

## Mediterranean Marine Science

Vol 15, No 1 (2014)

Vol. 15, No 1 (unpublished)



### Length-weight relationships of marine species caught by five gears from the Black Sea

N. KASAPOGLU, E. DUZGUNES

doi: [10.12681/mms.463](https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.463)

#### To cite this article:

KASAPOGLU, N., & DUZGUNES, E. (2013). Length-weight relationships of marine species caught by five gears from the Black Sea. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 15(1), 95–100. <https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.463>

## Length-weight relationships of marine species caught by five gears from the Black Sea

N. KASAPOGLU<sup>1</sup> and E. DUZGUNES<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Central Fisheries Research Institute, Vali Adil Yazar Cad. No:14 Kasustu, 61250 Trabzon, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Karadeniz Technical University Faculty of Marine Science, 61530, Trabzon, Turkey

Corresponding author: [nazliktu@gmail.com](mailto:nazliktu@gmail.com)

Handling Editor: Argyro Zenetos

Received: 7 May 2013; Accepted: 3 July 2013; Published on line: 30 September 2013

### Abstract

The length-weight (L-W) relationships of 19 fish species, 4 molluscs and 2 crustacean species, caught during January 2009 and November 2011, are presented. The mean value of 'parameter b' was  $2.881 \pm 0.399$ , ranging from 1.758 to 3.616. The L-W relationships for all studied species were significant ( $P < 0.01$ ), whereas they were isometric only for three species (i.e. *Scorpaena porcus*, *Pomatomus saltatrix* and *Neogobius melanostomus*).

**Keywords:** Length-weight relationship, Black Sea.

### Introduction

Variability in size has important implications for diverse aspects of fisheries science and population dynamics (Erzini, 1994). To estimate the weight of fish from length, the length-weight relationship (LWR) ( $W = aL^b$ ) is used (Beyer, 1991; Pauly, 1993; Petrakis & Stergiou, 1995; Froese, 1998; Frota *et al.*, 2004), which is also a useful tool for evaluating the life history of fish and morphological comparisons between different fish species and/or habitats, time periods and/or regions (Gonçalves *et al.*, 1997).

Several studies have been carried out on LWR for fish species in the Black Sea, the Sea of Marmara, the Aegean Sea and the Mediterranean Sea (Taskavak & Bilecenoglu, 2001; Filiz & Bilge, 2004; Karakulak *et al.*, 2006; Ozaydin & Taskavak, 2006; Akyol *et al.*, 2007; Demirhan & Can, 2007; Ismen *et al.*, 2007; Ozaydin *et al.*, 2007; Ilkyaz *et al.*, 2008; Ceyhan *et al.*, 2009; Erguden *et al.*, 2009; Keskin & Gaygusuz, 2010). The aim of this study was to provide data on the relationship between the length and weight of species caught during a 3-year sampling period. Therefore, in this work, the LWR equation of 25 species along the Black Sea coasts of Turkey was evaluated based on the first comprehensive survey.

### Material and Methods

Surveys were conducted mainly along the Eastern and Central Black Sea coast of Turkey. Sampling coordinates were between  $41^{\circ}23'15''$  K –  $41^{\circ}29'32''$  D and  $41^{\circ}10'39''$  K –  $29^{\circ}34'41''$  D. The area between Bospho-

rus (Sile) and Sakarya River (Sakarya) were also included into the sampling locations for baby clam as shown in Figure 1. The samples were caught using bottom trawl (18 and 22 mm mesh sizes in cod-end), purse seine (mesh size 12 and 13 mm), gillnet nets (stretched mesh size 16, 17 and 18 mm) and hydraulic dredges (350 mm mouth opening, 300 mm long, 8.5 mm bar range). The samplings were carried along the Black Sea coast of Turkey from January 2009 to November 2011. The majority of the measurements were performed on fresh samples but some of them were carried to the laboratory frozen ( $-18^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) or on ice, in Styrofoam boxes when necessary.

