



Mediterranean Marine Science

Vol 20, No 2 (2019)



210Po and 210Pb concentration in zooplankton of the Syrian coastal waters (eastern Mediterranean Sea)

MOHAMMAD SAID AL-MASRI, SAMER MAMISH, MOHAMMAD ABDEL-HALEEM, HANI HANI DURGHAM

doi: 10.12681/mms.19072

To cite this article:

AL-MASRI, M. S., MAMISH, S., ABDEL-HALEEM, M., & HANI DURGHAM, H. (2019). 210Po and 210Pb concentration in zooplankton of the Syrian coastal waters (eastern Mediterranean Sea). *Mediterranean Marine Science*, *20*(2), 320–325. https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.19072

Mediterranean Marine Science Indexed in WoS (Web of Science, ISI Thomson) and SCOPUS The journal is available on line at http://www.medit-mar-sc.net DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.12681/mms.19072

²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb concentration in zooplankton of the Syrian coastal waters (eastern Mediterranean Sea)

Mohammad Said AL-MASR¹, Samer MAMISH¹, Abdel-Haleem MOHAMMAD¹ and Hani DURGHAM²

¹Department of Protection and Safety, Atomic Energy Commission of Syria, Damascus, P. O. Box 6091, Syria
Department of Marine Biology, Higher Institute for Marine Research, Tishreen University, Lattakia, Syria
²Department of Marine Biology, Higher Institute for Marine Research, Tishreen University, Lattakia, Syria & Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Applied Science, University of Kalamoon, Syria

Corresponding author: prscientific3@aec.org.sy

Handling Editor: Helen KABERI

Received: 28 November 2018; Accepted: 8 April 2019; Published on line: 31 May 2019

Abstract

²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb activity concentrations and their concentration ratio (CR) in marine zooplankton collected for the first time from the Syrian coastal waters (the eastern Mediterranean Sea) have been determined. The average activity concentrations of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb were 243±36 and 26.4±4.3 Bqkg⁻¹ dw. The ²¹⁰Po/²¹⁰Pb activity ratio was found to be more than one in all zooplankton samples with an average of 9.2 (8.4 to 10), which indicates that the accumulation of ²¹⁰Po in zooplankton does not come from the decay of the ²¹⁰Pb only, and reflects a preferential bioaccumulation of ²¹⁰Po over ²¹⁰Pb. In addition, the Concentration Ratio (CR) for ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb reached 10⁴ and 10³, respectively. This study will contribute to the radioecological reference database for zooplankton in the Mediterranean Sea.

Keywords: Zooplankton; Syrian coast; Concentration ratio (CR); Polonium; ²¹⁰Po, Lead; ²¹⁰Pb.

Introduction

Marine organisms accumulate ²¹⁰Po, a naturally occurring radioactive alpha emitter, mainly in their soft tissues, from the water column and their own food. Therefore, ²¹⁰Pois considered as an important source of internal radiation dose to marine organisms (Aarkrog *et al.*, 1997; IAEA, 2004; Fowler, 2011). The beta-emitter ²¹⁰Pb has less affinity for soft tissues, but plays an indirect biological role, as a continuous source of ²¹⁰Po.Concentration of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb can be locally enhanced due to direct inputs from the atmosphere (via decay of their parent ²²²Rn gas) and land runoff via leaching (Al-Masri *et al.*, 2002; Kim *et al.*, 2005; Othman & Al-Masri, 2007(.

In the ocean, zooplankton feed on phytoplankton and provide a food source to thousands of species both directly and indirectly. They play an important role in aquatic food webs, as the first link between the primary producers and consumers on higher trophic levels including fishes, which are very important for human diet (Bacha & Amara, 2009; Siokou-Frangou *et al.*, 2010).

Zooplankton have been shown to play a crucial role in the accumulation, transfer and biogeochemical cycling of number of organic compounds, trace elements and radionuclides, by feeding in surface waters and producing sinking fecal pellets to the bottom. Their importance is a function of their largest biological surface area owing to their small size and large biomass (Altabet & Small, 1990; Reinfelder & Fisher 1991; Stewart and Fisher, 2003; Stewart *et al.*, 2008; Fowler, 2011; Turner, 2015).

