

Mediterranean Marine Science

Vol 23, No 1 (2022)

VOL 23, No 1 (2022)

 Effects of marine protected areas on fish communities in a hotspot of climate change and invasion

 ORI FRID, MAI LAZARUS, SHAHAR MALAMUD, JONATHAN BELMAKER, RUTHY YAHEL

doi: 10.12681/mms.26423

To cite this article:

FRID, O., LAZARUS, M., MALAMUD, S., BELMAKER, J., & YAHEL, R. (2021). Effects of marine protected areas on fish communities in a hotspot of climate change and invasion. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, *23*(1), 157–190. https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.26423

Effects of marine protected areas on fish communities in a hotspot of climate change and invasion

Ori FRID¹ , Mai LAZARUS¹ , Shahar MALAMUD1,2, Jonathan BELMAKER1,2 and Ruthy YAHEL³

¹ School of Zoology, George S. Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University, 39040 Tel Aviv, Israel ² The Steinhardt Museum of Natural History, Tel Aviv University, 39040 Tel Aviv, Israel 3 Nature and Parks Authority, Jerusalem, Israel

Corresponding author: Ori FRID; fridori@gmail.com

Contributing Editor: Stelios KATSANEVAKIS

Received: 18 March 2021; Accepted: 06 December 2021; Published online: 14 March 2022

Abstract

The positive ecological effect of fully protected Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) on fish populations has been widely explored. Key indicators of fully protected MPAs effectiveness include an increase in biomass, density, and body size of commercially exploited large fishes. Fully protected MPAs are also hypothesized to be more resilient to both marine invasions and ocean warming, but this has seldom been tested. The eastern Mediterranean (Levantine) basin is the warmest region in the Mediterranean. Moreover, this region is the front-line of biological invasion from the Red Sea via the Suez Canal. Thus, MPAs in this region are faced with both high numbers of exotic species and warm temperatures. Assessing the performance of MPAs in this region is important for understanding their effectiveness under adverse future conditions worldwide. The ecological effectiveness of four MPAs along the Levantine Israeli coast was followed along over five years and compared with adjacent fished control area. Sampling was conducted in both old (>20 years of enforcement) and young MPAs, which only recently became fully protected. Using SCUBA diving surveys, 978 visual transects were performed to assess fish abundance, size, and diversity. We found clear indications for the benefits of the MPAs as evident by higher numbers of large fishes and groupers within protected areas. In the largest and oldest MPA we also found higher total and commercial fish biomass. In addition, we show a clear increase in the number of groupers over time, both within and outside MPAs, that may be associated with increased fishing regulation and enforcement. We found no clear evidence for a lower number of exotic species within MPAs. Our findings suggest that restrictions on recreational and commercial fishing within MPAs benefit the recovery of fish populations even in warm waters and when faced with marine invasions, and that the effectiveness of MPAs may be evident after only a few years of protection.

Keywords: MPAs; biomass; fish; protection; invasion; Mediterranean.

Introduction

The marine realm is suffering from substantial anthropogenic stressors, such as overfishing, biological invasion, and rising sea temperatures, causing changes to species abundance and community composition (Ban, 2019; Bax *et al.*, 2003; He & Silliman, 2019). Highly enforced Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) is a key strategy to restore ecosystems and are considered a major element in marine conservation (Roberts *et al.*, 2001; Edgar *et al.*, 2014). The positive ecological effects of highly enforced MPAs on fish populations has been widely explored in both temperate and tropical regions (Edgar *et al.*, 2014; Guidetti *et al.*, 2014; Sala & Giakoumi, 2017; Giakoumi *et al.*, 2017). In addition to the benefits of highly enforced MPAs to fish populations, they are also used as an effective management tool for fisheries due to spillover of individuals beyond MPAs boundaries (Di Lorenzo *et al.*, 2020; Goñi *et al.*, 2008; Stelzenmüller *et al.*, 2008; Di Lorenzo *et al.*, 2016; Roberts *et al.*, 2001; Russ *et al.*, 2004).

Highly enforced MPAs have also been suggested as a tool to combat biological invasions (Ardura, *et al.*, 2016; Giakoumi & Pey, 2017; Giakoumi *et al.*, 2019). According to the "biotic resistance hypothesis", diverse native communities, such as those often observed within MPAs, are more resilient to invasions (Elton, 1958). Yet, MPAs may also serve as a favorable environment for invasive species, which take advantage of protection from fishing (Lockwood *et al.*, 2013). Only few studies have examined the effectiveness of MPAs in mitigating invasive species impacts (Byers, 2005; Klinger *et al.*, 2006; Kellner & Hastings, 2009; Burfeind *et al.*, 2013; Giakoumi & Pey, 2017; Rilov *et al.*, 2018; Giakoumi *et al.*,

2019). A recent review (Giakoumi & Pey, 2017) showed that exotic species respond negatively to protection, as the density of most exotic species was found to be smaller inside protected areas than in fished grounds. Other studies show that exotic species may do equally well or better within MPAs (Giakoumi *et al.*, 2019; Klinger *et al.*, 2006; Kellner & Hastings, 2009). Finally, Ardura at al. (2016) demonstrated that exotic fish abundances are negatively correlated with the size of the MPA and the intensity of protection. Thus, whether MPAs promote or hamper the establishment of exotic species is still under debate (Burfeind *et al.*, 2013, Giakoumi & Pey, 2017).

MPAs effectiveness strongly depends on the level of protection and enforcement (Edgar *et al.*, 2014; Grorud-Colvert *et al.*, 2021) yet only 6% of the world's marine protected areas are currently managed and enforced as no-take zones (Costello & Ballantine, 2015). It is still unclear how long it takes for protection and enforcement measures to have a biological signal, as monitoring of MPAs since their establishment is uncommon (Giakoumi *et al.*, 2017; Claudet *et al.*, 2006). Some studies suggest that substantial increases in densities and biomass of commercially valuable species occur within 1-5 years from enforcement initiation (Roberts *et al.*, 2001, Halpern & Warner, 2002, Russ *et al.*, 2004 , Rife *et al.*, 2013). However, other studies suggest that it takes much longer to observe MPA benefits (Aburto-Oropeza *et al.*, 2011). No information on the temporal duration of recovery is available from regions suffering from multiple stressors such as rapidly increasing water temperatures and a high rate of invasion, in addition to fishing.

The Eastern Mediterranean (Levantine) basin is located on the front-line of an extensive invasion via the Suez Canal (Buba & Belmaker, 2019, Givan *et al.*, 2018, Edelist *et al.*, 2013, Galil, 2007). In addition, this region is the hottest and most saline region in the Mediterranean Sea , and is rapidly warming (Coll *et al.*, 2012, Rilov *et al.*, 2018, Ozer *et al.*, 2017). These conditions benefit thermophilic exotic species of Red Sea origin (Galil, 2007, Givan *et al.*, 2018), turning the Levantine basin fish communities into a unique blend of native and exotic species.

 In Israel, only a very small portion of the Mediterranean coast is protected (2.5%). Most of the protected area were established only recently (Israel Nature and Parks Authority, unpublished data). An exception is Rosh Hanikra – Achziv MPA which has been established 30 years ago and regulation has been well enforced. Other, younger declared, MPAs in Israel were mainly '*Paper parks* 'and were very loosely enforced and illegally fished (Goren *et al.*, 2013). Nevertheless, over the past few years, enforcement measures have changed drastically both within and outside the MPAs. First, nation-wide fishing regulations were updated in 2016, incorporating species-specific catch size limitation and fishing bans in breeding and recruitment seasons. Second, the Israel Nature and Park Authority (INPA) was given the authority and the means to enforce fishing regulations. Intensive enforcement began in July 2018, after recruiting and training 12 new marine rangers. Since then, all reserves in Israel are actively enforced.