All species were identified according to the Fish-Base®, measured (total length, TL) and weighed (total weight, W) to the nearest 0.1 cm and 0.01 g, respectively. The relationship between length and weight was calculated using the  $W = aL^b$  equation, in which W is total weight (g), L is TL (cm) and a and b are the equation parameters calculated by the least squares method using the logarithmic form of the equation. Descriptive statistics were derived using Excel (Microsoft Excel® 2007) statistical functions. Student *t* tests and ANCOVA were performed using the Statistica® 8.0 software package.

### Results

L-W relationships were estimated for 19 fish, 2 Gastropoda, 2 Bivalvia and 2 Crustacea species. The majority of the samples were represented by Mullidae (26%) and Gadidae (22%). Minimum and maximum lengths, weights, LWR parameters and standard errors of 'b' values were given in Table 1.

Table 1. The results of length-weight relationships of fish species caught from Black Sea, Turkey.

Family	Species	n	Length		Weight		Relationship parameters				Range of b	
			Mean(±SD)	Range(cm)	Mean(±SD)	Range(g)	a	b	SE(b)	R <sup>2</sup>		
Carangidae	<i>Trachurus mediterraneus</i>	624	12.30±2.889	6.2-19.5	17.43±11.997	1.71-64.30	0.0050	3.138	0.083	0.972	2.760-3.374	
	<i>Alosa fallax</i>	68	18.23±3.719	12.4-29.5	54.82±16.123	12.10-232.07	0.0110	2.875	0.501	0.913	2.517-3.680	
Clupeidae	<i>Sprattus sprattus</i>	423	7.85±1.044	5.6-10.7	2.82±1.262	1.08-8.14	0.0064	2.921	0.027	0.916	2.510-3.528	
	<i>Engraulis encrasicolus</i>	1588	10.58±1.751	5.9-14.6	8.15±3.099	1.06-18.10	0.0124	2.711	0.014	0.944	2.300-3.822	
Gadidae	<i>Merlangius merlangus</i>	2292	12.66±2.236	5.9-22.2	17.52±9.501	1.44-73.68	0.0054	3.146	0.037	0.919	2.926-3.258	
	<i>Gobius niger</i>	112	10.11±1.338	6.8-15.8	15.26±5.596	4.09-48.85	0.0180	2.856	0.171	0.953	2.841-3.394	
Gobiidae	<i>Neogobius melanostomus</i>	172	11.52±3.111	6.5-32.0	27.78±35.953	2.24-313.52	0.0114	3.088	0.327	0.966	2.431-3.280	
	<i>Gaidropsarus mediterraneus</i>	21	18.04±3.269	10.8-27.1	42.54±37.890	5.62-181.19	0.0012	3.616	1.345	0.963	3.010-3.179	
Centracanthidae	<i>Spicara smaris</i>	103	12.86±2.603	8.0-20.4	26.70±15.311	8.11-92.23	0.0223	2.722	0.183	0.938	2.594-3.572	
	<i>Mullus barbatus</i>	2693	10.50±2.181	5.3-19.0	13.08±7.666	1.20-73.40	0.0074	3.123	0.026	0.962	2.508-3.380	
Mullidae	<i>Mullus surmuletus</i>	80	10.95±2.018	7.1-14.0	16.69±4.896	3.21-33.83	0.0042	3.400	0.260	0.957	2.669-3.512	
	<i>Platichthys flesus</i>	16	16.69±5.329	15.7-32.7	127.00±56.362	35.59-390.02	0.0052	3.175	1.278	0.975	2.778-3.678	
Pomatomidae	<i>Pomatomus saltatrix</i>	25	14.44±2.321	12.5-20.2	29.79±14.929	16.00-75.19	0.0092	3.005	0.415	0.865	2.509-3.336	
