ΕΡΕΥΝΑ ΣΤΗΝ ΕΚΠΑΙΔΕΥΣΗ
HELLENIC JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN EDUCATION

ΕΡΓΑΣΤΗΡΙΟ ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΙΚΗΣ ΕΡΕΥΝΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΕΚΠΑΙΔΕΥΤΙΚΩΝ ΠΡΑΚΤΙΚΩΝ
ΤΜΗΜΑ ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΩΝ ΤΗΣ ΕΚΠΑΙΔΕΥΣΗΣ ΣΤΗΝ ΠΡΟΣΧΟΛΙΚΗ ΗΛΙΚΙΑ
Δ.Π.Θ.

Θεματικό Τεύχος
Πολυ-Γραμματισμοί: εκπαιδευτικές και πολιτιστικές προσεγγίσεις
Επιμέλεια Έκδοσης: Μαρέττα Σιδηροπούλου

ISSN: 2241-7303
ΑΛΕΞΑΝΔΡΟΥΠΟΛΗ 2024

ΤΟΜΟΣ 13 | ΑΡΙΘΜΟΣ 2 | 2024

Αναδυόμενοι Πολυγραμματισμοί: Προς μια Κατανόηση της Θεωρίας και της Παιδαγωγικής Πρακτικής

Ισαάκ Παπαδόπουλος, Ευφροσύνη Μπισίρη

Βιβλιογραφική αναφορά:

Παπαδόπουλος Ι., & Μπισίρη Ε. (2024). Αναδυόμενοι Πολυγραμματισμοί: Προς μια Κατανόηση της Θεωρίας και της Παιδαγωγικής Πρακτικής. *Έρευνα στην Εκπαίδευση*, *13*(2), 74–90. https://doi.org/10.12681/hjre.37458

Emergent Multiliteracies: Towards an Understanding of the Theory and Pedagogical Practice

Αναδυόμενοι Πολυγραμματισμοί: Προς μια Κατανόηση της Θεωρίας και της Παιδαγωγικής Πρακτικής

Isaak Papadopoulos

Assistant Professor | International Hellenic University | isaakpapad@ihu.gr

Effrosyni Bisiri

Researcher in Applied Linguistics | University of Patras | bisiri.effrosyni@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the concept of emergent multiliteracies in early childhood education, delving into its theoretical underpinnings and pedagogical implications. Drawing upon the seminal work of scholars such as Cope & Kalantzis (2009), the New London Group (1996), and Arvanitis (2009; 2013), the paper examines how multiliteracies offer a transformative framework for nurturing holistic development in young learners. Emergent multiliteracies reflect the dynamic early and evolving stages of literacy development in which young children engage in diverse meaning-making activities across multiple modes and contexts. Through a detailed analysis of knowledge processes, including experiencing, conceptualising, analysing, and applying knowledge, the paper highlights the importance of hands-on exploration, collaborative problem-solving, and creative expression in early childhood education. Furthermore, the paper underscores the significance of flexibility, inclusivity, and cultural appreciation in pedagogical practices, emphasizing the need to tailor approaches to meet the diverse needs and preferences of individual learners. Ultimately, the exploration of emergent multiliteracies offers a compelling vision for the future of early childhood language education, laying the foundation for lifelong learning and success in an increasingly complex and interconnected world.

Keywords: multiliteracies, young learners, early childhood, education, transformative education

ΠΕΡΙΛΗΨΗ

Η εργασία αυτή διερευνά την έννοια των αναδυόμενων πολυγραμματισμών στην προσχολική αγωγή, εμβαθύνοντας στις θεωρητικές τους βάσεις και στις παιδαγωγικές τους προεκτάσεις. Επικαλούμενοι το έργο μελετητών όπως οι Cope & Kalantzis (2009), New London Group (1996), και Αρβανίτη (2009; 2013), η εργασία εξετάζει τον τρόπου με τον οποίο οι πολυγραμματισμοί προσφέρουν ένα μετασχηματιστικό πλαίσιο για την καλλιέργεια της ολιστικής ανάπτυξης των μικρών παιδιών. Οι αναδυόμενοι πολυγραμματισμοί αντανακλούν τα δυναμικά αρχικά και εξελισσόμενα στάδια ανάπτυξης του γραμματισμού, κατά τα οποία τα μικρά παιδιά εμπλέκονται σε ποικίλες δραστηριότητες νοηματοδότησης μέσα από πολλαπλούς τρόπους και συγκείμενα. Μέσα από μια λεπτομερή ανάλυση των γνωσιακών διαδικασιών, η εργασία αναδεικνύει τη σημασία της πρακτικής εξερεύνησης, της συνεργατικής επίλυσης προβλημάτων και της δημιουργικής έκφρασης στην προσχολική αγωγή. Επιπλέον, η εργασία υπογραμμίζει τη σημασία της ευελιξίας, της συμπεριληπτικότητας και της πολιτισμικής ενημερότητας στις παιδαγωγικές πρακτικές, τονίζοντας την ανάγκη προσαρμογής των προσεγγίσεων προς μία ανταπόκριση στις ποικίλες ανάγκες και προτιμήσεις των παιδιών. Τέλος, η εξερεύνηση των αναδυόμενων πολυγραμματισμών προσφέρει μια οπτική για το μέλλον της προσχολικής γλωσσικής αγωγής, θέτοντας θεμέλια για δια βίου μάθηση και επιτυχία σε έναν ολοένα και πιο περίπλοκο και αλληλοσυνδεόμενο κόσμο.

Λέξεις-κλειδιά: πολυγραμματισμοί, μικρά παιδιά, προσχολική αγωγή, μετασχηματιστική εκπαίδευση

1. Introduction to Early Childhood Education and Multiliteracies

In recent years, there has been a growing recognition of the importance of integrating multiliteracies into early childhood education to better prepare children for the complexities of the 21st-century world. In 1996, a team of researchers referred to as the New London Group, developed a new approach to the definition of literacy, proposing a pedagogy that encourages the teaching of literacy applications that are creative, productive, and pertinent to the emerging data of communication and 21st-century society (Tan & McWilliam, 2009). Since the primary objective is to create individuals who are willing to embrace novel alterations and distinctions (Cope et al., 2009), the pedagogy of Multiliteracies is concerned with the ability to conceptualise the process of meaning-making as either a design or an active and dynamic alteration of the social world (Cope & Kalantzis, 2015). Namely, Multiliteracies challenge traditional ideas of what it means to be literate, that is a solely linguistic process, and emphasise the multimodal way of meaning-making in which there is an active and dynamic interplay among the various semiotic modes, such as the linguistic, the visual, the gestural, the spatial or the audio modes (Cope & Kalantzis, 2020). Multiliteracies, as defined by the New London Group, encompass not only traditional literacy skills such as reading and writing but also various forms of communication, including visual, digital, and cultural literacies.

