

## Journal of the Hellenic Veterinary Medical Society

Vol 73, No 1 (2022)



### The synergistic effect of probiotic and phytobiotic for improving growth performance and biological indices in broiler chickens

Majid Gholami-Ahangaran, M. Karimi Dehkordi, M Ostadpoor, A. Ahmadi Dastgerdi, O. A. Mahdi, M. A. Jawad, Y. F. Mustafa

doi: [10.12681/jhvms.25492](https://doi.org/10.12681/jhvms.25492)

Copyright © 2022, Seyedeh Ommolbanin Ghasemian, Majid Gholami-Ahangaran, Oveys Pourmahdi, Asiye Ahmadi-Dastgerdi



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

### To cite this article:

Gholami-Ahangaran, M., Dehkordi, M. K., Ostadpoor, M., Dastgerdi, A. A., Mahdi, O. A. ., Jawad, M. A. ., & Mustafa, Y. F. . (2022). The synergistic effect of probiotic and phytobiotic for improving growth performance and biological indices in broiler chickens. *Journal of the Hellenic Veterinary Medical Society*, 73(1), 3681–3688.  
<https://doi.org/10.12681/jhvms.25492>

## The synergistic effect of probiotic and phytobiotic for improving growth performance and biological indices in broiler chickens

M. Gholami-Ahangarn<sup>1\*</sup>, M. Karimi-Dehkordi<sup>1</sup>, M. Ostadpoor<sup>2</sup>,  
A. Ahmadi-Dastgerdi<sup>3</sup>, O. A. Mahdi<sup>4</sup>, M. A. Jawad<sup>5</sup>, Y. F. Mustafa<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahrekord Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Graduated from Veterinary Medicine Faculty, Shahrekord Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Department of Food Science and Technology, Ardestan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ardestan, Iran

<sup>4</sup> Anesthesia Techniques Department, Al-Mustaqbal University College, Babylon, Iraq

<sup>5</sup> Al-Nisour University College, Baghdad, Iraq

<sup>6</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, University of Mosul, Mosul-41001, Iraq

**ABSTRACT:** The use of phytobiotics and probiotics show positive effect on the performance in poultry production and can produce healthy protein sources for humans. In this study, the effects of both commercial probiotic and phytobiotic were evaluated on a chicken experimental model. A total of 300 chicks were divided into 4 groups that fed the basal diet, diet containing probiotic (Protexin®), phytobiotic (garlic extract and Tichoke®), and probiotic plus phytobiotic all over the growing period. The growth indices were measured weekly, analyzed at the 21 and 42 days of age. At 42 days of age, blood samples were collected from all chickens. The concentration of liver enzymes, lipid profiles and antioxidant status were measured in blood samples. Results showed that the weight gain was significantly higher and FCR significantly lower in chickens which received probiotic alone or probiotic plus phytobiotic complex in comparison with chickens which received phytobiotic alone or control chickens ( $p < 0.05$ ). Furthermore, the addition of phytobiotic plus probiotic showed a significantly increase of blood GPx and TAS level in comparison to chickens that received probiotic or phytobiotic, alone. The level of TG, CHL and LDL were lower and the HDL value was higher in chickens which received phytobiotic or probiotic plus phytobiotic in comparison to chickens fed with probiotic or control chickens ( $p < 0.05$ ). The level of AST, ALT and ALP showed a significant decrease in chickens fed with probiotic plus phytobiotic. In conclusion, the continuous administration of the studied probiotic and phytobiotic in broiler diets can induce a synergistic effect on growth improvement, increasing of antioxidant capacity, reducing of serum lipids, and improvement of liver function in broiler chickens.

**Keywords:** Antioxidant, Chicken, Growth Performance, Phytobiotic, Probiotic.

*Corresponding Author:*

Majid Gholami-Ahangaran, Department of Poultry Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahrekord Branch, Islamic Azad University, P.O. Box:166, Shahrekord, Iran  
E-mail address: mgholami6@gmail.com

Date of initial submission: 06-12-2020  
Date of revised submission: 30-03-2021  
Date of acceptance: 17-04-2021

## INTRODUCTION

Antibiotics are commonly used in the poultry industry to prevent, and treat infectious diseases (Ben *et al.*, 2019). Some antibiotics promote growth of chickens; reduce mortality by reducing the level or activity of gastrointestinal pathogens, ultimately reducing the absorption of bacterial toxins, improving digestion, and absorption of nutrients (Gholami-Ahangaran *et al.*, 2019; Barton, Hart 2001). In addition, some antibiotics, which play a key role in boosting the immune system, are thought to stimulate the immune response (Gholami-Ahangaran *et al.*, 2020).

Probiotics have long been used as a live beneficial microbe in livestock. In poultry diets, it has positive effects improving the microbial balance of the gastrointestinal tract, (Sekhin *et al.*, 2010). According to the WHO (2001), probiotics are living microorganisms that can cause positive effects in the host. Probiotics may contain one or more strains of bacteria or yeast (Sánchez *et al.*, 2017). The bacteria species commonly used as probiotics include *Bacillus*, *Bifido bacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, *Enterococcus*, *Pediococcus*, *Leuconostoc*, *Escherichia coli* and *Streptococcus*, whereas the yeast species, mainly include *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and other *Saccharomyces* species (Pandey *et al.*, 2015; Fijan, 2014, Villena, Kitazawa, 2017). Probiotics benefits include the reduction of the dominance of pathogenic bacteria, balance of microbial populations, and stimulation of immune responses (Sekhon *et al.*, 2010).

