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Abstract

The assessment of impacts of alien species is one of the most critical steps for the prioritisation of policy and management actions and this requires assessment schemes that can compare impacts across different taxa, ecosystems and socio-economic contexts. The Environmental and Socio-Economic Impact Classification of Alien Species (EICAT and SEICAT) are two methodologies that facilitate such comparisons. They classify alien taxa along a 5-level, semi-quantitative scale based on the magnitude of their impacts on the environment and human well-being, respectively. In this study, we applied both protocols to seven invasive marine fishes that are already considered “high-risk” and have been singled out for monitoring in relation to fisheries in the Mediterranean (Plotosus linesatus, Pterois miles/volitans, Fistularia commersonii, Lagocephalus sceleratus, Siganus rivulatus/Siganus luridus and Saurida lessepsianus). Here, we focus in particular on their socio-economic impacts. By systematically reviewing the published literature and scoring the demonstrated impacts we show that the taxa with the highest environmental impacts (Major) are P. miles/volitans and the two siganids. In contrast, L. sceleratus had the highest socio-economic impact (Moderate) and highest number of impact records. The high and uniform densities of P. linesatus caused widespread, albeit less severe, impacts in the invaded areas. Human activities that are primarily affected by the selected taxa are commercial and recreational fishing and other recreational uses of the sea through impacts on, mainly, material assets and human health. We found significant data gaps regarding the species’ environmental impacts, especially relating to predation, and make specific recommendations for future research. The links between environmental and socio-economic impacts, especially their cultural dimensions, are poorly documented and require novel approaches. Surveys specifically adapted to capture the distinction between socio-economic impact classes would strengthen our confidence in the assessments and better inform prioritisation and decision-making.
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Introduction

Alien species pose one of the biggest challenges for biodiversity conservation and the management of natural ecosystems (Simberloff et al., 2013; Williams et al., 2013). In the marine environment, species introductions outside their native range occur primarily through ship-mediated pathways, aquaculture, the aquarium trade and, most notably for the Mediterranean Sea, corridors such as the Suez canal (Katsanevakis et al., 2013; Zenetos et al., 2012). While some introduced species may enter new areas but fail to get established, others may establish themselves without any severe impacts on the native habitats and communities (Blackburn et al., 2011; Zenni & Nuñez, 2013). Yet some species thrive in their new environment and threaten native biodiversity (termed invasive alien species or IAS by the Convention on Biological Diversity, UNEP, 2014). Marine invasions have been associated with species displacements, the alteration of trophic interactions, habitat degradation and disruption of ecosystem function (Lesser & Slattery, 2011; Vergés et al., 2014); they can also cause economic harm by interfering with fisheries and recreational activities (Bax et al., 2003; Katsanevakis et al., 2014) or harm to human health in the case of poisonous or venomous species (Strettaris & Zenetos, 2006). Thus, IAS impacts are of particular concern and have been the subject of intensive research and management/policy initiatives, culminating, at the EU scale, in EU Regulation (No.1143/2014), which entered into force on January 1st 2015 (EU, 2014).
The Regulation sets out rules to prevent, minimize and mitigate the adverse impact on biodiversity of the introduction and spread within the Union, both intentional and unintentional, of IAS. It also introduces a priority list of species of EU concern (the so-called “Union list”), compiled on the basis of several criteria, most importantly the magnitude of IAS impacts on the environment and human well-being, their biogeographic representation in the EU and the feasibility of cost-effective prevention and management (Tollington et al., 2017). Currently, only one marine species is included in the Union list, the brachyuran crab *Eriocheir sinensis*, although a number of marine fishes is under consideration with completed or on-going risk assessments. Listing at the EU but also the national and regional levels is underpinned by a prioritization process that stresses a risk screening of the species that pose the highest risks (Copp et al., 2016; Roy et al., 2015) and thus require a thorough risk assessment and takes into consideration the potential for effective management such that relative priorities for action can be established (i.e. risk analysis, Vanderhoeven et al., 2017).

Prioritization being at the core of this and other IAS-related legislation outside the EU (BIO Intelligence Service, 2011; McGeoch et al., 2016), it is crucial that relevant assessment schemes are applied that are transparent, objective and evidence-based, with demonstrated impacts weighing particularly heavily in the decision-making process. While expert opinion of the potential impacts of alien species is often employed in the absence of hard evidence, it is acknowledged that it offers weak inference (Katsanevakis et al., 2016), as it can be subjected to personal biases (McBride et al., 2012; Goodenough, 2010). Relying on documented evidence only also enables the development of indicators that assess the context dependence and temporal trends in alien species’ impacts (McGeoch et al., 2010; Latombe et al., 2017; Rabitsch et al., 2016).

Moreover, the Regulation (and other legislation) does not make any distinction between taxonomic groups but deals with all alien species simultaneously, such that prioritization schemes should be able to effectively compare impacts across very different taxa, habitats and affected commodities (Kumschick et al., 2015). In response to this need, Blackburn et al. (2014), building on previous work by Nentwig et al. (2010) and Kumschick et al. (2012), proposed a methodology to classify alien taxa in terms of the magnitude of their deleterious environmental impacts in invaded areas, termed EICAT (Environmental Impact Classification for Alien Taxa). EICAT is receiving increasing international support and has recently been adopted by the IUCN (https://www.iucn.org/theme/species/our-work/invasive-species/eicat); however it does not include socio-economic impacts. Even though environmental impacts are the stated priority in the EU IAS Regulation (EU, 2014), socio-economic impacts are also addressed and can often be even more readily apparent and of immediate concern to stakeholders, especially in the case of alien species that pose health hazards or act as pests (Vilà et al., 2010; Kumschick et al., 2015). Efforts to quantify socio-economic impacts in monetary terms have indicated that cost figures may help spur politicians/managers into action, engage the public and strengthen support for policy measures (Scalera, 2010) but may not always be a reliable measure of harm or risk to human well-being (e.g. consider impacts on health and on sectors that employ people close to the poverty limit) (Bacher et al., 2018). Moreover, monetary costs remain rather scarce and unevenly distributed across species, regions and human activities (Kettunen et al., 2008), such economy that assessment and prioritization on the basis of economic measures alone is unlikely to represent the full range of socio-economic impacts of alien species. Recently, Bacher et al. (2018) developed a tool structurally similar to EICAT, specifically addressing socio-economic impacts, termed SEICAT (Socio-Economic Impact Classification of Alien Taxa). The novelty of SEICAT is that, rather than using monetary costs, it examines alien species’ impacts on human well-being, employing the capability approach from welfare economics (Sen 1999; Robeyns 2005; 2011). The term human well-being, as used in socio-economic research, developed from the work of Narayan et al. (2000), documenting the perceptions and realities of the lives of people around the world and their ideas about what constitutes bad and good life (see also MEA, 2003). Human well-being encompasses the fundamental qualities and conditions that are necessary for a decent and fulfilling life. These are summarised in four constituents, namely material and immaterial assets, security, health and good social relations, underpinned by freedom of choice and action (Narayan et al., 2000). The capability approach argues that rather than income or other resources available to people, it is the capabilities or opportunities they are presented with, i.e. what they can be and do in their lives, that constitute human well-being (Sen, 1999; Polischuk & Rauschmayr, 2012). To the extent that alien species can change the environmental factors, economic setting and even the social context that determine people’s potential capability set, they can affect human well-being through changes in one or more of its constituents.