Early childhood education and multiliteracies share a symbiotic relationship, each enriching and enhancing the other in profound ways. In the developmental landscape of young children, the integration of multiliteracies seamlessly aligns with their natural curiosity, innate creativity, and rapid cognitive growth (Gibson et al., 2021). To that end, it is worth mentioning that multiliteracies are intrinsically linked to early childhood education and they are indispensable components of effective pedagogy during this formative stage.

Early childhood education is fundamentally about nurturing the holistic development of young minds. It encompasses not only cognitive growth but also social, emotional, and physical development (Perry & Dockett, 2009). Multiliteracies, with their emphasis on diverse modes of communication, provide a comprehensive framework for addressing the multifaceted needs of young learners. Through engagement with various forms of literacy, including verbal, visual, digital, and cultural, children are afforded rich opportunities to explore and express themselves across different domains of development (Marsh, 2010).

Young children possess an innate sense of wonder and boundless curiosity about the world around them (Papert, 1993). Multiliteracies capitalize on this natural inclination by offering a diverse range of stimuli and experiences for exploration. Whether through storytelling, art, music, or digital media, children are encouraged to express their ideas and emotions in multiple ways (Kalantzis & Cope, 2012). By nurturing their creativity and encouraging them to engage with different forms of literacy, early childhood educators lay the groundwork for lifelong learning and creative expression.

Multiliteracies play a pivotal role in building the foundational skills necessary for later literacy acquisition. By integrating visual literacy, for example, children learn to interpret and create meaning from images, charts, and diagrams, enhancing their comprehension and communication skills (Kress, 2003). Similarly, digital literacy equips young learners with the ability to navigate and critically evaluate digital media, preparing them for the complexities of the digital age (Lankshear & Knobel, 2006).

Early childhood is a time of immense social and cultural learning. Children begin to develop a sense of identity and belonging within their familial and cultural contexts (Gonzalez-Mena, 2008). Multiliteracies provide opportunities for children to explore diverse perspectives, traditions, and ways of knowing. Through exposure to multicultural literature, folktales, and artifacts, children develop empathy, cultural competence, and a deeper appreciation for diversity (Heath & McLaughlin, 1993). By fostering social and cultural awareness from an early age, multiliteracies lay the groundwork for inclusive and equitable communities.

Appreciating that young children learn best when they are actively involved in hands-on, experiential activities that stimulate their curiosity and critical thinking skills (Bruce, 1998). Multiliteracies encourage children to ask questions, make connections, and explore topics of interest through multiple modes of expression (Anstey & Bull, 2006). Whether through dramatic play, scientific exploration, or digital storytelling, children are empowered to construct their understanding of the world in meaningful and authentic ways.

In conclusion, the integration of multiliteracies into early childhood education is not only natural but essential for fostering the holistic development of young learners. By harnessing children's curiosity, creativity, and innate desire to learn, multiliteracies provide a rich and dynamic framework for engaging with diverse forms of communication and expression. Early childhood educators play a vital role in cultivating multiliterate learners who are equipped with the skills, knowledge, and dispositions needed to thrive in an ever-evolving global society.

2. From Multiliteracies to Emergent Multiliteracies

The term 'Multiliteracies' includes two key aspects (Cope & Kalantzis, 2015). The first aspect addresses the diverse variations of meaning-making in communication, which occur within different cultural and social contexts (Kalantzis, Cope, Stellakis, & Arvanitis, 2019). Within these contexts, children learn to perceive and interpret differences in meaning-making, allowing them to communicate effectively according to the specific situation (ibid.). The second aspect of 'Multiliteracies' pertains to the multimodality of meaning-making, which encompasses various forms of meaning interpretation (Kress & van Leeuwen, 1996). This includes different multimodal ways of creating and interpreting information to construct meaning (Ellis, 2016). The written word, for instance, is constantly evolving and is interconnected with other modes of meaning-making (Cope, Kalantzis, & Tzirides, 2020) with Marsh (2004) emphasizing how young children's engagement with digital technologies showcases the multimodal nature of literacy and further call for investigation in parallel with pedagogical implementation in young learners' classrooms. .

A critical component of understanding and applying 'Multiliteracies' is the concept of metalanguage referring to a language used to describe and analyze other languages and modes of communication. According to Cope and Kalantzis (2020), metalanguage encompasses the various ways of creating meaning, including text, image, space, object, body, sound, and speech. The goal is to support children as they become active producers of meaning, learning to read and write multimodal texts that integrate these different modes of meaning with language (Cope & Kalantzis, 2009). This holistic approach is crucial for achieving pedagogical, educational, and curriculum goals, as it equips children with the necessary skills for active participation and optimal learning outcomes (Kalantzis & Cope, 2004). Mills and Unsworth (2017) elaborate on multimodal literacy, highlighting the integration of various communicative modes in educational settings. Each meaning form represents five basic meaning functions: reference, voice, structure, context, and interest (Kalantzis et al., 2019), which are present in all modes of meaning and their multimodal manifestations.

The pedagogy of Multiliteracies defines language and the various modes of creating meaning as dynamic processes of transformation (Cope & Kalantzis, 2009). Consequently, learning must depend on two conditions: the condition of belonging and that of transformation (Kalantzis & Cope, 2004). Within such a teaching framework, children's 'lifeworld' (ibid.), i.e., their identity, subjectivity, and sense of self, should be taken into account and integrated for children to learn and feel that they belong to the learning itself. As a result, children are motivated and encouraged to become producers and creators of meaning themselves (Kalantzis & Cope, 2020) through the personalized knowledge they receive and to bring their own experiences and knowledge to the learning process (Ganapathy, 2015). Recent research underscores the transformative potential of multiliteracies pedagogy. Rajendram (2020) highlights its role in enhancing English language education by fostering student engagement and deeper learning. Kim et al. (2020) discuss the application of critical multiliteracies pedagogy in ESL/EFL contexts, emphasizing its impact on student motivation and learning outcomes. Kulju et al. (2020) point out that teaching multiliteracies is a new task for many educators, presenting both challenges and opportunities for innovation in educational practices. The second learning condition, transformation, takes children on a journey during which they become agents of their personal and cultural transformation, moving away from their comfort zones and the limitations of their biosphere (Cope & Kalantzis, 2009). This process broadens the learning and intercultural horizons of every child (ibid.). According to Paesani (2020), multiliteracies pedagogy can significantly enhance

teachers' instructional methods, making education more inclusive and effective. Seglem and Garcia, (2022) describe how expanding the literacies used in classrooms through multiliteracies pedagogies can prepare students for new social futures. Through Multiliteracies, educators are empowered to implement dynamic teaching practices that bring transformation into the classroom and broaden children's horizons both critically and interculturally (Kalantzis & Cope, 2020).

