filtered through Whatman GF/F filters and stored at  $-20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for a period of less than a month. Filtered samples were homogenised and extracted in 90% acetone for 24 hours at room temperature (Holm-Hansen *et al.*, 1965). Chl *a* was determined fluorometrically by using a Turner TD-700 Laboratory Fluorometer (Sunnyvale, CA, USA) calibrated with pure Chl *a* (Sigma). Dissolved oxygen ( $\text{O}_2$ ) was determined by the Winkler method and oxygen saturation ( $\text{O}_2/\text{O}_2'$ ) was calculated from the 100% solubility of oxygen ( $\text{O}_2$ ) in seawater as a

function of temperature and salinity (Weiss, 1970; UNESCO, 1973).

### Diatom analysis

The biofilm was removed from at least three randomly selected stones at each site using a knife and a hard toothbrush to scrape off the diatoms. Samples were obtained from the upper parts of the stones, which comprised approximately  $100\text{ cm}^2$  of surface, and then placed into a sampling tube. All samples were preserved by 4% final concentration of neutralised formaldehyde. In total, there were 40 samples (Table 1) without replications.

For light (LM) and electron microscopy (EM) observations all traces of organic material were removed from samples by boiling them with 30%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and adding 10% HCl to remove  $\text{CaCO}_3$ . Samples were then rinsed with deionised water, pipetted onto ethanol-cleaned cover-slips, and left to air dry before mounting in Naphrax®. Permanent slides and prepared material have been deposited in the diatom collection (SZCZ) of the Institute of Marine Sciences, University of Szczecin, Szczecin (Poland). The abundances of the species were expressed as percentages of the total number (relative abundances, RA in %) of at least 300 diatom valves counted per sample. Identifications were made following Witkowski *et al.* (2000). Terminology follows Round *et al.* (1990). Nomenclature of recorded taxa follows AlgaeBase (Guiry & Guiry, 2018).

For a structural analysis of the diatom assemblages, identified taxa were divided into growth form groups: adnate (cells strongly adhering to surface), erect (cells attached to the substrate by stalks, pads or peduncles), motile (biraphid cells moving on the substrate surface), and tube-dwelling (cells producing mucilage tubes) (Majewska *et al.*, 2014; Majewska *et al.*, 2016; Round *et al.*, 1990; Totti *et al.*, 2007).

### Data analysis

In order to analyse the diversity of epilithic diatom assemblages at different sampling sites and in different seasons, Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index (SWDI) was computed (Krebs, 1999) along with Pielou's Evenness Index (Pielou, 1966).

As a complete environmental data set was not available, only the differences between environmental conditions at sites D and M for the second year of the study were analysed with a Student's t-test. This was performed on T, S,  $\text{O}_2/\text{O}_2'$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ,  $\text{SiO}_4^{4-}$ , TIN and Chl *a*. One-Way ANOVA was performed for the environmental data obtained from all three sites, testing for significant differences between T.

Analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) was used to determine whether significant difference in diatom community growth form structure and species composition occurred among the selected sampling sites and seasons. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordination and hierarchical clustering (Cluster) were used to display difference

in communities associated with the sampling sites and were based on standardised RA data of all recorded diatom taxa. Data used to build the MDS ordination to assess differences among sampling sites and sampling seasons were organised in a matrix of 310 taxa over 40 samples collected during the period of two years (November 2008 – October 2010). A dissimilarity percentage analysis (SIMPER, Clarke & Warwick, 1994) was used to identify the taxa making the greatest contributions to differences between the clusters observed in the MDS plot. Data used to build the second Cluster and MDS ordination and to assess differences between sampling sites (areas of *C. cylindracea* and *C. taxifolia*) were organised in a matrix of the 10 diatom taxa which contribute the most, according to SIMPER analysis, to dissimilarities between epilithic diatom assemblages over 40 samples collected during the two-year period (November 2008 – October 2010). A SIMPROF test which highlights significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) different groups was superimposed on the MDS. The data used for ANOSIM test performed on growth form relative abundance to assess differences among sampling sites and sampling seasons were organised in a matrix of 202 taxa over 40 samples collected during the two-year period.

Adnate diatom taxa belong to the genera *Cocconeis*, *Amphora*, and *Halamphora*, while erect diatoms belong to the genera *Grammatophora*, *Licmophora*, *Ardissonia*, *Striatella*, *Synedra*, *Fragilaria*, *Tabularia*, and *Achnanthes*, the motile diatoms belong to the genera *Navicula*, *Nitzshia*, *Tryblionella*, and *Pleurosigma*, and the tube-dwelling diatom taxa to genera *Berkeleya* and *Parlibellus*.

In order to determine the relationship between the 22 most abundant diatom taxa ( $> 35\%$  frequency and  $> 1\%$  average RA) of all three sampling sites and physical-chemical parameters, Spearman-Rank correlations were performed after the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used for testing normality of the data distribution. Data were first transformed [ $\log(x+1)$ ] (Cassie, 1962) to enable the correlation tests between variables. Only the statistically significant correlations ( $p < 0.05$ ) are shown. All statistical analyses were performed using the PRIMER v6 software (Clarke & Gorley, 2006) and Statistica 7.0 (StatSoft, Inc. 2004).

## Results

### Physical-chemical parameters

#### Dubrovnik

T ranged from  $12^{\circ}\text{C}$  (February 2010) to  $26^{\circ}\text{C}$  (July 2009) (Table S1). Low T for this location were recorded also in March ( $12.7^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and April ( $13.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) of 2009 and in January ( $13.7^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and March ( $13.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) of 2010. During the two sampling years, the average S was 37.65 with the lowest (35.15) value in January 2010 and the highest

(38.38) value in September 2010.

Average concentrations of inorganic nitrogen species for the whole study period were as follows:  $0.99\ \mu\text{M}\ \text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $0.33\ \mu\text{M}\ \text{NH}_4^+$ , and  $0.05\ \mu\text{M}\ \text{NO}_2^-$ . TIN generally followed the distribution of the most dominant inorganic nitrogen species in our study ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ). In 2010,  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and TIN ( $0.30\ \mu\text{M}$  and  $0.75\ \mu\text{M}$ , respectively) were below the mentioned averages for the whole study.

$\text{PO}_4^{3-}$  ranged from  $0.01\ \mu\text{M}$  (April 2010) to  $0.09\ \mu\text{M}$  (August 2009).  $\text{SiO}_4^{4-}$  ranged from  $0.73\ \mu\text{M}$  (April 2010) to  $8.06\ \mu\text{M}$  (January 2010). Oxygen saturation ( $\text{O}_2/\text{O}_2'$ ) ranged from 0.94 (December 2009) to 1.17 (July 2010). An average  $\text{O}_2/\text{O}_2'$  was close to 100% and in line with well-balanced production and degradation of the organic matter, reflecting the oligotrophic character of the site (Table S2). Chl *a* ranged from 0.01 (August 2010) to  $0.49\ \mu\text{g}\ \text{L}^{-1}$  (January 2010) which is also in line with the oligotrophic character of the site.

#### Mljet

Comparatively low T values ( $< 14^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) were recorded in February and March 2010. Salinity varied from 36.93 (January 2010) to 38.50 (September 2010). Average inorganic nitrogen concentrations during the whole study period were:  $0.47\ \mu\text{M}\ \text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $0.06\ \mu\text{M}\ \text{NO}_2^-$  and  $0.17\ \mu\text{M}\ \text{NH}_4^+$ . The average concentration of TIN was  $0.70\ \mu\text{M}$  while the average concentration of  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$  was  $0.09\ \mu\text{M}$ .  $\text{SiO}_4^{4-}$  ranged from  $1.24\ \mu\text{M}$  (April 2010) to  $8.64\ \mu\text{M}$  (February 2010).  $\text{O}_2/\text{O}_2'$  (range 0.92–1.12, average 1.01) and average Chl *a* concentration ( $0.12\ \mu\text{g}\ \text{L}^{-1}$ ) also indicated oligotrophic conditions (Table S2). The maximum Chl *a* concentration of  $0.48\ \mu\text{g}\ \text{L}^{-1}$  was recorded in December 2009. In May, August and September Chl *a* was below the detection limit ( $0.01\ \mu\text{g}\ \text{L}^{-1}$ ).

#### Hvar

In Stari Grad Bay,  $T > 20^{\circ}\text{C}$  were recorded from June till October in both years (2009 and 2010), while during the winter T was  $12^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

### Comparison of sampling sites

Over the study period, at all three sampling sites T (Table S1) ranged from  $12^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $26^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The ANOVA test, performed on T associated to all samples, indicated no significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) among three sampling sites ( $F(2, 58) = 0.15420$ ,  $p = 0.85745$ ). Salinity was even less variable, with an average value (over sampling sites and sampling periods) of 37.77 (ranged from 35.15 to 38.50). In winter and spring S was mostly dependant on the precipitation regime. The only significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) difference between sites D and M was recorded for ammonium concentration (Table S2).

## Diatom assemblage analyses

Altogether, 310 diatom taxa belonging to 65 genera were identified from 40 samples. Among them, 248 taxa were found at site H, 216 were found at site M and 154 taxa occurred at site D. Among 310 diatom taxa, 68 taxa were found exclusively at the H site, 32 were found exclusively at site M and 15 occurred only at site D (Appendix 1).


In total, 85 taxa were found only once (sporadic). Among them, 49, 23, and 13 taxa were found in samples from H, M, and D, respectively (Appendix 1). The average RA of these sporadically found taxa was 0.6%. Only 15 taxa were found exclusively at the *Caulerpa cylindracea* sampling sites D and M and not found at *Caulerpa taxifolia* H site (Appendix 1). Among these 15 taxa, 5 belonged to *Mastogloia* (*M. adriatica*, *M. braunii*, *M. laminaris*, *M. mauritiana*, *M. peragalli*). However, during the study, there were 112 taxa common to all three sampling sites.

The average number of taxa per sample was 70, while the maximum was 110 (M; May 2009) and the minimum 35 (D; March 2010). Considering all collected samples from sites M and D, the average number of taxa was 64 per sample (Fig. 2). The average number of taxa at H was 77 per sample, with a minimum of 46 observed in August 2010.

In the winter, spring, and summer, considering all three sites during the two-year period (autumn 2008 – autumn 2010), the average number of taxa amounted to 66 per sample. In autumn the average number of taxa on the rocks increased to 79 per sample, with the highest in October 2009 (92) and the lowest in November 2009 (72) (Fig. 3).

The genera with the highest number of taxa were *Mastogloia* (48) and *Amphora* (32), followed by *Diploneis* (24), *Nitzschia* (23), *Navicula* (22), and *Cocconeis* (19) (see Appendix 1). Although *Mastogloia* and *Amphora* had the highest number of taxa, they occurred with an average RA of less than 1%. The most abundant genera were *Hyalosynedra*, *Rhopalodia*, *Navicula*, *Cocconeis*, *Berkeleya*, *Tabularia*, *Nitzschia*, and *Grammatophora*.