	<i>Rajia clavata</i>	63	26.43±19.25	13.2-90.0	472.22±993.231	6.42-4364.00	0.0010	3.288	1.733	0.971	2.867-3.700	
Scombridae	<i>Sarda sarda</i>	36	33.78±2.781	28.1-37.5	420.07±33.509	233.72-517.82	0.0502	2.562	0.762	0.891	3.100-3.180	
	<i>Scorpaena porcus</i>	42	13.02±4.377	5.4-26.0	59.90±4.977	3.70-403.71	0.0210	2.982	1.351	0.973	2.590-3.343	
Soleidae	<i>Solea nasuta</i>	91	9.559±5.249	3.4-22.6	14.05±5.679	0.25-55.86	0.0042	3.265	0.274	0.987	2.755-3.225	
	<i>Hippocampus guttulatus</i>	291	8.322±0.686	6.5-10.3	2.16±0.539	1.01-4.61	0.0044	2.898	0.027	0.819	2.470-3.276	
Uranoscopidae	<i>Uranoscopus scaber</i>	155	12.41±4.694	5.2-23.4	47.61±51.595	2.79-243.40	0.0252	2.854	0.371	0.979	2.829-3.228	
<b>Rare Species</b>												
Atherinidae	<i>Atherina boyeri</i>	1	9.1		5.15							
Blennidae	<i>Parablennius tentacularis</i>	2	12.95±2.192	11.40-14.50	28.65±18.12	15.84-41.46						
	<i>Callionymus lyra</i>	1	12.3		13.03							
Clupeidae	<i>Sardina pilchardus</i>	1	16.2		33.93							
	<i>Symphodus ocellatus</i>	2	9.70±0.989	9.00-10.40	15.91±9.447	9.23-22.59						
Triglidae	<i>Trigla lyra</i>	1	13.4		24.48							
	<i>Acipenser stellatus</i>	3	28.53±1.419	27.0-29.8	43.15±2.193	41.25-45.55						
Belontiidae	<i>Belone belone</i>	4	24.23±5.962	15.5-28.8	14.66±7.879	4.09-23.12						
	<i>Mesogobius batrachocephalus</i>	3	25.37±6.600	18.8-32.0	189.12±113.302	91.84-161.99						
Ophidiidae	<i>Ophidion barbatum</i>	7	19.14±1.416	16.8-20.6	49.68±14.239	27.39-60.46						
	<i>Scophthalmus maeticus</i>	3	15.90±9.412	8.9-26.6	105.13±49.683	9.00-277.59						
Sparidae	<i>Diplodus annularis</i>	6	6.97±0.653	6.4-7.8	5.22±2.063	2.79-8.21						
	<i>Syngnathus acus</i>	4	28.4±3.747	25.7-33.9	6.99±5.175	2.19-13.97						
Trachinidae	<i>Trachinus draco</i>	6	18.85±2.758	16.0-23.5	46.57±25.522	25.65-95.28						
	<b>Mollusks and Crustacean Species</b>											
Cardiidae	<i>Cardium edule</i>	313	3.28±0.905	1.1-5.4	18.87±11.504	0.52-54.87	1.1570	2.260	0.101	0.885		
	<i>Gourmya vulgata</i>	11	2.41±0.321	1.8-2.8	1.73±0.484	0.80-2.40	0.2130	2.349	0.207	0.922		
Crangonidae	<i>Crangon crangon</i>	21	7.22±0.734	5.4-8.3	2.88±0.769	1.45-4.59	0.0102	2.806	0.941	0.919		
	<i>Rapana venosa</i>	506	3.73±1.028	2.0-9.8	11.59±11.207	1.68-111.33	0.2170	2.864	0.174	0.931		
Portunidae	<i>Liocarcinus vernalis</i>	56	3.61±2.763	1.4-12.9	16.90±6.441	1.89-117.70	1.2090	1.758	0.044	0.942		
	<i>Chamelea gallina</i>	628	1.76±0.522	0.7-3.0	1.95±1.415	0.2-4.82	0.4520	2.365	0.173	0.954		
Ostreidae	<i>Ostrea edulis</i>	1	1.50		2.07							



Fig. 1: Sampling locations in the Black Sea (HYD: hydraulic dredge, TRWL: trawl net, PS: Purse seine net, GN: gillnet).