Children bring their identity into the classroom which is defined by the influence of the family, the local community, the friends, the peers, and the specific aspects of popular or household culture (Cope & Kalantzis, 2000). This identity is called the 'lifeworld' (Kalantzis & Cope, 2004, p. 41) including experiences, interests, orientations to the world, values, dispositions, sensibilities, communication styles, interpersonal styles, thinking styles as well as their differences (ibid). Identity and subjectivity are the fundamental characteristics of lifeworld distinction. These characteristics are the foundation of a child's sense of belonging in a daily or formal learning environment, as well as their level of involvement (ibid). It is an environment in which the learner's day-to-day knowledge and actions manifest themselves in such a way that their active engagement with the world is almost automatic – something that does not necessitate a great deal of thought or contemplation (Kalantzis & Cope, 2010). Consequently, learning succeeds or fails to the extent that it engages the identities and the varied subjectivities of learners (Kalantzis & Cope, 2016).

Given the above, this paper discusses the notion of emergent multiliteracies within the context of early childhood education. "Emergent multiliteracies" involve the early and evolving stages of literacy development in which young children engage in diverse meaning-making activities across multiple modes and contexts. This concept encompasses the emergent literacy behaviors observed in children, such as mimicking reading and writing, alongside their exploration of various forms of communication and meaning-making, including visual, oral, and digital modes. It emphasizes the dynamic interaction between emerging literacy skills and the diverse ways children decode and create meaning, reflecting their growing awareness of the complex interplay between language, culture, and communication modalities from an early age.

Emergent multiliteracies encompass the early stages of literacy development in children, characterized by their initial explorations into activities related to literacy. During this developmental phase, children often demonstrate behaviors like imitating reading or writing, exploring letters or symbols, and interacting with different types of communication materials. These emergent literacy behaviors are part of a larger framework of multiliteracies, which recognizes the various ways people create and understand the meaning in different cultural and social settings.

Within the realm of emergent multiliteracies, children are encouraged to delve into various forms of literacy, encompassing not only conventional reading and writing, but also embracing diverse modes of communication, such as visual, oral, and digital. Children engage with various forms of media and storytelling to understand their surroundings and communicate their thoughts and feelings.

The concept of emergent multiliteracies acknowledges the ever-evolving and interactive process of developing literacy. It emphasizes the importance of children's early exposure to different forms of communication, which sets the foundation for their future literacy abilities. It emphasizes the significance of encouraging children to explore and experiment with various ways of expressing

themselves, enhancing their skills to navigate and communicate proficiently in a rapidly changing and interconnected world.

2.1 Benefits of Cultivating Multiliteracies in Early Childhood Education

Cultivating multiliteracies in early childhood education offers a multitude of benefits that extend beyond traditional approaches. By embracing inclusive teaching techniques and educational experiences from the outset of mandatory schooling, educators can shape young learners into active, flexible, and collaborative individuals (Arvanitis, 2018). Instead of merely absorbing information, students are encouraged to question, reason, negotiate, and collaborate, empowering them to become proactive participants in their own learning journey (Kalantzis et al., 2003).

In contrast to traditional educational paradigms, which often narrowly define literacy within the confines of textual reading and writing skills, multiliteracies offer a far-reaching expansion of this concept (Cope & Kalantzis, 2015). This comprehensive understanding of literacy equips children with the essential skills needed to navigate the intricacies of today's diverse communication landscape. Beyond simply decoding written words, multiliteracies empower young learners to engage with and interpret a wide array of communicative modalities. By immersing children in this complex and multifaceted compositionof communication modes, multiliteracies enable them to interact meaningfully with the world around them. They learn to decipher and critically analyse information presented in various forms, fostering a deeper understanding of complex concepts and perspectives. Moreover, these diverse literacy skills empower children to express themselves creatively across multiple mediums, whether through writing, visual art, multimedia presentations, or digital storytelling.

Furthermore, multiliteracies foster an inclusive learning environment where children from diverse backgrounds and with different learning preferences can thrive (New London Group, 1996). By valuing and incorporating a wide range of communicative modes, educators can cater to the individual needs and strengths of each child, ensuring that every learner has the opportunity to succeed. Ultimately, by equipping children with these diverse literacy skills, multiliteracies not only enhance their ability to engage meaningfully with the world but also empower them to become active participants in shaping it (Cope & Kalantzis, 2009). From interpreting complex media messages to creating their own content, children emerge from multiliteracy-rich environments as confident, competent, and socially aware communicators, ready to navigate and contribute to an increasingly interconnected global society.

Multiliteracies represent a pivotal shift towards fostering inclusivity and cultural appreciation within the realm of education, as underscored by the seminal work of the New London Group (1996). In an increasingly interconnected and globalized world, the ability to navigate and negotiate linguistic and cultural diversity is paramount. Multiliteracies pedagogy stands as a beacon of enlightenment in this regard, recognizing the intrinsic value of linguistic and cultural diversity within educational settings. By integrating diverse cultural perspectives and experiences into the learning process, multiliteracies pedagogy not only broadens children's horizons but also cultivates empathy, tolerance, and respect for cultural differences. In essence, multiliteracies serve as a catalyst for fostering a more inclusive and harmonious educational environment, where children from diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds feel valued and represented. By embracing and celebrating cultural diversity, educators

not only enrich the educational experience for all students but also lay the groundwork for building a more equitable and interconnected society. As articulated by the European Commission (2021), promoting cultural diversity within educational settings is not merely an educational imperative but also a fundamental step towards fostering social cohesion and global citizenship.

Moreover, the adoption of a multiliteracies approach in education not only enhances inclusivity but also enables educators to effectively address the diverse needs of learners. Unlike rigid educational frameworks that often impose a standardized curriculum, multiliteracies pedagogy offers a flexible and adaptable approach to teaching and learning (Arvanitis, 2009; Arvanitis, 2013). This flexibility empowers educators to tailor their instructional methods to suit the individual learning styles, preferences, and abilities of each student. By recognizing and accommodating diverse learning needs, multiliteracies pedagogy ensures that no child is left behind.