Regarding form structure, D and M were characterised by a higher percentage of adnate forms, while tube-dwelling forms dominated the H site. At D, epilithic communities that developed in winter contained a higher percentage (55%) of adnate forms (e.g., *Cocconeis* spp., *Amphora* spp.) followed by the (25%) motile ones (e.g., *Navicula* spp., *Nitzschia* spp.). In spring, the percentage of adnate forms remained almost constant (48%) while erect group diatoms (e.g., *Grammatophora* spp., *Licmophora* spp., *Ardissonia* spp., *Striatella* sp.) increased their number by 3.4 fold. Moreover, maximum RA of 43% (the highest RA value observed during the study period) was recorded in spring for site D for erect group diatom (*Grammatophora oceanica*) (Table 2). A similar seasonal shift in growth form structure was observed for epilithic communities for M and H, where the number of erect group diatoms doubled in spring. However, epilithic communities for site H contained a lower percentage of both




**Fig. 2:** Box and Whisker Plot showing differences in number of taxa: A) on sampling sites Dubrovnik, Mljet, and Hvar; B) on *C. cylindracea* sampling sites and on *C. taxifolia* sampling site; C) during different seasons.

adnate (43%) and erect (15%) forms than found in the D and M samples (at both, D and M sites in spring the same percentage of adnate, 48%, and erect, 23%, were found). Another characteristic of H was the high abundance of tube-dwelling diatoms (*Berkeleya* spp., *Parlibellus* spp.), particularly during winter and spring. In spring, maximum RA of 22% was recorded for tube-dwelling group diatom (*B. rutilans*) at H (Table 2). While at H adnate

**Table 2.** Dominant diatom taxa on rocks collected at all sampling sites with frequency of appearance (Freq.) > 35% and average relative abundance (Avg. RA) > 1%. N = 40. Seasonal and spatial distribution of dominant diatom taxa collected at sampling sites: Dubrovnik (D), Mljet (M) and Hvar (H) during winter (W), spring (Sp), summer (S) and autumn (A). Mean values were obtained from all the analysed samples (40 samples) across the whole sampling period (e.g., over all seasons and sampling sites). Mean values for winter were obtained from 7 analysed winter samples over all three sampling sites, for spring from 14 samples, for summer from 8, and for autumn from 11 samples collected at all sampling sites. Mean values for Dubrovnik were obtained from 8 samples, for Mljet from 14 samples, and for Hvar from 18 samples over all seasons.

Taxa	Freq. (%)	Avg. RA (%)	Max. RA (%)	Sampling date of Max. RA	W	Sp	S	A	D	M	H
<i>Ardissonia formosa</i> (Hantzsch) Grunow	42.50	1.03	7.84	M; Mar 2009	0.26	1.68	0.56	0.67	0.85	1.59	0.48
<i>Berkeleya rutilans</i> (Trentepohl) Grunow	75.00	3.44	21.57	H; Mar 2010	2.09	4.69	1.91	3.21	0.52	0.69	5.57
<i>Berkeleya scopulorum</i> (Brébisson ex Kützing) E.J.Cox	67.50	2.06	10.06	H; Jan 2009	4.65	2.19	0.94	0.82	0.72	0.63	3.18
<i>Cocconeis costata</i> Gregory var. <i>costata</i>	62.50	3.16	21.41	M; Jul 2010	1.70	2.73	6.85	1.52	5.16	4.21	0.45
<i>Cocconeis molestata</i> var. <i>crucifera</i> Grunow in Van Heurck	90.00	4.03	17.97	H; Apr 2009	3.04	6.02	4.46	2.03	7.25	4.63	2.13
<i>Cocconeis scutellum</i> Ehrenberg var. <i>scutellum</i>	100.00	8.69	25.47	M; Jul 2010	12.55	10.25	8.71	4.24	12.84	13.41	3.17
<i>Fragilaria investiens</i> (W. Smith) Cleve-Euler	77.50	2.01	8.31	D; May 2010	1.86	3.00	1.35	0.88	3.03	2.66	1.01
<i>Grammatophora oceanica</i> (Ehrenberg 1854 pro parte) Grunow	60.00	3.82	43.19	D; Mar 2010	2.78	6.81	3.31	0.56	6.56	2.97	0.23
<i>Halamphora kolbei</i> (Aleem) Álvarez-Blanco & S.Blanco	45.00	1.53	7.97	D; Dec 2009	2.49	1.52	2.25	0.50	4.20	0.94	0.46
<i>Hyalosynedra laevigata</i> (Grunow) Williams & Round	100.00	3.87	8.14	M; Nov 2009	2.95	3.56	4.47	4.41	2.71	3.65	4.56
<i>Licmophora gracilis</i> (Ehrenberg) Grunow var. <i>gracilis</i>	52.50	1.64	8.63	M; Feb 2009	3.71	0.93	0.27	2.01	0.73	2.59	0.81
<i>Licmophora paradoxo</i> (Lyngbye) Agardh	75.00	2.17	10.69	M; Feb 2010	2.53	2.51	2.49	1.03	3.68	2.43	0.88
<i>Mastogloia binotata</i> (Grunow) Cleve	82.50	2.80	12.71	D; Aug 2009	1.55	1.96	4.97	3.18	4.69	3.43	1.00
<i>Navicula ramosissima</i> (Agardh) Cleve	95.00	8.42	34.67	M; Apr 2009	9.26	9.23	6.77	8.19	7.31	11.04	6.95
<i>Nitzschia angularis</i> W. Smith	90.00	3.59	7.14	D; Dec 2009	4.16	2.52	3.58	4.52	3.66	2.93	4.02
<i>Nitzschia fusiformis</i> Grunow	82.50	2.41	7.19	H; Apr 2010	2.02	2.75	2.49	2.18	1.65	1.76	3.07
<i>Nitzschia lanceolata</i> var. <i>minima</i> Grunow	87.50	3.60	12.04	H; Mar 2010	1.80	3.57	4.60	4.16	2.39	2.58	4.57
<i>Nitzschia macilenta</i> W.Gregory	35.00	7.25	21.81	H; Jan 2010	18.71	2.08	2.18	3.94	2.24	10.93	8.10
<i>Nitzschia panduriformis</i> Gregory var. <i>panduriformis</i>	90.00	1.60	7.45	H; Aug 2010	1.47	1.16	2.09	1.83	1.01	1.01	2.19
<i>Opephora pacifica</i> (Grunow) Petit	72.50	1.95	8.83	H; Aug 2009	0.62	1.31	3.19	2.59	0.26	0.68	2.78
<i>Rhopalodia pacifica</i> Krammer	82.50	4.21	25.56	H; Sep 2009	2.55	1.24	4.04	7.65	0.49	1.15	6.99
<i>Tabularia ktenooides</i> M.Kuylenstierna	92.50	2.53	8.40	M; Apr 2010	2.10	4.45	1.35	0.77	2.74	3.08	1.89





**Fig. 3:** Number of diatom taxa, the Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index, and Pielou's Evenness Index at *C. cylindracea* sampling sites of Dubrovnik and Mljet, throughout seasons. N = 22.

forms remained almost constant through all seasons, at M adnate forms (*Cocconeis scutellum* var. *scutellum* and *Cocconeis costata* var. *costata*) dominated during summer.

Table 2 lists the 22 dominant taxa (frequency >35%, average RA >1%) for all sites. Of the 22 dominant taxa, 5 belonged to *Nitzschia*. *Nitzschia macilenta* was the dominant taxon of the winter samples (Table 2), occurring with RA of 21% at H both in January 2009 and 2010 and at M in January 2010 (data not shown). *Berkeleya* occurred with two species, *B. rutilans* and *B. scopulorum*. While a higher abundance of *B. scopulorum* was observed during winter, *B. rutilans* was a characteristic taxon of spring samples particularly for March 2010 at H when the maximum occurrence of 22% was recorded (Table 2). Additionally in March 2010 at H, approximately 63% of

species had RA of less than 1%. Also in March 2010, at D the highest RA (43%) of *Grammatophora oceanica* in our study was recorded. Approximately 69% of species in a sample from D in March 2010 had an RA of less than 1%. Only 12 taxa (*G. oceanica*, *Navicula ramosissima*, *Rhopalodia pacifica*, *Cocconeis scutellum* var. *scutellum*, *N. macilenta*, *B. rutilans*, *C. costata* var. *costata*, *C. molestata* var. *crucifera*, *Mastogloia binotata*, *N. lanceolata* var. *minima*, *Licmophora paradoxa*, *B. scopulorum*) occurred with an RA above 10% (Table 2).

Although the most abundant taxon *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum* (RA = 8.7%) was recorded in all samples, it exhibited some differences in its spatial distribution in relation to sampling periods and sites (Table 2, Table 3). At H, this species was one of the dominant taxa, together with *N. macilenta* and *B. scopulorum*, but only in winter, whereas during the other seasons it was not even in the top 10 dominant species. At M, *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum* predominated in diatom communities during winter, spring and summer with RA of 16%, 14% and 26%, respectively (Table 3), while in autumn, along with *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum* (RA 7%), *N. ramosissima* (11%) contributed the most to total diatom abundance (Table 3). The diatom community at D was also dominated by *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum* during winter (19%), spring (15%), and summer (11%) and, like in M, *N. ramosissima* had the highest RA (13%) in autumn. During spring at D, along with *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum*, the diatom community was also dominated by *G. oceanica* (15%), resulting in the lowest value of the Pielou's Evenness Index (0.74) for that area (Fig. 3). Some other diatom species sometimes strongly dominated the epilithic community (e.g., joint RA of *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum* and *C. costata* var. *costata* at M was 47% in July 2010; RA of *N. macilenta* at H was 18% in winter), resulting in low evenness values (0.69 at M in summer; 0.80 at H in winter) (Figs 3 & 4). Regarding all the sampling sites, the lowest evenness value was measured for M in summer (0.69), due to the dominance of *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum* (Fig. 3, Table 3).

Generally, the lowest Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index (SWDI) was recorded at D (Fig. 3). SWDI had slightly wider range (4.45-5.26) at *C. cylindracea* sampling sites D and M (together) in comparison to H (4.97-5.34). Generally, during winter, spring and summer, higher SWDI values were observed at H, while the lowest SWDI occurred at D and M. In autumn, SWDI values were greatest (5.26-5.34) independently of the presence of the invasive macroalgae (Fig. 4).

Regarding seasonality, during winter the diatom community in H samples was dominated by *N. macilenta* (18%) (Table 3). In spring, *B. rutilans* and *N. ramosissima* had the highest RA (in total 19%). While *N. ramosissima* (9%) and *R. pacifica* (8%) contributed the most to total diatom abundance in summer, *R. pacifica* dominated with RA of 13% in autumn. Generally, *R. pacifica* was a characteristic taxon of summer and autumn samples (Table 2, Table 3), but particularly in September for H, where RA of 26% and 20% were recorded in September 2009 and September 2010, respectively.

**Table 3.** List of diatom taxa and their percentage contribution to total diatom community composition (taxa with relative abundances, RA,  $\geq 4\%$  are only shown) from Dubrovnik, Mljet, and Hvar throughout the seasons in 2008, 2009 and 2010.


