



Mediterranean Marine Science

Vol 11, No 2 (2010)



Historical and contemporary records of the angular rough shark Oxynotus centrina (Chondrichthyes; Oxynotidae) in Turkish waters

H. KABASAKAL

doi: 10.12681/mms.84

To cite this article:

KABASAKAL, H. (2010). Historical and contemporary records of the angular rough shark Oxynotus centrina (Chondrichthyes; Oxynotidae) in Turkish waters. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, *11*(2), 361–368. https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.84

Historical and contemporary records of the angular rough shark *Oxynotus centrina* (Chondrichthyes; Oxynotidae) in Turkish waters

H. KABASAKAL

Ichthyological Research Society, Tantavi mahallesi, Mente§oğlu caddesi, No: 30, D:4, Ümraniye, TR-34764 İstanbul, Turkey

Corresponding author: hakankabasakal@superposta.com

Received: 16 December 2009; Accepted: 20 July 2010; Published on line: 1 October 2010

Abstract

During the last 58 years only 12 angular rough sharks have been recorded in Turkish waters. Rare captures of the species in the area indicate a need for immediate action to be taken for the conservation of *O. centrina*. To protect the habitat of *O. centrina*, strict regulations should be implemented regarding diving in the localities where angular rough sharks occur regularly. Protecting the habitat of the angular rough shark is an urgent need before subjecting *O. centrina* to 100% protection in the seas of Turkey.

Keywords: Rough shark; Oxynotidae; Protection; Mediterranean.

Introduction

The angular rough shark, *Oxynotus centrina* (Linnaeus, 1758), is an uncommon, little-known shark, reported in the entire Mediterranean Sea (SERENA, 2005) and eastern Atlantic from south of the British Isles to Senegal, and farther south off South Africa (COMPAGNO, 1984). *O. centrina* is a sluggish shark found over the continental shelf and upper slope at depth ranges generally between 60 and 660 m (COMPAGNO, 1984); however, a recent DESEAS survey revealed that lower limit of the depth range of the angular rough shark extends to 800 m in the western Ionian Sea (SION *et al.*, 2004), and the upper limit may cover shallower areas around 30 m, as observed in the present study.

In the Mediterranean Sea occurrence of *O. centrina*, a rare bycatch in deep-sea trawling, is well-documented (SERENA, 2005). The presence of the angular rough shark in Turkish waters was reported in several studies (BAŞUSTA *et al.*, 1998; BAYHAN *et al.*, 2006; ERAZİ, 1942; ERYILMAZ, 2003; KABASAKAL, 2003; KABASAKAL & KABASAKAL, 2004; ÖZİÇ & YILMAZ, 2006).

Some traits of the reproductive biology of *O. centrina* were given by CAPAPÉ *et al.* (1999) for specimens from both Langue-

docian and Tunisian coasts, while a description of a female bearing developing embryos was reported by MEGALOFONOU & DAMALAS (2004) from the Aegean Sea. DRAGIČEVIĆ et al. (2009) made observations on the reproductive tract of a mature female accidentally caught in the eastern Adriatic Sea. Additionally, CAPAPÉ et al. (2001) reported biological observations carried out on O. centrina from the coast of Senegal. Some aspects of the diet of O. centrina were given for specimens off Tunisia (CAPAPÉ, 1975), from Catalonia's continental slope waters (BARRULL & MATE, 2001) and off the Languedocian coast (CAPAPÉ, 2008).

The aim of this paper is to present the historical and contemporary records of *O*. *centrina* from Turkish waters. The status of the angular rough shark in Turkish waters with respect to the conservation of the species is discussed in the light of current data.

Material and Methods

The present study is part of the KANIT project, which was initiated in 2000 by the Ichthyological Research Society (I.R.S.), in order to update the status of sharks in Turkish waters. Historical and contemporary records of O. centrina in Turkish waters were obtained from the following data: (a) ichthyological literature; (b) popular media such as daily newspapers or fishing and/or diving magazines; (c) fishmonger surveys; (d) specimens stored in museums; and (e) underwater photographs with information on locality of sight, date, etc. Total length (TOT) of specimens was extracted from the literature, measured on board (specimen Nos. 2, 3 & 4; Tab.1) or in water (specimen Nos. 11 & 12; Tab. 1). TOT is the distance from the tip of the snout to a perpendicular intersecting the upper caudal lobe (COMPAGNO, 1984). Because of the inconvenient conditions of in water measurement, divers were not able to measure the total length of specimen Nos. 11 & 12 accurrately. Therefore, their TOTs are given as approximate values. Raw data and images are kept in the archives of I.R.S. To watch the underwater video footage of specimen No. 12, please visit the following link: http://derintakip.blogspot.com/2009/11/angular-rough-shark-in-sea-of-marmara.html

Results

Historical and contemporary records of *O. centrina* from Turkish waters and fishing data are presented in Table 1. Fishing localities are shown on the map in Figure 1.