Furthermore, multiliteracies foster the development of critical thinking skills and digital literacy, both of which are indispensable in navigating the complexities of the contemporary world (UNICEF, 2019). In an era characterized by rapid technological advancements and information overload, the ability to critically evaluate and synthesize information is paramount. Multiliteracies pedagogy equips students with the skills needed to navigate digital platforms, discern credible sources from misinformation, and effectively communicate their ideas in various digital formats. By embracing multiliteracies, educators not only cultivate a more inclusive learning environment but also prepare students to become critical thinkers, problem-solvers, and responsible digital citizens. In doing so, they lay the foundation for academic success and lifelong learning in an ever-evolving society.

By embracing inclusivity, expanding the definition of literacy, valuing linguistic and cultural diversity, and fostering flexibility in teaching practices, multiliteracies empower children to become active, engaged, and competent communicators in an increasingly complex and interconnected world (Lynch & Prins, 2022).

3. Knowledge Processes

The pedagogy of Multiliteracies captures design, as a dynamic perception of representation (Burrows, 2005) and concerns the appropriate actions to acquire meaning. According to the metalanguage of Multiliteracies, children in the design stage (the creation of meaning), as creators of meaning, create a new design (New London Group, 1996) through the transformations of the available designs, available resources of meaning) and the result of this planning is the redesigned, the new available plans that transform the world (Kalantzis et al., 2019).

The New London Group's (1996) initial formulations identified the following key dimensions of literacy education: 'situated practice, overt instruction, critical framing, and transformed practice' (Cope & Kalantzis, 2015 p. 3). These have been reframed with the 'Knowledge Processes: experiencing, conceptualising, analysing, and applying (Kalantzis & Cope 2010). The vital idea in Multiliteracies is that of woven learning across and between diverse pedagogical moves (Luke, 2004).

3.1. Experiencing

3.1.1. Experiencing the known

Educators can foster multiliteracies by incorporating various pedagogical practices that allow children to reflect on their experiences, interests, and opinions, and talk about things they are familiar with. In this context, several strategies can be employed to bridge the gap between theory and practice.By providing props and materials, children can express themselves creatively through these mediums (Cooper et al, 2007) while engaging them in a show and tell session having brought objects or photos that represent something meaningful to them helps them communicate their experiences and interests to their peers (Lennox, 2013). Nature walks and scavenger hunts also play a crucial role. Educators can take children on these outings in familiar environments like parks or playgrounds, encouraging them to observe and interact with their surroundings, thus fostering curiosity and exploration (Dyment & Bell, 2008). Additionally, art and craft activities are instrumental in this regard. By providing materials for drawing, painting, collage making, or clay modeling, educators enable children to create visual representations of their experiences or interests, further enhancing their reflective and expressive abilities (Wright, 2003).

3.1.2. Experiencing the new

Educators can foster multiliteracies by immersing children in unfamiliar learning situations that arise from new experiences or content. This approach connects theoretical principles with practical teaching methods, offering a diverse array of strategies. Organizing cultural days allows children to participate in activities from different cultures, broadening their understanding of the world (Banks & Banks, 2010). Educators can also set up sensory stations with materials like sand, water, and mud, or sensory bins filled with various textures and objects. Allowing children to freely explore and manipulate these materials stimulates their senses and encourages experimentation (Case-Smith & Arbesman, 2008). Role-playing and dress-up are also valuable techniques that engage them in imaginative role-playing activities. This encourages them to take on different roles and scenarios, promoting social interaction and perspective-taking (Pellegrini & Smith, 1998). Additionally, science experiments are an excellent way to introduce children to new concepts and phenomena. Educators can conduct simple experiments like planting seeds, mixing colors, or observing changes in materials, fostering curiosity and scientific thinking (Gelman & Brenneman, 2004).

3.2. Conceptualising

3.2.1. Conceptualising by naming

Educators can foster multiliteracies by helping children group things into categories, apply criteria for categorizing terms, and define them. This section explores various strategies designed to bridge the theoretical foundations of education with practical teaching methods.

One effective strategy is sorting and categorizing, where educators provide various objects or pictures and ask children to sort them based on similarities and differences. This encourages children to name each category and explain their reasoning (Gelman & Markman, 1986). Meanwhile, children can label objects and areas in the classroom or outdoor environment using sticky notes or labels, which

helps them associate words with objects and reinforces vocabulary development (Neuman & Roskos, 1993). This can be accompanied by setting up a word wall in the classroom is beneficial. Children can contribute words they encounter in books, during discussions, or in daily activities. Discussing these words' meanings and using them in sentences reinforces understanding (Cunningham, 2000).

In paralell, educators can also organize games where children classify objects based on specific attributes such as color, shape, size, or function. For example, sorting toys into groups of "things that roll" and "things that don't roll" (Bowker, 2007). Additionally, educators can provide children with a list of letters and encourage them to search for objects that begin with each letter, naming and discussing their characteristics once found (Morrow, 2001). A picture-word matching game can also be used by creating sets of picture cards and word cards related to a specific theme or topic and children match pictures with corresponding words, reinforcing vocabulary and concepts (Snow, Burns, & Griffin, 1998). In the same vain, children may arrange pictures in order and label them with words describing the actions or events of stories (Paris & Paris, 2003). Alternatively, descriptive drawing is also implemented by giving each child a blank piece of paper to draw something they enjoy or are interested in. Afterward, children label their drawings with words describing the objects or actions depicted (Anning, 2003).

3.2.2. Conceptualising with theory

Educators can foster multiliteracies by helping children make generalizations using concepts and connecting terms in conceptual maps or theories. This section explores various strategies designed to bridge theoretical principles with the practical application of teaching.

Educators can read stories aloud or watch short videos along with children, engaging them in discussions about themes, characters, and plots. Encouraging children to identify patterns or recurring elements in the story helps connect these to broader concepts or theories (Applebee, 1996).

Presenting open-ended challenges or scenarios that require children to think critically and apply theoretical concepts also encourages brainstorming solutions, considering different perspectives, and justifying ideas using reasoning and evidence (Jonassen, 2000). Comparing and contrasting stories after reading or listening to them can prompt children to identify similarities and differences in characters, settings, or plot elements, enhancing their critical analysis and comprehension skills (Marzano, 2004).

Educators may also take children on nature walks, asking them to observe patterns in the environment, such as leaf arrangements, cloud shapes, or rock markings. Discussing the significance of these patterns helps relate them to broader concepts like symmetry or repetition (Kellert, 2005). Kitchen science is another engaging strategy. Simple experiments using kitchen ingredients, like mixing baking soda and vinegar or observing liquid behaviors, allow children to make predictions, observe outcomes, and discuss scientific principles (Gopnik et al., 1999).