Season / Sampling site:	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	
	RA (%)	RA (%)	RA (%)	RA (%)	
Hvar	<i>Nitzschia macilenta</i> W.Gregory	18.10	10.62	8.87	12.77
	<i>Berkeleya scopulorum</i> (Brébisson ex Kützing) E.J.Cox	6.99	8.51	7.82	6.39
	<i>Cocconeis scutellum</i> Ehrenberg var. <i>scutellum</i>	6.74	4.93	6.29	5.58
	<i>Nitzschia angularis</i> W. Smith	4.60	4.87	6.06	5.26
	<i>Navicula ramosissima</i> (Agardh) Cleve	4.53	4.62	5.13	4.67
	<i>Berkeleya rutilans</i> (Trentepohl) Grunow	4.43	5.13	5.13	4.51
	<i>Hyalosynedra laevigata</i> (Grunow) Williams & Round	4.17	4.46	4.46	4.18
	<i>Cocconeis scutellum</i> Ehrenberg var. <i>scutellum</i>	16.31	13.99	25.47	10.95
	<i>Navicula ramosissima</i> (Agardh) Cleve	14.42	11.14	21.41	7.35
	<i>Nitzschia macilenta</i> W.Gregory	10.39	7.31	8.40	6.25
Mljet	<i>Licmophora gracilis</i> (Ehrenberg) Grunow var. <i>gracilis</i>	4.87	6.89	8.13	5.41
	<i>Licmophora paradoxa</i> (Lyngbye) Agardh	4.65	4.89	4.86	4.86
	<i>Grammatophora oceanica</i> (Ehrenberg 1854 pro parte) Grunow	4.37	4.37	4.35	4.35
	<i>Navicula ramosissima</i> (Agardh) Cleve	10.95	10.95	10.95	10.95
	<i>Cocconeis scutellum</i> Ehrenberg var. <i>scutellum</i>	7.35	7.35	7.35	7.35

continued

Table 3 continued

Season / Sampling site:	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn
	RA (%)	RA (%)	RA (%)	RA (%)
	<i>Cocconeis scutellum</i> Ehrenberg var. <i>scutellum</i>	18.68	<i>Grammatophora oceanica</i> (Ehrenberg 1854 pro parte) Grunow	15.38
	<i>Cocconeis molesta</i> var. <i>crucifera</i> Grunow in Van Heurck	8.24	<i>Cocconeis scutellum</i> Ehrenberg var. <i>scutellum</i>	14.73
	<i>Navicula ramosissima</i> (Agardh) Cleve	7.97	<i>Cocconeis costata</i> Gregory var. <i>costata</i>	6.79
	<i>Halamphora kolbei</i> (Aleem) Álvarez-Blanco & S.Blanco	7.97	<i>Navicula ramosissima</i> (Agardh) Cleve	6.25
	<i>Nitzschia angularis</i> W. Smith	7.14	<i>Cocconeis molesta</i> var. <i>crucifera</i> Grunow in Van Heurck	5.51
	<i>Cocconeis costata</i> Gregory var. <i>costata</i>	6.59	<i>Fragilaria investiens</i> (W. Smith) Cleve-Euler	5.27
			<i>Licmophora paradoxa</i> (Lyngbye) Agardh	5.25
			<i>Cocconeis</i> cf. <i>irregularis</i> (Schulz) Witkowski	5.24
			<i>Tabularia ktenooides</i> M.Kuylenstierna	4.83
			<i>Halamphora kolbei</i> (Aleem) Álvarez-Blanco & S.Blanco	4.34
			<i>Navicula subagnita</i> Proschkina-Lavrenko	4.32
			<i>Cocconeis scutellum</i> Ehrenberg var. <i>scutellum</i>	11.41
			<i>Cocconeis molesta</i> var. <i>crucifera</i> Grunow in Van Heurck	9.17
			<i>Mastogloia binotata</i> (Grunow) Cleve	8.05
			<i>Navicula ramosissima</i> (Agardh) Cleve	5.96
			<i>Licmophora paradoxa</i> (Lyngbye) Agardh	4.35
			<i>Cocconeis costata</i> Gregory var. <i>costata</i>	4.11
			<i>Navicula ramosissima</i> (Agardh) Cleve	5.96
			<i>Nitzschia angularis</i> W. Smith	4.28
			<i>Navicula ramosissima</i> (Agardh) Cleve	12.83
			<i>Hyalosynedra laevigata</i> (Grunow) Williams & Round	6.91
			<i>Cocconeis scutellum</i> Ehrenberg var. <i>scutellum</i>	5.59

Dubrovnik



**Fig. 4:** Number of diatom taxa, the Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index and Pielou's Evenness Index of epilithic diatom community at the *C. taxifolia* and *C. cylindracea* sampling sites throughout seasons. N = 40.

The diatom communities differed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) among the sampling sites (D, M, H) in terms of both species composition and growth form structure (Table 4). The highest Global R (0.601) value was obtained in the analysis of similarity among diatom communities associated with sites of different invasive macroalgal species. The same test performed on species data indicated that the differences between diatom communities at different sampling seasons were not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) for all three sampling sites. Additionally, the lowest Global R

(0.182) value was obtained in the analysis of similarity among diatom communities associated with T.

The Cluster analysis performed on species RA data revealed three groups of significantly different assemblages of epilithic diatoms. Difference in species composition of the epilithic diatom communities was observed between the H samples and samples from areas of invasive *C. cylindracea* (Fig. 5). Similarity between two groups (group 1 - site H and group 2 - sites M and D) amounted to 30%. Additionally, two spring samples from M and D (group 3) showed significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) differences from the others. Some taxa were notably responsible for differences among sampling sites and seasons (e.g., *G. oceanica* with RA of 43% in the sample from D in March 2010).


As revealed by SIMPER analyses, the group of taxa contributing the most (cumulatively 37%) to variance between diatom assemblages from groups 1 and 2 (sites of different invasive alga) included *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum*, *R. pacifica*, *N. ramosissima*, *B. rutilans*, *C. molesta* var. *crucifera*, *N. macilenta*, *G. oceanica*, *N. lanceolata* var. *minima*, *C. costata* var. *costata*, and *M. binotata* (Table S3). The MDS performed on the RA data of these 10 species revealed two groups of significantly different assemblages of epilithic diatoms (data not shown) and was generally in agreement with previous result of MDS performed on species RA data of all 310 recorded taxa (data not shown). Besides clusters 1 and 2, SIMPROF analysis also detected sub-clusters A, B, C, and D (data not shown). Diatom samples collected exclusively from H were forming sub-cluster A. Additionally, at site H samples from July till October significantly differed from other months. Cluster 2 included diatom samples collected exclusively from *C. cylindracea* sites and sub-clusters C and D. Sub-cluster D included two spring samples from M and D (Fig. 5, group 3) together with sample from M from July 2010.

None of the 49 taxa that were found exclusively at H (Appendix 1, marked as [\*H]) belonged to the list of main diatom taxa that contributed (cumulative 70%) to dissimilarities between epilithic diatom assemblages from areas associated to groups 1 and 2 (Fig. 5, Table S3). Additionally, none of the 15 taxa which were found only at the *C. cylindracea* sampling sites (Appendix 1, marked as [Cc]) belonged to the list of main diatom taxa which contributed (cumulative 70%) to dissimilarities between epilithic diatom assemblages from areas associated to groups 1 and 2 (Table S3).

Significant negative correlations were identified between diatom RA and T for *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum* at D. A significant positive correlation between diatom RA and T was observed for *B. scopulorum* and *H. laevi-*

**Table 4.** Results of ANOSIM test performed on species and growth form relative abundance data. S – species; GF – growth form.

	Sampling site		Invasive alga		Season		Sea Temperature	
	S	GF	S	GF	S	GF	S	GF
<i>p</i>	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05
Global R	0.574	0.448	0.601	0.44	0.097	0.063	0.182	0.157



**Fig. 5:** Cluster analysis of the epilithic diatom assemblages from all three sampling sites (Dubrovnik, Mljet, Hvar) during a two year time period from November 2008 to October 2010 (taxa relative abundance data). For the ordination analysis all recorded diatom taxa were used. Red lines indicated taxa homogeneous clusters detected by SIMPROF. Symbols H (Hvar); M (Mljet); D (Dubrovnik). N(D) = 8; N(M) = 14; N(H) = 18.

*gata* at D and for *R. pacifica* at M. Regarding H, a significant negative correlation between diatom RA and T was observed for *B. scopulorum*, *C. costata* var. *costata*, *C. molesta* var. *crucifera*, *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum*, *N. macilenta*, and *Tabularia ktenooides*, and a significant positive correlation for *Opephora pacifica* and *R. pacifica* (Table 5).

## Discussion

The present study confirms differences in the taxonomic composition of epilithic diatoms among the selected sites in the Middle and South Adriatic Sea. The results show that the benthic diatom communities of the coastal rocks appear to be affected by multiple factors reflecting site-specific conditions possibly caused by invasive macroalgae. Our results reveal a higher variability of the epilithic diatom community structure among sampling sites than among seasons and highlight the necessity of further diatom research for understanding Mediterranean ecosystems dominated by invasive macroalgae.

A few genera made a significant contribution to the assemblage structure of epilithic diatoms from areas of invasive *Caulerpa* species: *Hyalosynedra*, *Navicula*, *Cocconeis*, *Berkeleya*, *Nitzschia*, and *Grammatophora*. In our study, the genus *Amphora* was one of the richest in taxa. The results of our study are in agreement with the observations by Álvarez-Blanco and Blanco (2014), who reported that the genera *Cocconeis* and *Amphora* (including *Halamphora*) had very high species richness

in samples taken from coastal rocks at seven locations in the Mediterranean (Spain, Italy, Greece, Turkey and the coasts of the Adriatic and Aegean Sea) in the spring of 2010. Álvarez-Blanco and Blanco (2014) also found a very high species richness for the genus *Licmophora*, but that observation was not confirmed in our results retrieving only 7 *Licmophora* species.

A diatom community similar to that presented in our study has been reported also for different hard substrates from the Adriatic (Munda, 2005; Totti *et al.*, 2007). Munda (2005), who studied seasonal fouling by diatoms on artificial substrates in the heavily polluted area near Piran in the Gulf of Trieste, reported that genera *Berkeleya*, *Navicula*, and *Licmophora* were dominant and covered most of the experimental surfaces. The community structures of epilithic diatoms reported in our study are in agreement with results from the northern Adriatic in the study of Totti *et al.* (2007) which was performed on artificial hard substrates and showed a dominance of motile taxa (*Navicula* spp., *Nitzschia* spp.), followed by erect (*Grammatophora marina*, *G. oceanica*), adnate (*Halamphora coffeiformis* and *C. scutellum*) and tube-dwelling diatoms (*B. rutilans*). Although, Totti *et al.* (2007) reported a high seasonal variability of epilithic microalgal communities, our data indicate the variation of community structure in terms of relative abundances of diatom taxa to be associated with the sampling sites and possibly with the presence of the two invasive macroalgae considered, rather than linked to seasonal effects.

Epilithic diatoms composition of M and D with *C. cylindracea*, were more similar between them than to that

**Table 5.** Correlations at  $p < 0.05$  between dominant diatom taxa and physical-chemical parameters; sea temperature (T), salinity (S), nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), nitrite ( $\text{NO}_2^-$ ), ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ), phosphate ( $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ), silicate ( $\text{SiO}_4$ ), total inorganic nitrogen (TIN), oxygen saturation ( $\text{O}_2/\text{O}_2'$ ), and chlorophyll *a* concentrations (Chl *a*). “/” represent samples not analysed. Asterisks represent levels of significance:  $p < 0.05^*$ ,  $p < 0.01^{**}$ ,  $p < 0.001^{***}$ . Key to species: **ARFO** *Ardissonia formosa* (Hantzsch) Grunow, **BERU** *Berkeleya rutilans* (Trentepohl) Grunow, **BESC** *Berkeleya scopulorum* (Brébisson ex Kützing) E.J.Cox, **COCO** *Cocconeis costata* Gregory var. *costata*, **COMO** *Cocconeis molesta* var. *crucifera* Grunow in Van Heurck, **COSC** *Cocconeis scutellum* Ehrenberg var. *scutellum*, **FRIN** *Fragilaria investiens* (W. Smith) Cleve-Euler, **GROC** *Grammatophora oceanica* (Ehrenberg) Grunow, **HAKO** *Halamphora kolbei* (Aleem) Alvarez-Blanco & S.Blanco, **HYLA** *Hyalosynedra laevigata* (Grunow) Williams & Round, **LIGR** *Licmophora gracilis* (Ehrenberg) Grunow var. *gracilis*, **LIPA** *Licmophora paradoxa* (Lyngbye) Agardh, **MABI** *Mastogloia binotata* (Grunow) Cleve, **NARA** *Navicula ramosissima* (Agardh) Cleve, **NIAN** *Nitzschia angularis* W. Smith, **NIFU** *Nitzschia fistiformis* Grunow, **NILA** *Nitzschia lanceolata* var. *minima* Grunow, **NIMA** *Nitzschia macilenta* W.Gregory, **NIPA** *Nitzschia panduriformis* Gregory var. *panduriformis*, **OPPA** *Opephora pacifica* (Grunow) Petit, **RHPA** *Rhopalodia pacifica* Krammer, **TAKT** *Tabularia ktenooides* M.Kuylenstierna