Another alternative to the use of antibiotics can be herbs, and their derivatives (Gholami-Ahangaran *et al.*, 2020). The use of medicinal plants in poultry production provides beneficial effects for poultry due to the presence of phenolic, terpenoid and alkaloid compounds (Gheisar, Kim 2018). Phytobiotics include a wide range of plant-derived products which are added to poultry diets to enhance growth performance, improve meat quality, showing immunostimulatory, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant properties (Windisch *et al.*, 2008; Kumar *et al.*, 2014). The chemical composition and expected effects of the used phytobiotics depends on the botanical family, part of the plant used (flowers, leaves, seeds, etc.), geographical origin, and harvest season (Bakkali *et al.*, 2008).

Phytobiotics can increase growth by improving taste, increasing the secretion of digestive enzymes (Czech *et al.*, 2009), and modulation of enteric pathogenic bacteria (Gholami-Ahangaran *et al.*, 2020). The aim of our study was to evaluate the effectiveness of a

commercial standard probiotic (named Protexin®) and a phytobiotic (an artichoke extract named Tichoke® plus garlic extract) on several health indices in broiler chickens.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Study design

The study was undertaken with approval from the Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord Branch ethics committee for care and use of animal for research (Ethical No.: 95-711).

In this study, 300 one-day old broiler chicks (Ross 308) were randomly divided into 4 groups with 5 replicates, so that 15 chickens were allocated in each replicate until 42 days of age. All groups of chickens received freely feed, which was balanced according to Ross 308 production manual (Ross 308 Broiler Nutrition Specification, 2019), *ad libitum* sanitized drinking water, reared under same growing condition comprising continuous lighting program, mechanical ventilation, at least 50% air humidity, and comfortable temperature (Starting from 32 °C and gradually decreased to 21 °C until end of growing period). All chickens received Newcastle disease (ND) vaccines at 7 (Hiprviar B1, B1 strain), 18 (Nobilis ND Clone 30, Clone 30 strain), 35 (Cevac New L, LaSota strain) days of age.

Chickens of the group one (G1) received a commercial probiotic (Protexin®, Probiotics International Ltd., UK) according to the manufacturer's recommendation. Chickens of the group2 (G2) received phytobiotic (complexing of prepared garlic extract 100 mg/L, and artichoke extract; Tichoke®, Goldaru, Iran, 100mg/L). Chickens of the group 3 (G3) received the mentioned probiotic and mentioned phytobiotic by using both mentioned doses. Finally, chickens of the group4 (G4) did not receive any additives in the basal diet and were considered as negative control. The weight gain (WG), feed intake (FI), feed conversion rate (FCR) were measured weekly, and calculated at the 21 and 42 days of age.

At the 42 days of age, chickens of the four groups were weighed, and non-heparinized and heparinized blood samples were taken from wing vein. The serum samples obtained from the non-heparinized were utilized for measuring of humoral antibody against ND vaccine by using the hemagglutination inhibition test according to Allan and Gough (1976). For separation of plasma, the heparinized blood samples were cen-

trifuged at  $3,000 \times g$  for 15 min at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . All samples were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until analysis was carried out.

The concentration of plasma total protein (TP), triglyceride (TG), cholesterol (CHL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), aspartate transferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) levels were determined by commercial kits (Pars-Azmoon Co., Tehran, Iran) in a spectrophotometer (Technicon RA1000, H83014 model, Technicon Industrial Systems, Tarrytown, NY), according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Furthermore, the plasma total antioxidant status (TAS) was determined using Randox total antioxidant capacity test kit (Randox Laboratories Ltd., Crumlin, UK) as described by Miller *et al.* (1993). The blood superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was measured by Ransod spectrophotometric kit (Ransod, Randox Laboratories Ltd., Crumlin, UK), according to the method previously described by Woolliams *et al.* (1983). The blood Glutathione peroxidase (GPx) activity was assessed by Ransel spectrophotometric kit (Ransel, Randox Laboratories Ltd., Crumlin, UK) as described by Paglia and Valentine (1967).

### Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed with the One-way ANOVA method, using SPSS (version 22) statistical package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, US). Significant differences among the treatments were recognized at  $P < 0.05$  using Tukey test.

## RESULTS

### Growth performance

At the growth indices in 21 and 42 days of age there was no statistical differences between the chickens of the different groups during the growing period, while the WG and FCR showed statistically significant differences between both ages.

At the 21 days of age, the weight gain was significantly higher in chickens which received the probiotic, or the combination of the probiotic plus phytobiotic in comparison with chickens which only received the phytobiotic or control chickens ( $P < 0.05$ ).

The comparison of WGs in all treated groups at 42 days of age showed the highest WG and it was obtained in chickens which received both probiotic and phytobiotic that it did not show significant differences with chickens fed with probiotic only.

The FCR data in 21 and 42 days of age represent the same pattern as the lowest FCR was noted in chickens which received both probiotic and phytobiotic, and showing no statistically significant differences with those chickens which received probiotic only (Table 1).