Values of ‘b’ ranged between  $1.758 \pm 0.044$  (*Liocarcinus vernalis*) and  $3.616 \pm 1.345$  (*Gaidropsarus mediterraneus*). Most of the  $R^2$  values were greater than 0.81 (Table 1). L-W relations per fishing gear were estimated for the most abundant fish species (Table 2). Comparison of the fishing gear estimates of the b values for the most abundant fish captures showed significant differences (ANCOVA:  $p < 0.05$ ) (Table 2). According to table 2, the b values, with the exception of *Engraulis encrasicolus* in the bottom trawl, ranged between 2.2 and 3.8.

## Discussion

This study covers the recent information on the length-weight relationship of 25 species living in the Black Sea. For two of them (*Cardium edule* and *Gourmya vulgata*) these are the first data from the Turkish Black Sea area. ‘a’ and ‘b’ parameters for the rest of the species have been updated against ongoing environmental and ecological changes in the Black Sea. Table 3 shows comparisons of the LWR parameters of the species

Table 2. Length-weight relations per fishing gear for most abundant fish species caught in the Black Sea.

		n	TL (cm)		L-W relationships			$R^2$
			Min	Max	a	b	$SE_b$	
<i>Engraulis encrasicolus</i>	Purse Seine	1494	5.9	14.6	0.001	3	0.04	0.946
	Bottom Trawl	2	12	12.3	1.688	0.799	-	1
	Gillnet	92	9.4	15	0.013	2.679	0.11	0.949
<i>Merlangius merlangus</i>	Purse Seine	28	7.2	21.1	0.001	3.724	0.31	0.957
	Bottom Trawl	1325	5.9	22.2	0.005	3.162	0.05	0.923
	Gillnet	939	8.6	22.1	0.010	2.878	0.08	0.822
<i>Mullus barbatus</i>	Purse Seine	210	5.3	16.7	0.011	2.894	0.07	0.965
	Bottom Trawl	2192	5.7	19	0.007	3.111	0.03	0.962
	Gillnet	291	8.2	19	0.021	2.727	0.12	0.837
<i>Trachurus mediterraneus</i>	Purse Seine	476	6.2	19	0.006	3.104	0.07	0.978
	Bottom Trawl	9	13.2	17	0.0001	3.817	0.44	0.977
	Gillnet	139	9.7	19.5	0.006	3.145	0.10	0.951
<i>Sprattus sprattus</i>	Purse Seine	138	6.4	10.7	0.032	2.176	0.14	0.742
	Bottom Trawl	285	5.6	10.5	0.005	3.016	0.05	0.917
<i>Chamelea gallina</i>	Bottom Trawl	11	1.3	3	0.473	2.254	0.09	0.932
	Hydraulic dredge	617	0.8	2.8	0.422	2.324	0.07	0.944
<i>Rapana venosa</i>	Bottom Trawl	485	2	9.8	0.234	2.785	0.02	0.916
	Gillnet	21	3.1	5	0.460	2.618	0.13	0.885