Code	Site/Variables														Hvar									
	Dubrovnik							Mljet							Chl <i>a</i>	T								
	T	S	$\text{O}_2/\text{O}_2'$	$\text{NO}_3^-$	$\text{NO}_2^-$	$\text{NH}_4^+$	$\text{PO}_4^{3-}$	$\text{SiO}_4$	TIN	Chl <i>a</i>	T	S	$\text{O}_2/\text{O}_2'$	$\text{NO}_3^-$	$\text{NO}_2^-$	$\text{NH}_4^+$	$\text{PO}_4^{3-}$	$\text{SiO}_4$	TIN	Chl <i>a</i>	T			
TEMP		0.78*																						
SAL	0.78*																							/
$\text{O}_2/\text{O}_2'$				-0.83*						-0.85*														/
$\text{NO}_3^-$			-0.83*						0.93**															/
$\text{NO}_2^-$											-0.79*													/
$\text{NH}_4^+$																								/
$\text{PO}_4^{3-}$																								/
$\text{SiO}_4$										0.77*														/
TIN	-0.78*													-0.89**	0.91**									/
Chl <i>a</i>				-0.85*	0.93*				0.77*															/
ARFO																								/
BERU																								/
BESC	0.76*																							/
COCO																								/
COMO																								/
COSC	-0.83*																							/
FRIN																								/
GROC																								/
HAKO																								/
HYLA	0.76*																							/
LIGR																								/
LIPA																								/
MABI																								/

continued

Table 5 continued

Code	Site/Variables																				
	Dubrovnik								Mljet								Hvar				
	T	S	O <sub>2</sub> /O <sub>2</sub> '	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	SiO <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	TIN	Chl <i>a</i>	T	S	O <sub>2</sub> /O <sub>2</sub> '	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	SiO <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	TIN	Chl <i>a</i>	T
NARA					-0.77*								0.80*								
NIAN																					
NIFU																0.93**					
NILA					-0.87*																
NIMA													0.80*						0.87		-0.61**
NIPA																					
OPPA																					0.70**
RHPA																					0.66**
TAKT																					-0.54*

H with the invasive *C. taxifolia*. Sites M and D are both exposed to the inflow of the oligotrophic Eastern Adriatic Current (EAC) (Orlić *et al.*, 1992) and were observed to be similar in our study except for ammonium concentration. The H site is instead located in a relatively sheltered bay without the direct influence of EAC and more protected from the open sea influence than D/M sites, resulting in lower disturbance by waves at H than at D/M sites. We estimate that wave energy at D/M sites is significantly higher than at H site according to the maximal height of the waves at H (up to 2.5 m) and D/M (up to 9 m) throughout the year (pers. comm. Srđan Čupić, Hydrographic Institute of the Republic of Croatia, Split). In addition to the greater number of samples collected at H in comparison to the two other sites (Table 1), this could also be one of the reasons why at site H a higher number of taxa and generally a greater diatom diversity occurred.

The minimum values of Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index and Pielou's Evenness Index at *C. cylindracea* sampling sites M and D during winter reflected the lowest number of diatom taxa observed for those sites, with a prevalence of *Cocconeis scutellum* var. *scutellum* (Table 4). Our data on the distribution of *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum* agree with a study from Neum Bay in Bosnia and Herzegovina in which, although no strong seasonal variation of diatom taxa was observed, *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum* showed high contributions in the winter-spring diatom communities (Hafner *et al.*, 2018). These results contrast those of the study of benthic diatoms on natural substrata from the Aegean Sea (Turkey) by Çolak Sabancı (2012b) where *C. scutellum* did not show any kind of seasonality. In our study *C. scutellum* var. *scutellum* was registered in all samples although with some differences in spatial distribution in relation to sampling periods. Generally, *C. scutellum* is indeed the most frequently recorded diatom taxon on all (epiphytic, epilithic, epizotic) different substrata (Car *et al.*, 2012; Çolak Sabancı, 2012b; De Stefano *et al.*, 2000, 2008; Hafner *et al.*, 2018; Sullivan, 1984; Totti *et al.*, 2007).

In the Mediterranean Sea the genus *Cocconeis* was thoroughly investigated by a fine-scale analysis of diatoms associated with *Posidonia oceanica* at 19 sampling sites in order to give a spatial overview and to reflect differences between epiphytic diatom communities in various parts of the Mediterranean Sea (Majewska *et al.*, 2014). These authors emphasised that, despite the salinity and temperature gradients and the various bio-chemo-physical factors affecting diatom communities in different Mediterranean basins, those factors may be less important in the case of the distribution of epiphytes of *Posidonia*. Moreover, Majewska *et al.* (2014) highlighted that especially coastal zones are strongly exposed to sudden short-term changes in temperature or salinity, but it should not be assumed that diatom species were particularly sensitive to changes of these factors. Other authors (Mazzella, 1983; Mazzella & Spinoccia, 1992; Mazzella *et al.*, 1994) working on epiphytes of *Posidonia* reported that diatom microflora presents well-defined morphological features and its species composition, with the genus *Cocconeis* as its most abundant component, is very sim-

ilar on all seagrass species, regardless of geographical zone. This may indicate that the substrate is the primary factor in determining the composition of associated diatom flora (Majewska *et al.*, 2014).

Autumn, as the period of the greatest species diversity, appears to coincide with the maximum toxicity (Dumay *et al.*, 2002) observed for *C. taxifolia*. Hafner *et al.* (2018) observed for the Adriatic an increase in species diversity index in the samples from the depth of 0.5 m in the warm summer months, while the highest diversity was associated to the samples from the depth of 8 m in October.

In spite of the high RA of *Grammatophora oceanica* in March 2010 at site D, based on other spring samples from 2009 and 2010, this species did not show any apparent preference for a given season. Therefore, the March 2010 results should be considered as some special and sporadic event, which is in agreement with results from the Aegean Sea (Turkey) that suggested no seasonal preference for *G. oceanica* (Çolak Sabanci, 2012b).

Apart from erect forms, sites D and M were characterised by adnate forms, while site H was characterised by tube-dwelling forms. However, it must be noted that the unequal number of samples collected at each of the sampling sites may have had some influence on the results obtained as the sampling season also affected the communities. Romagnoli *et al.* (2007) studied the ecological succession of microalgal communities on different substrata, and reported that a well-developed community, characterised by the presence of adnate living forms, is established after 3-5 weeks. Due to their adhering mode on the host surface through the valve face, adnate taxa may easily benefit from a nutrient exchange with the host (Round, 1981; Sullivan, 1984; Romagnoli *et al.*, 2014). Additionally, adnate forms are strongly attached to the substratum and therefore they can cope with high hydrodynamism and are not easily swept away by currents and waves even when they are found in high current-velocity layers. Nearby the rocky outcrops of the northern Adriatic Sea, species able to attach to the sediment grains were mostly found in the areas where the bottom currents are more intense, whereas taxa that are loosely associated with the sediments proliferated in the areas characterised by relatively weak bottom currents (Cibic *et al.*, 2016). Not only adnate diatoms grow close enough to the substrate to lie within low current-velocity boundary layers and thereby avoid the shear stress of severe currents, but they are also the most resistant to grazing. However, they are easily overgrown by other organisms and may become light-limited by that overgrowth (McCormick & Stevenson, 1989). The co-occurrence and dominance of motile diatoms is a further step since biraphid species are capable of finding the optimum light and nutrient conditions by active movements on and through the biofilm (Round, 1971; Round, 1981; Romagnoli *et al.*, 2014). At the D sampling site, the epilithic communities that developed in winter contained a high number of adnate forms (*Cocconeis* spp.) followed by motile ones (*Navicula* spp., *Nitzschia* spp.). The higher RA of motile diatoms in winter is likely due to resuspension events caused by stronger

winds when motile diatoms inhabiting muddy sediments are first suspended in the water column, followed by the settling on a larger area and colonising the substrate on which they had settled. Further, a substantial change occurred at site D in spring: the number of erect diatoms tripled, which affected the community growth form structure. The occurrence of erect diatoms indicates a mature phase of the community. Erect diatoms have better access to light, although they are more exposed to grazing pressure (Hillebrand *et al.*, 2000) and their capability of regulating stalk lengths makes them competitors for light when dense benthic populations develop. Despite these observations, in our study the diatom communities did not differ significantly among seasons in terms of both growth form structure and species composition. Our data rather indicate significant differences in diatom community structure occurring among the three sampling sites in terms of RA (Table 4). Moreover, the differences were correlated with the presence of the two species of invasive macroalgae (Hvar – *C. taxifolia* area; Mljet and Dubrovnik – areas of *C. cylindracea*) in terms of both species composition and growth form structure.

Additionally, as revealed by Cluster and MDS ordinations, the site location (i.e., the presence of invasive macroalgae) has more impact on diatom community structure than the seasonal changes. Although as much as 28% of the total number of taxa exclusively were found only once with an RA less than 1%, these rare taxa do not contribute significantly to differences between stations, which is confirmed by an MDS made with abundances for just 10 of the most important species. Seasonal change affects abundances rather than the structure of diatom assemblages (i.e., dominant species). Thus, for example, one of the dominant species at site D through all seasons is *Cocconeis scutellum* var. *scutellum*, though with some RA differences across the seasons. The same species was also one of the dominant species for site M, also in this case with high but variable RA across seasons.

The highest RA levels of one of the most abundant taxa at site H, *Rhopalodia pacifica*, have been observed during the period of intensive growth of the invasive macroalgae (summer and autumn). Specifically, the RA of *R. pacifica* was 7% for site H, while it only reached approximately 1% at the *C. cylindracea* sampling sites. A Spearman-Rank correlation displayed significant positive correlations between *R. pacifica* abundance and T at sites H and M. As there was no significant difference between stations regarding T, the results imply that the epilithic diatom *R. pacifica* was more affected by local environmental factors than by T. However, without an assessment of the influence of the whole range of relevant environmental factors and a detailed analysis of the complex interaction occurring among all of the elements in the investigated system, the effect of invasive macroalgae on diatom communities remains still unconfirmed.

Diatom analyses of epiphytes of the invasive *Caulerpa* species were primarily focused on the morphology of new marine taxa of the genus *Cocconeis*, *C. caulerpacola* (Car, *et al.*, 2012). Interestingly, *C. caulerpacola* was not recorded in the investigated epilithic diatom community



although it was observed in samples of invasive macroalgae collected simultaneously from the same locations in the same period (Car *et al.*, 2012).

In the Venice lagoon, where benthic diatoms were studied in relation to the water quality in a highly impacted area, cell abundance and diversity fluctuations were observed to be more associated with locations than with seasons (Facca & Sfriso, 2007). These results from surface sediment diatom communities are in agreement with those of the present study concerning rocky shore communities, which appeared to be affected by some aspects of environmental conditions and showed no clear seasonality. The observed species distribution patterns in our study may partly be explained by the different sensitivity of benthic diatoms to dislodgement by current induced shear forces, the different energy of the sea waves at sampling sites or by grazing pressure (a preference for consumption of particular diatoms by macro-invertebrates). Additionally, as differences in nutrient availability are often reflected in the structure and species composition of algal communities (Sundback & Snoeijs, 1991; Underwood *et al.*, 1998; Snoeijs, 1999; Frankovich *et al.*, 2006), environmental conditions need to be thoroughly monitored and analysed in relation to diatom community structure. Finally, invasive algae as a relevant structuring factor could also contribute to determine differences in environmental conditions among sites and hence affect benthic diatom distribution.