### Biochemical parameters

The TP in all treated chickens was higher than the TP in the control group. In chickens which received both probiotic and phytobiotic, the TG, CHL, LDL were lower, and the HDL was higher than those parameters observed in chickens only fed with probiotic or the control chickens ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Table 2).

The comparison of the ALT, AST and ALP between the different treated groups showed that chickens that received diet supplemented with phytobiotic or probiotic did not have any significant differences with those parameters of the control chickens or chickens which received both probiotic and phytobiotic. The minimal value of the ALT, AST and ALP was noted in chickens which received both probiotic and phytobiotic that was significantly lower than the ones of the control group ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 2).

The concentration of SOD in all treated groups did not have any significant difference with SOD in control chickens. The GPx and TAS concentration was significantly higher in chickens fed with probiotic alone, phytobiotic alone and probiotic plus phytobiotic. Indeed, the addition of both phytobiotic and probiotic can significantly increase the activity of GPx and TAS in comparison with chickens that received probiotic or phytobiotic alone ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 2).

Finally, The IgG antibody titer against ND vaccine in control group was significantly lower than other groups ( $P < 0.05$ ). There was no significant difference in ND titers between chickens which received probiotic alone, phytobiotic alone and probiotic plus phytobiotic (Table 2).

**Table 1.** The growth parameters in broiler chickens fed with probiotic and phytobiotic at the 21 and 42 days of age

Index/ Groups	Feed intake (gr)		Weight gain (gr)		FCR	
	21 days of age	42 days of age	21 days of age	42 days of age	21 days of age	42 days of age
Probiotic	858±20 <sup>a</sup>	3620±150 <sup>a</sup>	729±9 <sup>a</sup>	2234±81 <sup>a</sup>	1.16±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	1.59±0.03 <sup>b</sup>
Phytobiotic	863±31 <sup>a</sup>	3510±183 <sup>a</sup>	701±15 <sup>b</sup>	2001±83 <sup>b</sup>	1.22±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	1.73±0.02 <sup>a</sup>
Probiotic plus Phytobiotic	873±30 <sup>a</sup>	3610±186 <sup>a</sup>	743±19 <sup>a</sup>	2284±90 <sup>a</sup>	1.16±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	1.58±0.03 <sup>b</sup>
Control	882±18 <sup>a</sup>	3588±202 <sup>a</sup>	710±18 <sup>b</sup>	2055±121 <sup>b</sup>	1.24±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	1.70±0.03 <sup>a</sup>

• The different superscript in each column represents significant differences between treatment group (P<0.05).

**Table 2.** The biochemical parameters in broiler chickens fed with probiotic, phytobiotic and probiotic plus phytobiotic at the 42 days of age

Index/Group	Probiotic	Phytobiotic	Probiotic plus Phytobiotic	Control
TP (gr/dl)	4.14±0.50 <sup>a</sup>	3.61±0.42 <sup>ab</sup>	4.11±0.45 <sup>a</sup>	3.11±0.22 <sup>b</sup>
TG (mg/dl)	94±11 <sup>b</sup>	72±15 <sup>c</sup>	59±19 <sup>c</sup>	125±14 <sup>a</sup>
CHL (mg/dl)	153±26 <sup>a</sup>	130±20 <sup>b</sup>	133±22 <sup>b</sup>	169±41 <sup>a</sup>
HDL (mg/dl)	69±15 <sup>b</sup>	75±23 <sup>ab</sup>	82±17 <sup>a</sup>	65±23 <sup>b</sup>
LDL (mg/dl)	63±19 <sup>a</sup>	44±14 <sup>b</sup>	43±19 <sup>b</sup>	78±20 <sup>a</sup>
ALT (U/L)	4.50±0.7 <sup>ab</sup>	4.30±0.6 <sup>ab</sup>	3.90±0.7 <sup>b</sup>	5.00±0.8 <sup>a</sup>
AST (U/L)	152±26 <sup>ab</sup>	135±43 <sup>ab</sup>	130±46 <sup>b</sup>	166±32 <sup>a</sup>
ALP (U/L)	2.90±0.23 <sup>a</sup>	2.77±0.34 <sup>a</sup>	2.53±0.23 <sup>b</sup>	2.95±0.25 <sup>a</sup>
SOD (U/mg Hb)	1145±90 <sup>a</sup>	1168±103 <sup>a</sup>	1175±127 <sup>a</sup>	1106±90 <sup>a</sup>
GPx (U/mg Hb)	188±26 <sup>b</sup>	139±27 <sup>b</sup>	200±20 <sup>a</sup>	103±24 <sup>c</sup>
TAS (mmol/L)	0.74±0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.77±0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.88±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.49±0.08 <sup>c</sup>
HI titer (NDV)	4.04±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	4.22±1.01 <sup>a</sup>	4.69±1.54 <sup>a</sup>	2.30±1.32 <sup>b</sup>

• The different superscript in each line represents significant differences between treatment group (P<0.05).

## DISCUSSION

In our study, the continuous administration of probiotics (Protexin<sup>®</sup>) or probiotic (Protexin<sup>®</sup>) plus phytobiotic (garlic extract and Tichoke<sup>®</sup>) in poultry diets increases growth indices by improving FCR and WG. The consumption of this phytobiotic has no effect on growth indices when was administrated alone in broiler chickens in our experimental model.