In this context, SEICAT uses changes in peoples’ activities (i.e. realised opportunities) as a common metric for evaluating impacts on well-being (Bacher et al., 2018), facilitating comparisons between different taxonomic groups, ecosystems and socio-economic environments and capturing context dependence. EICAT and SEICAT are designed to complement each other as they both address only documented impacts, they address only deleterious effects and they classify alien species based on the maximum documented magnitude of their impacts into the same five ranked levels of impact from “Minimal Concern” to “Massive”, where impacts are irreversible even after eradicating the alien population (Blackburn et al., 2014; Bacher et al., 2018).

EICAT has been already applied to alien bird species...
(Evans et al., 2016), reptiles and amphibians (Kraus, 2015; Kumschick et al., 2017), grasses (Visser et al., 2017) and two species of parrots (Turbé et al., 2017), whereas, being a more recent protocol, SEICAT has only been applied to amphibians (Bacher et al., 2018). In the future, SEICAT and EICAT should be applied to all alien populations to collate data that can underpin prioritization and advance our understanding of the context-dependence of impacts. Here, we focus on seven Lessepsian fishes with reported impacts in the Mediterranean Sea, which we assess with SEICAT and EICAT. This allows a more transparent and comprehensive assessment of negative impacts in the focal area of interest to explore possible links between environmental and socio-economic impacts. We provide an up-to-date systematic literature review of the impacts of the selected species, highlighting gaps in our knowledge and areas where further research is urgently needed.

Methods

Choice of species

In the Eastern Mediterranean Sea, the dominant pathway of introduction of marine non-native species is the Suez Canal (Essi et al., 2015), whereby species of Indo-Pacific origin find access to the Mediterranean waters (Lessepsian immigrants). Indeed, the Levantine Sea, as a hot spot for Lessepsian immigrants, is the first region where their dispersal, interactions with the native ecological communities but also with the human element are manifested and most likely also documented. Currently there are approximately 153 alien marine fishes in the Mediterranean (HCMR, offline database); 2/3 of Indo-Pacific origin (102 species; Fricke et al., 2017). In a recent meeting (September 2017), a Joint GFCM-UN Environment/MAP working group identified 7 of these species as priority fish alien species for monitoring in the Eastern Mediterranean in relation to fisheries (UNEP/MAP, 2017). These species are: Saurida lessepsianus Russell, Golani, Tikochinski, 2015 [until recently misidentified as S. undosquamis (Richardson, 1848)]; Fistularia commune Russell, 1838; Lagocephalus sceleratus (Gmelin, 1789); Plotosus lineatus (Thunberg, 1787); Siganus rivulatus Forskål & Niebuhr, 1775 and Siganus luidus (Rüppell, 1829) (treated here as one taxonomic unit Siganus spp.); and Pterois miles (Bennett, 1828). All 7 species are also included in a recently compiled list of invasive/ potential invasive alien species in the East and South European Network for Invasive Alien Species (ESENIAS) countries (Karachle et al., 2017). All species entered the Mediterranean between 1027 and 2003; for the first 6, impacts in the region have been, to varying extents, reported (see also review by Katsanevakis et al., 2014 for European waters); as such they were assessed for the Eastern Mediterranean as the focal region (see Assessment Protocols - Scoring and reporting for terminology and definitions). Pterois miles, a recent invader through the Suez Canal (Bariche et al., 2013), is spreading fast in the Mediterranean and is already attaining substantial densities (Jimenez et al., 2016; Kletou et al., 2016), even though impacts have not been reported yet. However, based on its invasion history in the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean, as well as the severity of the documented impacts there, it has raised significant concerns among scientists (e.g. Bariche et al., 2013; Jimenez et al., 2017) and is already the subject of dedicated research (see project REDIOMMED-LIFE: Preventing a lionfish invasion in the Mediterranean through early response and targeted removal (LIFE16 NAT/CY/000832)) and EU wide risk-assessment. For P. miles, we applied SEICAT and EICAT with the western Atlantic as the focal region. In that region, the morphologically similar but genetically distinct (Freshwater et al., 2009) P. miles and P. volitans appear together and are not always distinguished in studies, they were thus treated as one taxon for the assessment.

Literature search

The literature search for species impacts followed the principles outlined in Evans et al. (2016). Literature up to October 2017 was searched using each species’ scientific name in Web of Science, Google Scholar and Google, and scanned for reported impacts. The search was constrained by the introduction year in the area of interest for each species. Web of Science results were first searched exhaustively, while in Google Scholar only the first 200 reports were searched. Additional search strings were used; “Lessepsian” AND “immigrant” OR “fish” AND “Meditteranean”, “Pterois” OR “lionfish” OR “Plotosus lineatus” AND “envenomation” OR “injury”, “Lagocephalus sceleratus” OR “pufferfish” OR “poisoning”. Other online resources searched included Delivering Alien Invasive Species Inventories for Europe (DASIE) (http://www.europe-aliens.org), CABI’s Invasive Species Compendium (http://www.cabi.org/isc/) and the Global Invasive Species Database (GISD) of the Invasive Species Specialist Group (ISSG) (http://www.issg.org/database/welcome/). Key publications on marine invasions in the Mediterranean were used as a starting point for the assessment process, including Strefaris & Zenetos (2006), Otero et al. (2013), Katsanevakis et al. (2014). Relevant references listed in this first set of publications were consulted and included when appropriate, as was grey literature, if it was accessible. Furthermore, national experts in the affected countries were contacted in search of newspaper articles reporting impacts of the selected species. Even though the search in the electronic press was not strictly systematic or exhaustive and we are aware of the biases it may introduce, the aim was to collect as many reports as possible for the database which is meant to be improved in the future with recurring assessments. For each reported impact an entry was made in a spreadsheet with the direct citation from the published text and the source (when text was added for clarification purposes it was entered in parentheses) and was scored
according to the protocols described below. These entries are henceforth referred to as impact records. Only primary literature, i.e. reporting direct observations of impacts, was used to extract impact records for the assessment spreadsheet. Review articles, although not recommended as sources of information according to the application criteria of the two protocols, were used in a limited number of cases where the synthesis of the available information led to a novel conclusion or where previously unreported information was presented. Injuries to aquarists were not included in our database because they do not result from alien species populations in the wild. Moreover, we did not consider any positive impacts since these are not currently assessed by the protocols.