**Table 3.** Length-weight relationship parameters of marine species estimated from the present study and other areas.

Species	Present study						Black Sea			Marmara Sea			Aegean Sea			Mediterranean Sea			Other Seas				
	N	a	b	n	a	b	n	a	b	Source	n	a	b	Source	n	a	b	Source	n	a	b	Source	
<i>Alosa fallax</i>	68	0.0050	3.14	475	0.0027	3.34	1				32	0.0102	2.93	14					80	0.0013	3.55	24	
<i>Cardium edule</i>	313	1.1570	2.26																				
<i>Chameleagallina</i>	628	0.4520	2.37	1332	0.0007	2.69	3	91	0.0004	2.97	10								695	0.0007	2.80	25	
<i>Crangon crangon</i>	21	0.0102	2.81																64	4.10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.65	26	
<i>Engraulis encrasicolus</i>	1588	0.01294	2.71	575	0.0174	2.60	4				630	0.0037	3.18	16	392	0.0156	2.66	20	156	0.008	2.86	28	
<i>Gaidropsarus mediterraneus</i>	21	0.0012	3.62				8	0.0068	3.01	11													
<i>Gobius niger</i>	112	0.0180	2.86	113	0.0113	3.00	5	286	0.0115	2.98	12	272	0.0047	3.39	16	225	0.0124	2.97	21	141	0.016	2.89	28
<i>Gourmyia vulgata</i>	11	0.2130	2.35																				
<i>Hippocampus guttulatus</i>	291	0.0044	2.89												31	0.0025	2.91	21					
<i>Liocarcinus vernalis</i>	56	1.2090	1.76	672	0.00034	2.92	6																
<i>Spicara smaris</i>	103	0.0223	2.72																				
<i>Merlangius merlangus</i>	2292	0.0054	3.15	904	0.0067	3.03	4	166	0.0047	3.15	12	23	0.0102	2.99	17				17	0.0002	2.31	26	
<i>Mullus barbatus</i>	2693	0.0074	3.12	432	0.0051	3.24	5	99	0.0049	3.33	12	2021	0.0076	3.13	16	451	0.0032	3.06	20	512	0.012	3.00	28
<i>Mullus surmuletus</i>	80	0.0042	3.40				17	0.0045	3.39	11		120	0.0069	3.21	15				122	0.011	3.03	28	
<i>Neogobius melanostomus</i>	172	0.0114	3.09	99	0.0047	3.39	5																
<i>Platichthys flesus</i>	16	0.0052	3.18	51	0.007	3.09	7												178	0.0087	3.09		
<i>Pomatomus saltatrix</i>	25	0.0092	3.01	143	0.0130	2.86	4	290	0.0325	2.53	12												
<i>Raja clavata</i>	63	0.0010	3.29	27	0.0019	3.24	5	24	0.0001	2.87	12	24	0.0335	2.89	18	77	0.0037	3.08	22	18	0.0024	3.20	27
<i>Rapana venosa</i>	506	0.2170	2.86		0.0004	2.77	8																
<i>Sarda sarda</i>	36	0.0502	2.56	411	0.001	3.84	9												5	0.0051	3.18	24	
<i>Scorpaena porcus</i>	42	0.0210	2.98	470	0.0124	3.19	5	15	0.0067	3.34	12	86	0.0159	3.07	19	320	0.0167	3.02	23	231	0.0236	2.89	29
<i>Solea lascaris</i>	91	0.0042	3.27																				
<i>Sprattus sprattus</i>	423	0.0064	2.92	5087	0.0079	2.87	4													0.0021	3.47	23	
<i>Trachurus mediterraneus</i>	624	0.0050	3.14	1432	0.005	3.17	9	45	0.0042	3.37	15	45	0.0042	3.37	15	373	0.0128	2.81	20	232	0.0138	2.76	26
<i>Uranoscopus scaber</i>	155	0.0252	2.85	69	0.0150	3.05	5	82	0.0109	3.15	12	157	0.0100	3.19	19	92	0.0103	3.15	20	45	0.017	3.03	27

1: Ozdamar, 1996; 2: Polat et al., 2009; 3: Dalgic & Karayuceel, 2007; 4: Kalayci et al., 2007; 5: Demirhan & Can, 2007; 6: Duzgunes et al., 1998; 7: Ak et al., 2009; 8: Duzgunes et al., 1992; 9: Yankova et al., 2011; 10: Tuncer & Erdemir, 2002; 11: Keskin & Gaygusuz, 2010; 12: Bok et al., 2011; 13: Ozen et al., 2009; 14: Karakulak et al., 2006; 15: Ceyhan et al., 2009; 16: Cicek et al., 2006; 17: Ismen et al., 2007; 18: Ilkyaz et al., 2008; 19: Ozaydin et al., 2007; 20: Sangun et al., 2007; 21: Verdiell - Cubedo et al., 2006; 22: Yeldan & Avsar, 2007; 23: Valle et al., 2003; 24: Coull et al., 1989; 25: Gaspar et al., 2001; 26: Robinson et al., 2010; 27: Merella et al., 1997; 28: Abdallah, 2002; 29: Moutopoulos & Stergiou, 2002.