Data from these newly enforced MPAs provide important baseline information on the effects of MPAs in a region impacted by multiple stressors. In this study, the change in fish populations were examined within and outside Israeli MPAs during a period characterized by increased enforcement. Specifically, we examined: (1) Recovery of fish populations within MPAs, (2) Changes in fish populations both within and outside MPAs, potentially as a result of the new fishing regulations, and (3) the number and diversity of exotic species relative to native species. We anticipate that the results will have important implications for the management of MPAs, especially in a region dominated by rapid climate change and accelerating biological invasion.

Methods

MPAs

Underwater visual SCUBA surveys were performed between 2015-2021 to characterize fish assemblages within four MPAs within the northern Israeli Mediterranean coast: Rosh Hanikra – Achziv, Shikmona, Dor-Habonim, and Gdor (Fig. 1). Also unprotected, adjacent areas were surveyed, and served as control sites (Fig. 2). We describe the physical characteristics of each MPA and classify the protection level according to the regulation-based classification system for MPAs by Costa *et al.* (2016).

Fig. 1: Sampling locations along the northern Israeli coast.

Fig. 2: MPAs borders (light blue) and adjacent control sites areas (dark blue) in which sampling was performed. Adjacent photos illustrate the characterizing rocky habitat within these locations (photos credits: (a) Shevy Rothman, (b) Sara Ohayon, (c-d) Shahar Chaikin). Produces using the *ggmap* package (R software, version 3.6.3).

The **Rosh-Hanikra Achziv** MPA ('Achziv') is located at the Israeli extreme north (Fig. 2a). This MPA is the largest and most consistently enforced MPA in Israel, extending over 10 km2 and up to 45m deep. It protects the richest and most diverse fish and invertebrate communities along the Israeli Mediterranean coast (Frid & Yahel, 2017; Lazarus & Yahel, unpublished data). It consists of varied habitats: abrasion tables bordering the shoreline, sandy bottom, submerged eolianite Kurkar ridges (a unique endemic structure of lithification of ancient dunes which were formed along the Israeli shoreline; Rilov *et al.*, 2018), which also include steep, complex rocky formations. The bedrock is covered by complex biogenic structures made of organism shells and macroalgal crust (see representative seascape in Fig. 2a). Commercial artisanal and recreational fishing is forbidden within MPA boundaries since the early 80's, excluding beach angling, which has only been forbidden since 2017. However,

hook and line fishing is permitted in the first 660 m of the southernmost part of the MPAs shoreline. Thus, we classified this MPA as level *4* – "*highly regulated extraction"*. Control sites were confined to rocky areas of similar depths and similar seascape complexity characteristics as sampling sites in the MPA, as much as possible (see appendix, Table A1). A distinct rocky structure in the form of a \sim 20m drop is found only within the MPA but only minority of sites in this area were sampled; 9 out of 42 sampling sites within the MPA between 2017-2021 (georeferenced data from surveys conducted in 2015 within the MPA is unavailable).

The **Shikmona** MPA is much smaller than Achziv and extends over 1.7 km2 with a maximal depth of 15m (Fig. 2b). The MPA is part of the Carmel Head submerged limestone headland. Like in Achziv complex biogenic structures cover the bedrock (Rilov *et al.*, 2018). Generally, seascape complexity is much lower compared to Achziv. The shallow depth substrate is relatively devoid of invertebrates and algae cover and characterized by relatively low relief crevices (see representative seascape in Fig. 2b). Fishing was illegal in Shikmona since the establishment of the MPA in 2008, except for angling from the shore. However, enforcement only began in mid-2016. Thus, this MPA was classified as level *8* – *"unregulated extraction"* in 2015 and since 2016 the entire MPA is classified as level *4* – "*highly regulated extraction"*. Control sites were confined to rocky areas of similar depths and similar seascape complexity (see appendix, Table A1) as sampling sites within the MPA.

Dor-Habonim ('Habonim') is the second largest MPA in Israel, extending over 5.2 km², and with a maximal depth of 21m (Fig. 2c). This reserve's shoreline is characterized by broad, developed abrasion tables continued by the underwater rocky habitat - the submerged eolianite Kurkar ridges, as in Achziv (see representative seascape in Figure 2c). However, the submerged rocky habitat area within Habonim is shallow, reaching a depth of approximately 6m. Beyond this depth the MPA mostly consists of a sandy substrate. Thus, we sampled only in the shallow hard-bottom area. The seascape complexity of the rocky substrate is characterized by many concave and convex configurations. Fishing regulations in Habonim are different from other MPAs due to fishing permits given to 11 artisanal fishers using set nets and long-lines. However, recreational fishing is illegal except for angling from the shore. Enforcement began in this MPA in mid-2016, reducing recreational fishing, although the 11 artisanal fishers still operate. Thus, until 2016 the MPA was classified as level *8* – *"Unregulated extraction"* and since 2016 as level *5* – *"Moderately regulated extraction"*. Control sites were confined to rocky areas of similar depths and similar seascape complexity (see appendix, Table A1) as sampling sites within the MPA.

The smallest, southernmost MPA surveyed is the Gdor MPA which extends over only 0.85 km² and reaches a maximal depth of $~6$ m (Fig. 2d). The MPA consists of varied habitats: detached abrasion tables, shallow sandy lagoons, a submerged Kurkar rocky bottom (like in Achziv and Habonim), and patches of a sandy bottom among the rocky substrate. The seascape complexity of the rocky substrate is characterized by many concave and convex configurations, including prominent overhangs, burrows and crevices (see representative seascape in Fig. 2d). Since the MPA's establishment in 2004, all fishing practices are illegal, except for angling from the shore. However, enforcement was partial, and improved only in mid-2018, from which it includes fishing bans (excluding angling from the shore) on illegal commercial artisanal fishing and recreational fishing (spear fishing and angling from a vessel). Thus, until 2017 we classified the MPA as level *5* – *"Moderately regulated"* and since 2018 as level *4* – *"highly regulated extraction"*. Control sites were confined to rocky areas of similar depths and similar seascape complexity as much as possible as sampling sites within the MPA. However, we found that the complexity of the area within the MPA is higher compared to the control sites (Appendix, Table A1).

Sampling

At each location, both MPAs and control sites were sampled by skilled SCUBA divers using a visual census. Sampling sites were confined to rocky reef habitats. Surveys depths differed in accordance with rocky reefs depth ranges within each location (3-24.6m in Achziv, 2-17.3 m in Shikmona, 2-6m in Habonim, and 1.8-6 m in Gdor) and were matched between MPAs and control areas. The number of potential control sites with habitats similar to the ones found within the MPA is limited. Thus, control sites were planned so they will include a habitat as similar as possible to the habitat of the MPA. These were set within distances of 160 to 4,000 m from the MPAs' borders (appendix Table A2). Sampling campaigns were conducted bi-annually during 2015 (April & November), 2017 (June & October), 2019 (April & November) and 2021 (April).

Within each sampling campaign we sampled in 24 (\pm) 3 SD) sites within and 19 $(\pm 3$ SD) sites outside the MPAs (appendix Table A3). Sampling effort was set to approximately represent the habitat within each MPA so larger MPAs with more diverse depths received higher sampling intensity. In each site, between 2-8 belt transects were performed. A total of 978 transects were performed across all locations and sampling campaigns. To create a balanced data set, we randomly sampled 2 transects at each site and summed the observations. In each transect, fish were recorded along 25X6m belt transects, up to a height of approx. 5m above the substrate. As two transects were combined in each site the actual area sampled was 300 m².