Zooplankton has been extensively studied in many seas and oceans of the world from radioactivity point of view (Skwarzec & Bojanowski, 1988; Jeffrey et al., 2004; Mohamed and Kuan, 2005; Carvalho, 2011; Meli et al., 2013; Färber Lorda et al., 2013; Faganeli et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2016; Cho & Kim, 2016). In the Mediterranean Sea, most research has concentrated on its western part where the activity concentration of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in zooplankton ranged from 217 to 274 BqKg⁻¹ dw and from 24 to 25 Bq Kg-1dw., respectively (Strady et al., 2015). The ²¹⁰Po/²¹⁰Pb activity concentration ratio in zooplankton indicated that these organisms concentrate polonium-210 more than lead-210. However, in spite of this global and regional attention to zooplankton, there is no much data on zooplankton in the eastern Mediterranean Sea waters.

Over decade, the activity concentration and concentration factors (CFs) of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in some marine organisms such as algae, mollusk, fish and jellyfish have been reported (Othman *et al.*, 1994; Al-Masri *et al.*, 2000; Al-Masri

et al., 2002; Al-Masri et al., 2003; Ammar et al., 2009; Mamish et al., 2015). However, to our knowledge, there is no data reported for radioactivity in zooplankton for the Syrian coastal waters, and the main objective of this work was to acquire baseline data on ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb activity concentrations for this area (Eastern Mediterranean Sea).

Materials and Methods

Sampling and samples preparation

Zooplankton and seawater samples (three samples from each type) were collected during five cruises using well-equipped small boat, between May 2014 and May 2015, from two Syrian coastal sites located approximately 1 km off the coast of Lattakia city (Fig. 1). The first site (MR) is located opposite the sport city (N 35° 33′ 19.95", E 35° 43′ 49.07"), which is considered as one of the most important tourist areas on the Syrian coast, the water depth ranges from 17 to 19 m. The second site (MN) is located opposite the Al-Kaber Al-Shamali river estuary (N 35° 29′ 44.32", E 35° 48′ 0.44"), where river water carries urban, industrial and agricultural runoff waters and discharges directly into the sea. Water depth in this site ranges from 5 to 7 m.

Zooplankton was sampled by means of near-surface horizontal hauls at a depth of one meter from the surface, using a standard WP2 net (diameter 56 cm, mesh size 200 μm) (Suthers & Rissik, 2008). Each tow was continued for 30 minutes at a low speed of about 3 knots per hour, in order to keep the plankton net in the horizontal position at the required depth. Once the net was lifted out of the water, it was rinsed down gently from the outside with ambient seawater. Net was visually inspected to ensure all zooplankton were rinsed off the net cloth and concentrated into the sample bucket. The sample was transferred to a clean sample storage bottle. The zooplankton sam-

ples were refrigerated as soon as possible after collection.

At the laboratory, suspended matter and phytoplankton were removed from the samples by tweezers under a microscope, and then the samples were sieved with a same-sized mesh filter. The wet sample was weighted, then after oven drying for 3 days at 60°C, the zooplankton was homogenized in a mortar and dry weight was taken. The analysis of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb were performed on the dry weight samples.

Surface seawater samples were also collected at a depth of one meter from the surface, using a 10 liters Niskin-Type water sampler. At the laboratory, seawater samples were filtered through 45µm pore size membrane filter and acidified by concentrated hydrochloric acid; pH value was determined to be less than 2to reduce the adsorption of radionuclides on the inner surface of the storage containers and to prevent the growth of algae.