Creative story problem-solving involves providing children with story scenarios or problems and asking them to devise creative solutions. This encourages critical thinking, considering different perspectives, and justifying ideas (Torrance, 1995). Finally, experimental design challenges present children with questions or challenges, encouraging them to design their own experiments. Providing

materials and guidance as needed, and encouraging planning, predictions, observations, and conclusions, fosters critical thinking, scientific inquiry, and problem-solving skills (Zimmerman, 2007).

3.3. Analysing

3.3.1. Analysing functionally

Educators can foster multiliteracies by helping children analyze logical connections, cause-effect relationships, structures, and functions. This section explores various strategies designed to bridge theoretical concepts with practical teaching techniques.

Educators can provide scenarios or stories where children identify cause-and-effect relationships. For example, asking questions like "What happens if we water the plants every day?" helps children understand the consequences of actions (Michalsky et al., 2009). Another useful approach is setting up experiment stations with simple experiments or activities where children observe and infer cause-and-effect relationships. For instance, mixing baking soda and vinegar to see a chemical reaction encourages children to discuss their observations and draw conclusions (Klahr & Nigam, 2004).

Educators can provide building materials like blocks, Legos, or playdough and ask children to construct different structures. Encouraging them to consider the functionality and stability of their creations helps them experiment with different designs (Casey et al., 2008). Life cycle exploration also plays a crucial role. Educators can provide materials or resources illustrating the life cycle of plants, animals, or insects, encouraging children to observe and discuss the stages of development and identifying cause-and-effect relationships during each stage (Gelman & Brenneman, 2004).

Weather observations can be also implemented by setting up a weather station with tools such as a thermometer, barometer, and rain gauge. Encouraging children to observe and record daily weather conditions helps them understand the cause-and-effect relationships between weather phenomena and their impact on the environment. Another engaging activity is the sink or float experiment. Providing a variety of objects with different properties and a tub of water, educators can ask children to predict whether each object will sink or float, then experiment to test their predictions, discussing their observations and identifying determining factors (Wainwright et al., 2009).

Plant growth investigation involves children planting seeds in pots or trays and placing them in different locations with varying amounts of sunlight, water, and soil. Encouraging children to observe and measure the growth of the plants over time allows them to explore cause-and-effect relationships related to plant growth and environmental conditions (Maynard, 2007). Finally, magnet exploration can be conducted by providing various objects made from different materials and magnets of varying strengths.

3.3.2. Analysing critically

Educators can foster multiliteracies by encouraging children to evaluate their own opinions, interests, and motivations, as well as those of others. This section explores various strategies designed to bridge theoretical frameworks with the hands-on implementation of teaching methodologies.

Educators can read books or tell stories with diverse characters and perspectives, then engage children in discussions about the characters' actions, motivations, and feelings. This encourages children to evaluate different perspectives and think critically about the story's themes (Leland & Harste, 2000). In parallel, setting up scenarios where children take on different roles and interact with each other helps them consider the perspectives and motivations of the characters they portray, fostering empathy and critical thinking (O'Toole & Dunn, 2002).

Meanwhile, presenting children with real-life problems or dilemmas and asking them to brainstorm solutions encourages them to evaluate the pros and cons of each solution and consider different perspectives individually or in pairs (Jonassen, 1997). Such "debate discussions" are implemented by dividing children into groups and assigning each group a topic related to an age-appropriate issue or theme allowing them to research, prepare arguments, and critically evaluate opposing viewpoints (Osborne, 2005).

Media analysis can be facilitated by showing children age-appropriate media content such as TV shows, commercials, or news segments, guiding them in analyzing the messages, themes, and perspectives presented. This encourages critical thinking about the purpose, impact, and biases or stereotypes in media content (Buckingham, 2003). Ethical dilemmas involve presenting hypothetical scenarios requiring difficult decisions, encouraging children to weigh conflicting values and justify their choices based on ethical principles, and promoting critical thinking, empathy, and ethical reasoning (Kohlberg, 1984).

Current events discussions can focus on age-appropriate news stories, encouraging children to analyze causes, consequences, and potential solutions. Facilitating critical discussions where children express their opinions and consider multiple perspectives helps them understand real-world issues (Hess, 2009). Literary analysis involves choosing age-appropriate literary texts and guiding children in analyzing literary elements, themes, and symbolism, fostering deeper comprehension and appreciation of literature (Rosenblatt, 1994).

3.4. Applying

3.4.1. Applying appropriately

Educators can foster multiliteracies by helping children apply new learning in real everyday situations, testing the validity of these situations. This section explores various strategies designed to bridge theoretical foundations with the practical application of teaching methods.

Educators can set up a dramatic play area with props and costumes representing various professions such as doctor, chef, or firefighter. Encouraging children to role-play different scenarios allows them to apply appropriate knowledge and skills based on the context (Brown & Hudson, 1998). Ina pralle, community helpers activities can be implemented by inviting children to learn about different community helpers like police officers, teachers, and librarians and create their "thank-you" cards or posters, which helps them apply their understanding of these roles in society (Jacobs, 2006).

Additionally, hands-on learning activities such as gardening can be effective. Children can plant seeds, water them, and observe their growth, applying their knowledge of plant care and the

conditions needed for growth (Blair, 2009). Alternatively, engaging children in simple cooking activities where they follow recipes and measure ingredients allows them to apply appropriate techniques and safety measures while exploring different food combinations and flavours (Satter, 2000).

Another practical application is through recycling projects. Educators can teach children about recycling and environmental conservation, encouraging them to collect recyclable materials and create art or useful items from them. This helps children apply their learning about sustainability in a meaningful way (Hedges & Cullen, 2012). Moreover, financial literacy activities such as setting up a classroom store can be effective. Children can use play money to buy and sell items, applying their understanding of money management and basic math skills (Lucey & Giannangelo, 2006).

Real-world problem-solving activities also play a crucial role. Presenting children with everyday problems, like figuring out the best way to organize a messy play area, encourages them to apply their critical thinking and problem-solving skills (Resnick, 1987). Field trips and outdoor explorations provide additional opportunities for applying learning. Visiting a farm, zoo, or local business allows children to connect classroom knowledge with real-world experiences (Behrendt & Franklin, 2014).

3.4.2. Applying creatively

Educators can foster multiliteracies by encouraging children to make innovative and creative interventions in their world or transfer their learning to different environments or situations. This section explores various strategies designed to bridge the theoretical underpinnings of education with tangible teaching methods.