covered by this study and of the values obtained from the literature (various sources) regarding the research studies carried out in seas surrounding Turkey and other seas in the world. According to the results of the study, the 'a' values ranged from 0.0010 to 1.2090 while the 'b' parameter varied between 1.758 and 3.616 (Table 3). The most abundant species in the samples were red mullet followed by whiting, anchovy, baby clam, rapa whelk, Mediterranean horse mackerel and sprat. Parameter 'b' for red mullet in this study was lower than the one obtained from the Sea of Marmara (Bok *et al.*, 2011) and the Aegean Sea (Cicek *et al.*, 2006) but slightly higher than from the Mediterranean Sea (Sangun *et al.*, 2007). The 'b' coefficient value obtained for whiting was found to be similar to the values of the same species studied for the Sea of Marmara (Bok *et al.*, 2011) and slightly higher than for the Aegean Sea (Ismen *et al.*, 2007). Regression coefficient 'b' for anchovy was found to be lower than the findings obtained in the Aegean Sea (Cicek *et al.*, 2006) but higher than in the other seas (Cicek *et al.*, 2006; Sangun *et al.*, 2007). There are very limited studies on the growth of baby clam in Turkey. The value of 'b' was found to be lower than in the other studies carried out in the Black Sea (Dalgic & Karayucel, 2007) and the Sea of Marmara (Tuncer & Erdemir, 2002). This may be attributed to the ecological differences because the Black Sea has lower salinity and overfished baby clam stocks due to intensive use of hydraulic dredges in the Black Sea. Rapa whelk's 'b' value is higher than in the previous study carried out in the Black Sea (Duzgunes *et al.*, 1992). Sprat is the only species with an underfished stock in the Turkish EEZ of the Black Sea, due to the fact that sprat fishing is performed by a limited amount of license allocations and at that time of the year no other fishing activity is performed. Therefore, the 'b' values estimated by this study were found to be higher than by the study carried out by Kalayci *et al.* (2007). Horse mackerel is the second commercially important pelagic fish species in the Black Sea. The regression value of 'b' found in this research is lower than for the Aegean Sea (Ceyhan *et al.*, 2009) but higher than in the value for the Mediterranean Sea (Sangun *et al.*, 2007) (Table 3).

The findings of this study are useful for comparing the results of other studies carried out at different times and places. Additionally, it is very important to provide recent input data for stock assessments and surplus production models.

### Acknowledgements

This article has been prepared using data obtained by a project supported by the KTU Research Fund (2008.117.001.1).