Radioactivity measurements

Activity concentrations of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in zooplankton were determined using the spontaneous deposition of ²¹⁰Po onto a rotating silver disc technique (Saito and Cunha, 1997). The analyses were performed on 0.5 g aliquots of dried and homogenized zooplankton sample, and ²⁰⁸Po tracer was added to each sample for radiochemical recovery. Each sample was digested using a combination of mineral acids (nitric and hydrochloric acid) for at least 24 h. When the solution was clear, the sample was gently evaporated to near dryness. The residue was dissolved in 100 ml of 0.5mol l⁻¹ hydrochloric acid. The solution was heated to 80°C and ²¹⁰Po was spontaneously plated onto a rotating silver disc after reduction of iron with ascorbic acid. Alpha counting of 208Po tracer and 210Po was performed using an alpha spectrometer (Oasis, Oxford) with a passive ion-implanted silicon detector (active area 300 mm², background counts per day 3.6 and the mini-




Fig. 1: Sampling Location.

mum depletion thickness 100 mm). The plating solutions were stored at least for 6 months, then evaporated and digested as mentioned for ²¹⁰Po, the plating and counting were then repeated to measure the ingrowths of new ²¹⁰Po from ²¹⁰Pb and to calculate the ²¹⁰Pb activity concentration in the original sample. For seawater samples, ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb were co-precipitated with MnO₂ from 20 1 of filtered seawater (La Rosa *et al.*, 2001). The precipitate was dissolved in 1.5mol l⁻¹of hydrochloric acid and processed as described for zooplankton samples. The lower limit of detection of the method used was 0.4 Bq kg⁻¹ dw.

Concentration ratio (CR)

Concentration Ratio (CR_{wo-media}) is the usual approach to predict the activity concentration of a radionuclide in the biota from the activity concentration in water (Tagami and Uchida, 2013). It was calculated from the ratio of the radionuclide concentration in zooplankton (Bq kg⁻¹ fresh weight) to that in water (Bq l⁻¹) following this equation (IAEA, 2014):

$$CR_{\text{wo-media}} =$$

Activity concentration in zooplankton (Bq kg⁻¹, fresh weight)
Activity concentration in (filtered) seawater (Bq l⁻¹)

Results and Discussion

The results presented here are given in activity concentrations in mBql⁻¹ (± standard deviation) for seawater samples and Bqkg⁻¹ dw (± standard deviation) for zooplankton samples.

²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in Seawater

Table 1 shows the activity concentrations of ²¹⁰Po, ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁰Po/²¹⁰Pb ratio in seawater. The average activity concentrations of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in seawater at the two sites were 1.38±0.2 and 0.75±0.04 mBq l⁻¹, respectively for the first site (MR), and 1.54±0.3 and 0.85±0.05 mBq l⁻¹, respectively for the second site (MN). The average activity ratios of ²¹⁰Po/²¹⁰Pb for the first and second sites samples were 1.85 and 1.80, respectively. The

mean total activity concentrations of 210Po and 210Pb in seawater including all dates and sites were 1.46±0.2 and $0.8\pm0.1~\text{mBq }1^{-1},$ and the mean $^{210}\text{Po}/^{210}\text{Pb}$ was 1.82. The activity concentration of 210Po and 210Pb in both sites were very close and this due to their spatial proximity. There is how ever a difference between MN and MR sites in all dates except August, but the differences stay within the error bars. May be it is due to the influence of the Al-Kabir Al-Shamali River, a coastal river where the greatest abundance of river water with agriculture, industrial and human contaminants are discharged into the sea during rainy seasons, while it is almost dry in summer and the river impact is negligible. However, these surface seawater results are within the world surface seawater values (1 mBq l-1 for 210Po, and between 0.1 and 0.4 mBq l-1 for ²¹⁰Pb, Table 2). The average activity concentrations of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb of 44 surface seawater samples collected from the Syrian coastal waters ranged between 1.1 and 1.4 mBg l⁻¹ for ²¹⁰Po and between 0.5 and 0.7 mBg l⁻¹ for ²¹⁰Pb (Mamish et. al., 2015).