Educators can provide a variety of art materials and encourage children to experiment with different techniques and mediums. Allowing them to express their creativity through painting, drawing, sculpting, and collage-making, using their personal interests and experiences as inspiration, fosters creative thinking (Edwards, 2006). Another valuable approach is storytelling and writing. Encouraging children to create their own stories or books, incorporating elements from their imaginations and personal experiences, and providing opportunities to share their stories with peers, promotes creativity and self-expression (Paley, 1990).

Building and engineering challenges are also beneficial. Presenting children with open-ended building challenges using materials like blocks, cardboard boxes, and recycled materials encourages them to brainstorm innovative solutions and experiment with different designs. This helps them apply their creativity to solve problems (Resnick & Silverman, 2005). Additionally, dramatic play can be effective. Setting up scenarios where children use props and costumes to act out stories or create their own plays encourages imaginative thinking and creativity (Creaser, 2001).

Music and movement activities can also play a crucial role. Providing instruments and encouraging children to create their own music, as well as incorporating movement and dance, allows them to express themselves creatively (Campbell, 1998). Environmental projects, such as creating a community garden or designing eco-friendly projects, help children apply their learning creatively to make a positive impact on their environment (Louv, 2008).

Technology integration offers additional opportunities for creative application. Using digital tools and apps to create multimedia projects, such as digital storytelling or animation, allows children to transfer their learning to a digital environment (Peppler & Solomou, 2011). Creative problem-solving activities, where children are presented with real-world challenges and encouraged to come up with innovative solutions, also foster creative thinking (Treffinger et al., 2006).

4. Discussion

This paper attempted to explore the theoretical foundations and educational implications of multiliteracies, highlighting its potential to enhance educational practices and promote comprehensive development in young learners.

An important aspect of multiliteracies is acknowledging the ever-changing nature of representation in the learning process (Cope & Kalantzis, 2020). In contrast to conventional educational approaches that prioritize textual literacy, multiliteracies encompass a diverse array of communicative modalities, such as visual imagery, digital media, and cultural contexts (Cope & Kalantzis, 2015). By adopting a holistic approach to literacy, educators can foster inclusive learning environments that connect with the varied experiences and backgrounds of their students.

The discussion of knowledge processes further highlights the transformative potential of multiliteracies pedagogy (Kalantzis et al., 2010). By engaging in various learning processes, children not only gain academic proficiency but also cultivate the crucial skill of critical thinking, which is vital for navigating the intricacies of today's society (Kalantzis & Cope, 2020). Active engagement, teamwork, and innovative expression are essential elements of this method, empowering students to take charge of their own learning experiences.

In addition, the pedagogical strategies discussed in this paper emphasize the significance of being flexible and adaptable in teaching methods (Arvanitis, 2009; Arvanitis, 2013). Customizing instructional methods to accommodate the unique requirements and inclinations of each student is advocated by multiliteracies pedagogy. Through the incorporation of diverse teaching approaches, including storytelling, role-playing, science experiments, and media analysis, educators can craft engaging learning opportunities that cater to the individual strengths and interests of every student.

Furthermore, the conversation highlights the importance of fostering inclusivity and promoting cultural appreciation in educational environments (New London Group, 1996). By appreciating the richness of different languages and cultures, educators can establish inclusive environments that foster a sense of worth and representation for all children. Exposure to a wide range of cultural perspectives and experiences has the power to expand children's horizons and cultivate qualities like empathy, tolerance, and respect for others (European Commission, 2021).

Ultimately, the investigation into emergent multiliteracies presents a captivating outlook for the future of early childhood education. Through the incorporation of various forms of representation, encouraging critical thinking about content, and fostering inclusivity and cultural appreciation, educators have the ability to cultivate learning environments that empower children to succeed in a rapidly evolving and interconnected society. This comprehensive approach to education establishes

the groundwork for continuous learning and achievement, equipping children with the abilities and attitudes necessary to navigate and contribute to a varied and interconnected global society.

Bibliographic References

Anning, A. (2003). Pathways to the graphicacy club: The crossroad of home and preschool. *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy, 3*(1), 5-35. https://doi.org/10.1177/14687984030031

Anstey, M., & Bull, G. (2006). *Teaching and Learning Multiliteracies*. International Reading Association.

Applebee, A. N. (1996). *Curriculum as conversation: Transforming traditions of teaching and learning*. University of Chicago Press.

Αρβανίτη, Ε. (2009). Σχεδιασμοί Μάθησης και Νέα Αξιολόγηση στην Πρωτοβάθμια Εκπαίδευση. *Παιδαγωγική Θεωρία και Πράξη, 3*, 70-86.

Αρβανίτη, Ε. (2013). Εκπαιδευτικές κοινότητες πρακτικής και επαγγελματική μάθηση στο νέο σχολείο. *Εκπαιδευτικός Κύκλος, 1*(1), 8-29.

Ariail, M., & Albright, L. K. (2005). A survey of teachers' read-aloud practices in middle schools. *Literacy Research and Instruction*, 45(2), 69-89.

Arvanitis, E. (2018). Preservice teacher education: Towards a transformative and reflexive learning. *Journal of Global Studies of Childhood, 8*(2), 114-130. https://doi.org/10.1177/2043610617734980

Banks, J. A., & Banks, C. A. M. (2010). *Multicultural education: Issues and perspectives*. John Wiley & Sons.

Behrendt, M., & Franklin, T. (2014). A review of research on school field trips and their value in education. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education, 9*(3), 235-245. ERIC Number: EJ1031445.

Blair, D. (2009). The child in the garden: An evaluative review of the benefits of school gardening. *The Journal of Environmental Education, 40*(2), 15-38. ERIC Number: EJ822027.

Boccacio, G. (1886). *The Decameron* (Trans. J. Payne). Walter Black.

Bowker, R. (2007). Children's perceptions and learning about tropical rainforests: A review of the literature. *Environmental Education Research, 13*(5), 538-558.

Brown, J. D., & Hudson, T. (1998). The alternatives in language assessment. *TESOL quarterly, 32*(4), 653-675.

Bruce, C. S. (1998). The phenomenon of information literacy. *Higher Education Research & Development, 17*(1), 25-43.

Buckingham, D. (2003). *Media education: Literacy, learning, and contemporary culture*. Polity.

Burrows, P. (2005). The Emergence of Pedagogical Mentors. In M. Kalantzis, B. Cope & The Learning by Design Project Group (Eds.), Learning by Design (pp. 177-196). Victorian Schools Innovation Commission & Common Ground.

Cardarello, R. (2009). Vedere e pensare: una sperimentazione nella scuola materna. In G. Domenici & R. Semeraro (Eds.), *Le nuove sfide della ricerca didattica tra saperi, comunità sociali e culture* (pp. 91-112). Monolite.