For each transect we used the following protocol: After descending along a rope tied to a pre-deployed buoy, the divers attached a measuring tape to the rope and started swimming. The documentation of the fish started only after 5m of swimming to reduce the interruption caused by the diver descent and preparations for sampling. The two divers documented fish identity and abundance, and estimated fish total length. An underwater calibration of length estimation showed a correlation of $0.97 \ (\pm 0.01)$ SD, averaged across surveyors) between actual size of fish to its estimated length. Fish were identified to species level (excluding Mugilidae and Atherinidae, which are difficult to identify to species level underwater). Although species from the families Gobiidae, Blenniidae and Tripterygiidae are common on rocky substrate they are underestimated in visual surveys and thus omitted from analyses (for the full species list, see appendix Table A4).

Once completing the transect, a measurement of the seascape complexity was conducted. The shape of the seascape was quantified using the contour of the seabed along a transect estimated from a digital water level data logger (Onset HOBO). We followed the protocol suggested by (Dustan *et al.*, 2013) with slight changes: The diver held the device as close as possible to the bottom while swimming along the transect indicated by the pre-laid tape measure. The target swimming velocity was constant and slow (~0.2m/sec). Pressure measurements were recorded at 1 second intervals (0.41 cm resolution). These data were converted to a bottom profile contour assuming swimming speed was constant. Bottom profiles with <80 and >250 measurements (i.e., very slow/fast sampled transects) were excluded from analyses.

To quantify seascape complexity for each transect we calculated the Vertical Relief index (Luckhurst & Luckhurst, 1978) a measure for the depth range along the profile that displayed high performance in a recent study (Lazarus & Belmaker, 2021). Due to technical limitations, complexity was measured in only a subset of transects (196 transects, \sim 20% of data), and hence is used here only to compare MPA and control sites, and not as part of the analyses. Using a simple linear model, we found that seascape complexity is similar between MPAs and their corresponding control sites, excluding Gdor, in which the complexity was significantly higher within the MPA (see appendix, Table A1). The eastern Levantine basin is characterized by low cover of macroalgal vegetation (Giakoumi *et al.*, 2019). This is due to the invasion of the Siganus species which inhibit shallow rocky reefs)Rilov *et al.*, 2018). Hence, we did not quantify macroalgal abundance or diversity.

Response variables

We examined the effect of protection on total biomass, biomass of commercially valuable species (species which are regularly fished along the Mediterranean Israeli coast and regularly consumed by the Israeli public, appendix Table A4), and exotic species biomass. Biomass was calculated using the parameters of the length-weight relationship (W=aLb), where a and b were extracted from studies in Fishbase website (Froese & Pauly, 2000), using studies conducted as close as possible to the study area, with a preference for Eastern Mediterranean values (for the full species list, see appendix Table A4). Biomass was log transformed before used as a response variable. In addition, we quantified the effect of protection on the abundance (number of individuals) of all large individuals (>20cm) which is considered a key indicator to assess the overall impact of fishing (Edgar *et al.*, 2014).

Groupers are considered indicator species for examining the performance of protection on MPAs (Hackradt *et al.*, 2014). Thus, we examined the total number of groupers and the number of groupers which reached size of first maturity. The number of groupers that reached size at first maturity was analyzed for the two most common species: *Mycteroperca rubra* and *Epinephelus marginatus.* These species are both protogenic hermaphrodites (Aronov & Goren, 2008); for an individual to mature as a male, it must first mature as a female. Thus, male length at first maturity values were used (53.9 cm for *E. marginatus* and 35.5 cm *M. rubra).*

Analyses

Due to the large difference in MPA size, enforcement duration and habitat analyses, models were performed for each MPA separately in relation to both the control location and over time. GLMs (Generalized Linear Models) were used to related total biomass, commercial biomass, exotic biomass; abundance of large individuals, groupers abundance; and number of groupers above length of first maturity to the predictors. GLMs used Gaussian error distribution, apart from abundance models, in which a Poisson regression (suitable for count data) was used. To quantify the MPA effect in a way comparable with other studies we also included an ANOVA table (appendix Table 5A) for total biomass.

The main predictors tested were time (continues), MPA (categorical; in, out) and their interaction. In all models we also used season (categorical; Spring, Fall), and depth (continues, used only for the deeper MPAs Achziv and Shikmona). As complexity estimates were not available for all sites these were not added to the analyses.

To control for the potential for spillover to mask the effect of protection, we performed a separate analysis removing control sites that were located <300m of MPA borders (where spillover effect should be less dominant; Di Lorenzo *et al.,* 2020).

Finally, we examined which species are most affected by protection by calculating the log-ratio of the mean biomass per site within and outside the MPA for each species. A bias-corrected confidence interval was calculated using the '*batch_calc_ES'* function in 'SingleCaseES' package (Pustejovsky, 2015; Pustejovsky & Swan, 2021), as log-response ratios are sensitive to sample size. All analyses were performed using R (Software R Core Team, 2020).

Results

In the oldest and largest MPA, Achziv, total and commercial biomass was significantly higher within the MPA than in adjacent control areas: 178% higher total biomass (25.3 ± 43.8 SD within the MPA and 9.1 ± 9.6 SD outside of MPA borders, appendix Table A5), and 250% higher commercial biomass $(21.1 \pm 41.1 \text{ SD} (kg/300 \text{ m}^2))$ within the MPA and 6 ± 9.6 SD outside of MPA borders (appendix Fig. A1, Table A5). Due to the high impact of protection in this MPA, we also examined the long-term effect of protection on specific species for this MPA. A variable response of biomass to protection was found among different species (Fig. 3)." In Shikmona, total biomass was found to be significantly higher within the MPA compared to control sites (12 % higher; appendix Table A5). In the other two MPAs (Habonim and Gdor) no consistence difference was found between the MPAs to the fished areas in either total biomass nor commercial species biomass. In all MPAs, we found no interaction between time and protection for biomass (Appendix Fig. A1; Table A5).

The number of large individuals was significantly

Biomass log-ratio

Fig. 3: **Log ratio of species level biomass density within to outside of the Achziv MPA.** Positive values show the species that gaining increased in abundance within the MPA relative to control area. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals of the biomass ratio.

Fig. 4: **The number of large individuals observed in each MPA across the four years of survey.** We tested how the number of large individuals changed with season, year, protection. Protection (in/out) was significant for Achziv (a; p<0.001) and Habonim (c; p<0.05). Colors represent sampling within or outside of MPAs. Note the Y axis log scale. Box plots display the 25% and 75% quartiles, and lines within boxes represents the median. Dots represent points 1.5 times outside the interquartile range.

higher in MPA sites compared to control sites in all MPAs. An interaction between protection and time was found in Achziv and Habonim (Fig. 4; Αppendix Table A6). Within Achziv, large-individual abundance increased faster over time in the MPA than outside the MPA. In Habonim, the abundance of large individuals decreased over time both within and outside the MPA, but the decrease was larger outside the MPA. The results of the models above did not qualitatively change when exotic species, such as *Siganus rivulatus*, were excluded from the analyses.

Exotic species biomass was significantly higher within Achziv than in unprotected fished areas (112% higher). However, for the other MPAs, exotic biomass was similar within and outside the MPAs (appendix Fig. A2 and Table A7). No interactions were found between time and protection for exotics in all MPAs.