In conclusion, this study showed for the first time the species composition of epilithic diatom communities and their variations over the seasons in areas affected by invasive macroalgae of the genus *Caulerpa* distributed along the coast of Croatia. The result of our study implies that epilithic diatoms are dependant more on the sampling location than on the season and are possibly exposed to important influence of some of the environmental variables. We assume that significantly lower energy of the waves at H in comparison to D and M sites throughout the year is at least partly responsible for our observations. A main feature of diatom assemblages is a greater species diversity index during autumn, but how this pattern relates to resource availability is not clear. Additionally, this study recorded a difference in terms of abundance and composition of diatom assemblages for the sampling sites that included the presence of two different invasive macroalgae. Multivariate analyses revealed that two groups of significantly different assemblages of epilithic diatoms may be affected by the influence of some environmental characteristic of the sampling site. It is unclear which variables were the most important for the observed differences between sampling sites. Although the spatial variation in communities may also reflect the effects of unmeasured environmental variables, the results of this study indicate that invasive macroalgae may have some effect on the composition of epilithic diatom species. We should emphasise, however, that this suggestion must be considered with some caution due to the lack of complete environmental data in this study, and since the ecological preferences of diatoms might also influence their distribution. Without an assessment of the influence of each of

the environmental factors and a detailed analysis of the complex interactions occurring between all elements of the investigated system, the effect of invasive macroalgae on the diatom communities should be considered as still uncertain. As diatom assemblages vary with the availability of nutrients and are widely used as indicators of ecological change in aquatic environments (Ulanova & Snoeijs, 2006) the results of this study should be verified by further research. Moreover, since we lack information on benthic diatoms from uncontaminated control sampling sites (free from any impact of invasive algae) in the Middle and South Adriatic, and as these are the first results on epilithic diatom assemblages in the mentioned regions affected by invasive macroalgae *C. taxifolia* and *C. cylindracea*, we have avoided speculation in offering explanations. However, the most significant result of this paper lies in the information about marine epilithic diatoms in a part of the Mediterranean Sea affected by invasive macroalgae, and it also contributes to our general knowledge of benthic diatoms in the Adriatic which can be useful for other studies in the temperate seas.

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the research grant no. 2012/07/N/NZ8/02359 from the National Science Centre in Krakow, by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (no. N306 468538), by the Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Sports (project 275-0000000-3186) and by the Croatian science foundation (HRZZ, IP-2014-09-2945). The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on the manuscript and Steve Latham (UK) for improving the English.

## References

- Álvarez-Blanco, I., Blanco, S., 2014. Benthic diatoms from Mediterranean coasts. *Bibliotheca Diatomologica*, 60, 1-409.
- Antolić, B., Žuljević, A., Despalatović, M., Grubelić, I., Cvitković, I., 2008. Impact of the invasive green alga *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea* on the epiphytic macroalgal assemblage of *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass rhizomes in the Adriatic Sea. *Nova Hedwigia*, 86, 155-167.
- Antolić, B., Žuljević, A., Špan, A., 2001. *Preliminary results of epiphytic flora found on Caulerpa taxifolia in Stari Grad Bay (Hvar Island, Croatia)*. In: *Proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop on Caulerpa taxifolia*. Gravez, V., Ruitton, S., Boudouresque, C.F., Le Direac'h, L., Meinesz, A., Scabbia, G., Verlaque, M. (Eds). 195-203. GIS Posidonie, Marseille.
- Bartole, L., Welker, C., Dellavalle, G., Bressan, G., 1991-1994. Primi stadi di colonizzazione "a diatomee" di un substrato duro artificiale in due stazioni fisse del Golfo di Trieste (nord Adriatico). *Nova Thalassia*, 12, 163-189.
- Batistić, M., Garić, R., Molinero, J.C., 2014. Interannual variations in Adriatic Sea zooplankton mirror shifts in circulation regimes in the Ionian Sea. *Climate research*, 61 (3),

- 231-240.
- Benović, A., Lučić, D., Onofri, V., Peharda, M., Carić, M., *et al.*, 2000. Ecological characteristics of the Mljet Island seawater lakes (South Adriatic Sea) with special reference to their resident populations of medusae. *Scientia Marina*, 64 (1), 197-206.
- Bognar, A., Curić, L., 1995. *Geomorfološke značajke otoka Mljeta*. In: *Zbornik radova sa simpozija Prirodne značajke i društvena valorizacija otoka Mljeta*. Durbešić, P., Benović, A. (Eds). 73-84. Ekološke monografije.
- Box, A., Sureda, A., Terrados, J., Pons, A., Deudero, S., 2008. Antioxidant response and caulerpenyne production of the alien *Caulerpa taxifolia* (Vahl) epiphytized by the invasive algae *Lophocladia lallemandii* (Montagne). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 363, 24-28.
- Bralić, I., 1990. Nacionalni parkovi Hrvatske. Školska knjiga, Zagreb, 160 pp.
- Brandini, F.P., da Silva, E.T., Pellizzari, F.M., Fonseca, A.L.O., Fernandes, L. F., 2001. Production and biomass accumulation of periphytic diatoms growing on glass slides during a 1-year cycle in a subtropical estuarine environment (Bay of Paranaguá, southern Brazil). *Marine Biology*, 138, 163-171.
- Burić, Z., Caput, K., Viličić, D., 2004. Distribution of the diatom *Cocconeis scutellum* in the karstic estuary (Zrmanja, eastern Adriatic Sea). *Biologia*, Bratislava, 59 (1), 1-7.
- Caput Mihalić, K., Viličić, D., Ahel, M., Burić, Z., Carić, M., 2008. Periphytic algae development in the upper reach of the Zrmanja estuary (eastern Adriatic coast). *Vie et Milieu*, 58 (3-4), 203-213.
- Car, A., Witkowski, A., Dobosz, S., Burfeind, D.D., Meinesz, A. *et al.*, 2012. Description of a new marine diatom, *Cocconeis caulerpacola* sp. nov. (Bacillariophyceae), epiphytic on invasive *Caulerpa* species. *European Journal of Phycology*, 47 (4), 433-448.
- Cassie, R.M., 1962. Frequency distribution models in ecology of plankton and other organisms. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 31, 65-92.
- Cibic, T., Blasutto, O., Bettoso, N., 2009. Microalgal-meiofaunal interactions in a sublittoral site of the Gulf of Trieste (northern Adriatic Sea, Italy): a three-year study. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 370, 144-154.
- Cibic, T., Blasutto, O., Falconi, C., Fonda Umani, S., 2007. Microphytobenthic biomass, species composition and nutrient availability in sublittoral sediments of the Gulf of Trieste (northern Adriatic Sea). *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 75, 50-62.
- Cibic, T., Comici, C., Bussani, A., Del Negro, P., 2012. Benthic diatom response to changing environmental conditions. *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science*, 115, 158-169.
- Cibic, T., Facca, C., 2010. *Microphytobenthos*. In: *Checklist della flora e della fauna dei mari italiani. Parte II*. Relini, G. (Ed). *Biologia Marina Mediterranea*, 17 (suppl. 1), 754-800.
- Cibic, T., Rogelja, M., Querin, S., Segarich, M., Del Negro, P., 2016. Microphytobenthic community development under different hydrodynamic conditions nearby the rocky outcrops of the northern Adriatic Sea. *Biologia Marina Mediterranea*, 23, 174-177.
- Civitaresse, G., Gačić, M., Lipizer, M., Eusebi Borzelli, G.L., 2010. On the impact of the Bimodal Oscillating System (BiOS) on the biogeochemistry and biology of the Adriatic and Ionian Seas (Eastern Mediterranean). *Biogeosciences*, 7, 3987-3997.
- Clarke, K.R., Gorley, R.N., 2006. PRIMER v6: User Manual/Tutorial. PRIMER-E, Plymouth, 192 pp.
- Clarke, K.R., Warwick, R.M., 1994. *Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation*. Natural Environmental Research Council, Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth, UK, 144 pp.
- Çolak Sabancı, F., 2011. Relationship of epilithic diatom communities to environmental variables in Homa lagoon (Izmir, Turkey). *Aquatic Biology*, 13, 233-241.
- Çolak Sabancı, F., 2012a. An illustrated survey on the morphological characters in three species of the diatom genus *Mastogloia* (Bacillariophyceae). *Turkish Journal of Botany*, 36 (6), 727-737.
- Çolak Sabancı, F., 2012b. Taxonomic survey of benthic diatoms on natural substrata from coastal lagoon (Aegean Sea, Turkey). *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 12, 841-849.
- Çolak Sabancı, F., 2013. Species of *Mastogloia* (Bacillariophyceae) - new for the Aegean coast of Turkey. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 14, 129-140.
- Çolak Sabancı F., Koray, T., 2010. Four new records for the benthic diatoms (genera *Cocconeis*, *Seminavis*, *Synedra* and *Trachysphenia*) from the Aegean Sea. *Turkish Journal of Botany*, 34, 531-540.
- Çolak Sabancı, F., Sapancı, M., Koray, T., Büyükişik B., 2011. A qualitative study of the microphytobenthic communities of Homa lagoon (Izmir-Turkey). *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 20 (2), 346-353.
- Cunningham, L., McMinn, A., 2004. The influence of natural environmental factors on benthic diatom communities from the Windmill Islands, Antarctica. *Phycologia*, 43, 744-756.
- Cvitković, I., Despalatović, M., Žuljević, A., Matijević, D., Bogner, D. *et al.*, 2017. Structure of epibiotic and sediment meiofauna in the area invaded by invasive alga *Caulerpa taxifolia*. *Marine Biology*, 164, (4) 1-15.
- Čalić, M., Ljubimir, S., Bosak, S., Car, A., 2018. First records of two planktonic Indo-Pacific diatoms: *Chaetoceros bacteriastroides* and *C. pseudosymmetricus* in the Adriatic Sea. *Oceanologia*, 60, 101-105.
- Dell'Angelo, B., Zavodnik, D., 2004. Marine fauna of the Mljet National park (Adriatic Sea, Croatia). 4. Mollusca: Polyplacophora. *Natura Croatica*, 14 (4), 319-341.
- De Stefano, M., Marino, D., Mazzella, L., 2000. Marine taxa of *Cocconeis* on leaves of *Posidonia oceanica*, including a new species and two new varieties. *European Journal of Phycology*, 35, 225-242.
- De Stefano, M., Romero, O. E., Totti, C., 2008. A comparative study of *Cocconeis scutellum* Ehrenberg and its varieties (Bacillariophyta). *Botanica Marina*, 51, 506-536.
- Dumay, O., Fernandez, C., Pergent, G., 2002. Primary production and vegetative cycle in *Posidonia oceanica* when in competition with the green algae *Caulerpa taxifolia* and *Caulerpa racemosa*. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK*, 82 (3), 379-387.
- Facca, C., Sfriso, A., 2007. Epipelagic diatom spatial and temporal distribution and relationship with the main environ-