²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in zooplankton

Table 3 shows the activity concentrations of ²¹⁰Po, ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁰Po/²¹⁰Pb ratios in the zooplankton. The average activities of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in zooplankton at the two sites were 239±28 and 25.8±3.3 Bq kg-1 dw for the first site (MR), and 247±36.6 and 27±4.4 Bq kg⁻¹ dw for the second site (MN), respectively. The average total activity concentrations for both sites were 243±36 and 26.4±4.3 Bq kg⁻¹ dw, respectively. As for seawater, there was still a relatively higher value in the MN site in comparison with MR, for all sampling times except August. The activity ratios ranged from 8.4 to 10, with average activity ratios for MR and MN at 9.3 and 9.2, respectively. The average including all dates was 9.2. This value agrees with the fact that ²¹⁰Po is more accumulated in zooplankton than ²¹⁰Pb, a well-known effect already described in the literature (Mohamed and Kuan, 2005; Carvalho, 2011; Strady et al., 2015). Several authors (Carvalho, 2011; Fowler, 2011; Färber Lorda et al., 2013; Strady et al., 2015) explained the over unity of the ²¹⁰Po/²¹⁰Pb in zooplankton by the fact that ²¹⁰Po is bind to amino acids and proteins, and concentrated to high levels within the organisms through

Table 1. Activity concentration and activity concentration ratio of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in filtered seawater (mBq l⁻¹).

Sampling date	²¹⁰ Po		210	Pb	²¹⁰ Po/ ²¹⁰ Pb		
(Season)	MR	MN	MR	MN	MR	MN	
28/5/2014 (Spring)	1.5±0.1	1.7±0.2	0.71±0.1	0.84±0.1	2.1	2.0	
29/8/2014 Summer	1.2 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	0.69 ± 0.1	0.78 ± 0.1	1.7	1.4	
21/10/2014 Autumn	1.3 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.3	0.80 ± 0.1	0.92 ± 0.1	1.6	2.0	
10/3/2015 Spring	1.3 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1	0.76 ± 0.1	0.82 ± 0.1	1.7	1.7	
15/5/2015 Spring	1.6±0.1 1.7±0.2		0.79 ± 0.1 0.91 ± 0.1		2.0	1.9	
Average	1.38	1.54	0.75	0.85	1.85	1.8	
Standard error	0.15	0.26	0.04	0.07	0.22	0.26	
RSD %	10.6	17	5.78	6.3	11.7	14	

Table 2. ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in seawater of the seas and oceans of the world.

Location	²¹⁰ Po mBq l ⁻¹	²¹⁰ Pb mBq l ⁻¹	²¹⁰ Po/ ²¹⁰ Pb	Reference
North Atlantic – Portages coast	1.7 ± 0.08	2.27±0.16	0.75	Carvalho, 2011
NW Mediterranean Sea, Gulf of Lion, France	3-5	11-12	0.3-0.5	Strady et al., 2015
N. Adriatic Sea – Gulf of Trieste	0.56-3.75	2.2		Faganeli et al., 2016
Central Adriatic Sea – Italy	0.33 ± 0.14	0.42 ± 0.26	0.78	Meli et al., 2013
Southern Baltic Sea	0.49±0.11			Skwarzec and Bojanowski, 1988
SW Pacific Ocean	0.47-1.58	0.81-3.19		Jeffrey et al., 2004
Korean Coastal Water	0.75 ± 0.06	1.22±0.09	0.62 ± 0.07	Cho and Kim, 2016; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2016
E. Mediterranean Sea - Syrian Coast, Lattakia	1.1-1.8	0.7-0.9	1.4-2.1	This study

Table 3. Activity concentrations and activity concentration ratios of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in zooplankton (Bq kg⁻¹ dw.).