We found both temporal and MPA effects on grouper abundance. We observed significantly higher grouper abundance within MPAs compared to adjacent fishing grounds in Achziv (182%), Habonim (13%) and Gdor (28%). In Shikmona, we did not find such difference. Over time (year), a significant increase in groupers abundance was observed in all areas, protected as well as fished. A significant interaction between protection and time was found in Achziv and Habonim, with a sharper increase in grouper abundance over time within protected areas (Fig. 5; Αppendix Table A8).

The number of grouper individuals above size of first maturity was significantly higher within Achziv MPA compared to adjacent control sites (264.7%, Fig. 6; Αppendix Table A9). In Shikmona, the number of grouper individuals above size of first maturity within MPA was similar to the number in adjacent unprotected areas. No interactions were found between MPA and time. In the two other MPAs the numbers were too small for analyses (only 1 mature individual found in Habonim, and 7 in Gdor). Even though the number of groupers significantly increased within all four MPAs as well as in

adjacent fishing grounds we found no significant trend in the number of individuals above size of first maturity (Αppendix Table A9).

When testing models including only control sites located >300m from MPAs borders, all model results were similar apart from the small following differences: The direction of the interaction between grouper abundance and time in Achziv, indicated on a sharper increase with time in the control area compared to the MPA. The opposite was observed for Shikmona, Habonim and Gdor, where a sharper increase in grouper abundance with time was observed within the MPAs.

Discussion

We present clear indications for the effectiveness of MPAs under extreme environmental conditions and an extensive biological invasion. Specifically, within Achziv, the oldest and largest MPA in our study, we found multiple indicators for the ecological effectiveness of the MPA. These indicators included: higher total biomass and commercial biomass (250% and 178%, respectively, Appendix Fig. A1 and Table A5), higher overall grouper abundance (182%, Fig. 5 and Table A8) and more groupers larger than the size of first maturity (166% higher for *M. rubra*, for *E. marginatus,* mature individuals were found only within the MPA) in the MPA when compared to control sites. This provides strong evidence for the effect of enforced MPAs on fish populations (Sala & Giakoumi, 2017), maintained in a region characterized by large numbers of exotic species and extreme climatic conditions.

Other than Achziv, the three MPAs studied reflect a transition stage between "Unregulated extraction" MPA to "Moderately regulated extraction" (Habonim) and "Highly regulated extraction" MPAs (Gdor and Shikmona) (classified following Costa *et al.*, 2016, see Methods).

Fig. 5: **Mean grouper abundance (***Epinephelus marginatus* **and** *Mycteroperca rubra)***.** The number of groupers was examined with respect to the effect of protection (in/out), year, season, and depth (fixed effect). The number of groupers in 2019 was significantly higher than in 2015 and 2017 in all locations. Colors represent the different MPAs and error bars represent standard errors (model results shown in appendix Table 7).

Fig. 6: **Length (TL) distributions of the groupers** *Mycteroperca rubra* **and** *Epinephelus marginatus*. Dashed red line present maturity length of *M. rubra* and orange dashed line presents maturity length of *E. marginatus*. Within the Achziv MPA the number of mature individuals is significantly higher than in adjacent fished areas (p=0.045). Histograms represent the total across all sampling.

Monitoring of reserves since their establishment is uncommon (Claudet *et al.*, 2006). Here, we show that during a period of 5 years, there is a measurable effect of MPAs on indices such as the number of large individuals (excluding Habonim, appendix Table A6) and the abundance of groupers (excluding Shikmona; Appendix Table A8). However, other measures such as total biomass and the number of mature groupers displayed little change.

Thus, the differences observed in these MPAs are much smaller than in Achziv, and in addition are more variable (as some indices show change and other do not). Nevertheless, these three MPAs show improvement in some indices despite their small sizes and the short time since their enforcement began. The observed changes are compatible with some studies that found rapid MPA recovery after protection (for example, Halpern & Warner, 2002) and with a recent meta-analysis pointing to the benefit of smaller but well enforced MPAs in the Mediterranean region (Giakoumi *et al.*, 2017).

At the same time, the small differences between MPA and control sites are also in line with other studies that find measurable MPA effects only after longer periods of time since the initiation of enforcement (Aburto-Oropeza *et al.*, 2011). As MPAs typically protect multiple habitats and species with varying life history, the reaction to protection is also likely to be variable. This might be the reason for the lack of signal in total biomass increase in the newly enforced MPAs, for which additional time may be needed to detect a measurable buildup of populations for long-lived species such as grouper. Alternatively, the inherently less complex physical characteristics of Ha-

bonim, Gdor and Shikmona compared to Achziv (see Methods) and the shallower nature of Habonim and Gdor may limit recovery potential. Finally, edge effects may have eroded these small MPA performance (Ohayon *et al.,* 2021). It is currently unclear if given sufficient time the performance of these three MPAs will increase to be comparable to that of Achziv.

When comparing MPAs and fished control areas, sampling habitats were matched to be as similar as possible. As a result, the distance of the control sites from MPA boundaries was sometimes small (appendix Table A2). Thus, control sites might contain individuals originating from the MPA that appear in adjacent areas due to spillover (Di Lorenzo *et al.*, 2016; Di Lorenzo *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, MPA success may be somewhat masked by the presence of dispersing individuals, reducing our ability to identify differences between MPAs and control areas. This may explain the lack of a signal for total and commercial biomass between the MPAs and control sites (appendix Table A5 and A6). Nevertheless, even when controlling for the potential effect of spillover by only accounting for sites sampled farther than 300m out of MPAs borders, we still could not find an effect of the MPAs on total and commercial biomass (appendix Table A12).

Groupers are a *bona fide* indicator species for examining MPAs success in the Mediterranean Sea (Hackradt *et al.*, 2014, Anderson *et al.*, 2014, Koeck *et al.*, 2014), and the importance of mature individuals for maintaining a sustainable reproductive population is well established (Vasilakopoulos *et al.*, 2011, Berkeley *et al.*, 2004, Fro-

ese, 2004). Changes in grouper abundances within and outside MPAs occurred against a backdrop of increasing number of groupers over time in all MPAs (including the small MPAs) and in adjacent fishing grounds (Fig. 5). This increase coincides with the enforcement of new fishing regulations (since 2016), such as species-specific catch size limitations (fishing of juveniles is banned), breeding season fishing bans (grouper fishing bans vary somewhat by year, between April and June), and the ban on the use of SCUBA for spear fishing. The increase in grouper abundance in unprotected areas suggests that the increase in grouper abundance was not related solely to MPAs *per se*, but also to improved nation-wide fishing regulations.

For grouper abundance, we could find an interaction between protection and time in Achziv and Habonim, and for all MPAs when accounting only for sampling sites > 300m from MPAs borders. Interestingly, while in Shikmona, Habonim and Gdor, a sharper increase in groupers abundance over time was found within MPAs, in Achziv we observed a sharper increase over time in the control area. This difference may imply that Achziv MPA is close to its carrying capacity in terms of supporting groupers, while for the younger MPAs, groupers populations are still building up within MPAs. This is further supported for other indices in Achziv, including commercial biomass, total biomass and mature groupers, where no temporal trends were visible despite a clear MPA effect (appendix Tables A5 and A9).

The increase in groupers abundance over time was not paralleled by an increase in the number of individuals above size of first maturity. Thus, the increase in grouper abundance is mainly attributed to juvenile individuals. Large breeding groupers ("mega-spawners") were found mainly within the MPA boundaries of Achziv and are only rarely observed in fished areas. These largesized individuals have likely survived stress and their offspring may be more resistant and have higher survival rates (Venturelli *et al.*, 2009, Froese, 2004). The absence of mature individuals in fished areas suggests that population in these areas may depend on juvenile and larvae originating from within MPAs.