### References

- Abdallah, M., 2002. Length-weight relationship of fishes caught by trawl off Alexandria, Egypt. *Naga, The ICLARM Quarterly*, 25 (1), 1-3.
- Ak, O., Kutlu, S., Aydin, İ., 2009. Length-weight relationship for 16 fish species from the Eastern Black Sea, Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 9, 125-126.
- Akyol, O., Kinacigil, H. T., Sevik, R., 2007. Longline fishery and length-weight relationships for selected fish species in Gokova Bay (Aegean Sea, Turkey). *International Journal of Natural and Engineering Sciences*, 1, 1-4.
- Beyer, J.E., 1991. On length-weight relationships Part II: Computing mean weights from length statistics. *Fishbyte*, 9, 50-54.
- Bok, T.D., Gokturk, D., Kahraman, A.E., Alicli, T. Z., Acun, T. *et al.*, 2011. Length-weight relationships of 34 fish species from the Sea of Marmara, Turkey. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 10 (23), 3037-3042.
- Ceyhan, T., Akyol, O., Erdem, M., 2009. Length-weight relationships of fishes from Gokova Bay, Turkey (Aegean Sea). *Turkish Journal of Zoology*, 33, 69-72.
- Cicek, E., Avsar, D., Yeldan, H., Ozutok, M., 2006. Length-weight relationships for 31 teleost fishes caught by bottom trawl net in the Babadillimani Bight (Northeastern Mediterranean). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 22, 290-292.
- Coull, K.A., Jermyn, A.S., Newton, A.W., Henderson, G.I., Hall, W.B., 1989. *Length-weight relationships for 88 species of fish encountered in the North East Atlantic*. Department of Agriculture and Fisheries for Scotland, Scottish Fisheries Research Report, No 43, 81 pp.
- Dalgic, G., Karayucel, S., 2007. Investigations stock of the Striped Venus (*Chamelea gallina* L., 1758) in Ordu's coastal zone of the Eastern Black Sea. *Journal of Fisheries International*, 2 (1), 12-16.
- Demirhan, S.A., Can, M.F., 2007. Length-weight relationships for seven fish species from the Southeastern Black Sea. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 23, 282-283.
- Duzgunes, E., Selimoglu, A.S., Mutlu, C., Sahin, C., Aydin, M., 1998. A preliminary study on the Crab species existing on Trabzon coasts. p. 520-525. In: *First International Symposium on Fisheries and Ecology Proceedings (FISHECO'98), 2-4 September 1998*. Trabzon, Turkey.
- Duzgunes, E., Unsal, S., Feyzioglu, M., Sahin, T., 1992. *Stock assessment of Sea Snail (Rapana thomasiana Gross, 1861) in the Eastern Black Sea*. Ministry of Food, Agricultural and Live stock, Central Fisheries Research Institute. Trabzon, Turkey.
- Erguden, D., Turan, C., Gurlek, M., 2009. Weight-length relationships for 20 lessepsian fish species caught by bottom trawl on the coast of Iskenderun Bay (NE Mediterranean Sea, Turkey). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 25, 133-135.
- Erzini, K., 1994. An empirical study of variability in length-at age of marine fishes. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 10, 17-41.
- Filiz, H., Bilge, B., 2004. Length-weight relationships of 24 fish species from the North Aegean Sea, Turkey. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 20, 431-432.
- Froese, R., 1998. Length-weight relationships for 18 less-studied fish. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 14, 117-118.