Discussion and Conclusions

The earliest record of O. centrina (Fig. 2) from Turkish waters was made by ERAZİ (1942). In one of the pioneering studies on the fish fauna of the Sea of Marmara and the Bosphorus Strait, the author mentioned the angular rough shark as a Mediterraneanoriginated fish occurring in the investigated area. Although ERAZİ (1942) added O. centrina to the fish fauna of the Bosphorus Strait, currently the angular rough shark does not occur in the waters of the Bosphorus (KABASAKAL, 2003). Following the earliest record of O. centrina in Turkish waters by ERAZI (1942), the angular rough shark has been accounted in several ichthyological studies of the seas of Turkey (BAS USTA, et al., 1998; ERYILMAZ, 2003; KABASAKAL, 2003; KABASAKAL & KABASAKAL, 2004; BAYHAN et al., 2006; ÖZİ Ç & YILMAZ, 2006). The rarity of O. centrina in the Turkish waters has become obvious after the most recent thorough investigations.

T -	1.1	-	1
18	n	e	
	~ ~	-	_

Present specimen and	I the recent reco	ords of O. cen	<i>itrina</i> from Tu	urkish waters.	The numbers
seen under the "No"	column are the	e same as the	e numbers see	en on the map i	in Figure 1.

No	Date	Locality	TOT (cm)	Sex	Depth (m)	Gear	Reference
1	1942	Sea of Marmara	?	?	?	?	ERAZİ (1942)
2	November 1994	Yassı ada	40	Ç	90	Bottom trawl	KABASAKAL (2003)
3	February 1996	Ekinlik island	35	ð	60	Gill net	KABASAKAL (2003)
4	February 1996	Ekinlik island	41	ð	60	Gill net	KABASAKAL (2003)
5	November 1998	NE Aegean Sea	65	Q	?	Bottom trawl	KABASAKAL &
							KABASAKAL (2004)
6	?	İ skenderun Bay	53.2	?	70-80	Bottom trawl	BAŞUSTA et al. (2000)
7	September 2001	Bozcaada	22.5	?	60	Bottom trawl	ERYILMAZ (2003)
8	?	Gökova Bay	?	?	80	Bottom trawl	ÖZİ Ç & YILMAZ
							(2006)
9	December 2000	Marmara Adası	36	?	42	Beam trawl	BAYHAN et al. (2006)
10	June 2001	Bandırma Bay	52	?	86	Beam trawl	BAYHAN et al. (2006)
11	2000	Yassıada	ca. 50	Q	ca. 35	Visual sampling	KABASAKAL
						(Fig. 2)	(unpublished data)
12	September 2009	Balıkçı Island	ca. 60	Q	35	Visual sampling	Present specimen



Fig. 1: Fishing localities of the angular rough sharks, *Oxynotus centrina*, along the Turkish coast. Numbers on the map are the same as the numbers seen in 'No' column in Table 1.



Fig. 2: Angular rough shark, *Oxynotus centrina*, observed in coastal waters off Yass1 ada in 2000 (specimen No. 11; Tab. 1). (Photo: Tahsin Ceylan).

O. centrina is considered to be a deepwater shark (COMPAGNO, 1984; SION et al., 2004); however, the present study has shown that it can ascend to shallower depths of around 30 m. Nocturnal excursions to shallow water by the angular rough shark increase the chance of incidental captures by coastal fishing gear, coastal gill-netting in particular. Furthermore, the shallower the angular rough shark occurs, the higher the chance of encountering divers. Personal communication with local scuba divers. who regularly visit the Prince Islands (see the locality of specimen Nos. 1, 2, 11 & 12; Fig. 1), a favourable location for angular rough shark watching, revealed that in several instances, divers retained the shark and brought it to shallower depths to display it to other divers.