One reason for the lack of mature groupers in Habonim and Gdor is that these MPAs contain habitats of lower quality, as rocky reefs are present only in shallow depths, which may be unsuitable to support larger individuals of these species (Dimitriadis *et al.*, 2018; Bodilis *et al.*, 2003) (in support, depth had a positive effect on groupers size in Achziv, appendix Table A9). In addition, artisanal fishing in Habonim may also limit recovery of large mature groupers. Nevertheless, we note that recreational fishing bans, and especially spearfishing which is known to target groupers (Sbragaglia *et al.*, 2021), are being strictly enforced. Over time, the number of fishers in Habonim is expected to slowly decrease as no additional permits are granted. Additional time is required to test if the increase in juvenile abundance will manifest as larger grouper breeding populations within these MPAs (Hackradt *et al.*, 2014; Mitcheson *et al.*, 2013).

Even though we found a clear MPA effect and a clear

temporal effect, for most indices we found no interaction between MPA and time. The lack of interaction means we cannot unambiguously link the increase in performance over time to the effect of the MPAs. This may mean MPA effects are occurring in tandem with a general improvement in the fisheries condition in the Levantine basin. However, spillover and edge effects (Ohayon *et al.*, 2021) may erode our ability to detect such interactions. In addition, statistical power is lower for detecting interactions compared to main effects. Hence, interactions may be present but only detected after a few more years of sampling.

The highly invaded Levantine basin is a suitable location to examine the biotic resistance hypothesis, suggesting that MPAs buffer exotic species establishment (Ardura *et al.*, 2016; Giakoumi & Pey, 2017; Giakoumi *et al.*, 2019). In this study we found that the exotic species *Pterois miles* and *Scarus ghobban* benefit from MPA protection, while the biomass of the exotic *Lagocephalus sceleratus* was higher in fished areas (Fig. 3). However, overall, higher or similar biomass of exotic species was found within MPAs compared to control fished regions (Fig. A2, Appendix Table A7), suggesting that MPAs do not provide strong biotic resistance. Similar results were observed in Achziv in a different study (Rilov *et al.*, 2018), showing higher abundances of the exotic species *Siganus rivulatus* within MPA boundaries and at a broader Mediterranean scale, where higher biomass of exotic species was found in the South and Eastern Mediterranean MPAs than in adjacent unprotected areas (Giakoumi *et al.*, 2019). The results suggest that MPAs are not an effective management tool to combat exotic species. However, MPAs may still be effective in modifying species behaviors or specific species. For example, the presence of more predators such as groupers may change grazing activity of herbivores (Laundre *et al.*, 2010) such as the exotic *Siganus rivulatus* (Rilov *et al.*, 2018, Shapiro Goldberg *et al.*, 2021), reducing their impact within MPAs. However, more research is needed to validate this hypothesis.

In this study, MPA performance is examined in the highly disturbed Levantine basin, exposed to a rapid increase in water temperatures as well as an influx of exotic species. The studied period covers the transition from poorly enforced to strongly enforced MPAs, and thus provides an important baseline against which to compare future changes. Clear indications for the benefits of MPAs were found and as time from enforcement increases, enforcement effects may accumulate. These benefits of MPA co-occur with an increase in the number of groupers over time both within and outside MPAs, which coincides with enforcement of restrictions on recreational and commercial fishing. The number and area of highly enforced MPAs in the Levantine basin are the lowest in the Mediterranean sea (Claudet *et al.*, 2020). This study suggests that the continual embellishment of well enforced MPAs may have a strong beneficial impact on fish populations even in a region suffering from warming waters and a substantial impact of exotic species.

Acknowledgements

Ori Frid and Mai Lazarus should be considered joint first author. We acknowledge the support of the Manna Center Program for Food Safety and Security and the Smaller-Winnikow Fund for OF. We would like to thank the Israeli Nature and Park Authority and all present and past Belmaker lab members who participated in data collection. We also thank D. Shapiro-Goldberg for her help in editing the manuscript.

Author contributions:

Conceptualization: OF, ML, JB, RY**; Data providers**: OF, ML, SM, RY, JB; **Original Draft Preparation:** OF, ML, JB; **Final Review & Editing: all authors.**

References

- Aburto-Oropeza, O., Erisman, B., Grantly R., G., Ismael, M. as-O., Sala, E. *et al.,* 2011. Large Recovery of Fish Biomass in a No-Take Marine Reserve. *PLoS ONE*, 6 (8).
- Anderson, A.B., Bonaldo, D.R., Barneche, D.R., Hackradt, C.W., Félix-Hackradt, F.C. *et al.,* 2014. Recovery of grouper assemblages indicates effectiveness of a marine protected area in Southern Brazil. *Marine Ecology Progress Series,* 514, 207-215.
- Ardura, A., Juanes, F., Planes, S., Garcia-Vazquez, E., 2016. Rate of biological invasions is lower in coastal marine protected areas. *Scientific Reports,* 6, 1-11.
- Aronov, A., Goren, M., 2008. Ecology of the mottled grouper (*Mycteroperca rubra*) in the eastern Mediterranean. *Electronic journal of ichthyology,* 2, 43-55.
- Ban, N.C., 2019. Fishing communities at risk. *Nature Climate Change*, 9, 501-502.
- Bax, N., Williamson, A., Aguero, M., Gonzalez, E., Geeves, W., 2003. Marine invasive alien species: a threat to global biodiversity. *Marine Policy,* 27 (4), 313-323.
- Berkeley S.A., Hixon M.A., Larson R.J., Love, M.S., 2004. Fisheries sustainability via protection of age structure and spatial distribution of fish populations. *Fisheries*, 29 (8), 23-32.
- Bodilis, P., Ganteaume, A., Francour, P., 2003. Recruitment of the dusky grouper (Epinephelus marginatus) in the north-western Mediterranean Sea. *Cybium*, 27 (2), 123-129.
- Buba, Y., Belmaker, J., 2019. Native-exotic diversity relationships for eastern mediterranean fishes reveal a weak pattern of interactions. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 611 (Guo 2017), 215-220.
- Burfeind, D.D., Pitt, K.A., Connolly, R.M., Byers, J.E., 2013. Performance of non-native species within marine reserves. *Biological Invasions,* 15 (1), 17-28.
- Byers, J.E., 2005. Marine reserves enhande abundande but not competitive impacts of a harvested nonindigenous species. *Ecology*, 86 (2), 487-500.
- Hackradt, C.W., García-Charton, J.A., Harmelin-Vivien, M., Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Le Direach, L. *et al.,* 2014. Response of Rocky Reef Top Predators (Serranidae: Epinephelinae) in

and Around Marine Protected Areas in the Western Mediterranean Sea. *PloS One*, 9 (6).