- mental parameters in coastal waters. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 75, 35-49.
- Facca, C., Sfriso, A., Socal, G., 2002a. Temporal and spatial distribution of diatoms in the surface sediments of the Venice Lagoon. *Botanica Marina*, 45, 170-183.
- Facca, C., Sfriso, A., Socal, G., 2002b. Changes in abundance and composition of phytoplankton and microphytobenthos due to increased sediment fluxes in the Venice Lagoon, Italy. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 54, 773-792.
- Frankovich, E.E., Gaiser, J.C., Zieman, Wachnicka A.H., 2006. Spatial and temporal distributions of epiphytic diatoms growing on *Thalassia testudinum* Banks ex König: relationships to water quality. *Hydrobiologia*, 569, 259-271.
- Franzo, A., Cibic, T., Del Negro, P., De Vittor, C., 2015. Spatial distribution of microphytobenthos, meiofauna and macrofauna in the north-western Adriatic Sea: a synoptic study. *Adv. Limnology and Oceanography*, 6, 58-75.
- Franzo, A., Cibic T., Del Negro P., Solidoro C., 2014. Microphytobenthic response to mussel farm biodeposition in coastal sediments of the northern Adriatic Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 79, 379-388.
- Gambi, C., Totti C., Manini E., 2003. Impact of organic loads and environmental gradients on microphytobenthos and meiofaunal distribution in a coastal lagoon. *Chemistry and Ecology*, 19, 207-223.
- Garić, R., Batistić M., 2016. Description of *Brooksia lacromae* sp. nov. (Tunicata, Thaliacea) from the Adriatic Sea. *European Journal of Taxonomy*, 196, 1-13.
- Guiry, M.D., Guiry, G.M., 2018. AlgaeBase. World-wide electronic publication, National University of Ireland, Galway. Available at: <http://www.algaebase.org>; (Accessed 26 April 2018).
- Hafner, D., Car, A., Jasprica, N., Kapetanović, T., Dupčić Radić, I., 2018. Relationship between marine epilithic diatoms and environmental variables in oligotrophic bay, NE Mediterranean. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 19 (2), 223-239.
- Hillebrand, H., Sommer, U., 1997. Response of epilithic microphytobenthos of Western Baltic Sea to in situ experiments with nutrient enrichment. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 160, 35-46.
- Hillebrand, H., Sommer, U., 2000. Effect of continuous nutrient enrichment on microalgae colonizing hard substrates. *Hydrobiologia*, 426, 185-192.
- Hillebrand, H., Worm, B., Lotze, H.K., 2000. Marine microbenthic community structure regulated by nitrogen loading and grazing pressure. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 204, 27-38.
- Holm-Hansen, O., Lorenzen, C.J., Holmes, R.W., Strickland, J.D.H. 1965. Fluorometric determination of chlorophyll. Conseil International pour l'Exploration de la Mer, *Journal du Conseil*, 301, 3-15.
- Hrustić, E., Bobanović-Čolić, S., 2017. Hypoxia in deep waters of moderately eutrophic marine lakes, Island of Mljet, eastern Adriatic Sea. *Scientia Marina*, 81 (4), 431-447.
- Koletić, N., Hanžek, N., Polović, D., Kovarik, I., Mejdandžić, M. et al., 2012. Contribution to the knowledge of macroalgae of the coastal area of the island of Hvar. 2012. *BALWOIS 2012 Book of Abstracts*, Ohrid, Republic of Macedonia, 553-553.
- Krebs, C.J., 1999. *Ecological methodology*. 2nd ed., Addison Wesley Longman, Menlo Park, 620 pp.
- Kružić, P., Žuljević, A., Nikolić, V., 2008. The highly invasive alga *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea* poses a new threat to the banks of the coral *Cladocora caespitosa* in the Adriatic Sea. *Coral Reefs*, 27, 441-441.
- Levkov, Z., Caput Mihalić, K., Ector, L., 2010. A taxonomical study of Rhoicosphenia Grunow (Bacillariophyceae) with a key for identification of selected taxa. *Fottea*, 10 (2), 145-200.
- Ljubimir, S., Jasprica, N., Čalić, M., Hrustić, E., Dupčić Radić, I. et al., 2017. Interannual (2009–2013) variability of winter-spring phytoplankton in the open South Adriatic Sea: Effects of deep convection and lateral advection. *Continental Shelf Research*, 143, 311-321.
- Majewska, R., Convey, P., De Stefano, M., 2016. Summer epiphytic diatoms from Terra Nova Bay and Cape Evans (Ross Sea, Antarctica)-A synthesis and final conclusions. *PLoS ONE*, 11(4), e0153254.
- Majewska, R., D'Alelio, D., De Stefano, M., 2014. *Cocconeis* Ehrenberg (Bacillariophyta), a genus dominating diatom communities associated with *Posidonia oceanica* Delile (monocotyledons) in the Mediterranean Sea. *Aquatic Botany*, 112, 48-56.
- Mazzella, L., 1983. Studies on the epiphytic diatoms of *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile leaves. *Rapport Commission International Mer Méditerranée*, 28, 123-124.
- Mazzella, L., Buia, M.C., Spinoccia, L., 1994. Biodiversity of epiphytic diatom community on leaves of *Posidonia oceanica*. In: *Proceedings of the 13th International Diatom Symposium*. Marino, D., Montresor, M. (Eds). 241-251. Biopress, Bristol.
- Mazzella, L., Spinoccia, L., 1992. Epiphytic diatoms of leaf blades of the Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile. *Giornale Botanico Italiano*, 126, 752-754.
- McCormick, P.V., Stevenson, R.J., 1989. Effects of snail grazing on benthic algal community structure in different nutrient environments. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, 82, 162-172.
- Meinesz, A., Belsher, T., Thibaut, T., Antolić, B., Mustapha, K.B. et al., 2001. The introduced green alga *Caulerpa taxifolia* continues to spread in the Mediterranean. *Biological Invasions*, 3, 201-210.
- Meinesz, A., Hesse, B., 1991. Introduction et invasion de l'algue tropicale *Caulerpa taxifolia* en Méditerranée Nord occidentale. *Oceanologica Acta*, 14 (4), 415-426.
- Mejdandžić, M., Ivanković, T., Pfannkuchen, M., Godrijan, J., Marić Pfannkuchen, D. et al., 2015. Colonization of diatoms and bacteria on artificial substrates in the northeastern coastal Adriatic Sea. *Acta Botanica Croatica*, 74 (2), 407-422.
- Miho, A., Witkowski, A., 2005. Diatom (Bacillariophyta) flora of Albanian coastal wetlands taxonomy and ecology: A review. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences*, 56, 129-145.
- Munda, I.M., 2005. Seasonal fouling by diatoms on artificial substrata at different depths near Piran (Gulf of Trieste, Northern Adriatic). *Acta Adriatica*, 46, 137-157.
- Musco, L., Andaloro, F., Mikac, B., Mirto, S., Vega Fernandez, T. et al., 2014. Concern about the spread of the invader seaweed *Caulerpa taxifolia* var. *distichophylla* (Chlorophyta:

- Caulerpa) to the Western Mediterranean. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 15 (3), 532-538.
- Nenadović, T., Šarčević, T., Čižmek, H., Godrijan, J., Marić Pfannkuchen, D. *et al.*, 2015. Development of periphytic diatoms on different artificial substrates in the Eastern Adriatic Sea. *Acta Botanica Croatica*, 74 (2), 377-392.
- Nizamuddin, M., 1991. *The green marine algae of Libya*. Elga Publisher, Bern, 227 pp.
- Orlić, M., Gacic, M., La Violette, P.E., 1992. The currents and circulation of the Adriatic Sea, *Oceanologica Acta*, 15, 109-124.
- Pielou, E.C., 1966. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 13, 131-144.
- Riđanović, J., Šimunović, V., 1995. *Geografska obilježja otoka Mljeta*. In: *Zbornik radova sa simpozija Prirodne značajke i društvena valorizacija otoka Mljeta*. Durbešić, P., Benović, A. (Eds). 55-70. Ekološke monografije.
- Rogelja, M., Cibic, T., Rubino, F., Belmonte, M., Del Negro, P., 2018. Active and resting microbenthos in differently contaminated marine coastal areas: insights from the Gulf of Trieste (northern Adriatic, Mediterranean Sea). *Hydrobiologia*, 806 (1), 283-301.
- Romagnoli, T., Bavestrello, G., Cucchiari, E.M., De Stefano, M., Di Camillo, C.G. *et al.*, 2007. Microalgal communities epibiontic on the marine hydroid *Eudendrium racemosum* in the Ligurian Sea during an annual cycle. *Marine Biology*, 151, 537-552.
- Romagnoli, T., Totti, C., Accoroni, S., De Stefano M., Pennesi, C., 2014. SEM analysis of the epibenthic diatoms on *Eudendrium racemosum* (Hydrozoa) from the Mediterranean Sea. *Turkish Journal of Botany*, 38, 566-594.
- Round, F.E., 1971. Benthic marine diatoms. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review*, 9, 83-139.
- Round, F.E., 1981. *The Ecology of Algae*. Cambridge University Press, London, 653 pp.
- Round, F.E., Crawford, R.M., Mann, D.G., 1990. *The diatoms. Biology and morphology of the genera*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 747 pp.
- Sdrigotti, E., Barbariol, V., Welker C., 1999. Diatom assemblages in coastal shallow waters at the water-sediment interface (Gulf of Trieste, north Adriatic Sea). *Annales Series Historia Naturalis*, 2 (17), 191-202.
- Snoeijs, P., 1999. *Diatoms and environmental change in brackish waters*. In: *The Diatoms: Applications for the Environmental and Earth Sciences*. Stoermer, E.F., Smol, J.P. (Eds). Cambridge University Press, London, 298-333.
- Sullivan, M.J., 1984. *Community structure of epiphytic diatoms from the Gulf Coast of Florida, U.S.A.* In: *Proceedings of 7th Diatom Symposium, Philadelphia, 1982*. Mann, D.G. (Ed). O. Koeltz, Königstein, 373-384.
- Sundbäck, K., Snoeijs, P., 1991. Effects of nutrient enrichment on microalgal community composition in a coastal shallow-water sediment system: an experimental study. *Botanica Marina*, 34 (4), 341-358.
- Sureda, A., Box, A., Deudero, S., Pons, A., 2009. Reciprocal effects of caulerpenyne and intense herbivorism on the antioxidant response of *Bittium reticulatum* and *Caulerpa taxifolia*. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 72, 795-801.
- Sureda, A., Box, A., Terrados, J., Deudero, S., Pons, A., 2008. Antioxidant response of the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* when epiphytized by the invasive macroalgae *Lophocladia lallemandii*. *Marine Environmental Research*, 66, 359-363.
- Suzuki, H., Nagumo, T., Tanaka, J., 2001. A new marine diatom, *Cocconeis shikinensis* sp. nov. (Bacillariophyceae) from Japan. *Phycological Research*, 49, 137-144.
- Tolomio, C., 2004. Approche expérimentale pour l'étude des diatomées des sédiments de la lagune de Venise, Italie. *Diatom Research*, 19 (1), 81-101.
- Tolomio, C., Andreoli, C., 1989. Recherches sur le periphyton a diatomées dans un vivier de la Lagune de Venise (mai 1984-mai 1985). *Diatom Research*, 4 (1), 151-162.
- Tolomio, C., Andreoli, C., Avignone, M.T., 1991. Contribution a la connaissance du periphyton diatomique des eaux saumâtres de la Valle Sparesera (Suite). *Diatom Research*, 6 (2), 391-399.
- Tolomio, C., Moro, I., Moschin, E., Valandro, A., 1999. Resultats preliminaires sur les diatomées benthiques de substrats meubles dans la lagune de Venise, Italie (Mars 1994-Janvier 1995). *Diatom Research*, 14 (2), 367-379.
- Tolomio, C., Moschin, E., Duzzin, B., 2002. Distribution des diatomées benthiques de substrats meubles dans le bassin sud de la lagune de Venise, Italie. *Diatom Research*, 17 (2), 401-414.
- Totti, C., 2003. Influence of the plume of the river Po on the distribution of subtidal microphytobenthos in the northern Adriatic Sea. *Botanica Marina*, 46, 161-178.
- Totti, C., Cucchiari, E., De Stefano, M., Pennesi, C., Romagnoli, T. *et al.*, 2007. Seasonal variations of epilithic diatoms on different hard substrates, in the northern Adriatic Sea. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 87, 649-658.
- Ulanova, A., Snoeijs, P., 2006. Gradient responses of epilithic diatom communities in the Baltic Sea proper. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 68, 661-674.
- Underwood, G.J.C., Phillips, J., Saunders, K., 1998. Distribution of estuarine benthic diatom species along salinity and nutrient gradients. *European Journal of Phycology*, 33, 173-183.
- UNESCO, 1973. *International oceanographic tables, Volume 2*. National Institute of Oceanography of Great Britain and UNESCO, Paris, 141 pp.
- Vilbaste, S., Sundback, K., Nilsson, C., Truu, J., 2000. Distribution of benthic diatoms in the littoral zone of the Gulf of Riga in the Baltic Sea. *European Journal of Phycology*, 35, 373-385.
- Weiss, R.F., 1970. The solubility of nitrogen, oxygen and argon in water and seawater. *Deep-Sea Research*, 17, 721-735.
- Welker, C., Sdrigotti, E., Covelli, S., Faganeli, J., 2002. Microphytobenthos in the Gulf of Trieste (northern Adriatic Sea): relationship with labile sedimentary organic matter and nutrients. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 55, 259-273.
- Witkowski, A., Lange-Bertalot, H., Metzelin, D., 2000. Diatom flora of marine coasts I. In: *Iconographia diatomologica, vol. 7*. Lange-Bertalot H. (Ed), A.R.G. Gantner, Ruggell, Liechtenstein, 925 pp.
- Zaninović, K., Matzarakis, A., 2007. *Climatic changes in thermal comfort at the Adriatic coast*. In: *Climate change and tourism: assessment and coping strategies*. Amelung, B.,