Assessment Protocols

EICAT is described in detail in Blackburn et al. (2014) and Hawkins et al. (2015) while SEICAT is presented in Bacher et al. (2018); here we only give a brief description of the concepts and procedures.

Classification scale: EICAT and SEICAT classify alien species according to the magnitude of their environmental and socio-economic impacts respectively into 5 ranked classes, ranging from Minimal Concern to Massive, each level representing more severe impacts (see Table 1 for a summarised version). In EICAT the assessment units are the native species; thus, each category describes impacts at increasing biological organisation levels; starting from impacts on individual fitness and culminating with irreversible changes at the ecosystem level due to irreversible local species extinction(s). It is important to clarify here that in the EICAT framework, changes in the abundances of native species do not qualify as community changes under the Major impacts scenario (MR); these occur when (at least) one population of a native species goes locally extinct (i.e. at the typical spatial scale at which original native communities can be characterised - Blackburn et al., 2014). In SEICAT, the assessment units are the realised human activities, i.e. the subset of activities the social communities in the region of interest actually engage in. The magnitude of change is measured primarily on the basis of how people are affected in their normal activities (i.e. have difficulties or stop participating in an activity), each category representing more severe impacts, manifested across a larger group of people. The irreversible loss of an activity from a local community is considered a Massive impact.

Mechanisms of change: EICAT considers 12 mechanisms under which alien taxa can affect the recipient species and communities: (1) competition; (2) predation; (3) hybridization; (4) transmission of diseases to native taxa; (5) parasitism; (6) poisoning/toxicity; (7) bio-fouling; (8) grazing/herbivory/browsing; (9) chemical; (10) physical or (11) structural impact on ecosystem; (12) interaction with other alien species. Each taxon is assessed for its impacts under all possible mechanisms for which information is available. The structural equivalents of these mechanisms in SEICAT are the constituents of human well-being. Changes in an activity can result from impacts on more than one constituent of well-being and, conversely, impacts on one component of human well-being may affect more than one activity. As an example, injuries from a venomous fish species can affect a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Massive (MV)</th>
<th>Major (MR)</th>
<th>Moderate (MO)</th>
<th>Minor (MN)</th>
<th>Minimal concern (MC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Causes at least local extinction of native species, and irreversible changes in community composition; even if the alien taxon is removed the system does not recover its original state.</td>
<td>Causes at least local extinction of native species and thus changes in community composition, which are reversible if the alien taxon is removed.</td>
<td>Causes population declines in native species, but no changes in community composition due to local extinction of one or more native species.</td>
<td>Causes reductions in individual fitness, but no declines in native population sizes.</td>
<td>No effect on fitness of individuals of native species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local disappearance of an activity from a local community, irreversible for at least a decade (“regime shift”).</td>
<td>Local disappearance of an activity from a local community, irreversible for at least a decade (“regime shift”).</td>
<td>Changes in activity size, fewer people participating in an activity, but the activity is still carried out.</td>
<td>Difficult for people to participate in their normal activities, but no changes in activity size.</td>
<td>Unlikely to have caused deleterious impacts on individual people’s wellbeing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Summary of EICAT and SEICAT impact categories with brief description of the severity of impacts (adapted from Blackburn et al., 2014 and Bacher et al., 2018).
widespread variety of activities; on one hand commercial fishing but on the other hand also recreational uses of the sea. However, commercial fishing can change due to impacts on different constituents of well-being, namely through health risks to fishers and a decline in target species populations or damages to fishing gear (material assets). In defining activities, we were guided by the nature of the impact of the alien taxa (Bacher et al., 2018; see also Roy et al., 2017a, Supplementary Table 3 for a suggested check list). Health impacts that are not specifically connected to a particular activity (e.g. stings from venomous species during fishing) but impede all aspects of life were assessed as affecting multiple activities; in our study this pertains to poisoning incidents caused by the consumption of L. sceleratus.

**Scoring and reporting**: Both classifications are based on the best available evidence; i.e. only documented impacts are scored, each record being assessed separately. S. lessepsianus, L. sceleratus, F. commersonii, P. lineatus, S. rivulatus and S. luridus were assessed for the Eastern Mediterranean as the “focal region”, i.e. the region in which the alien taxon is having its maximum recorded impacts and is used to inform the assessment (Hawksin et al., 2015). None of these 6 species have alien populations anywhere else other than the Mediterranean Sea, so that the current assessments can be considered as global. The assessment of P. miles/volitans is also global but uses impact data from the Western Atlantic as the focal region. This reflects the precautionary principle for alien impacts, however caution is advised when interpreting or transferring impact classifications to areas outside the focal region as impact listings are likely to be context-dependent: an alien impact that is observed in one area of the introduced range may not occur or may not be as important elsewhere (Bacher et al., 2018). Each score is accompanied by a confidence level and the appropriate justification. Confidence ratings were assigned according to Hawkins et al. (2015) and Bacher et al. (2018) - three scores of high, medium and low based on the strength of evidence, spatial scale of the reported impact, quality and consistency of the data/information, and the ease of interpretation. The final impact score is the maximum score ever achieved in history, both at the temporal and at the geographic level, and acts as a proxy of the potential maximum impact the species can achieve in the absence of management. The final confidence level of the species assessment corresponds to the highest confidence accompanying the highest impact scored records. Where insufficient data were available to determine and classify impacts of an alien species, it was assigned as data deficient (DD).

**Quality control**: All assessments were independently cross-checked for consistency by a colleague experienced in S/EICAT assessments (Lara Volery, University of Fribourg), and who is not a co-author. The final scores were agreed by consensus among all authors.