- Claudet, J., Loiseau, C., Sostres, M., Zupan, M., Claudet, J. *et al.,* 2020. Under protected Marine Protected Areas in a Global Biodiversity Hotspot. *One Earth*, 2 (4), 380-384.
- Claudet, J., Pelletier, D., Jouvenel, J.Y., Bachet, F., Galzin, R., 2006. Assessing the effects of marine protected area (MPA) on a reef fish assemblage in a northwestern Mediterranean marine reserve: Identifying community-based indicators. *Biological Conservation,* 130 (3), 349-369.
- Coll, M., Piroddi, C., Albouy, C., Ben Rais Lasram, F., Cheung, W.W.L. *et al.,* 2012. The Mediterranean Sea under siege: Spatial overlap between marine biodiversity, cumulative threats, and marine reserves. *Global Ecology and Biogeography,* 21 (4), 465-480.
- Costa, B.H.E, Claudet, J., Franco, G., Erzini, K., Caro, A. *et al.,* 2016. A regulation-based classi fi cation system for Marine Protected Areas (MPAs). *Marine Policy*, 72, 192-198.
- Costello, M.J., Ballantine, B., 2015. Biodiversity conservation should focus on no-take Marine Reserves: 94% of Marine Protected Areas allow fishing. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 30 (9), 507-509.
- Dustan, P., Doherty, O., Pardede, S., 2013. Digital Reef Rugosity Estimates Coral Reef Habitat Complexity. *PLoS One*, 8.
- Di Lorenzo, M., Claudet, J., Guidetti, P., 2016. Spillover from Marine Protected Areas to Adjacent Fisheries Has an Ecological and a Fishery Component. *Journal for Nature Conservation,* 32, 62-66.
- Di Lorenzo, M., Guidetti, P., Calò, A., Claudet, J., Franco, A. Di., 2020. Assessing spillover from marine protected areas and its drivers: A meta-analytical approach. *Fish and Fisheries*, 21 (5), 906-915.
- Dimitriadis, C., Sini, M., Trygonis, V., Gerovasileiou, V., Sourbès, L. *et al.,* 2018. Assessment of fish communities in a Mediterranean MPA: Can a seasonal no-take zone provide effective protection? Estuarine, *Coastal and Shelf Science*, 207 (August 2017), 223-231.
- Edelist, D., Rilov, G., Golani, D., Carlton, J.T., Spanier, E., 2013. Restructuring the Sea: Profound shifts in the world's most invaded marine ecosystem. *Diversity and Distributions*, 19 (1), 69-77.
- Edgar, G.J., Stuart-Smith, R.D., Willis, T.J., Kininmonth, S., Baker, S.C. *et al.,* 2014. Global conservation outcomes depend on marine protected areas with five key features. *Nature*, 506 (7487), 216-220.
- Elton, Charles S., 1958. The Ecology of Invasions by Animals and Plants. Methuen, London, UK.
- Frid, O., Yahel, R., 2017. Biological survey of marine protected areas along the Israeli Mediterranean coast. Ministry of Environmental Protection, Israeli Nature and Parks Authority.
- Froese, R., 2004. Keep it simple: three indicators to deal with overfishing. *Fish and Fisheries,* (5), 86-91.
- Froese, R., Pauly, D., (Eds). 2019. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org, (12/2019).
- Galil, B.S., 2007. Loss or gain? Invasive aliens and biodiversity in the Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin,* 55 (7- 9), 314-322.
- Giakoumi, S., Scianna, C., Plass-Johnson, J., Micheli, F., Grorud-Colvert, K. *et al.,* 2017. Ecological effects of full and partial protection in the crowded Mediterranean Sea: a

regional meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 8940.

- Giakoumi, S., Pey, A., 2017. Assessing the effects of marine protected areas on biological invasions: A global review. *Frontiers in Marine Science,* 4, 16.
- Giakoumi, S., Pey, A., Di Franco, A., Francour, P., Kizilkaya, Z. *et al*., 2019. Exploring the relationships between marine protected areas and invasive fish in the world's most invaded sea. *Ecological Applications*, 29 (1), e01809.
- Givan, O., Edelist, D., Sonin, O., Belmaker, J., 2018. Thermal affinity as the dominant factor changing Mediterranean fish abundances*. Global Change Biology*, 80-89.
- Goñi, R., Badalamenti, F., Tupper, M., 2011. *Effects of marine protected areas on local fisheries: evidence from empirical studies*. *In* Marine Protected Areas: A Multidisciplinary Approach, pp. 72-98. Ed. by Claudet, J. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Goren, M. Rothchild, A., 2013 The Israeli project for sustainable management of Mediterranean fisheries. 2013. Society for the Protection of Nature in Israel.
- Guidetti, P., Baiata, P., Ballesteros, E., Di Franco, A., Hereu, B. *et al.,* 2014. Large-scale assessment of Mediterranean marine protected areas effects on fish assemblages. *PLoS ONE*, 9 (4).
- Grorud-Colvert, K., Sullivan-Stack, J., Roberts, C., Constant, V., Horta, B. *et al.,* 2021. The MPA Guide: A framework to achieve global goals for the ocean. *Sience*. 373(6560), eabf0861.
- Halpern, B.S., Warner, R.R., 2002. Marine reserves have rapid and lasting effects. *Ecology Letters,* 5 (3), 361-366.
- He, Q., Silliman, B.R., 2019. Climate Change, Human Impacts, and Coastal Ecosystems in the Anthropocene. *Current Biology,* 29 (19), R1021-R1035.
- Kellner, J.B., Hastings, A., 2009. A reserve paradox: introduced heterogeneity may increase regional invisibility. *Conservation Letters,* 2 (3), 115-122.
- Klinger, T., Padilla, D.K., Britton-Simmons, K., 2006. Two invaders achieve higher densities in reserves. *Aquatic Conservation,* 16 (3), 301-311.
- Koeck, B., Pastor, J., Saragoni, G., Dalias, N., Payrot, J. *et al.,* 2014. Dial and seasonal movement pattern of the dusky grouper *Epinephelus marginatus* inside a marine reserve. *Marine Environmental Research,* 94, 38-47.
- Lazarus, M., Belmaker, J., 2021. A review of seascape complexity indices and their performance in coral and rocky reefs. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 12 (4), 681-695.
- Lockwood, J.L., Hoopes, M.F., Marchetti, M.P., 2013. *Invasion ecology*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Mitcheson S.Y., Craig, M.T., Bertoncini, A.A., Carpenter, K.E., Cheung, W.W.L. *et al.,* 2013. Fishing groupers towards extinction: a global assessment of threats and extinction risks in a billion dollar fishery. *Fish and fisheries*, 14 (2), 119- 136.
- Luckhurst, B.E., Luckhurst, K., 1978. Analysis of the influence of substrate variables on coral reef fish communities. *Marine Biology*, 49 (4), 317-323.
- Ohayon, S., Granot, I., Belmaker, J., 2021. A meta-analysis reveals edge effects within marine protected areas. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 5 (9), 1301-1308.
- Ozer, T., Gertman, I., Kress, N., Silverman, J., Herut, B., 2017. Interannual thermohaline (1979-2014) and nutrient (2002- 2014) dynamics in the Levantine surface and intermediate water masses, SE Mediterranean Sea. *Global and Planetary Change,* 151, 60-67.
- Pustejovsky, J., Swan, D.M., 2021. SingleCaseES: A calculator for single-case effect sizes (R package version 0.4. 3.9999).
- Pustejovsky, J.E., 2015. Measurement-comparable effect sizes for single-case studies of free-operant behavior. *Psychological Methods*, 20 (3), 342-359.
- R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Rife, A.N., Aburto-Oropeza, O., Hastings, P.A., Erisman, B., Ballantyne, F. *et al.,* 2013. Long-term effectiveness of a multi-use marine protected area on reef fish assemblages and fisheries landings. *Journal of Environmental Management,* 117, 276-283.
- Rilov, G., Peleg, O., Yeruham, E., Garval, T., Vichik, A. *et al.,* 2018. Alien turf: Overfishing, overgrazing and invader domination in south-eastern Levant reef ecosystems. *Aquatic Conservation,* 28 (2), 351-369.
- Roberts, C.M., Bohnsack, J.A., Gell, F., Hawkins, J.P., Goodridge, R., 2001. Effects of marine reserves on adjacent fisheries. *Science*, 294 (5548), 1920-1923.
- Russ, G.R., Alcala, A.C., Maypa, A.P., Calumpong, H.P., White, A.T., 2004. Marine reserve benefits local fisheries. *Ecological Applications,* 14 (2), 597-606.
- Shapiro Goldberg, D., Rilov, G., Villéger, S., Belmaker, J., 2021. Predation Cues Lead to Reduced Foraging of Invasive Siganus rivulatus in the Mediterranean. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 8 (July), 1-10.
- Sbragaglia, V., Coco, S., Correia, R.A., Coll, M., Arlinghaus, R., 2021. Analysing publicly available videos about recreational fishing reveals key ecological and social insights: A case study about groupers in the Mediterranean Sea. *Science of the Total Environment*, 142672.
- Sala, E., Giakoumi, S., 2017. No-take marine reserves are the most effective protected areas in the ocean. *ICES Journal of Marine Science,* 75 (3) 2017-2019.
- Stelzenmüller, V., Maynou, F., Bernard, G., Cadiou, G., Camilleri, M. *et al.,* 2008. Spatial Assessment of Fishing Effort around European Marine Reserves: Implications for Successful Fisheries Management. *Marine Pollution Bulletin,* 56 (12), 2018-2026.
- Vasilakopoulos, P., Neill, F.G.O., Marshall, C. T., 2011. Misspent youth: does catching immature fish affect fisheries sustainability? *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 68, 1525- 1534.
- Venturelli, P.A., Shuter, B.J., Murphy, C.A., 2009. Evidence for harvest-induced maternal influences on the reproductive rates of fish populations. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 276, 919-924.