In the literature, the maximum total length of *O. centrina* is reported as 150 cm (SERENA, 2005); however, a female of 80

cm total length was recorded from the Adriatic Sea, and is considered to be the largest angular rough shark recorded to date in the Mediterranean (DRAGIČEVIĆ et al., 2009). Total length of the largest specimen recorded during the present study was 65 cm (Table 1; specimen no 5). According to TSIKLIRAS et al. (2005), O. centrina is one of the slowest growing species in reaching the first maturity. Ratio of size at first maturity to maximum reported size of O. centrina is 0.94, which means the angular rough shark is a slow growing and late maturing shark (TSIKLIRAS et al., 2005). Deep-sea fish resources are generally considered to have high longevity, slow growth, late maturity and low fecundity. Thus, they have been considered significantly vulnerable to exploitation (CAVANAGH & KYNE, 2003; MORATO et al., 2006). Based on the unproductive life-history characteristics of the angular rough shark and documented declines in the Mediterranean as well as inferred declines in the northeast Atlantic, and continuing fishing pressure through much of its range, O. centrina is assessed as 'vulnerable' globally and listed in the IUCN Red List (GIBSON et al., 2008). According to SERENA (2005), O. centrina is a 'threatened species' in the Mediterranean Sea. FRICKE et al. (2007) considered O. centrina as 'vulnerable', as well as sensitive to human activities in the seas of Turkey; however, the species has low priority for conservation action. In Turkey, the angular rough shark has no economic value and is generally discarded by fishermen at sea, which explains why the species is not observed in fishery landings and in fish markets. During the last 58 years, only 12 angular rough sharks have been recorded in Turkish waters (Table 1).

At present, modern angling gear allowing fishermen to deploy fishing tackle down to bathyal waters, is a new risk to deepdwelling sharks, especially in the Sea of Marmara. Although, O. centrina inhabits deep sea bottoms, use of modern fishing gear makes it accessible and rather vulnerable (DRAGIČEVIĆ et al., 2009). Recently, recreational anglers captured an adolescent sixgill shark (Hexanchus griseus), which had a total length of ca. 200 cm in the Cinarcik trench (north-eastern Sea of Marmara), at a depth of nearly 1000 m by means of a deepwater shark tackle. Based on Rio Decleration Principle 15, in order to protect the environment, the precautionary approach shall be widely applied by states according to their capabilities (UN PUBLICATIONS, 1992). Recent evidence showed that northern Sea of Marmara trenches are inhabited by several other sharks, such as H. griseus, Echinorhinus brucus (bramble shark) and Dalatias licha (kitefin shark) (KABASAKAL, 2003). Therefore, promoting action to protect the habitat of O. centrina will be beneficial for the conservation of sympatric deep-water sharks occurring in the bathyal grounds of the Sea of Marmara, as well. Since no data are available to estimate the life history characteristics of O. centrina in Turkish waters, this precautionary approach should be more or less suggested for all shark species when lacking basic knowledge of their biology. In order to protect the habitat of O. centrina, as well as to avoid disturbing the specimens encountered during recreational diving activities, strict regulations should be implemented regarding diving in the localities where the angular rough sharks occur regularly. Habitat protection seems an urgent need for the conservation of the angular rough shark in the seas of Turkey.

Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to following persons for their contribution to the present study: Mr. Taner Aksoy, an İ stanbul-based technical diver; Mr. Burak Demircan, an İ stanbul-based sports diver; Mrs. Meltem Taşdelen, captain of the diving boat Argos & Berhan, İ stanbul harbour; Mr. Tahsin Ceylan, for the photograph of specimen No. 11. Special thanks go to Mrs. Özgür Kabasakal for her assistance on board the diving boat. Additionally, the author wishes to thank three anonymous referees for helpful and useful comments that helped to improve the manuscript.

References

BARRULL, J. & MATE, I., 2001. First confirmed record of angular rough shark *Oxynotus centrina* (Linnaeus, 1758) predation on shark egg case of small-spotted cat shark *Scyliorhinus canicula* (Linnaeus, 1758) in Mediterranean waters. *Annales, series Historia Naturalis*, 11 (1): 23-28.