### Results

#### Overall scoring

For socio-economic impacts, overall 39 publications and 9 electronic media sources yielded 65 records entered into the SEICAT scoring sheet (Supplementary Material). Of these, 41 were scored with one of the five ranked classes, 5 were assigned as Data Deficient and 19 did not constitute impact reports according to the SEICAT criteria; records from the two latter groups are still included in the data base to clarify context. The activities affected by the 7 examined marine fish species were commercial fishing (~50% of the scored records), recreational fishing (angling, spearfishing), other recreational uses of the sea (going to the beach/swimming in the sea), commercial diving and multiple activities affected due to poisoning or envenomation (Fig. 1A). With respect to the constituents of human well-being, impacts were demonstrated more commonly on health and material assets. All the examined species interfered to varying extents with commercial fishing, while only one species, L. sceleratus, affected all the constituents of human well-being (Fig. 1B). The highest score assigned was MO (Moderate), related exclusively to records demonstrating the effects of L. sceleratus on human health (n=6). All other impact records were either MC (Minimal Concern), MN (Minor) (Fig. 2) or DD as they lacked sufficient documentation. Confidence levels were medium (61% of records) to low (32%), generally reflecting an unclear description of impact magnitude (i.e. according to the SEICAT criteria).

With respect to environmental impacts, 29 publications yielded 35 records for the database, of which 27 were scored with one of the five EICAT impact classes, 2 were assigned to the DD category and 6 remained unscored as they did not fulfil the criteria for impact description (Supplementary Material). The environmental impact records were generally more straightforward and easier to interpret than socio-economic, reflected in the lower percentage of unscored records. The confidence level of the assessments (74 % medium, 18.5 % low) was more often associated with the scale at which impacts were manifested rather than with uncertainties about the magnitude of impacts per se. This is largely due to the dominance in the impact reports of small-scale field experiments on the predation effects of Pterois miles/volitans. Impact scores ranged from Minimal Concern (MC) to Major (MR) (Fig. 2) but two out of the seven examined species lacked sufficient strong evidence to be assigned an impact score according to EICAT and were assigned to the DD category (Table 2). The environmental impact mechanisms identified for alien marine fishes were, in ranking order, predation, competition and grazing/herbivory (Fig. 1C). The highest score assigned (MR) was associated with 4 records describing the disappearance of native species, 2 representing predation effects of P. miles (local extinc-
Table 2. Summary of results of the environmental (EICAT) and socio-economic (SEICAT) impact assessment of the selected marine invasive fish taxa. Abbreviations for impact classes: MN - Minor, MO - Moderate, MR - Major, DD - Data Deficient. Focal Area: the region in which the alien taxon is being assessed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>EICAT</th>
<th>SEICAT</th>
<th>Focal Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Plotosus lineatus</em></td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pterois miles/volitans</em></td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Western Atlantic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lagocephalus sceleratus</em></td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fistularia commersonii</em></td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Siganus spp.</em></td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Saurida lessepsianus</em></td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: Distribution of socio-economic impact records of selected invasive fishes by human activity (A-top) and constituent of well-being (B-middle) and of environmental impacts by mechanism for which information was available (C-bottom).
tion of native herbivorous fish species) and 2 representing grazing/herbivory impacts by Siganus spp. on Cystoseira forests (local extinction of Cystoseira spp.). For the 4 taxa for which both assessments were available (Table 2), EICAT scores were always higher than SEICAT scores and there was no correlation between environmental and socio-economic impacts.

Species assessments

In this section, summaries of the main impacts per protocol are presented for each species. These are better understood with reference to the detailed scoring spreadsheet for all individual impacts reports provided as Supplementary Material.

**Plotosus lineatus (striped eel catfish)**

First reported in the Mediterranean by Golani (2002), P. lineatus established itself all along the Israeli coast, becoming a dominant member of the ichthyofauna and subsequently of trawl catches (Edelist et al., 2012), and was recently detected in Syrian (Ali et al., 2015), south-eastern Turkish waters (Doğdu et al., 2016) and Tunisia (Oumfi-Ben Amor et al., 2016). A venomous alien species, its sting can cause painful injuries, sometimes accompanied by hypertension and tachycardia and a risk of secondary infection (Bentur et al., 2017).

We classified the socio-economic impacts of P. lineatus as MN according to SEICAT through its effects on several constituents on human well-being. The species interferes with both commercial and, to a lesser extent, recreational fisheries, but we found no indication that the magnitude of these activities has decreased. The high discard rates of this species in commercial trawl catches (Edelist et al., 2012) were associated with an increase in the time required to sort the catch, as P. lineatus is highly venomous and extra care is needed for its handling (Dor Edelist, University of Haifa/Israel Oceanographic and Limnological Research Institute, personal communication, June 2017). As a result, trawl fishers in Israel move to deeper waters occasionally to avoid it (Edelist et al., 2013) surmised that decreased profitability in the trawl fisheries sector in Israel led to a decline of ca. 30% in both the number of trawlers and the overall fishing effort of the fleet (in days at sea/year) between the early 1990s and the late 2000s. However, there is a multitude of reasons that may have contributed to this, from overexploitation to bio-invasion coupled with climate change, damming the Nile, international trade agreements and rising fuel prices (Golani et al., 2017), such that attributing decrease in activity size to any one reason or any one of the invasive species that increase discard rates would be unfounded without any additional data or analysis. With regards to recreational uses of the sea (beach-going and recreational fishing), there have been a number of injuries (Bentur et al., 2017; Gweta et al., 2008) but no evidence to suggest that peo-

![Fig. 2: Distribution of socio-economic (SEICAT) and environmental (EICAT) scores for impact records of selected invasive fish species in the Mediterranean (except for Pterois miles/volitans - see text). Abbreviations for impact classes: MC - Minimal Concern, MN - Minor, MO - Moderate, MR - Major, MV - Massive.](http://epublishing.ekt.gr)
ple are deterred from carrying out these activities in the impacted areas due to the presence of P. lineatus. As for environmental impacts, we scored according to EICAT P. lineatus as MO, due to its putative displacement of the native weaver-fish Trachinus draco (Edelis et al., 2012), but the confidence rating of the assessment is low as the evidence for P. lineatus as causal agent of the observed population decline is circumstantial and the mechanism (competition) inferred.