The current literature related to the effect of probiotics on growth indices showed very diverse results of using probiotic in poultry diets from not affecting on growth indices to improvement in all growth indices of treated birds (Gunal *et al.*, 2006; Shargh *et al.*, 2012). Gunal *et al.* (2006) and Shargh *et al.* (2012) reported that the use of probiotic has no effect on growth indices, while Khosravi *et al.* (2010) showed that it has no effect on feed intake and final weight but increases food efficiency. In another report, Murry *et al.* (2006) stated that *Lactobacillus*-based probiotics increased food efficiency and reduced FI. Also, Awad *et al.* (2009) noted the improvement in all growth indices following dietary supplementation with a *Lactobacillus*-based probiotic in chickens. The wide variation in the results of these studies on probiotics seems to be affected by several factors such as grow-

ing conditions, diet ingredients, types of probiotics, gastrointestinal pH, presence of stressors, administrated dose, and period of probiotic administration (Wang *et al.*, 2017).

Addition of phytobiotic complex in broiler diets enhanced growth performance at 21 and 42 days of age. The beneficial effect of phytobiotic complex may be partially related to garlic. In a study, Ziarlarimi *et al.* (2011) stated that garlic powder included many organosulfur compounds such as allicin, alliin, ajoene, diallylsulfide, dithiin, and S-allylcysteine, so that garlic as a natural plant-derived feed additive may be successfully used to improve broiler growth performance. The obtained results are in agreement with the findings of Khan *et al.* (2012) who observed that broiler treated with garlic powder had significantly higher live weight gain than control group. The same trend was also found by Suriya *et al.* (2012) who used 0.5% garlic powder in broiler diet. The better effect of garlic as natural feed additives might be due to increased enzymes activity of pancreas, which offer a better environment for digestion and absorption of nutrients (Ismail *et al.*, 2021).

Various studies have been performed to elucidate

the effects of the artichoke plant in poultry (Nadia *et al.*, 2007; Fallah *et al.*, 2013; Khoramshahi *et al.*, 2015; Mirderikvandi *et al.*, 2016). The role of this plant was previously studied in liver protection of Japanese quails (Nateghi *et al.*, 2013; Khoramshahi *et al.*, 2015), improving the performance index of laying hens (Nadia *et al.*, 2007; Yildiz *et al.*, 2006), and lowering cholesterol (Fallah *et al.*, 2013; Abdo *et al.*, 2007) in chickens. Several studies evaluated the effect of dried-leaf-powder or extract of artichoke on FI, WG and FCR in broilers, but showing a wide range on the effect of artichoke on growth indices. These results varied from no effect on growth indices (Tajodini *et al.*, 2015; Mirderikvandi *et al.*, 2016) to decrease of the FCR at the end of the growing period (Rouzmehr *et al.*, 2014), increase of the body weight (Lertpatarakomo *et al.*, 2015), increase of the WG and FI (Boroumandnia *et al.*, 2014), increase body weight, FI and decrease of the FCR (Shokri *et al.*, 2018), and decrease of final weight (Abdo *et al.*, 2007). However, the results of our study are consistent with previously reported works showing no effect on growth indices after administration of artichoke (Mirderikvandi *et al.*, 2016; Tajodini *et al.*, 2015). Several studies have been performed in order to elucidate the effects of probiotics on the antioxidant system in birds (Cross *et al.* 2002; Erdogan *et al.* 2010). Amaretti *et al.* (2013) showed that the use of probiotics increases antioxidant capacity, decreases oxygen radicals and reduces oxidative stress. In fact, probiotics produce butyric acid, hydrogen, which may play a stimulating role in the production of antioxidants and free radical scavenging (Zheng *et al.*, 2019). In our study, the use of probiotics had no effect on the SOD but increased the GPx, and TAS, significantly. Cross *et al.* (2002) and Erdogan *et al.* (2010) showed that probiotics had no effect on GPx level. In addition, Aluwong *et al.* (2013) showed that the use of yeast probiotics significantly increased GPx activity without affecting SOD in broilers. In another study, Bai *et al.* (2016) reported a *Bacillus subtilis* based probiotic increased antioxidants in broiler breast muscle. This is associated with increased mRNA expression of antioxidant genes, decreased oxidative damage in the pectoral muscle. Later, the same authors (Bai *et al.*, 2017) also demonstrated that the higher expression of SOD and GPx genes in mitochondria of the hepatic cells was directly related to feeding with probiotics in chickens.

In this study, we demonstrated that the use of artichoke extract alone could increase GPx and the combination of the probiotic and phytobiotic (garlic

extract and artichoke extract) also have synergistic activity in increasing GPx and TAS, which even showed significant differences with the groups that received probiotic or phytobiotic alone. There is scarcity of clinical research studies on the antioxidant properties of artichoke in poultry because most of the published studies have been performed in laboratory animals (Jimene-Escrig *et al.*, 2003). In rats, Jimene-Escrig *et al.* (2003) studied the antioxidant properties of artichoke and highlighted the *in vitro* antioxidant properties of this plant detecting increased blood GPx and had no effect on catalase and SOD, which is consistent with the findings of our study. In broilers, Mirderikvandi *et al.* (2016) stated that adding 500 mg/L of artichoke extract in drinking water for 2 weeks had no effect on MDA and reduced GPx. However, we found the increase in antioxidant activity after the use of the artichoke extract is related to the level of scavenging activity of AE. The antioxidant property of artichoke extract could be related to RSA (40% of AE content) and phenolic contents (5% of AE content, in the form of chlorogenic acid). The role of chlorogenic acid as a potent antioxidant has been previously demonstrated under *in vivo* and *in vitro* conditions (Sato *et al.*, 2011).