Case-Smith, J., & Arbesman, M. (2008). Evidence-based review of interventions for autism used in or of relevance to occupational therapy. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 62*(4), 416-429. https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.62.4.416

Case-Smith, J., & O'Brien, J. C. (2015). *Occupational therapy for children and adolescents*. Elsevier Health Sciences.

Casey, B., Andrews, N., Schindler, H., Kersh, J. E., Samper, A., & Copley, J. (2008). The development of spatial skills through interventions involving block building activities. *Cognition and Instruction, 26*(3), 269-309. https://doi.org/10.1080/07370000802177177

Cooper, P. M., Capo, K., Mathes, B., & Gray, L. (2007). One authentic early literacy practice and three standardized tests: Can a portfolio provide common ground? *Early Childhood Education Journal, 35*(1), 17-26. https://doi.org/10.1080/10901020701555564

Cope, B., & Kalantzis, M. (2000). Designs for social futures. In B. Cope & M. Kalantzis (Eds.), *Multiliteracies: Literacy learning and the design of social futures* (pp. 203–34). Routledge.

Cope, B., & Kalantzis, M. (2009). "Multiliteracies": New literacies, New learning. *Pedagogies: An International Journal, 4*(3), 164-195. https://doi.org/10.1080/15544800903076044

Cope, B., & Kalantzis, M. (2015). The things you do to know: An introduction to the pedagogy of multiliteracies. In B. Cope & M. Kalantzis (Eds.), *A Pedagogy of Multiliteracies. Learning by Design* (pp. 1-37). Palgrave Macmillan.

Cope, B., & Kalantzis, M. (2020). *Making Sense: Reference, Agency and Structure in a Grammar of Multimodal Meaning.* Cambridge University Press.

Cope, B., Kalantzis, M., & Tzirides, A. O. (2020). Meaning without borders: From translanguaging to transposition in the era of digitally mediated, multimodal meaning. In K. K. Grohmann (Ed.), *Multifaceted Multilingualism* (pp. 329-370). John Benjamins.

Cunningham, P. M. (2000). *Phonics they use: Words for reading and writing*. Longman.

Currie, G. (2013, June 1). Does Great Literature Make Us Better? *The New York Times*. https://archive.nytimes.com/opinionator.blogs.nytimes.com/2013/06/01/does-great-literature-make-us-better/

Dyment, J. E., & Bell, A. C. (2008). Grounds for movement: Green school grounds as sites for promoting physical activity. *Health Education Research, 23*(6), 952-962. https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cym059

Ellis, G. (2016). Promoting 'Learning' Literacy through Picturebooks: Learning How to Learn. *CLELE Journal, 4*(2), 27-40. ISSN 2195-5212

European Commission. (2021). *Directorate-general for education, youth, sport and culture. Toolkit for inclusive early childhood education and care: Providing high quality education and care to all young children*. Publications Office. https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2766/399018

Ganapathy, M. (2015). The Effect of Incorporating Multiliteracies Pedagogy in ESL Writing. *International Journal of Arts & Sciences, 8*(06), 253–268. ISSN: 1944-6934

Gelman, R., & Brenneman, K. (2004). Science learning pathways for young children. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 19*(1), 150-158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2004.01.009

Gelman, S. A., & Markman, E. M. (1986). Categories and induction in young children. *Cognition, 23*(3), 183-209. https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(86)90034-X

Gherardi, V., & Manini, M. (Eds.) (2001). *I bambini e la lettura: la cultura del libro dall'infanzia all'adolescenza*. Carocci.

Gibson, J. L., Newbury, D. F., Durkin, K., Pickles, A., Conti-Ramsden, G., & Toseeb, U. (2021). Pathways from the early language and communication environment to literacy outcomes at the end of primary school; the roles of language development and social development. *Oxford Review of Education, 47*(2), 260-283.

Gonzalez-Mena, J. (2008). *Multicultural issues in child care*. McGraw-Hill Humanities/Social Sciences/Languages.

Gopnik, A., Meltzoff, A. N., & Kuhl, P. K. (1999). *The scientist in the crib: Minds, brains, and how children learn*. William Morrow & Co.

Heath, S. B., & McLaughlin, M. W. (1993). Identity and inner-city youth: Beyond ethnicity and gender. In M. W. McLaughlin, M. C. Reynolds, & S. B. Heath (Eds.), *Understanding language arts in a changing world* (pp. 227-253). Teachers College Press.

Hedges, H., & Cullen, J. (2012). Participatory learning theories: A framework for early childhood pedagogy. *Early Child Development and Care, 182*(7), 921-940. https://doi.org/10.1080/03004430.2011.597504

Hess, D. E. (2009). *Controversy in the classroom: The democratic power of discussion*. Routledge.

Jacobs, J. (2006). Supervision for social justice: Supporting critical reflection. *Teacher Education Quarterly, 33*(4), 23-39.

Jonassen, D. H. (1997). Instructional design models for well-structured and III-structured problem-solving learning outcomes. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 45*(1), 65-94. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02299613

Jonassen, D. H. (2000). Toward a design theory of problem solving. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 48*(4), 63-85. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02300500

Kalantzis, M., & Cope, B. (2004). Designs for Learning. *E–Learning,1*(1) 38-92. https://doi.org/10.2304/elea.2004.1.

Kalantzis, M., & Cope, B. (2010). The teacher as designer: Pedagogy in the new media age. *E-Learning and Digital Media, 7*(3), 200-222. https://doi.org/10.2304/elea.2010.7.3.2

Kalantzis, M., & Cope, B. (2012). *Literacies (2nd ed.)*. Cambridge University Press.

Kalantzis, M., & Cope, B. (2016). Learner differences in theory and practice. *Open Review of Educational Research, 3*(1), 85-132. https://doi.org/10.1080/23265507.2016.1164616

Kalantzis, M., & Cope, B. (2020). *Adding Sense: Context and Interest in a Grammar of Multimodal Meaning*. Cambridge University Press.

Kalantzis, M., Cope, B., & Arvanitis, E. (2010). Towards a Teaching Ecology for Diversity, Belonging and Transformation. In P. Mata (Ed.), Proceedings of the *Intercultural Education as a Project for Social*

Transformation. Linking Theory and Practice. Towards Equity and Social Justice (pp. 283-304). Interwork Programme-Commenius.