- BAŞUSTA, N., ERDEM, Ü. & ÇEVİK, C., 1998. An investigation on chondrichthyes in İ skenderun Bay. Celal Bayar Üniversitesi Fen-Edebiyat Fakültesi Dergisi, Fen Bilimleri Serisi (Biyoloji), 1: 63-69.
- BAYHAN, Y.K., ÇİÇEK, E., ÜNLÜER, T. & AKKAYA, M., 2006. Catch and by-catch composition of the shrimp fishery by beam trawl in the southeastern Marmara Sea. Ege University Journal of Fisheries & Aquatic Sciences, 23 (3-4): 277-283.
- CAPAPÉ, C., 1975. Observations sur le régime alimentaire de 29 sélaciens pleurotrêmes des côtes tunisiennes. *Archives de l'Institut Pasteur de Tunis*, 4: 395-414.
- CAPAPÉ, C., 2008. Diet of the angular rough shark *Oxynotus centrina* (Chondrichthyes: Oxynotidae) off the Languedocian coast (southern France, northwestern Mediterranean). *Vie et Milieu*, 58 (1): 57-61.
- CAPAPÉ, C., SECK, A.A. & QUIGNARD, J.P., 1999. Aspects of the reproductive biology of the angular rough shark, *Oxynotus centrina* (Oxynotidae). *Cybium*, 23 (3): 259-271.
- CAPAPÉ, C., GUEYE-NDIAYE, A., DIATTA, Y., DIOP, M. & SECK, A.A., 2001. Observations on six elasmobranch species recorded from off the coast of Senegal (eastern tropical Atlantic). Acta Adriatica, 42 (1): 89-102.
- CAVANAGH, R. & KYNE, P.M., 2005. The conservation status of deep-sea chondrichthyan fishes. p. 366-380. In: *Deep Sea 2003: conference on the governance and management of deep-sea fisheries. Part 2*, R. Shottoni (Ed), FAO Fisheries Proceedings. No. 3/2, FAO, Rome.

- COMPAGNO, L.J.V. 1984., FAO species catalogue. Sharks of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of shark species known to date. FAO Fisheries Synopsis, 125 (4): 665 pp.
- DRAGIČEVIĆ, B., DULČIÇ, J. & CAPAPÉ, C., 2009. Capture of a rare shark, *Oxynotus centrina* (Chondrichthyes: Oxynotidae) in the eastern Adriatic Sea. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 25 (Suppl. 1): 56-59.
- ERAZİ, R.A.R., 1942. Marine fishes found in the Sea of Marmara and in the Bosphorus. İstanbul Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi Mecmuası, Seri B, 7 (1-2): 103-115.
- ERYILMAZ, L., 2003. A study on the fishes of Bozcaada island (north Aegean Sea). *Turkish Journal of Marine Sciences*, 9 (2): 121-137.
- FRICKE, R., Bİ LECENOĞLU, M. & SARI, H.M., 2007. Annotated checklist of fish and lamprey species (Gnathostomata and Petromyzontomorphi) of Turkey, including a Red List of threatened and declining species. *Stuttgarter Beitraege zur Naturkunde*. Serie A. Biologie, 706: 1-169.
- GIBSON, C., VALENTI, S.V., FORDHAM, S.V. & FOWLER, S.L., 2008. The Conservation of the Northeast Atlantic Chondrichthyans: Report of the IUCN Shark Specialist Group Northeast Atlantic Red List Workshop. NatureBureau, Newbury, 76 pp.
- KABASAKAL, H., 2003. Historical and contemporary records of sharks from the Sea of Marmara, Turkey. *Annales, series Historia Naturalis,* 13 (1): 1-12.
- KABASAKAL, H. & KABASAKAL, E., 2004. Sharks captured by commercial fishing vessels off the coast of Turkey in the northern Aegean Sea. *Annales, series Historia Naturalis,* 14 (2): 171-180.

- MEGALOFONOU, P. & DAMALAS, D., 2004. Morphological and biological characteristics of a gravid angular rough shark (*Oxynotus centrina*) and its embryos from the eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Cybium*, 28 (2): 105-110.
- ÖZİ Ç, F. & YILMAZ, F. 2006. An investigation of demersal fishes of Gökova Bay in Aegean Sea. *Ekoloji*, 15 (58): 16-20.
- SERENA, F. 2005. Field identification guide to the sharks and rays of the Mediterranean and Black Sea. FAO Species Identification Guide for Fishery Purposes. FAO, Rome, 97 pp.
- SION, L., BOZZANO, A., D'ONGHIA, G., CAPEZZUTO, F. & PANZA, M.

2004. Chondrichthyes species in deep waters of the Mediterranean Sea. *Scientia Marina*, 68 (Suppl. 3): 153-162.

TSIKLIRAS, A.C., ANTONOPOULOU, E. & STERGIOU, K. I. 2005. Reproduction of Mediterranean fishes. FishBase Symposium 3: Fish and More, 37-40. World Wide Web electronic publication, accessible at http://filaman.unikiel.de/ifmgeomar/rfroese/fish%20&%20 more.pdf

(Accessed 11/06/2009).

UN PUBLICATIONS, 1992. The Global Partnership for Environment and Development – A Guide to Agenda 21 UNCED, Geneva, April 1991, 116 pp.