In the chickens received phytobiotic complex, the levels of TAS and GPx were significantly increased while no effect on SOD. Our results agreed those obtained by Nasiroleslami *et al.* (2018) who showed that broiler fed diet supplemented with guanidinoacetic acid significantly improved GPx activity in broiler liver and decreased serum MDA. Furthermore, Alagawany *et al.* (2007) demonstrated that dietary supplementation of garlic in diet had positive impact on SOD and TAS activities.

Several properties of probiotics in chickens have been previously studied (Makled *et al.*, 2019, Yan *et al.*, 2019; Ashayerizadeh *et al.*, 2011). The positive effects of probiotics in chickens are the improvement on growth indices, specific and non-specific immune responses, gastrointestinal health (Makled *et al.*, 2019), laying performance, bone strength (Yan *et al.*, 2019), but there is little information about the effect of probiotics in improving liver health in chickens. In our study, we found that the continuous consumption of the studied commercial probiotic can improve liver enzymatic activity, reduce total cholesterol and increase total protein. Several studies on the effect of probiotics on serum lipids in poultry were previously published (Ashayerizadeh *et al.* 2011; Abdulrahim *et*

*al.*, 1996; Mohan *et al.*, 1996). Ashayerizadeh *et al.* (2011) demonstrated that adding probiotic to broiler diet decrease serum cholesterol level in these animals. In addition, dietary supplementation with probiotic containing *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was demonstrated to decrease cholesterol in both egg yolk (Abdulahim *et al.*, 1996) and chicken serum (Mohan *et al.*, 1996). Amer and Khan (2012) showed that the supplementation with probiotic containing *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *B. subtilis*, *S. cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* decreases cholesterol in chicken serum after 6 weeks of initiate the addition of probiotics. Further to the ability of probiotic in elimination of lipids, these microorganisms can adsorb, detoxify the microbial toxin in the gastrointestinal tract and prevent the intestinal absorption. Detoxification of poisons in GIT inhibits the effect of toxins on hepatocytes (Markowik *et al.*, 2019). In our study, the increasing of TP may be related to the influence of probiotic on secretory function of the gastrointestinal tract that leads to increase digestion, adsorption, and subsequently can elevate total protein in plasma. It seems that the positive effects of probiotics on physiological function lead to an improvement in growth indices in chickens.

There are few studies previously reported on the effect of probiotics on liver enzyme profiles (Bityutsky *et al.* 2019; Gholami-Ahangaran *et al.*, 2016). Bityutsky *et al.* (2019) reported that the use of probiotics in quail could reduce the levels of liver enzymes of ALT and AST. The damage to the liver cell membrane causes these enzymes to be released into the bloodstream (Gholami-Ahangaran *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, the lack of increase in liver enzymes indicates no liver damage following probiotic supplementation.

In this study, AE was able to have effect on serum lipid profile, reducing CHL, TG, LDL and increasing HDL. Regarding the effect of artichoke on lipid metabolism, several studies in humans, mammals and poultry agreed that artichoke can positively affect lipid metabolism. Rouzmehr *et al.* (2014) reported that, although the addition of 200 g per ton of dried artichokes to the diet can increase WG and FI, reduced abdominal fat and blood CHL. Also, Abdo *et*

*al.* (2007) stated that consumption of 6% dried artichoke leaves in the diet causes weight loss. However, AE seems to reduce plasma cholesterol levels by increasing bile secretion and decreasing cholesterol biosynthesis (Edward *et al.*, 2015). In addition, there is evidence that the active ingredients in artichokes have the ability to inhibit HMG-CoA reductase (Gebhardt, 1998). In our study, the decrease of hepatic enzymes including ALT, AST and ALP, and decreased plasma lipid profiles may support this hypothesis because if lipids accumulated in hepatocytes, hepatic complications would manifest as elevated hepatic enzymes in plasma. Certainly, this improve of liver health is in accordance to the increase of antioxidant capacity and it can be due to the increased ability of antioxidants to protect liver cells against toxins and oxidants.

Findings of our study showed that supplementing diets with garlic and artichoke extract decreased blood TG and LDL, and increased TP, and HDL. These results are partially in agreement with Rahimi *et al.* (2011) who found in broilers that blood TG, and LDL concentrations were decreased, while HDL increased when fed enriched-diet with 0.1% garlic powder. At this regard, Issa and Omar (2012) showed that addition of garlic powder at 0.2% and 0.4% reduced significantly concentrations of TG, CHL, LDL in broilers, and increasing the level of HDL compared to control. The potential effect of garlic products may be due to depressing the lipogenic and cholesterologenic activity of liver enzymes such as fatty acid synthase, glucose-6-phosphatase dehydrogenase, malic enzyme, and 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase, consequently, the mechanism of hypocholesterol and hypolipid syntheses (Mahmoud *et al.*, 2010).