Appendix

Table A1. LM results for the difference in seascape complexity between MPA and control areas in each location. The response variable was vertical relief while the explanatory variable was protection (categorical; in, out). *n* represents the number of transects within the MPA and in the control sites*. VerRelief MPA* represents the mean values and standard deviation of complexity in transects within MPAs and *VerRelief Control* represents the mean values and standard deviation of complexity in transects outside MPAs.

Location	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	$P-value$	\boldsymbol{n}	VerRelief MPA	VerRelief Control
Achziv	Protection	0.31422	0.16046	0.0549	MPA - 35, control - 27	1.72 ± 1.12	1.17 ± 0.59
Shikmona	Protection	0.1036	0.1392	0.4612	MPA - 25, control - 18	0.97 ± 0.38	0.94 ± 0.54
Habonim	Protection	0.2049	0.2248	0.3702	MPA - 13, control - 17	1.94 ± 0.64	1.73 ± 0.58
Gdor	Protection	0.6631	0.1530	< 0.001	MPA - 33, $control - 28$	2.25 ± 0.59	1.59 ± 0.59

Table A2. Mean distance of the control sites from each of the MPA borders.

Table A4. Mean species abundance and biomass per site (a sum of two transects, 300m2). *Native* indicates whether species are native to the Mediterranean (+) or exotics (-), *commercially valuable* indicates whether species are of commercial value (+) or not (-), and *a* and *b* represents species' length-weight conversion factors. *Mean abundance* represents the number of individuals observed from each species at a site, and *Mean biomass* represents the biomass of each species in a site, calculated using the length-weight formula. Identifying species from the Clupeidae family is difficult in underwater visual surveys, thus we only categorize to native or exotic.

Table A5. GLM results for total and commercial biomass and ANOV results for total biomass, shown for each MPAs. We tested how log (biomass, kg/300m²) changed with season, year and protection. Depth was also included for the deeper MPAs Achziv and Shikmona. We also tested the interaction between year (time) and MPA in a separate model to identify whether the effect of protection changed over time.

'Achziv' - Total biomass		'Achziv' - Commercial biomass						
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error P-value			Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value
Year	0.104	0.101	0.307		Year	0.258	0.143	0.074
MPA	-0.861	0.211	< 0.001		MPA	-1.400	0.292	< 0.001
Season	-0.611	0.225	0.008		Season	-0.818	0.311	0.010
Depth	-0.033	0.017	0.056		Depth	-0.074	0.024	0.002
	Interaction model					Interaction model		
Year	0.168	0.146	0.253		Year	0.128	0.201	0.524
MPA	-0.925	0.537	0.088		MPA	-2.034	0.747	0.008
Season	-0.724	0.228	0.002		Season	-0.826	0.312	0.009
Depth	-0.043	0.017	0.015		Depth	-0.074	0.024	0.002
Year: Reserve	-0.037	0.194	0.850		Year: Reserve	0.249	0.270	0.358
Interaction					Interaction			
ANOVA model results for total <i>biomass</i>	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq F value		P-value			
MPA	1	23.9	23.898	17.39	< 0.001			
Residuals	99	136.1	1.375					
Shikmona' - Total biomass						Shikmona'- Commercial biomass		
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error P-value			Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P -value
Year	0.575	0.136	< 0.001		Year	0.645	0.189	0.001
MPA	-0.593	0.276	0.035		MPA	-0.501	0.390	0.204
Season	-1.021	0.276	< 0.001		Season	-1.646	0.388	< 0.001
Depth	0.032	0.030	0.288		Depth	0.038	0.043	0.373
	Interaction model					Interaction model		
Year	0.379	0.175	0.034		Year	0.502	0.223	0.028
\emph{MPA}	-1.647	0.660	0.015		\emph{MPA}	-0.079	0.815	0.923
Season	-0.982	0.272	0.001		Season	-1.769	0.327	< 0.001
Depth	0.032	0.029	0.288		Depth	0.043	0.043	0.319
Year: Reserve	0.471	0.269	0.085		Year: Reserve	-0.141	0.320	0.661
Interaction					Interaction			
ANOVA model results for total biomass	Df		Sum Sq Mean Sq F value		P-value			
\emph{MPA}	$\mathbf{1}$	8.87	8.874	4.953	0.030			
Residuals								

Table A6. GLM results for the number of large individuals, shown for each MPAs. We tested how the number of large individuals changed with season, year and protection. Depth was also included for the deeper MPAs Achziv and Shikmona. We also tested the interaction between year (time) and MPA in a separate model to identify whether the effect of protection changed over time.

				'Achziv' - Number of large individuals							
					Interaction model						
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value				
Year	0.067	0.017	< 0.001	Year	0.148	0.019	< 0.001				
MPA	-1.474	0.043	< 0.001	MPA	-0.542	0.101	< 0.001				
Season	0.087	0.036	0.015	Season	0.082	0.036	0.022				
Depth	-0.039	0.003	< 0.001	Depth	-0.039	0.003	< 0.001				
				Year: Reserve	-0.358	0.037	< 0.001				
				Interaction							
				'Shikmona'- Number of large individuals							
					Interaction model						
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value				
Year	0.528	0.042	< 0.001	Year	0.478	0.050	< 0.001				
MPA	-0.339	0.080	< 0.001	MPA	-0.757	0.247	0.002				
Season	-0.538	0.080	< 0.001	Season	-0.531	0.080	< 0.001				
Depth	0.036	0.008	< 0.001	Depth	0.037	0.009	< 0.001				
				Year: Reserve	0.151	0.083	0.071				
				Interaction							
				'Habonim'- Number of large individuals							
					Interaction model						
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value				
Year	-0.310	0.024	< 0.001	Year	-0.096	0.034	0.005				
MPA	0.292	0.043	< 0.001	MPA	1.084	0.102	< 0.001				
Season	-1.420	0.053	< 0.001	Season	-1.450	0.054	< 0.001				
				Year: Reserve	-0.414	0.048	< 0.001				
				Interaction							
				'Gdor'- Number of large individuals							
					Interaction model						
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value				
Year	-0.019	0.022	0.381	Year	-0.042	0.027	0.122				
\emph{MPA}	-0.318	0.038	< 0.001	MPA	-0.443	0.096	< 0.001				
Season	-1.747	0.050	< 0.001	Season	-1.748	0.050	< 0.001				
				Year: Reserve	0.061	0.043	0.156				
				Interaction							

Table A7. GLM results for biomass of exotic species, shown for each MPAs. We examined how exotic species biomass (kg/300m2) changed with protection and season. Depth was also included for the deeper MPAs Achziv and Shikmona. We also tested the interaction between year (time) and MPA in a separate model to identify whether the effect of protection changed over time.