Pterois miles/volitans (lionfish, devil firefish)

We scored P. miles/volitans as MN in SEICAT due to its interference with spiny lobster fishery and the injuries caused to various groups of stakeholders. Bahamian fishermen have claimed that lionfish negatively affect the catch of spiny lobsters (Akins et al., 2012), and there is some indication of reduced time spent in shelter potentially affecting the fitness of spiny lobster (Curits-Quick et al., 2013), which is scored in EICAT. However, it is the spiny lobsters that eventually exclude the lionfish from lobster traps, instigating aggression and chasing them away (Lazarre, 2016). There is still a minor impact on the fishery but it results from increased handling times in the presence of lionfish (Henderson, 2012). With respect to health impacts from the sting of the lionfish’s venomous spines, lionfish use their venomous spines defensively and will not instigate an attack to humans. Incidents generally occur as a result of careless handling while fishing or preparing food and can be easily avoided by proper safety measures (Resiere et al., 2016). Despite the negative publicity on the issue (Carballo-Cardenas, 2015), systematic reports of injuries in the literature are scarce, and they do not indicate a reduction in the size of the affected activities. Impacts on the reef-associated tourism (e.g. diving and snorkelling) may be expected, as suggested by choice experiments examining the recreational and aesthetic value of lionfish-impacted reefs (Malpica-Cruz et al., 2017; van Beukering et al., 2014), but these conclusions are based on hypothetical scenarios and do not constitute reported impacts fit to be scored according to the SEICAT protocol. Clearly more rigorous studies are needed to demonstrate such impacts.

Conversely, a wealth of studies - ranging from surveys to field and laboratory experiments - offer ample evidence for the severity of the lionfish invasion environmental impacts. We classified P.miles/volitans as MR according to EICAT, as it can lead to the local population extirpation of native species (Ingeman, 2016) through predation. However, it may also cause a phase shift towards algal-dominated reefs through local extinction of herbivorous fish species or meso-predator release (Lesser & Slattery, 2011) and non-consumptive effects on herbivorous fish (Kindinger & Albins, 2016). These impacts have been reported at a local scale and can be reversible if algal dominance is supressed by sufficient levels of herbivory (Mumby et al., 2007) and, in rare cases, even by regeneration of the remnant coral tissue (Diaz-Pulido et al., 2009). It remains to be seen what kind of interactions the lionfish will develop with native species and communities in the Mediterranean and how these will affect human well-being. With particular reference to its interaction with other alien fish species, an interesting line of research would be to examine the potential relationship between the predatory lionfish and the herbivorous S. lusitanus and S. rivulatus, which in separate invasion scenarios have had opposite effects on the recipient ecosystems (Bellwood & Goatley, 2017). If P. miles preys on the two signands in the Mediterranean and supresses their populations it may counteract their overgrazing impacts on algal forests. On the other hand, Fistularia commersonii, one of the few documented natural predators of P.miles (Berdansky & Goulet, 1991), may exert a measure of population control on the lionfish through predation.

Lagocephalus sceleratus (pufferfish, silver-cheeked toadfish)

Lagocephalus sceleratus is an extremely toxic species which causes severe poisoning that may lead to death when eaten (Bentur et al., 2008). Its marketing is prohibited in the EU (EC No 1021/2008) and many non-EU Mediterranean countries have introduced their own restrictions for the fishing, landing and selling of the species, but it is nevertheless still consumed in some countries after the removal of the head and internal organs (Aydm, 2011; Beköz et al., 2013). It is an aggressive predator that is considered a major nuisance by fishermen since it damages fishing gear by attacking fish caught in nets and lines (Nader et al., 2012).

Lagocephalus sceleratus can affect human well-being primarily through its impacts on material assets and human health. The species was classified as MO with respect to human health; even though it has been the cause of several deaths by poisoning in Egypt, Lebanon and Syria, its consumption can and should be avoided since its toxicity is well known. Its impacts are therefore comparable to avoiding the consumption of other toxic organisms like e.g. certain mushroom species that also do not induce large changes in societies. However, despite numerous awareness campaigns in Eastern Mediterranean countries (Ben Souissi et al., 2014), the information has apparently not reached all those potentially affected and unsuspecting consumers still remain vulnerable (Beköz et al., 2013; Ben Souissi et al., 2014; Ünal et al., 2015; Ünal and Göncüoğlu Bodur, 2017), including tourists and maritime professionals. With respect to commercial fishing (material assets), L. sceleratus is classified as MN, since, despite its pest status, there is no evidence to support a reduction or spatial displacement of activity (see Table 1 for a detailed description of impact classes). Similarly, it scores MN for recreational activities (angling, spearfishing, going to the beach) as it disrupts them or induces feelings of fear but these impacts were recorded at a local scale and their description cannot lead to inferences about changes in the size of these activities. We did not find re-
ports of its environmental impacts, and therefore scored it as DD according to EICAT. Being a large and voracious predator with high population densities in the region, L. sceleratus is expected to have significant ecological consequences through predation (Kalogirou, 2013; Nader et al., 2012) and is considered by local fishers to be responsible for the decline in the populations (and landings) of commercially important native species of cephalopods (EastMed, 2010; Van Ham et al., 2013; Panagopoulou et al., 2017). However, besides fishers’ perceptions and scientists’ studies documenting the dietary preference of L. sceleratus for cephalopod species in certain areas (Aydin, 2011; Kalogirou, 2013), in our search we found no actual data supporting a decline in cephalopod populations due to predation by L. sceleratus.

**Fistularia commersonii (cornetfish)**

Fistularia commersonii was first recorded in the Mediterranean in 2000 (Golani 2000) and within 7 years reached the south coast of Spain (Sanchez-Tocino et al., 2007). It is considered the fastest (Azurro et al., 2013) and farthest spreading Lessepsian immigrant, characterized by rapidly increasing abundance in the invaded areas (Joksimovic et al., 2009). A mid-water predator with a dietary preference for commercially important prey species (Boops boops, Engraulis encrasicolus, Spicara smaris and mullids) (Karacahl & Stergiou, 2017), it is consistently present in considerable numbers in commercial catches in parts of the invaded range (Southeastern Aegean (Corisini-Foka & Economidis, 2007). Thus, the final EICAT score for this species was DD.

**Siganus luridus, Siganus rivulatus** (dusky spinefoot, parrotfish)

Siganus luridus and S. rivulatus entered the Mediterranean in 1956 and 1927 respectively and they have both established important populations in the eastern part of the basin; S. luridus is also present in the western Mediterranean, whereas the presence of S. rivulatus is suspected as far West as Corsica (Otero et al., 2013).

The two signanids were scored MR with EICAT, based on findings that document their dramatic impacts on algal forests through grazing/herbivory. Grazing by Siganus spp. creates areas of bare rock with some patches of crustose coralline algae (barrens), devoid of erect macroalgae, namely Cystoseira spp. (Sala et al., 2011). Sala et al. (2011) report that denuded areas stretch for several hundreds of meters at depths of 8-12m (see also Verges et al., 2014), while Salomidi et al. (2016) observed the complete absence of canopy algae (Cystoseira, Sargassum) at 6 and 10 out of 18 sampling locations at depths of 5m and 15m respectively. This loss/degradation of habitat causes loss of biodiversity, associated biomass and refuge, reducing the nursery value of the habitat (Chemineé et al., 2013). However, since the records for the nursery value of Cystoseira forests and the impact of Siganus spp. on these habitats come from different sources, the species could not be scored directly for its structural impact on the ecosystem. Being able to combine impact records, which is currently not foreseen in the EICAT/SEICAT protocols but is under development, seems imperative for a better evaluation of the alien taxon. Moreover, there is evidence to suggest that Siganus spp. are responsible for the population decline of the native herbivorous fish Sarpa salpa (Bariiche et al., 2004; Giakoumi, 2014), thus they score MO with respect to competition.