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that the continuous administration of the studied commercial probiotic along with artichoke and garlic extract in broiler chicken diets improved growth indices, increased antioxidant capacity, reduced serum lipids and improved liver function in the experimentally studied broiler chickens.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

## REFERENCES

- Abdo MA, Radwan NL, Selim NA (2007) The effect of artichoke leaves meal on the utilization of dietary energy for broiler chickens. *Int J Poult Sci* 12: 973-982.
- Abdulrahim SM, Haddad MSY, Hashlamoun EAR, Robinson RK (1996) The influence of *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, Bacitracin on layer performance of chickens, cholesterol content of plasma, egg yolk. *Br J Poult Sci* 37: 341-346.
- Alagawany M, Ashour E, Reda FM (2016) Effect of dietary supplementation of garlic (*Allium sativum*) and turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) on growth performance, carcass traits, blood profile and oxidative status in growing rabbits. *Ann Anim Sci* 16:489-505.
- Allan WH, Gough RE (1976) A comparison between the haemagglutination inhibition, complement fixation tests for Newcastle disease. *Res Vet Sci* 20: 101-103.
- Aluwong T, Kawu M, Raji M, Dzenda T, Govwang F, Sinkalu V, Ayo J (2013) Effect of Yeast Probiotic on Growth, Antioxidant Enzyme Activities, Malondialdehyde Concentration of Broiler Chickens. *Antioxidants* 2: 326-339.
- Amaretti A, Di Nunzio M, Pompei A, Raimondi S, Rossi M, Bordoni A (2013) Antioxidant properties of potentially probiotic bacteria, in vitro, in vivo activities. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 97: 809-817.
- Amer MY, Khan SH (2012) A comparison between the effects of a probiotic, an antibiotic on the performance of Desi chickens. *Vet World* 5: 160.
- Ashayerizadeh A, Dabiri N, Mirzadeh KH, Ghorbani MR (2011) Effect of dietary supplementation of probiotic, prebiotic on growth indices, serum biochemical parameters of broiler chickens. *J Cell Anim Biol* 5: 152-156.
- Awad WA, Ghareeb K, Abdel-Raheem SM, Böhm J (2009) Effects of dietary inclusion of probiotic, synbiotic on growth performance, organ weights, intestinal histomorphology of broiler chickens. *Poult Sci* 88: 49-56.
- Bai WK, Zhang FJ, He TJ, Su PW, Ying XZ, Zhang LL, Wang T (2016) Dietary Probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* Strain Increases Antioxidant Capacity, Oxidative Stability of Chicken Breast Meat during Storage. *PLoS ONE* 11: e0167339.
- Bai K, Huang Q, Zhang J, He J, Zhang L, Wang T (2017) Supplemental effects of probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* on growth performance, antioxidant capacity, meat quality of broiler chickens. *Poult Sci* 96: 74-82.
- Bakkali F, Averbeck S, Averbeck D, Idaomar M (2008) Biological effects of essential oils - a review. *Food Chem. Toxicol* 46: 446-475.
- Barton MD, Hart WS (2001). Public health risks: antibiotic resistance-review. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci* 14: 414-422.
- Ben Y, Fu C, Hu M, Liu L, Wong MH, Zheng C (2019) Human health risk assessment of antibiotic resistance associated with antibiotic residues in the environment: a review. *Environ Res* 169: 483-493.
- Bitvutsky V, Tsekhmistrenko S, Tsekhmistrenko O, Melnychenko O, Kharchyshyn V (2019) Effects of Different Dietary Selenium Sources Including Probiotics Mixture on Growth Performance, Feed Utilization, Serum Biochemical Profile of Quails. In: *Modern Development Paths of Agricultural Production*. Springer, Cham: pp 623-632.
- Boroumandnia Z, Mohammadzadeh S, Azizi M, Khaldari M (2014) The effect of adding artichoke extract to drinking water on performance, carcass characteristics, blood lipoprotein concentration in broiler chickens. *Iranian J Appl Anim Sci* 3: 71-79.
- Cross ML (2002) Microbes versus microbes: immune signals generated by probiotic lactobacilli, their role in protection against microbial pathogens. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 34: 245-253.
- Czech A, Kowalczyk E, Grela ER (2009) The effect of an herbal extract used in pig fattening on the animal performance, blood components. *Ann Univ Mariae Curie Skłodowska* 27: 25-33.