Sampling date	Drying factor %		²¹⁰ Po		²¹⁰ Pb		²¹⁰ Po/ ²¹⁰ Pb	
	MR	MN	MR	MN	MR	MN	MR	MN
28/5/2014	0.09	0.08	233±28	247±31	25±5	27±8	9.3	9.1
29/8/2014	0.13	0.16	185±22	172±19	21±4	19±6	8.8	9.1
21/10/2014	0.08	0.13	272±43	287 ± 46	32±5	34±6	8.5	8.4
10/3/2015	0.13	0.14	265±38	275±41	27±9	29±11	9.8	9.5
15/5/2015	0.15	0.18	240±29	254±57	24±5	26±7	10	9.8
Average		239	247	25.8	27	9.3	9.2	
Standard error		28	36.6	3.3	4.4	0.5	0.4	
RSD %			11.7	14.8	12.9	16.4	5.6	4.5

Table 4. CR values of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in zooplankton.

Compline date	CR	²¹⁰ Po	CR ²¹⁰ Pb			
Sampling date –	MR	MN	MR	MN		
28/05/2014	1.40E+04	1.31E+04	3.17E+03	2.89E+03		
29/08/2014	1.85E+04	1.97E+04	3.96E+03	3.90E+03		
21/10/2014	1.67E+04	2.07E+04	3.20E+03	4.80E+03		
10/03/2015	2.87E+04	3.50E+04	4.62E+03	4.95E+03		
15/5/20115	2.25E+04	2.69E+04	4.56E+03	5.14E+03		
Average	2.01E+04	2.31E+04	3.89E+03	4.34E+03		
Standard error	5.12E+03	7.40E+03	6.29E+02	8.40E+02		
RSD %	2.55E+01	3.21E+01	1.61E+01	1.94E+01		

various metabolic activities. In contrast, ²¹⁰Pb is not retained by the organism metabolism, and zooplankton released²¹⁰Pb more quickly via fecal pellet excretion and do not accumulate it in their bodies as much as ²¹⁰Po.

Table 4 shows the activities of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in zooplankton from different regions. It can be seen that the reported values are within the activity ranges reported in other areas in the world.

Concentration ratio (CR)

Table 5 shows the Concentration Ratio (CR) of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in zooplankton, which reached 10⁴ and 10³, respectively. However, the reported CR values are found to be within the reported values in other areas, as seen in Table 4.

Table 5. ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb activity concentration and CR values for zooplankton in seas and oceans of the world.

Location	²¹⁰ Po		²¹⁰ Pb			CR		
	Bq Kg ⁻¹ dw.	Bq Kg ⁻¹ wet wt.	Bq Kg ⁻¹ dry wt.	Bq Kg ⁻¹ wet wt.	²¹⁰ Po/ ²¹⁰ Pb	²¹⁰ Po	²¹⁰ Pb	Reference
North Atlantic – Portages coast		38±14		8.4±2.6	4.4			Carvalho, 2011
NW Mediterranean Sea, Gulf of Lion, France	217-277		24-25		10-12			Strady <i>et al.</i> , 2015
N. Adriatic Sea – Gulf of Triest	240-1800		1122			1.1x10 ⁴		Faganeli <i>et al.</i> , 2016
Central Adriatic Sea – Italy	133.2±47	8.9±3.1	79.6±56	5.3±3.9	1.67	2.4x10 ⁴	1.3x10 ⁴	Meli <i>et al.</i> , 2013
Southern Baltic Sea	143±107	20.9±14				42x10 ³		Skwarzec and Bojanowski 1988
SW Pacific Ocean	565-1737		47-551			1.3- 3.3x10 ⁵	0.9- 9.1x10 ⁴	R. Jeffrey <i>et al.</i> , 2004
Malaysia , South Chi- na Sea	365±21		94±7		4			Mohamed and Kuan, 2005
Coastal Water of the Jeju Island, Korea		107±2		7±1	0.62±0.07	1.4x10 ⁵	$5.7x10^3$	Cho and Kim, 2016
Korean costal water		32-137				4-18x10 ⁴		Kim et al., 2016
W Mediterranean Sea - Monaco						1-3x10 ⁴		Fowler, 2002
N. Mediterranean coastal waters	175-878		7.5-486					Färber <i>et al.</i> , 2013
E Mediterranean Sea- Syrian Coast Lattakia	172-287	21-45.7	19-34	2.3-4.7	8.4-10	2.2x10 ⁴	$4.2x10^3$	This study