When it comes to SEICAT, despite the species’ commercial importance in many eastern Mediterranean countries (Shakman & Kinzelbach, 2007; Yemisken et al., 2014; Corisini-Foka et al., 2017), the signanids were classified as MN for commercial fishing. This was based on findings of high discard rates and avoidance of fishing grounds rich in Siganus spp. by fishers in Fournoi Island (Aegean Sea), where there is no market for Siganus spp. (Pennington et al., 2013) and on complaints by small-scale fishers in Crete (Panagopoulou et al., 2017). In fact, Siganus spp. has low or no commercial value in most regions of Greece (Tsagarakis et al., 2014). On the other hand, socio-economic impacts resulting from the environmental impacts of Siganus spp. have not been demonstrated, to our knowledge. In the past the degradation/loss of Cystoseira forests due to Siganus predation has been assumed to affect a number of ecosystem services, like water purification and carbon storage, life-cycle maintenance, symbolic and aesthetic values, recreation and tourism (Katsanevakis et al., 2014), potentially threatening
human well-being. However, at the moment, quantifiable links between human activities or constituents of human well-being and the environmental impacts of *Siganus* spp. are lacking.

**Saurida lessepsianus (lizardfish)**

*Saurida lessepsianus*, until recently misidentified and reported as *S. undosquamis*, was first recorded in Israel in 1952 (Ben-Tuvia, 1953). It quickly attained very high densities and constituted a major component of trawl catches in Israel (Ben-Yami & Glaser, 1974), Turkey (Güçü & Bingel, 1994) and Egypt (El-Zarka & Koura, 1965), where it is commercially important. It has since spread throughout the eastern and central Mediterranean, reaching Tunisia (Boughedir *et al*., 2015), the Adriatic (Dulčić *et al*., 2003) and the Aegean (Ondrias, 1971; Ben Tuvia, 1973).

It is reported to have displaced the native and commercially important species European hake (*Merluccius merluccius*) and the Atlantic-Mediterranean lizardfish *Synodus saurus* into deeper waters (Galil *et al*., 2007; Otero *et al*., 2013; Katsanevakis *et al*., 2014), this is however not entirely supported by the primary literature. Both Güçü & Bingel (2011) for Turkey and Ben-Yami & Glaser (1974) for Israel present evidence that it is climatic fluctuations and the movement of cool water masses from the western Mediterranean that are responsible for the population fluctuations of hake in the wild and in fisheries catches. *Saurida lessepsianus* is less sensitive to variations in physical conditions and, consequently exploited the (niche) space made available by the recession/withdrawal of hake. Thus, the reduction in hake populations and catches cannot be unequivocally attributed to *S. lessepsianus*, even though the two species almost certainly compete for food (Ben-Yami & Glaser, 1974). Based on the above, this species was still assigned a MO score for competition according to EICAT, but with a low confidence. With respect to socio-economically important impacts, however, the SEICAT score on commercial fisheries will essentially depend on the relative value of the two species in the market, since *S. lessepsianus* soon became and still is a commercial species in some Eastern Mediterranean countries. Based on current prices in Turkey (http://eislem.izmir.bel.tr/balikhalifiyatlarl.aspx) and Israel (N. Stem, Israel Oceanographic and Limnological Research Institute, pers.comm.), hake is at least 2-3 times more expensive than *S. lessepsianus*, the latter species was thus assigned to the MN class. As for the purported displacement of the native *Synodus saurus* by *S. lessepsianus*, it was contended by Golani (1993), who demonstrated that the bathymetric segregation between the two species “is not a result of displacement of *Synodus saurus* [by Saurida undosquamis] to shallow water since it was not found in the traditional travel ground (30-100 m) prior to the invasion of *S. undosquamis*”. Consequently, the score for this record is MC.

**Discussion**

This study is one of the first to assess socio-economic impacts of alien species in a systematic way with the new SEICAT protocol. SEICAT and its environmental counterpart, EICAT, offer an objective and transparent way to assess alien species’ impacts in the recipient areas based solely on reported/demonstrated impacts. Including all the elements of the assessment in the scoring sheet, most importantly the direct citation from the published text, facilitates the evaluation of the impact assessment by independent reviewers but also offers a solid foundation for the continuous revision of the assessment by highlighting data gaps and giving recommendations for future research (Bacher *et al*., 2018).

Lessesian alien fishes had higher environmental than socio-economic impacts, similar to freshwater fishes (assessed with the GISS protocol - Kumschick *et al*., 2015) and amphibians assessed with SEICAT/EICAT. They were characterized however by a higher number of socio-economic impact records, confirming previous perceptions that such impacts are more readily perceived and reported by stakeholders (Vilà *et al*., 2010). This is not surprising, given the selection criteria of the seven species and the partial commercial interest some of them already have (*S. lessepsianus*: Egypt, Israel, Turkey; *F. commersonii*: Cyprus, Greece; *Siganus* spp.: Levantine basin) or may have in the future (*P. lineatus* is a commercial species in its native range - Situ & Sadovy, 2004; Vijayakumaran 1997 - *P. miles/volitans* is already consumed in the invaded Atlantic range - Nunez *et al*., 2012).

All selected species interfere with commercial fisheries, either as discards by reducing fishing yield and increasing handling time (especially venomous species such as *P. lineatus* and *P. miles/volitans* but also the two siganids and *F. commersonii*), or by damaging the fishing gear and the fish in the catch (*L. sceleratus*), or lastly by outcompeting and displacing other commercially important species (*S. lessepsianus*). However, these impacts can be variable both in space and in time as some of these species are commercialized in some regions but not in others or are being gradually introduced to the local markets (the case of *Siganus* spp., *S. lessepsianus* and *F. commersonii*). Of particular concern, and with the highest score in SEICAT, are the health impacts caused by the consumption of *L. sceleratus* that is still being consumed either by misinformed or by unsuspecting consumers (Nader *et al*., 2012, Beköz *et al*., 2013, Ben Souissi *et al*., 2014). Additional awareness campaigns are needed, targeting especially vulnerable populations, such as tourists and other travelers and refugees with poor access to information. Finally, recreational uses of the sea are also being affected, either directly through injuries by the venomous *P. lineatus* and *P. miles/volitans* or indirectly due to fears and concerns about interacting with the alien species, particularly *L. sceleratus*. *P. lineatus*, due to its population explosion and uniform distribution, and *L.
sceletus, due to its high densities and rapid dispersal, are causing wide-spread socio-economic impacts in the invaded areas.