- Blazejczyk, K., Matzarakis, A. (Eds). 155-164.
- Zavodnik, D., 2003. Marine fauna of the Mljet National Park (Adriatic Sea, Croatia). 2. Echinodermata. *Acta Adriatica*, 44 (2), 101-157.
- Žuljević, A., 2001. Pojava i širenje vrste *Caulerpa taxifolia* (Caulerpales, Chlorophyta) u Jadranu. Masters thesis, University of Zagreb, Zagreb.
- Žuljević, A., Antolić, B., 2001. Partial eradication of *Caulerpa taxifolia* in the Stari Grad Bay (Croatia). In Proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop on *Caulerpa taxifolia*, (Gravez V, Ruitton S, Boudouresque CF, Le Direac'h L, Meinesz A, Scabbia G, Verlaque M, editors), 259-265. GIS Posidonie, Marseille.
- Žuljević, A., Antolić B., 2002. *Appearance and eradication of Caulerpa taxifolia in Croatia*. In: *International Caulerpa taxifolia – Conference Proceedings*. Williams, E., Grosholz, E. (Eds). San Diego. University of California, Department of Environmental Science and Policy. 1-10. (separately paginated). California Sea Grant College Program, University of California, La Jolla, California. [Available at [http://www.csgc.ucsd.edu/BOOKSTORE/Resources/COMP\\_PUBS/CAULERPA.pdf](http://www.csgc.ucsd.edu/BOOKSTORE/Resources/COMP_PUBS/CAULERPA.pdf)]
- Žuljević, A., Antolić, B. Onofri, V., 2003. First record of *Caulerpa racemosa* (Caulerpales: Chlorophyta) in the Adriatic Sea. *Journal of Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 83, 711-712.
- Žuljević, A., Antolić, B., Špan, A., 1998. *Spread of the introduced tropical green alga Caulerpa taxifolia (Vahl) C. Agardh in Starigrad Bay (Island Hvar, Croatia)*. In: *Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on Caulerpa taxifolia*. Boudouresque, C.-F., Gravez, V., Meinesz, A., Palluy, F. (Eds). 51-59. GIS Posidonie Marseille.
- Žuljević, A., Thibaut, T., Despalatović, M., Cottalorda, J.-M., Nikolić, V. et al., 2011. Invasive alga *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea* makes a strong impact on the Mediterranean sponge *Sarcotragus spinosulus*. *Biological Invasions*, 13, 2303-2308.

## Appendix

**Appendix 1.** List of marine epilithic diatom taxa from areas of invasive *Caulerpa* species (*Caulerpa taxifolia* and *Caulerpa cylindracea*) in the Adriatic Sea. Abbreviations: taxa found only once in all number of samples are indicated with asterisk (\*); taxa found exclusively at Hvar (H), Dubrovnik (D), or Mljet (M) site are indicated; taxa found exclusively at *C. cylindracea* sites are indicated with (Cc).

- Achnanthes brevipes* C.Agardh [\*M]  
*Achnanthes brockmannii* Simonsen  
*Achnanthes* cf. *javanica* Grunow [H]  
*Achnanthes danica* (Flögel) Grunow [\*M]  
*Achnanthes fimbriata* (Grunow) R.Ross [\*H]  
*Achnanthes longipes* C.Agardh  
*Achnanthes* sp.1 [\*H]  
*Actinocyclus ochotensis* A.P.Jousé [H]  
*Actinocyclus heliopenella* Grunow [\*H]  
*Actinocyclus senarius* (Ehrenberg) Ehrenberg [\*H]  
*Amphiprora pseudoduplex* (Osada & Kobayasi) Hällfors [\*H]  
*Amphora abludens* R.Simonsen [H]  
*Amphora arenaria* Donkin [Cc]  
*Amphora bigibba* var. *interrupta* (Grunow) Cleve  
*Amphora* cf. *amoena* Hustedt  
*Amphora marina* W.Smith  
*Amphora* cf. *ramsbottomi* M.M.Salah [\*H]  
*Amphora delicatissima* Krasske [H]  
*Amphora gracialis* W. Smith  
*Amphora graeffeana* Hendey  
*Amphora helenensis* Giffen  
*Amphora holsaticoides* T.Nagumo & H.Kobayasi  
*Amphora hyalina* Kützing  
*Amphora immarginata* Nagumo [\*M]  
*Amphora jostesorum* Witkowski. Lange-Bertalot & Metzeltin [\*M]  
*Amphora laevis* Gregory  
*Amphora mexicana* A.W.F.Schmidt  
*Amphora ocellata* Donkin [Cc]  
*Amphora pannucea* M.H.Giffen  
*Amphora piper* B.J.Cholnoky [\*M]  
*Amphora praelata* Hendey [\*D]  
*Amphora proteus* W.Gregory  
*Amphora pseudoholsatica* T.Nagumo & H.Kobayasi  
*Amphora pseudohyalina* Simonsen  
*Amphora* spec. 161/1 (Ico.Diat.Vol.7. Pl. 161 Fig.20)  
*Amphora* spec. 167/1 (Ico.Diat.Vol.7. Pl. 167 Fig.7) [\*M]  
*Amphora* spec. 168/2 (Ico.Diat.Vol.7. Pl. 161 Fig.9) [\*D]  
*Amphora* sp.1 [Cc]  
*Amphora* sp.2  
*Amphora* sp.3  
*Amphora* sp.4  
*Amphora subacutiuscula* Schoeman [M]  
*Amphora turgida* var. *parallela* Heiden in Heiden & Kolbe [Cc]  
*Ardissonia crystallina* (C.A. Agardh) Grunow  
*Ardissonia formosa* (Hantzsch) Grunow  
*Ardissonia robusta* (Ralfs) De Notaris  
*Auricula intermedia* (F.W.Lewis) Cleve [\*M]  
*Auricula* sp.1  
*Auricula* sp.2  
*Bacillaria socialis* (Gregory) Ralfs  
*Berkeleya rutilans* (Trentepohl) Grunow  
*Berkeleya scopulorum* (Brébisson ex Kützing) E.J.Cox  
*Biddulphia pulchella* Gray [\*D]  
*Biremis ambigua* (Cleve) D.G.Mann  
*Biremis* sp.1 [\*H]  
*Caloneis elongata* (Grunow) Boyer [\*D]  
*Caloneis excentrica* (Grunow) Boyer [\*M]  
*Caloneis fusioides* (Grunow) Heiden & Kolbe [M]  
*Caloneis liber* (W.Smith) Cleve  
*Campylodiscus* sp.1 [\*H]  
*Chamaepinnularia truncate* (Dieter König) A.Witkowski. Lange-Bertalot & Metzeltin [\*H]  
*Cocconeopsis* sp.1  
*Cocconeis britannica* Naegeli in Kützing  
*Cocconeis* cf. *distantula* Giffen  
*Cocconeis* cf. *irregularis* (Schulz) Witkowski [\*D]  
*Cocconeis convexa* Giffen  
*Cocconeis costata* Gregory var. *costata*  
*Cocconeis dirupta* Gregory var. *dirupta*  
*Cocconeis dirupta* var. *flexella* (Janisch & Rabenhorst) Grunow [\*D]  
*Cocconeis diruptoides* Hustedt [\*M]  
*Cocconeis distans* Gregory  
*Cocconeis guttata* Hustedt in Aleem & Hustedt  
*Cocconeis krammeri* Lange-Bertalot & Metzeltin  
*Cocconeis molesta* var. *crucifera* Grunow in Van Heurck  
*Cocconeis pelta* A. Schmidt  
*Cocconeis peltoides* Hustedt  
*Cocconeis pinnata* Gregory ex Greville  
*Cocconeis pseudodiruptoides* Foged [\*D]  
*Cocconeis pseudomarginata* Gregory [Cc]  
*Cocconeis scutellum* Ehrenberg var. *scutellum*  
*Cocconeis speciosa* Gregory [M]  
*Coronia decora* (Brébisson) Ruck & Guiry  
*Cyclophora tenuis* Castracane  
*Cylindrotheca closterium* (Ehrenberg) Reimann & J.C.Lewin  
*Cymatosira lorenziana* Grunow [H]  
*Delphineis minutissima* (Hustedt) Simonsen [H]  
*Delphineis surirella* (Ehrenberg) Andrews  
*Dimeregrammopsis furcigerum* (Grunow) Ricard  
*Dimmeregrama fulvum* (Gregory) Ralfs in Pritchard  
*Dimmeregrama minor* (Gregory) Ralfs var. *minor*  
*Diploneis aestuarii* Hustedt  
*Diploneis bombus* Ehrenberg  
*Diploneis* cf. *smithii* (Brébisson) Cleve [\*H]  
*Diploneis chersonensis* (Grunow) Cleve [Cc]  
*Diploneis crabro* Ehrenberg [H]  
*Diploneis decipiens* var. *parallela*  
*Diploneis divergens* (A.W.F.Schmidt) Cleve [H]  
*Diploneis litoralis* var. *clathrata* Østrup  
*Diploneis littoralis* (Donkin) Cleve [\*H]  
*Diploneis mirabilis* D.König  
*Diploneis nitescens* (W.Gregory) Cleve  
*Diploneis notabilis* (Greville) Cleve  
*Diploneis papula* (A.F.W.Schmidt) Cleve var. *papula* [H]  
*Diploneis parca* (A. Schmidt) Boyer  
*Diploneis smithii* (Brébisson) Cleve