Conclusion

²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb activity concentrations have been determined in zooplankton of Lattakia coastal waters for the first time along the Syrian coast (the Eastern Mediterranean Sea). The activity concentrations of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb found in this study are within the worldwide reported values. Small variations of activity concentrations in zooplankton collected close to river estuary, may be due to the influence of river inputs. The activity ratio of ²¹⁰Po/²¹⁰Pb is more than one in zooplankton, ranging from 8.4 to 10. The concentration ratios (CR) as well as the activities in the surface waters are within the range of world values.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Prof. I. Othman (G.D. of AECS) for his encouragement and support. Much appreciation is due to Prof. Abdulkareem Mohammad (Dean of The High Institute of Marine Research) for his cooperation. The staff members of the Higher Institute

for Marine Research at Tishrine University are appreciated for their assistant during the sampling camping.

References

Aarkrog, A., Baxter, M.S., Bettencourt, A.O., Swift, D., 1997.
A comparison of doses from ¹³⁷Cs and ²¹⁰Po in marine food:
A major international study. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 34 (1), 69-90.

Al-Masri, M.S., Mamish, S., Budeir, Y., Nashawati, A., 2000. ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb concentrations in fish consumed in Syria. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 49, 345-352.

Al-Masri, M. S., Mamish, S., Budier, Y., 2002. The Impact of Phosphate Loading Activities on Near Marine Environmental. The Syrian Coast. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 58, 35-44.

Al-Masri, M.S., Mamish, S., Budier, Y., 2003. Radionuclides and trace metals in eastern Mediterranean Sea algae. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 67, 157-168.

Altabet, M.A., Small, L.F., 1990. Nitrogen isotopic ratios in fecal pellets produced by marine zooplankton. *Geochim Cos*-

- mochim Acta, 54,155-163.
- Ammar, I., Al-Masri, M.S., Mamish, S., Al-Haleem, M.A., 2009. The determination of radionuclides concentrations in Mollusks distributed at certain locations of the Syrian Coast. Tishreen Univ. J. Biological Sci. Ser., 31 (6).
- Bacha, M., Amara, R., 2009. Spatial, temporal and ontogenetic variation in diet of anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) on the Algerian coast (SW Mediterranean). *Estuarine, Coastal Shelf Sci.*, 85, 257-264.
- Carvalho, F.P., 2011. Polonium (²¹⁰Po) and lead (²¹⁰Pb) in marine organisms and their transfer in marine food chains. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 102, 462-472.
- Cho, B., Kim S.H., 2016. Bio-accumulation of ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁰Po within the Trophic Level of Phytoplankton-Zooplankton-Anchovy-Mackerel in the Coastal Water of the Jeju Island. *Korea Ocean and Polar Research*, 38 (2), 139-148.
- Faganeli, J., Falnoga, I., Benedik, L., Jeran, Z., Klun, K., 2016. Accumulation of ²¹⁰Po in coastal waters (Gulf of Trieste, northern Adriatic Sea). *Journal of Environmental Radioac*tivity 144, 1-7.
- Färber-Lorda, J., Fowler, S. W., Miquel, J., Rodriguez, A., Baena, A.J., *et al.*, 2013. ²¹⁰Po/²¹⁰Pb dynamics in relation to zooplankton biomass and trophic conditions during an annual cycle in northwestern Mediterranean coastal waters. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 115, 43-52.
- Fowler, S.W. 2011. ²¹⁰Po in the marine environment with emphasis on its behavior within the biosphere. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 102, 448-461.
- IAEA, 2004. Sediment Distribution Coefficients and Concentration Factors for Biota in the Marine Environment. *Technical Reports Series*, 422, 103 p.
- IAEA, 2014. Handbook of Parameter Values for the Prediction of Radionuclide Transfer to Wildlife. *Technical Reports Series*, 479, p. 211.
- Jeffree, R.A., Szymczakb R., Peckc G.A., 2004. Po-210 and Pb-210 concentration factors for zooplankton and faecal pellets in the oligotrophic South-West Pacific. *Isotopes* in Environmental Studies Aquatic Forum 2004, IAEA-CN-118/112, 325-326.
- Kim, G., Kim, S.J., Harada, K., Burnett, W.C., 2005. Enrichment of Excess ²¹⁰Po in Anoxic Ponds. *Environmental Science and Technology* 39 (13), 4894-9.
- Kim, S.H., Hong, G.H., Lee, H.M., Cho, B.E., 2016. ²¹⁰Po in the marine biota of Korean coastal waters and the effective dose from seafood consumption. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 1-8.
- LaRosa, J.J., Burnett, W.C., Lee, S.H., Gastaud, J., Povinec, P.P., 2001. Separation of actinides, cesium and strontium from marine samples using extraction chromatography and sorbents. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 248 (3), 765-770.