- Edward SE, da Costa Rocha I, Williamson EM, Heinrich M (2015) *Phytopharmacy: An evidence-based guide to herbal medicinal products*. UK: John Wiley, Sons. 218 pp.
- Erdoğan Z, Erdoğan S, Aslantaş Ö, Çelik S (2010) Effects of dietary supplementation of synbiotics, phytobiotics on performance, caecal coliform population, some oxidant/antioxidant parameters of broilers. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nut* 94: e40-e48.
- Fallah R, Kiani A, Azarfar A (2013) Effect of artichoke leaves meal, mentha extract (*Mentha piperita*) on immune cells, blood biochemical parameters of broilers. *Global Vet* 10: 99-102.
- FAO/WHO (2001) Report of a joint FAO/WHO expert consultation on evaluation of health, nutritional properties of probiotics in food including powder milk with live lactic acid bacteria. Cordoba: FAO/WHO Publishing. 23.
- Gebhardt R (1998) Inhibition of cholesterol biosynthesis in primary cultured rat hepatocytes by artichoke (*Cynara scolymus* L.) extracts. *J Pharmacol Exp Therap* 286: 1122-1128.
- Gheisar MM, Kim IH (2018) Phytobiotics in poultry, swine nutrition - a review. *Italian J Anim Sci* 17: 92-99
- Gholami-Ahangaran M, Rangsz N, Azizi S (2016) Evaluation of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) effect on biochemical, pathological parameters of liver, kidney in chicken aflatoxicosis. *Pharm Biol* 5: 780-787.
- Gholami-Ahangaran M, Peimani N, Dastgerdi AA (2019) The effect of thyme (*Thymus daenensis*) supplement on growth, hygienic parameters of broilers meat. *Iraqi J Vet Sci* 33: 87-92.
- Gholami-Ahangaran M, Ahmadi-Dastgerdi A, Karimi-Dehkordi M (2020) Thymol, carvacrol, as antibiotic alternative in green healthy poultry production. *Plant Biotechnol Persa* 2: 22-25.
- Gilliland SE, Nelson CR, Maxwell C (1985) Assimilation of cholesterol by *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 49: 377-381.
- Gunal M, Yayli G, Kaya O, Karahan N, Sulak O (2006) The effects of antibiotic growth promoter, probiotic or organic acid supplementation on performance, intestinal microflora, tissue of broilers. *Int J Poult Sci* 5: 149-155.
- Issa KJ, Omar JMA (2012) Effect of garlic powder on performance and lipid profile of broilers. *Open J Anim Sci* 2: 62-8.
- Ismail IE, Alagawany M, Taha AE, Puvača N, Laudadio V, Tufarelli V (2021) Effect of dietary supplementation of garlic powder and phenyl acetic acid on productive performance, blood haematology, immunity and antioxidant status of broiler chickens. *Anim Biosci* 34 (3) : 363-370.
- Jimene-Escrig A, Dragsted LO, Daneshvar B, Saura F, Saura-Calixto F (2003) In vitro antioxidant activities of edible artichoke (*Cynara scolymus* L.), effect on biomarkers of antioxidant in rats. *J Agric Food Chem* 51: 5540-5545.
- Khan RU, Nikousefat Z, Tufarelli V, Naz S, Javdani M, Laudadio V (2012) Garlic (*Allium sativum*) supplementation in poultry diets: effect on production and physiology. *Worlds Poult Sci J* 68: 417-424.
- Khoramshahi M, Samadi F, Ganji F, Zerehdaran S, Samadi S (2015) The effect of artichoke leaf powder on performance, internal organs weight, some blood parameters of Japanese quail poisoned with carbon tetrachloride. *Anim Sci Res* 25: 57-67.
- Khosravi A, Boldaji F, Dastar B, Hasani S (2010) Immune response, performance of broiler chicks fed protexin, propionic acid. *Int J Poult Sci* 9: 188-191.
- Kumar M, Kumar V, Roy D, Kushwaha R, Vaiswani S (2014) Application of herbal feed additives in animal nutrition - a review. *Int J Lives Res* 4: 1-8.
- Lertpatarakom R, Jaipeng P, Sirimongkolworaku S, Paditporn K, Mithaonthai J (2015). Effect of artichoke (*Cynara scolymus* L.) extract supplementation in drinking water on growth performance of broiler chickens. In: *20th European Symposium on Poultry Nutrition*, Prague, Czech Republic: pp 220-222.
- Mahmoud KZ, Gharaibeh SM, Zakaria HA, Qatramiz AM (2010) Garlic (*Allium sativum*) supplementation: influence on egg production, quality, and yolk cholesterol level in layer hens. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci* 23: 1503-1509.
- Makled MN, Abouelezz KFM, Gad-Elkareem AEG, Sayed AM (2019) Comparative influence of dietary probiotic, yoghurt, sodium butyrate on growth performance, intestinal microbiota, blood hematology, immune response of meat-type chickens. *Trop Anim Health Prod* 51: 2333-2342.
- Markowiak, P, Śliżewska K, Nowak A, Chlebicz A, Żbikowski A,