In the framework of SEICAT, the examination of the species in this study indicates that, for marine fish, a critical distinction in the classification is between Minor and Moderate impacts; i.e. between an alien species making it difficult for people to carry out their normal activities and actually causing some of them to stop participating in an activity altogether, i.e. inducing changes in the size of the activity. The publications we collected did not offer impact descriptions clear enough to allow this distinction to be made. To strengthen the confidence in our assessments, future socio-economic surveys should be conducted with questionnaires explicitly addressing this difference. A cost-effective way to do that, at least those fishery-related activities, would be to integrate such surveys with existing strategies for an ecosystem-based approach to sustainable fisheries in the Mediterranean (e.g. GCFM mid-term strategy 2017-2020) or, at the EU level, with the Fisheries Data Collection Framework, established with Commission Regulation (EC) No. 665/2008. This way, fisheries’ impacts of alien species can be placed in a wider context of the suite of factors that affect the sector and their relative importance can be evaluated accordingly.

Previous approaches have attempted to assess socio-economic impacts of alien species through monetary costs (e.g. Kettunen et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2010) or by demonstrating, or often assuming, links between environmental impacts and effects on ecosystem services, which in turn are linked to human well-being. Our experience with Lessepsian marine fish species highlights that, among socio-economic impacts, the more immediate and alarming ones are usually disassociated from environmental impacts - notwithstanding that they are both underpinned by high densities of the invading species - and they relate to human health and material assets. Monetary approaches would be able to capture some of these direct impacts, at least those on direct use values (for terminology see Charles & Dukes, 2007). A good example are the studies of Ünal et al. (2015) and Ünal & Göncüoğlu Bodur (2017), who investigated the economic losses to small-scale Turkish fisheries caused by L. sceletus. However, these costs are associated with gear loss and labour loss, making them context-dependent and difficult to compare across regions (Bacher et al., 2018). Moreover, in the studies we consulted, realized socio-economic impacts were explicitly monetized only in the case of L. sceletus, preventing comparisons across species. Finally, it would be very problematic to ascribe a monetary cost to health impacts, particularly the fatal incidents; similarly, for loss of amenity value relating to safe access to resources (Kelly et al., 2013).

Similar to our findings, Katsanevakis et al. (2014), reviewing the impacts of marine invasive species on ecosystem services, found that impacts on cultural, regulating and maintenance ecosystem services were based mainly on expert judgment, while direct observations were the most common type of evidence for provisional ecosystem services, that are analogous to the constituent "material and immaterial assets". In the present study, even when the information evaluated was drawn from observational data, the confidence assigned to the assessments was low to moderate in the majority of cases. This is understandable when keeping in mind that most reports were conducted without having in mind the classification systems used here. Where competition is implicated in native species’ displacements, i.e. for P. lineatus and Siganus spp., the evidence comes from correlations along temporal or spatial trajectories and it is not always possible to disentangle alien species’ impacts from the (synergistic) negative effects of other drivers of change (e.g. overfishing, habitat degradation, climate change, etc.). Laboratory and field experiments investigating niche requirements and competitive interactions between P. lineatus and T. draco and between Siganus spp. and Sarpa salpa would help strengthen our inference. Results from laboratory experiments, even though not always accurately reflecting the complex interactions that may develop in natural conditions, can nevertheless aid in the elucidation of proposed impact mechanisms. A characteristic example involves P. miles/volitans and the spiny lobster Panulirus argus, where laboratory experiments showed that it is the spiny lobster that drives the inverse correlation between the two species in lobster traps and not the other way around (Lazarre, 2016).

In the case of the suspected predation impacts of F. commersonii and L. sceletus on commercial fish and cephalopod populations, our understanding is further hampered by the paucity of reliable, long-term fisheries data (Kalogirou, 2013). The predation effects of P. miles, a demersal, highly territorial and relatively static species, were largely demonstrated with manipulative field experiments (e.g. Albins, 2015; Green et al., 2012, 2014, Bengtsson 2015; Ingeman, 2016, see Supplementary material for a full list); however large and highly mobile predators are rarely amenable to such manipulations (Kalogirou et al., 2007). A possible way to tackle this is to set up carefully designed monitoring programs in new areas of expansion of these species and track the development of the populations of predators vs. their preferred prey. Alongside simultaneous recording of relevant environmental and anthropogenic pressure indicator data, this new information could help us make stronger inferences. Additional insights can be gained by ecosystem modelling that includes fisheries catches with a mass balance model such as Ecopath with Ecosim (Christensen & Walters 2004), especially in regions for which such models have been developed in the past (e.g. North Aegean, Tsagarakis et al., 2010). This approach was exemplified by Pinnegar et al. (2014), who simulated altered food-web under different scenarios of F. commersonii densities in Corsica and by Bumbeer et al. (2017) conducting a similar exercise for Pterois volitans in Brazil.

