

Open Schools Journal for Open Science

Vol 8, No 2 (2025)

Vol. 8 No. 2 (2025): Open Schools Journal for Open Science - Special Issue -IDEA Conference Proceedings



DIGITAL ETHICS: THE DARK SIDE OF SOCIAL MEDIA ALGORITHMS

Dimitris Polyzos, Ilias Koliatsas

doi: [10.12681/osj.43793](https://doi.org/10.12681/osj.43793)

Copyright © 2025, Dimitris Polyzos, Ilias Koliatsas



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

To cite this article:

Polyzos, D., & Koliatsas, I. (2025). DIGITAL ETHICS: THE DARK SIDE OF SOCIAL MEDIA ALGORITHMS. *Open Schools Journal for Open Science*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.12681/osj.43793>

DIGITAL ETHICS: THE DARK SIDE OF SOCIAL MEDIA ALGORITHMS

Dimitris Polyzos and Ilias Koliatsas

Abstract

Social media algorithms (SMAs) have become an inseparable part of the online experience, shaping what users see, how they interact, and even what they believe. While these algorithms are designed to enhance personalization and increase user engagement, they also pose significant ethical challenges. They influence mental health, reinforce echo chambers, and contribute to the spread of misinformation. In addition, they raise serious concerns about privacy, data collection, and manipulation, particularly among young and vulnerable users. This presentation aims to examine the darker implications of SMAs and proposes ways to implement ethical frameworks and regulations that promote responsible algorithm design and use.

Keywords: social media algorithms, digital ethics, misinformation, privacy, mental health, manipulation

1. Introduction

In our research, we explored the growing impact of social media algorithms on both individual behavior and societal structures. We examined how platforms like Facebook, Instagram, and YouTube use algorithmic models to customize user feeds and increase engagement, often at the expense of mental well-being, democratic values, and privacy. Our investigation drew on academic sources, journalistic reports, and case studies to highlight how the mechanisms behind these algorithms function, and what ethical concerns they raise. The purpose of this presentation is to raise awareness about these issues and suggest paths toward more responsible and transparent algorithm use.

2. Structure

- Introduction
- What Are Social Media Algorithms?
- The Purpose of Algorithms in Social Media
- Algorithmic Manipulation and Mental Health
- Privacy Concerns and Data Collection
- Echo Chambers and Filter Bubbles
- Spread of Misinformation
- Polarization and Political Impact
- Ethics of Targeted Advertising
- Youth and Algorithmic Exposure
- Regulations and Ethical Guidelines
- Responsible Algorithm Use
- Conclusion

3. What Are Social Media Algorithms?

Social media algorithms are complex computational models designed to filter and prioritize content based on user data and behavior. These systems evaluate factors such as a user's past interactions, post popularity, and content relevance in order to determine what appears in their feed. The goal is to create a personalized and engaging experience that keeps users on the platform longer. However, this tailored experience often comes at the cost of objectivity and exposure to diverse viewpoints.

4. The Purpose of Algorithms in Social Media

At their core, social media algorithms serve to optimize platform performance by maximizing user engagement. They do this by ranking, filtering, and recommending content based on an individual's behavior and preferences. While they may appear neutral, these algorithms exert a powerful influence on what we read, watch, and think. By controlling information flow, they have the potential to shape public opinion and individual choices in ways that are not always transparent or beneficial.

5. Algorithmic Manipulation and Mental Health

Young users are particularly vulnerable to the psychological effects of manipulative social media algorithms. These systems encourage excessive engagement, contributing to addiction, anxiety, and depression. Platforms are often criticized for prioritizing profit over user safety, failing to implement meaningful safeguards to protect adolescents from harmful content. The emphasis on idealized portrayals of life and body image can lead to serious mental health issues.

6. Privacy Concerns and Data Collection

SMA's function by collecting vast amounts of personal data, raising major privacy issues. This includes tracking user location, online behavior, preferences, and personal interactions. The ethical implications of data misuse, unauthorized sharing, and lack of informed consent are significant. Trust in platforms hinges on how well they protect this sensitive information.

7. Echo Chambers and Filter Bubbles

Eli Pariser's 'filter bubble' refers to personalized online information environments where users are mostly exposed to content that reinforces their existing views. At the community level, Cass Sunstein's 'echo chamber' describes how like-minded users engage with each other, shutting out differing opinions. Both phenomena contribute to ideological segregation and hinder productive discourse.

8. Spread of Misinformation

Algorithms amplify sensational and emotionally charged content to boost engagement, inadvertently fueling the spread of misinformation and fake news. These dynamics can distort public perception, influence political outcomes, and undermine trust in legitimate sources. The viral nature of false content, combined with user biases, makes misinformation a critical societal risk.

9. Polarization and Political Impact

Algorithms contribute to social and political polarization by reinforcing users' pre-existing beliefs and minimizing exposure to opposing viewpoints. They have been linked to election interference and the spread of extremist ideologies. While platforms like Facebook and Twitter deny intentional manipulation, the effects of algorithmic amplification on public opinion are undeniable.

10. Ethics of Targeted Advertising

Targeted advertising, while effective for commerce, raises ethical concerns when used in political campaigns or to exploit vulnerable audiences. These practices leverage personal data to influence decision-making, which can distort democratic processes and compromise user autonomy. The fine line between persuasion and manipulation is frequently crossed in digital marketing.

11. Youth and Algorithmic Exposure

Adolescents exposed to algorithmically boosted extreme content are at greater risk of developing mental health issues. Research links social media use to poor body image, disordered eating, and suicidal ideation among teens. The platforms' failure to intervene or adjust algorithmic recommendations in light of this evidence is a major ethical concern.

12. Regulations and Ethical Guidelines

To address these challenges, governments and institutions are developing frameworks for digital ethics and regulatory compliance. Ethical guidelines stress transparency, user autonomy, and data privacy. Regulators must ensure that companies comply with these principles to build trust and safeguard users' rights in the digital age.

13. Responsible Algorithm Use

Developers and platforms must prioritize transparency, accountability, fairness, and minimal data collection. Algorithms should be auditable, explainable, and regularly assessed for bias. Ethical development requires a human-centered approach focused on minimizing harm and maximizing benefit. Public awareness and education are key to promoting responsible digital citizenship.

14. Conclusion

Social media algorithms offer many advantages, but their unchecked influence can lead to serious ethical problems. As digital tools become more powerful, the responsibility to use them wisely becomes more urgent. Ethical algorithm design—guided by fairness, transparency, and accountability—is essential to build a digital future that serves the common good. By fostering a culture of digital ethics, we can ensure technology empowers rather than exploits users, and creates a safer, more inclusive online environment for all.

References

1. <https://www.fondationdescartes.org/en/home/>
2. <https://medium.com/>
3. <https://www.ashcroftcachecreekjournal.com/>