- Mamish, S., Al-Masri, M.S., Durgham, H., 2015. Radioactivity in three species of eastern Mediterranean jellyfish. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 149, 1–7.
- Meli, M.A., Desideri, D., Penna, A., Ricci, F., Penna, N. *et al.*, 2013. ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb Concentration in Environmental Samples of the Adriatic Sea. *Int. J. Environ. Res.*, 7(1), 51-60.
- Mohamed, C.A.R., Kuan, P.F., 2005. Concentrations of ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in Zooplankton at Pulau Redang, Terengganu, Malaysia. *Journal of Biological Sciences*, 5, 312-314.
- Othman, I., Al-Masri, M.S., 2007. Impact of phosphate industry on the environment: A case study. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 65, (1), 131-141.
- Othman, I., Yassine, T., Bhat, I., 1994. Measurements of some radionuclides in the marine coastal environment of Syria. *The Science of Total Environment*, 53, 57-60.
- Reinfelder, J.R., Fisher, N.S., 1991. The Assimilation of Elements Ingested by Marine Copepods. *Science*, 251(4995), 794-796.
- Saito, R.T., Cunha, I.L., 1997. Analysis of ²¹⁰Po in marine samples. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 220 (1), 117-119.
- Siokou-Frangou, I., Christaki, U., Mazzocchi, M.G., Montresor M., Ribera d'Alcalá, M., et al., 2010. Plankton in the open Mediterranean Sea: a review. Biogeosciences, 7, 1543-1586.
- Skwarzec, B., Bojanowski, R., 1988. ²¹⁰Po content in seawater and its accumulation in southern Baltic plankton. *Marine Biology*, 97, 301-307.
- Stewart, G.M., Fisher, N.S., 2003. Bioaccumulation of polonium-210 in marine copepods. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 48 (5), 2011-2019.
- Stewart, R.A., Saiki, M.K., Kuwabara, J.S., Alpers, C.N., Marvin-DiPasquale, M. et al., 2008. Influence of plankton mercury dynamics and trophic pathways on mercury concentrations of top predator fish of a mining-impacted reservoir. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 65 (11), 2351-2366.
- Strady, E., Harmelin-Vivien, M., Chiffoleau, J. F., Veron, A., Tronczynski, J., et al. 2015. ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb trophic transfer within the phytoplankton-zooplankton-anchovy/sardine food web: a case study from the Gulf of Lion (NW Mediterranean Sea). *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 143, 141-151.
- Suthers, I.M., Rissik, D., 2008. Plankton-A guide to their ecology and monitoring for water quality. *CSIRO Publishing*, Sydney: 232 p.
- Tagami, K., Uchida, S., 2013. Marine and freshwater concentration ratios (CRwo-water): review of Japanese data. *Yournal of environmental radioactivity*, 126, 420-426.
- Turner, J.T., 2015. Zooplankton fecal pellets, marine snow, phytodetritus and the ocean's biological pump. *Progress in Oceanography*, 130, 205-248.