	'Achziv'-Exotic biomass										
				Interaction model							
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value				
Year	-0.169	0.192	0.380	Year	-0.118	0.273	0.667				
MPA	-0.832	0.408	0.044	MPA	-0.594	0.992	0.550				
Depth	-0.025	0.033	0.442	Depth	-0.025	0.033	0.444				
Season	-1.599	0.437	${}< 0.001$	Season	-1.597	0.439	${}< 0.001$				
				Year: Reserve	-0.095	0.362	0.793				
				<i>Interaction</i>							
				'Shikmona'-Exotic biomass							
				Interaction model							
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value				
Year	0.719	0.272	0.010	Year	0.517	0.359	0.155				
MPA	-1.051	0.568	0.069	MPA	-2.110	1.349	0.123				
Depth	0.074	0.062	0.235	Depth	0.073	0.062	0.247				
Season	-2.214	0.575	${}_{0.001}$	Season	-2.171	0.578	${}< 0.001$				
				Year: Reserve	0.470	0.542	0.390				
				Interaction							
				'Habonim'- Exotic biomass							
				Interaction model							
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value				
Year	0.609	0.330	0.073	Year	0.994	0.434	0.028				
MPA	0.275	0.709	0.700	MPA	2.046	1.492	0.179				
Season	-4.425	0.785	${}_{0.001}$	Season	-4.491	0.778	${}_{0.001}$				
				Year: Reserve	-0.802	0.596	0.187				
				Interaction							
				'Gdor' -Exotic biomass							
				Interaction model							
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value				
Year	0.047	0.322	0.885	Year	-0.073	0.408	0.858				
MPA	-1.129	0.588	0.061	MPA	-1.753	1.416	0.222				
Season	-3.256	0.606	${}_{0.001}$	Season	-3.264	0.611	${}< 0.001$				
				Year: Reserve	0.271	0.558	0.630				
				Interaction							

Table A8. GLM results for groupers abundance, shown for each MPAs. We tested how the number of groupers changed with year, protection, and season. Depth was also included for the deeper MPAs Achziv and Shikmona. We also tested the interaction between year (time) and MPA in a separate model to identify whether the effect of protection changed over time.

'Achziv' – Grouper's abundance										
		Interaction model								
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	$P-value$	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P -value			
Year	0.327	0.050	${}_{0.001}$	Year	-1.793	0.319	${}_{0.001}$			
MPA	-0.909	0.099	${}_{0.001}$	MPA	0.207	0.057	${}_{0.001}$			
Depth	-0.024	0.007	0.001	Depth	-0.024	0.007	0.001			
Season	-0.396	0.100	${}_{0.001}$	Season	-0.419	0.100	${}_{0.001}$			
				Year: Reserve	0.323	0.101	0.001			

Interaction

'Shikmona'– Grouper's abundance

'Gdor'– Grouper's abundance

Table A9. GLM results for the total number of mature groupers (per 300 m²), shown for each MPA. We tested how the number of mature individuals changed with season, year, protection, depth. Notice that the number of mature individuals was too small for analyses in Habonim and Gdor (1 and 7 mature individuals only, respectively).

	'Achziv' - Grouper's maturity									
				Interaction model						
Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	$P-value$	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	$P-value$			
Year	0.148	0.099	0.140	Year	0.184	0.108	0.093			
MPA	-0.953	0.409	0.022	MPA	352.856	422.027	0.406			
Depth	0.058	0.028	0.040	Depth	0.057	0.028	0.044			
Season	-0.616	0.381	0.109	Season	-0.590	0.380	0.124			
				Year: Reserve	-0.175	0.209	0.404			
				<i>Interaction</i>						

Table A10. GLM results for number of groupers, shown for each MPAs. Models include only sites sampled within the MPA and at distance of >300m from MPA boarder. We tested how the number of groupers changed with year, protection, and season. Depth was also included for the deeper MPAs Achziv and Shikmona. We also tested the interaction between year (time) and MPA in a separate model to identify whether the effect of protection changed over time.

Achziv' - Grouper's abundance (transects > 300 m from MPA border)										
				Interaction model						
Variable	Estimate	<i>Std. Error</i>	P-value	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	P-value			
Year	0.327	0.054	${}_{0.001}$	Year	0.209	0.057	${}_{0.001}$			
MPA	-1.010	0.126	${}_{0.001}$	MPA	-3.979	0.694	${}_{0.001}$			
Depth	-0.018	0.008	0.026	Depth	-0.018	0.008	0.019			
Season	-0.444	0.105	${}_{0.001}$	Season	-0.359	0.105	0.001			
				Year: Reserve	1.131	0.244	${}_{0.001}$			

Interaction

Shikmona'- Grouper's abundance (transects >300 m from MPA border)

Interaction

Interaction

Table A11. GLM results for the number of large individuals, shown for each MPAs. Models include only sites sampled within the MPA and at distance of >300m from MPA boarder. We tested how the number of large individuals changed with season, year and protection. Depth was also included for the deeper MPAs Achziv and Shikmona. We also tested the interaction between year (time) and MPA in a separate model to identify whether the effect of protection changed over time.

Interaction

Shikmona'-Number of large individuals (transects >300 m from MPA border)

Habonim'-Number of large individuals (transects >300 m from MPA border)

Interaction

Table A12. GLM results for total and commercial biomass, shown for each MPAs. Models include only sites sampled within the MPA and at distance of >300m from MPA boarder. We tested how log (biomass, kg/300m²) changed with season, year, protection (fixed effects). Depth was also included for the deeper MPAs Achziv and Shikmona. We also tested the interaction between year (time) and MPA in a separate model to identify whether the effect of protection changed over time. These results did not change our main conclusions.

Fig. A1: **The temporal difference in commercial biomass density (kg/300m²) per site for each MPA.** Log (biomass) was tested against season, year, and protection. Protection (in/out) was only significant for Achziv (a; p<0.001). No interaction was found between time and protection. Colors represent sampling within (light blue) or outside of MPAs (orange). Notice the different Y axis scale for each MPA. Box plots display the 25% and 75% quartiles, and lines within the boxes represents the median (model results in appendix Table 3). Dots represent points 1.5 times outside the interquartile range.

Fig. A2: **The biomass per site of exotic species within reserves and their adjacent fishing grounds.** We examined how exotic species biomass (kg/300m²) changed with protection, depth, and season. Exotic biomass was significantly higher within MPAs for Achziv (A; p< 0.01). Colors represent sampling within or outside of MPAs. Box plots display the 25% and 75% quartiles, and lines within boxes represents the median. Dots represent points 1.5 times outside the interquartile range. Note the different Y axis scale for each MPA.