- Frota, L.O., Costa, P.A.S. Braga, A.C., 2004. Length-weight relationships of marine fishes from the central Brazilian coast. *NAGA, World Fish Center*, 27 (1-2), 20-26.
- Gaspar, M.B., Santos, M.N., Vasconcelos, P., 2001. Weight-length relationships of 25 bivalve species (Mollusca: Bivalvia) from the Algarve coast (Southern Portugal). *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 81, 805-807.
- Gonçalves, J.M.S., Bentes, L., Lino, P.G., Ribeiro, J., Canario, A.V.M. et al., 1997. Weight-length relationships for selected fish species of the small-scale demersal fisheries of the south and south-west coast of Portugal. *Fisheries Research*, 30, 253-256.
- Ilkyaz, T., Metin, G., Soykan, O., Kinacigil, H.T., 2008. Length-weight relationship of 62 fish species from the Central Aegean Sea, Turkey. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 24, 699-702.
- Ismen, A., Ozen, O., Altinagac, U., Ozekinci, U., Ayaz, A., 2007. Weight-length relationships of 63 fish species in Saros Bay, Turkey. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 23, 707-708.
- Kalayci, F., Samsun, S., Bilgin, S., Samsun, O., 2007. Length-weight relationship of 10 fish species caught by bottom trawl and midwater trawl from the middle Black Sea. Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 7, 33-36.
- Karakulak, F.S., Erk, H., Bilgin, B., 2006. Length-weight relationships for 47 coastal fish species from the northern Aegean Sea, Turkey. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 22, 274-278.
- Keskin, C., Gaygusuz, O., 2010. Length-weight relationships of fishes in shallow waters of Erdek Bay (Sea of Marmara, Turkey). *IUFS Journal of Biology*, 69 (1), 25-32.
- Merella, P., Quetglas, A., Alemany, F., Carbonell, A., 1997. Length-weight relationship of fishes and cephalopods from the Balearic Islands (western Mediterranean). *Naga, The ICLARM Quarterly*, 20(3-4), 66-68.
- Moutopoulos, D.K., Stergiou, K.I., 2002. Length-weight and length-length relationships of fish species from the Aegean Sea (Greece). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 18, 200-203.
- Ozaydin, O., Taskavak, E., 2006. Length-weight relationships for 47 fish species from Izmir Bay (eastern Aegean Sea, Turkey). *Acta Adriatica*, 47, 211-216.
- Ozaydin, O., Uckun, D., Akalin, S., Leblebici, S., Tosunoglu, Z., 2007. Length-weight relationships of fishes captured from Izmir Bay, Central Aegean Sea. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 23, 695-696.
- Ozdamar, E., 1996. Investigation of the Shad with bottom trawl in the Samsun Bay. *Eastern Antolia Region II. Fisheries Symposium. 14-16 June 1998*. Erzurum, Turkey (in Turkish).
- Ozen, O., Ayyildiz, H., Oztekin, A., Altin, A., 2009. Length-weight relationships of 17 less-studied fish species from Canakkale, Marmara region of Turkey. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 25, 238-239.
- Pauly, D., 1993. Fishbyte section editorial. *Naga, The ICLARM Quarterly*, 16, 26-27.
- Petrakis, G., Stergiou, K.I., 1995. Weight-length relationships for 33 fish species in Greek waters. *Fisheries Research*, 21, 465-469.
- Polat, N., Inceismail, Y., Yilmaz, S., Bostanci, D., 2009. Age determination, age-length and length-weight relationships of Garfish (*Belone belone* L., 1761) in the Black Sea (Samsun). *Journal of Fisheries Science.com*, 3 (3), 187-198.
- Robinson, L.A., Greenstreet, S.P.R., Reiss, H., Callaway, R., Craeymeersch, J. et al., 2010. Length-weight relationships of 216 North Sea benthic invertebrates and fish. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 90 (1), 95-104.
- Sangun, L., Akamca, E., Akar, M., 2007. Weight-length relationships for 39 fish species from the north-eastern Mediterranean coast of Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 7, 37-40.
- Taskavak, E., Bilecenoglu, M., 2001. Length-weight relationships for 18 lessepsian (Red Sea) immigrant fish species from the eastern Mediterranean coast of Turkey. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 81, 895-896.
- Tuncer, S., Erdemir, C.C., 2002. A preliminary study on some properties for *Chamelea gallina* (L.) (Bivalvia: Verenidae from Karabiga-Canakkale. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 2, 117-120.
- Valle, C., Bayle, J. T., Ramos, A.A., 2003. Weight-length relationships for selected fish species of the western Mediterranean Sea. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 19, 261-262.
- Verdiell-Cubedo, D., Oliva-Paterna, F., Torralva, J., 2006. Length-weight relationships for 22 fish species of the Mar Menor coastal lagoon (western Mediterranean Sea). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 22, 293-294.
- Yankova, M., Pavlov, D., Raykov, V., Minheva, V., Radu, G., 2011. Length-weight relationships of ten fish species from the Bulgarian Black Sea waters. *Turkish Journal of Zoology*, 35 (2), 265-270.
- Yeldan, H., Avsar, D., 2007. Length-weight relationship for five elasmobranch species from the Cilician Basin shelf waters (northeastern Mediterranean). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 23, 713-71.