- Pawłowski K, Szeleszczuk P (2019) Probiotic microorganisms detoxify ochratoxin A in both a chicken liver cell line, chickens. *J Sci Food Agricult* 99: 4309-4318.
- Miller JK, Brzezinska-Slebodzinska E, Madsen FC (1993) Oxidative stress, antioxidants, animal function. *J Dairy Sci* 76: 2812-2823.
- Mirderikvandi M, Kiani A, Khaldari M, Alirezaei M (2016) Effects of artichoke (*Cynara scolymus* L.) extract on antioxidant status in chicken thigh meat. *Iranian J Vet Med* 10: 73-81.
- Mohan B, Kadriavel R, Natarajan A, Bhaskaran M (1996) Effect of probiotic supplementation on growth, nitrogen utilization, serum cholesterol in broilers. *Br Poult Sci* 37: 395-401.
- Murry AC, Hinton Jr A, Buhr RJ (2006) Effect of botanical probiotic containing lactobacilli on growth performance, populations of bacteria in the ceca, cloaca, carcass rinse of broiler chickens. *Int J Poult Sci* 5: 344-350.
- Nadia LR, Zeinab M, Abdo A, Hassan RA (2007) Effect of feeding artichoke leaves meal on productive, reproductive performance of Mandarrah hens. *Int J Poult Sci* 6: 826-834.
- Nasiroleslami M, Torki M, Saki AA, Abdolmohammadi AR (2018) Effects of dietary guanidinoacetic acid and betaine supplementation on performance, blood biochemical parameters and antioxidant status of broilers subjected to cold stress. *J Appl Anim Res* 46:1016-1022.
- Nateghi R, Samadi F, Ganji F, Zerehdaran S (2013) Hepatoprotective effects of *Cynara scolymus* L. extract on CCl4 induced liver injury in broiler chickens. *Int J Agricult Sci Res* 3: 678-688.
- Paglia DE, Valentine WN (1967) Studies on the quantitative, qualitative characterization of erythrocyte glutathione peroxidase. *J Lab Clin Med* 70: 158-169.
- Pandey KR, Naik SR, Vakil BV (2015) Probiotics, prebiotics, synbiotics-a review. *J Food Sci Technol* 52: 7577-7587.
- Rahimi S, Teymouri Zadeh Z, Karimi Torshizi MA, Omidbaigi R, Rokni H (2011) Effect of the three herbal extracts on growth performance, immune system, blood factors and intestinal selected bacterial population in broiler chickens. *J Agric Sci Technol* 13:527-539.
- Rai S, Wahile A, Mukherjee K, Saha BP, Mukherjee PK (2006) Antioxidant activity of *Nelumbo nucifera* (sacred lotus) seeds. *J Ethnopharm* 104: 322-327.
- Rouzmehr F, Mohit A, KoshSekeh M, Hassanzade M (2014) The effect of the additive containing artichoke extract (APC) on growth, blood cholesterol level, carcass characteristics, immune system of broiler chickens. *Iranian JVet Clin Pathol* 8 (1) : 357-366.
- Sato Y, Itagaki S, Kurokawa T, Ogura J, Kobayashi M, Hirano T, Iseki K (2011) In vitro, in vivo antioxidant properties of chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid. *Int JPharm* 403: 136-138.
- Sekhon BS, Jairath S (2010) Prebiotics probiotics, synbiotics: an overview. *JPharm Edu Res* 1: 13.
- Shargh MS, Dastar B, Zerehdaran B, Khomeiri M, Moradi A (2012) Effects of using plant extracts, a probiotic on performance, intestinal morphology, microflora population in broilers. *J ApplPoult Res* 21: 201-208.
- Shokri A, Akbari Gharaei M, Varmaghani S, Taherpour K, Khatibjoo A, Soltani M (2018) Effect of *Cynara scolymus* powder on performance, ascites syndrome in broiler chickens. *J Anim Prod* 20: 55-67.
- Suriya R, Zulkifli I, Alimon AR (2012) The effect of dietary inclusion of herbs as growth promoter in broiler chickens. *J Anim Vet Adv* 11:346-350.
- Tajodini M, Samadi F, Hasani S, Hashemi SR, Samadi S (2015) Influence of Artichoke (*Cynara scolymus*) Leaf Powder on Growth Performance, Carcass Traits, Blood Parameters in Broiler Chickens. *Iranian J ApplAnim Sci* 5: 141-146.
- Wang Y, Wu Y, Wang Y, Xu H, Mei X, Yu D, Wang Y, Li W (2017) Antioxidant properties of probiotic bacteria. *Nutrients* 9: 521.
- Windisch W, Schedle K, Plitzner C, Kroismayr A (2008) Use of phyto-genic products as feed additives for swine, poultry. *J Anim Sci* 86: 140-148.
- Woolliams JA, Wiener G, Anderson PH, McMurray CH (1983) Variation in the activities of glutathioneperoxidase, superoxide-dismutase, in the concentration of copper in the blood in various breed crosses of sheep. *Resp J Vet Sci* 34: 253-256.
- Yan FF, Murugesan GR, Cheng HW (2019) Effects of probiotic supplementation on performance traits, bone mineralization, cecal microbial composition, cytokines, corticosterone in laying hens. *Animal; an Int J Anim Biosci* 13: 33.
- Yildiz G, Sacakli P, Gungor T (2006) The effect of dietary Jerusalem artichoke (*Helianthus tuberosus* L.) on performance, egg quality characteristics, egg cholesterol content in laying hens. *Czech J Anim Sci* 51: 349-354.
- Zheng W, Dawei Z, Wenjun Y, Changhai Q, Jiang Y (2019) Probiotic Cocktails Alleviate Heat-induced Intestinal Barrier Dysfunction, Oxidative Stress in Broiler Chickens. *Anim Husb Feed Sci* 11: 107-114.
- Ziarlarimi A, Irani M, Gharahveysi S, Rahmani Z (2011) Investigation of antibacterial effects of garlic (*Allium sativum*), mint (*Menthe* spp.) and onion (*Allium cepa*) herbal extracts on *Escherichia coli* isolated from broiler chickens. *Afr J Biotechnol* 10:10320-10322.