Kalantzis, M., Cope, B., & Harvey, A. (2003). Assessing multiliteracies and the new basics. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 10*(1), 15–26. https://doi.org/10.1080/09695940301692

Kalantzis, M., Cope, B., Στελλάκης, Ν., & Αρβανίτη, Ε. (2019). Γραμματισμοί Μια παιδαγωγική διαφοροποιημένου σχεδιασμού και πολυτροπικών νοηματοδοτήσεων. *Κριτική*.

Kellert, S. R. (2005). *Building for life: Designing and understanding the human-nature connection*. Island Press.

Kim, S., Ramos, K. A., Chung, H., & Choi, S. (2020). Integrating critical multiliteracies pedagogy in ESL/EFL teaching. *Journal of English Learner Education*, *11*(1), 54-82.

Klahr, D., & Nigam, M. (2004). The equivalence of learning paths in early science instruction. *Psychological Science, 15*(10), 661-667. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0956-7976.2004.00737.x

Kohlberg, L. (1984). *The psychology of moral development: The nature and validity of moral stages*. Harper & Row.

Kress, G. (2003). *Literacy in the new media age*. Routledge.

Kress, G., & van Leeuwen, T. (1996). *Reading images: The grammar of visual design*. Routledge.

Kulju, P., Kupiainen, R., & Pienimäki, M. (2020). *Raportti luokanopettajien käsityksistä monilukutaidosta 2019* [Report on class teachers' perceptions of multiliteracy]. National Audiovisual Institute. https://archive.org/details/raportti-luokanopettajien-kasityksista-monilukutaidosta/page/4/mode/2up

Lankshear, C., & Knobel, M. (2006). *New literacies: Everyday practices and classroom learning (2ⁿᵈ ed.)*. Open University Press.

Leland, C. H., & Harste, J. C. (2000). Multiple ways of knowing: Curriculum in a new key. *Language Arts, 77*(5), 406-413. ERIC Number: EJ489247

Lennox, S. (2013). Interactive read-alouds—An avenue for enhancing children's language for thinking and understanding: A review of recent research. *Early Childhood Education Journal, 41*(5), 381-389. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-013-0578-5

Lucey, T. A., & Giannangelo, D. M. (2006). Short changed: The importance of facilitating equitable financial education in urban society. *Education and Urban Society, 38*(3), 268-287. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013124506286942

Luke, A. (2004). Two takes on the critical. In B. Norton & K. Toohey (Eds.), *Critical pedagogies and language learning* (pp. 21-31). Cambridge University Press.

Lumbelli, L. (2009). *La comprensione come problema. Il punto di vista cognitivo*. Laterza.

Lynch, J., & Prins, E. (2022). *Teaching and learning about family literacy and family literacy programs*. Routledge.

Marsh, J. (2011). Young children's literacy practices in a virtual world: Establishing an online interaction order. *Reading research quarterly, 46*(2), 101-118.

Marzano, R. J. (2004). *Building background knowledge for academic achievement*. ASCD.

Maynard, T. (2007). Forest schools in Great Britain: An initial exploration. *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood, 8*(4), 320-331. https://doi.org/10.2304/ciec.2007.8.4.320

Michalsky, T., Mevarech, Z. R., & Haibi, L. (2009). Elementary school children reading scientific texts: Effects of metacognitive instruction. *The Journal of Educational Research, 102*(5), 363-374. https://doi.org/10.3200/JOER.102.5.363-376

Morrow, L. M. (2001). *Literacy development in the early years: Helping children read and write*. Allyn and Bacon.

Neuman, S. B., & Roskos, K. (1993). *Language and literacy learning in the early years: An integrated approach*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

Osborne, J. (2005). The Role of Argument in Science Education. In: Boersma, K., Goedhart, M., de Jong, O., Eijkelhof, H. (Eds.), *Research and the Quality of Science Education*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-3673-6_29

O'Toole, J., & Dunn, J. (2002). *Pretending to learn: Helping children learn through drama*. Pearson Education.

Paesani, K. (2020). *Multiliteracies Pedagogy and Teacher Development*. *Foreign Language Annals*, 53(2), 345-366. doi:10.1111/flan.12461.

Papert, S. (1993). *The children's machine: Rethinking school in the age of the computer*. Basic Books.

Paris, S. G., & Paris, A. H. (2003). Assessing narrative comprehension in young children. *Reading Research Quarterly, 38*(1), 36-76. https://doi.org/10.1598/RRQ.38.1.3

Pellegrini, A. D., & Smith, P. K. (1998). The development of play during childhood: Forms and possible functions. *Child Psychology and Psychiatry Review, 3*(2), 51-57. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1360641798001476

Perry, B., & Dockett, S. (2009). *Contemporary perspectives on early childhood education*. Open University Press.

Rajendram, S. (2020). A pedagogy of multiliteracies and its role in English language education. In J. K. Shin & P. Vinogradova (Eds.), *Contemporary foundations for teaching English as an additional language: Pedagogical approaches and classroom applications* (pp. 151-159). Routledge.

Resnick, L. B. (1987). Learning in school and out. *Educational Researcher, 16*(9), 13-20.

Rosenblatt, L. M. (1994). *The reader, the text, the poem: The transactional theory of the literary work*. Southern Illinois University Press.

Russell, V. (2020). Language anxiety and the online learner. *Foreign Language Annals*, 53(2), 338-352.

Seglem, R., & Garcia, A. (2022). *Multiliteracies in classrooms*. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.013.1803

Satter, E. (2000). *Child of Mine: Feeding with Love and Good Sense*. Bull Publishing Company.

Snow, C. E., Burns, M. S., & Griffin, P. (1998). *Preventing reading difficulties in young children*. National Academy Press.

Tan, J. P. L., & McWilliam, E. (2009). From Literacy to Multiliteracies: Diverse Learners and Pedagogical Practice. *Pedagogies. An International Journal, 4*(3), 213-225. https://doi.org/10.1080/15544800903076119

Torrance, E. P. (1995). *Why fly? A philosophy of creativity*. Ablex Publishing.

UNICEF. (2019). A world ready to learn: Prioritizing quality Early Childhood Education: Global report. UNICEF. https://uni.cf/3Ci0rOk

Wainwright, C., Goodwin, P., Smith, D., & Dressler, A. (2009). Exploring science: The impact of science fairs on students' learning. *School Science and Mathematics, 109*(5), 223-237. https://doi.org/10.37134/jpsmm.vol9.1.1.2019

Wright, S. (2003). *The arts, young children, and learning*. Allyn and Bacon.

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods (6th Ed.)*. Sage.

Zimmerman, C. (2007). The development of scientific thinking skills in elementary and middle school. *Developmental Review, 27*(2), 172-223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2006.12.001