Our review indicated that socio-economic impacts re-
sulting from high level ecological impacts (habitat degradation/loss, ecosystem modification/loss of function) are not readily explored or easily demonstrated, at least not within timeframes that are conducive to timely and effective management (e.g. see Booy et al. (2017) for suggested timeframes for the management of some marine IAS). Moreover, since there is often a substantial delay between the introduction of an alien species and the realization/detection of indirect impacts (Rabitsch et al., 2016), a combination of results from multiple studies may be required to demonstrate impacts (e.g. the consequences of *Cystoseira* forests loss from *Siganus* spp. grazing). There are currently no clear guidelines in the EICAT/SEICAT framework on how to classify impact magnitude and confidence from multiple studies, but work is under way to devise an objective scheme for qualitative combination of impact records. Another obstacle is the paucity of studies directly addressing alien species’ impacts on cultural aspects of ecosystems, such as their recreational, aesthetic/symbolic and other non-use values. Choice experiments, like the ones conducted by van Beukering et al. (2014) and Malpica-Cruz et al. (2017) in relation to *P. volitans*, may offer useful insights with regard to conservation and management initiatives, the hypothetical scenarios they present though may not come to pass such that their results do not constitute admissible evidence for impact assessment. Clearly, stronger evidence from specifically focused studies is needed to demonstrate indirect socio-economic impacts on all constituents of human well-being. For example, a study could be designed that directly investigates the impact of the barrens created by *Siganus* spp. on the recreational and aesthetic value of *Cystoseira* forests e.g. by involving divers at the affected locations. Similarly, the potential impacts of lionfish on the reef-associated tourism industry could be examined along real spatial and temporal gradients of habitat degradation or native species richness/density. Such case studies could also provide a platform for the testing of novel, subjective well-being indicators which were recently developed for the marine environment (Bryce et al., 2016) within the context of a new conceptual framework for cultural ecosystem services (Fish et al., 2016b). This new approach defines cultural ecosystem services as the interaction between environmental spaces and the cultural practices that take place within them and offers a method to integrate the tangible and intangible cultural goods and benefits that enable and are shaped by this interaction (Fish et al., 2016b). Applications in the marine (Bryce et al., 2016) and the terrestrial (Fish et al., 2016a) environment show promise for quantitative ecological knowledge production relevant for prioritisation and decision-making. Nevertheless, regardless of conceptual and methodological approaches, there are cultural attributes of species, habitats and ecosystem that are difficult to fit into well-defined human activities and thus, impacts on some constituents of human well-being remain poorly quantified and evaluated. For example, people may not engage e.g. in recreational activities or specific conservation efforts related to an impacted habitat, but may still derive satisfaction from the knowledge it exists (Loomis & White, 1996), feel a strong social/symbolic connection to it (linked to identity, sense of place and belonging - Russell et al., 2013) or the moral imperative to preserve it for future generations. Such difficulties are not specific to the SEICAT method; for example, impacts on existence, bequest and spiritual values receive little attention in the highly-economised western cultures (La Rosa et al., 2016), are notoriously difficult to monetize in the economic valuation of ecosystem services approach (Charles & Dukes, 2007; Kelly et al., 2013) and arguably require a plurality of discourses that incorporates not only the uni-directional thinking of nature in service to humans but also concepts of reconnection and emotional rewarding of nature (Cooper et al., 2016). A further discussion of these topics is beyond the scope of the present study but a growing body of literature can help researchers design new approaches to begin addressing them.

To conclude, with the existing ecological knowledge, a straightforward approach that directly considers impacts on human activities is needed for demonstrating impacts and contributing to the overall evaluation of the deleterious effects of alien species in the framework of evidence-based decision-making. From a management perspective, the SEICAT/EICAT framework can be very valuable at the hazard identification and risk assessment phases of risk analysis (Booy et al., 2017; Vanderhoeven et al., 2017), whereby the species that pose the highest risks are identified along with the certainty with which we can attribute cause and effect and priority areas for research. In this sense, our application of SEICAT/EICAT can serve as a new baseline for the current state of knowledge of the 7 examined alien fish species, to be updated as new information emerges. *Lagocephalus sceleratus* was demonstrated as the species with the highest socio-economic impact and the highest number of impact reports, followed by *Plotosus lineatus* in the Mediterranean and *Pierapis miles/volitans* in the Western Atlantic. The latter two species, being more recent invaders in the Mediterranean, with still limited distribution (*P. lineatus*) and/or population densities (*P. miles*) have already been selected for EU-wide risk assessment (projects ENV.B2.ETU/2017/0013 and LIFE16 NAT/CY/000832 respectively), partly on the rationale that recent invasions present a higher potential for more timely and effective intervention and management (Roy et al., 2015). There is however a clear need for a formal risk assessment of *L. sceleratus*, including assessment of potential management measures, at least in the Mediterranean Sea, if not all European Seas. Our analysis indicates that there are significant data gaps regarding its environmental impacts which urgently need to be addressed to better inform risk-assessment, while socio-economic impacts could be better assessed with more detailed information on the magnitude of impacts for all the examined species. Considering that the 5-level impact classification scale
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developed in EICAT/SEICAT is also adopted within the new Risk Assessment template employed by the European Commission for public consultation and prioritization, (Roy et al., 2017b), specifically adapted questionnaires as described above would be particularly informative.

Acknowledgements

This study was the result of a COST Action STSM grant to M.G., hosted by A.Z. and supported by S.B., within the project “Alien Challenge - COST TD1209”. The authors wish to thank Lara Volery (University of Fribourg) for independently evaluating all EICAT/SEICAT scores. A.Z. was partially supported by the “MEDCIS” project (Support Mediterranean Member States towards coherent and Coordinated Implementation) of the second phase of the MSFD No. 11.0661/2016/748067/SUB/ENV.C2. Can Bizsel and Şükrü Beşiktepe are cordially thanked for providing administrative support for the STSM action. Finally, we are grateful to the two reviewers, whose helpful comments helped improve the quality of this manuscript.

References


Bryce, R., Irvine, K. N., Church, A., Fish, R., Ranger, S. et al., 2016. Subjective well-being indicators for large-scale assessment of cultural ecosystem services. Ecosystem Services, 21, 258-269.


EBC, 2013. Consequences of the increasing prevalence of the poisonous Lagocephalus sceleratus in southern Turkey. Emergency Medical Journal, 30, 954-9555.


Cooper, N., Brady, E., Steen, H., Bryce, R., 2016. Aesthetic and spiritual values of ecosystems: recognizing the ontological and axiological plurality of cultural ecosystem ‘services’.


Güció, A. C., Bingel, F., 1994. Trawlable species assemblages on the continental shelf of the northeastern Levant Sea (Me-

Güció, A. C., Bingel, F., 2011. Hake, Merluccius merluccius L. in the northeastern Mediterranean Sea: a case of disappea-

Gweta, S., Spanier, E., Bentur, Y., 2008. Venomous fish injuries along the Israeli Mediterranean coast: Scope and char-
acterization. The Israel Medical Association Journal, 10 (11), 783-788.


Henderson, E. B., 2012. Economic and ecological implications of interactions between lobsters and invasive lionfish in the Bahamas. MSc thesis, Biological Sciences Department,
University of British Columbia, Canada, 95pp.
Ingenman, K. E., 2016. Lionfish cause increased mortality rates and drive local extirpation of native prey. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 558, 235-245.


Queiroz, C., 2014. Food-web traits of the North Aegean Sea ecosystem (Eastern Mediterranean) and comparison with other Mediterranean ecosystems. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 88 (2), 233-248.


Vijayakumar, K., 1998. Growth and mortality parameters and some aspects of biology of striped eel catﬁsh Plotosus lineatus

122 Medit. Mar. Sci., 19/1, 2018, 107-123

http://epublishing.ekt.gr | e-Publisher: EKT | Downloaded at 11/12/2019 08:03:32 |