- Diploneis* spec. (Ico.Diat.Vol.7. Pl. 88 Figs 6-8)
- Diploneis splendida* Cleve
- Diploneis stroemii* Hustedt [Cc]
- Diploneis vacillans* (A. Schmidt) Cleve var. *vacillans*
- Diploneis vacillans* var. *renitens* (A.Schmidt) Cleve [\*H]
- Diploneis vetula* (A.W.F.Schmidt) Cleve [\*M]
- Diploneis weissflogii* (A. Schmidt) Cleve
- Diploneis* sp.1 [\*H]
- Diploneis* sp.2 [\*H]
- Entomoneis paludosa*** (W. Smith) Reimer var. *paludosa* [Cc]
- Entomoneis* sp.1 [\*H]
- Fallacia aequorea*** (Hustedt) D.G.Mann [\*H]
- Fallacia* cf. *vittata* (Cleve) D.G. Mann
- Fallacia floriniae* (M.Møller) Witkowski [H]
- Fallacia forcipata* (Greville) Stickle & D.G.Mann
- Fallacia nummularia* (Grev.) D.G. Mann
- Fallacia ny* (Cleve) D.G.Mann [\*H]
- Fallacia nyella* (Hustedt) D.G.Mann
- Fallacia versicolor* (Grunow) D.G.Mann
- Fragilaria capensis*** Grunow [\*M]
- Fragilaria improbula* Witkowski & Lange-Bertalot [\*H]
- Fragilaria investiens* (W. Smith) Cleve-Euler
- Fragilaria martyi* (Heribaud) Lange-Bertalot
- Fragilaria quebecensis* (Poulin. Hudon & Cardinal) A.Witkowski. Lange-Bertalot & Metzeltin [\*H]
- Glyphodesmis distans*** (Gregory) Grunow in Van Heurck
- Grammatophora angulosa*** var. *angulosa* Ehrenberg [M]
- Grammatophora angulosa* var. *islandica* (Ehrenberg) Grunow
- Grammatophora arcuata* Ehrenberg
- Grammatophora macilenta* W. Smith
- Grammatophora marina* (Lyngbye) Kützing
- Grammatophora maxima* Grunow [\*D]
- Grammatophora oceanica* (Ehrenberg 1854 pro parte) Grunow
- Grammatophora serpentina* (Ralfs) Kützing [Cc]
- Grammatophora undulata* Ehrenberg [M]
- Gyrosigma*** sp.1
- Halamphora acutiuscula*** (Kützing) Levkov
- Halamphora coffeiformis* (C.Agardh) Levkov
- Halamphora costata* (W.Smith) Levkov [D]
- Halamphora cuneata* (Cleve) Levkov
- Halamphora exigua* (W.Gregory) Levkov
- Halamphora granulate* (Gregory) Levkov
- Halamphora hybrida* (Grunow) Levkov [\*H]
- Halamphora interrupta* (Heiden) Levkov [H]
- Halamphora kolbei* (Aleem) Álvarez-Blanco & S.Blanco
- Halamphora luciae* (Cholnoky) Levkov
- Halamphora staurophora* (Juhlin-Dannfelt) Álvarez-Blanco & S.Blanco
- Halamphora subholsatica* (Krammer) Levkov
- Halamphora turgida* (Gregory) Levkov
- Hantzschia*** sp.1 [\*H]
- Haslea britannica*** (Hustedt & Aleem) Witkowski. Lange-Bertalot & Metzeltin [\*D]
- Hyalosynedra laevigata*** (Grunow) Williams & Round
- Licmophora abbreviata*** Agardh
- Licmophora debilis* (Kützing) Grunow in Van Heurck
- Licmophora flabellata* (Carmichael) Agardh
- Licmophora gracilis* (Ehrenberg) Grunow var. *gracilis*
- Licmophora paradoxa* (Lyngbye) Agardh
- Licmophora remulus* Grunow
- Licmophora* sp.1
- Lyrella abrupta*** (W.Gregory) D.G.Mann
- Lyrella fagedii* Witkowski. Lange-Bertalot & Metzeltin
- Lyrella hennedyi* (W.Smith) Stickle & D.G.Mann [\*M]
- Lyrella spectabilis* (Gregory) D.G.Mann [\*H]
- Lyrella sulcifera* (Hustedt) Witkowski [\*H]
- Lyrella* sp.1 [\*H]
- Martyana schulzii*** (C.Brockmann) Snoeijs
- Mastogloia adriatica*** Voigt [Cc]
- Mastogloia affinis* Cleve
- Mastogloia angulata* Lewis [M]
- Mastogloia baldjikiana* Grunow [H]
- Mastogloia binotata* (Grunow) Cleve
- Mastogloia biocellata* (Grunow) Novarino & Muftah
- Mastogloia braunii* Grunow [Cc]
- Mastogloia corsicana* Grunow in Cleve & Möller
- Mastogloia crucicula* (Grunow) Cleve var. *crucicula*
- Mastogloia crucicula* var. *alternans* Zanon
- Mastogloia cuneata* (Meister) Simonsen
- Mastogloia cyclops* Voigt
- Mastogloia decipiens* Hustedt
- Mastogloia emarginata* Hustedt
- Mastogloia erythraea* Grunow var. *erythraea*
- Mastogloia exilis* Hustedt
- Mastogloia fallax* Cleve [\*H]
- Mastogloia fimbriata* (Brightwell) Cleve
- Mastogloia grunowii* A. Schmidt [\*M]
- Mastogloia hovarthiana* Grunow [\*H]
- Mastogloia ignorata* Hustedt
- Mastogloia inaequalis* Cleve
- Mastogloia laminaris* (Ehrenberg) Grunow [Cc]
- Mastogloia lanceolata* Thwaites in W. Smith [\*M]
- Mastogloia linearis* Simonsen
- Mastogloia macdonaldii* Greville [M]
- Mastogloia manokwariensis* Cholnoky
- Mastogloia mauritiana* Brun in A. Schmidt Atlas [Cc]
- Mastogloia ovalis* A. Schmidt
- Mastogloia ovulum* Hustedt
- Mastogloia paradoxa* Grunow in Cleve & Möller
- Mastogloia parva* Hustedt
- Mastogloia peragalli* Cleve [Cc]
- Mastogloia pseudolatecostata* Yohn & Gibson
- Mastogloia pumila* (Grunow) Cleve [\*H]
- Mastogloia pusilla* (Grunow) Cleve var. *pusilla*
- Mastogloia quinquecostata* Grunow
- Mastogloia recta* Hustedt [\*H]
- Mastogloia regula* Hustedt [\*H]
- Mastogloia robusta* Hustedt
- Mastogloia similis* Hustedt
- Mastogloia* spec. 75/1 (Ico.Diat.Vol.7. Pl. 75 Figs 7-9)
- Mastogloia* spec. 84/1 (Ico.Diat.Vol.7. Pl. 84 Figs 9-11) [\*H]
- Mastogloia splendida* (Gregory) Cleve
- Mastogloia varians* Hustedt
- Mastogloia vasta* Hustedt
- Mastogloia* sp.1
- Mastogloia* sp.2 [\*H]
- Navicula arenaria*** Donkin
- Navicula bipustulata* Mann [\*M]
- Navicula cancellata* Donkin
- Navicula* cf. *fauta* Hustedt [H]
- Navicula directa* (W.Smith) Ralfs [\*H]

- Navicula duerrenbergiana* Hustedt [\*H]  
*Navicula kariana* Grunow [\*M]  
*Navicula longa* (W.Gregory) Ralfs [\*H]  
*Navicula lusoria* Giffen  
*Navicula palpebralis* Brébisson ex W.Smith  
*Navicula pavillardii* Hustedt [\*H]  
*Navicula ramosissima* (Agardh) Cleve  
*Navicula recurvata* Gran [M]  
*Navicula salinarum* var. *rostrata* (Hustedt) Lange-Bertalot  
*Navicula salinicola* Hustedt [\*M]  
*Navicula* spec. 130/1 (Ico.Diat.Vol.7. Pl. 130 Fig. 26)  
*Navicula subagnita* Proschkina-Lavrenko  
*Navicula subrostellata* Hustedt  
*Navicula zostereti* Grunow [\*H]  
*Navicula* sp.1 [\*D]  
*Navicula* sp.2 [\*H]  
*Navicula* sp.3 [\*H]  
***Neofragilaria nicobarica*** Desikachary. Prasad & Prema [\*H]  
***Nitzschia angularis*** W. Smith  
*Nitzschia capitellata* Hustedt [H]  
*Nitzschia carnicobarica* Desikachary & Prema [\*M]  
*Nitzschia* cf. *bulnheimiana* (Rabenhorst) H.L.Smith [\*H]  
*Nitzschia* cf. *sigma* (Kützing) W. Smith  
*Nitzschia distans* var. *distans* Gregory  
*Nitzschia fusiformis* Grunow  
*Nitzschia grossestriata* Hustedt [H]  
*Nitzschia hybrid* Grunow  
*Nitzschia incurvata* var. *lorenziana* R.Ross [\*H]  
*Nitzschia lanceola* Grunow [H]  
*Nitzschia lanceolata* var. *minima* Grunow  
*Nitzschia lanceolata* W. Smith [H]  
*Nitzschia liebethruthii* Rabenhorst  
*Nitzschia lorenziana* Grunow  
*Nitzschia macilenta* W.Gregory  
*Nitzschia marginulata* var. *didyma* Grunow [D]  
*Nitzschia ovalis* H.J.Armott  
*Nitzschia panduriformis* Gregory var. *panduriformis*  
*Nitzschia pellucida* Grunow  
*Nitzschia scalpelliformis* Grunow  
*Nitzschia sicula* (Castracane) Hustedt  
*Nitzschia* spec. 183/2 (Ico.Diat.Vol.7. Pl. 183 Fig. 11) [\*M]  
***Opephora guenter-grassii*** (Witkowski & Lange-Bertalot) Sabbe & Vyverman  
*Opephora marina* (W.Gregory) Petit [\*H]  
*Opephora pacifica* (Grunow) Petit  
***Paralia sulcata*** (Ehrenberg) Cleve [H]  
***Parlibellus bennikei*** Witkowski  
*Parlibellus berkeleyi* (Kützing) E.J.Cox [\*D]  
*Parlibellus delognei* (Van Heurck) Cox  
***Pinnularia*** sp.1 [\*H]  
*Pinnularia* sp.2 [\*M]  
***Plagiogramma interruptum*** (W.Gregory) Ralfs  
*Plagiogramma staurophorum* (W.Gregory) Heiberg [\*H]  
*Plagiogramma* sp.1 [M]  
***Plagiotropis tayrecta*** Paddock  
***Planothidium*** aff. *engelbrechtii* (Cholnoky) Round & L.Bukhtiyarova [\*H]  
*Planothidium* cf. *campechianum* (Hustedt) Witkowski & Lange-Bertalot [\*H]  
*Planothidium delicatulum* (Kützing) Round & Bukhtiyarova  
*Planothidium quarnerensis* (Grunow) Witkowski. Lange-Bertalot & Metzelin  
***Pleurosigma decorum*** W.Smith  
*Pleurosigma* sp.1  
*Pleurosigma* sp.2 [\*H]  
*Pleurosigma* sp.3 [\*D]  
***Podocystis americana*** Bailey [\*D]  
***Protokeelia cholnokiana*** (Giffen) Round et Basson  
***Psammodictyon roridum*** (M.H.Giffen) D.G.Mann  
***Rhabdonema adriaticum*** Kützing [Cc]  
***Rhaphoneis ampiceros*** (Ehrenberg) Ehrenberg [H]  
***Rhopalodia musculus*** (Kützing) Otto Müller  
*Rhopalodia pacifica* Krammer  
*Rhopalodia* sp.1 [\*H]  
***Seminavis obtusiuscula*** (Grunow) Danieledis & D.G.Mann [\*M]  
*Seminavis* spec. 164/1 (Ico.Diat.Vol.7. Pl. 164 Fig. 17)  
*Seminavis* sp.1  
***Striatella unipunctata*** (Lyngbye) C.Agardh  
***Surirella fastuosa*** (Ehrenberg) Ehrenberg  
***Synedra commutata*** Grunow  
***Tabularia fasciculata*** (C.Agardh) D.M.Williams & Round  
*Tabularia ktenooides* M.Kuylenstierna  
***Tetramphora decussata*** (Grunow) Stepanek & Kociolek  
*Tetramphora securicula* (Peragallo & Peragallo) Stepanek & Kociolek  
***Toxarium undulatum*** Bailey  
***Trachyneis aspera*** (Ehrenberg) Cleve  
***Triceratium reticulum*** Ehrenberg [\*M]  
***Tryblionella acuminata*** W.Smith [\*H]  
*Tryblionella apiculata* W.Gregory  
*Tryblionella hungarica* (Grunow) Frenguelli [\*M]  
*Tryblionella persuadens* (Cholnoki) K.P.Cavalcante. P.I.Tremarin & T.A.V.Ludwig