Decarbonizing Ports for a Sustainable Future: Challenges and Strategies

Evanthia Kostidi[1] and Dimitrios Lyridis[1]

[1]Laboratory for Maritime Transport, School of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, National Technical, University of Athens, 15773 Athens, Greece
ekostidi@mail.ntua.gr, dsvlr@mail.ntua.gr

Abstract. Ports are critical nodes in global trade and logistics, yet they are significant contributors to greenhouse gas emissions and local air pollution, presenting challenges for achieving sustainable development. This article explores the multifaceted efforts required to decarbonize ports, focusing on the integration of renewable energy, adoption of alternative fuels, investment in infrastructure modernization, efficiency improvement, and leveraging digital technologies. Drawing on case studies and a review of contemporary research, the paper identifies key strategies such as the implementation of shore-side power systems, predictive scheduling using artificial intelligence, and the development of port-specific microgrids. Despite technological advancements, barriers such as high capital costs, stakeholder misalignment, and fragmented policy frameworks hinder progress. The findings underscore the importance of international collaboration, regulatory alignment, and public-private partnerships to overcome these challenges. By synthesizing lessons from successful implementations worldwide, this paper provides actionable insights into decarbonizing ports while highlighting the environmental, economic, and social benefits of such transformations. Ultimately, this work argues for a systemic, collaborative approach to achieving sustainable maritime operations and advancing global decarbonization goals.

Keywords. Port decarbonization, sustainable maritime operations, renewable energy, alternative fuels, shore-side power, digitalization, climate change mitigation, global trade sustainability

1 Introduction

The decarbonization of ports has emerged as a critical element in the broader effort to combat climate change and transition to a sustainable global economy. Ports, as essential hubs for international trade and logistics, are significant sources of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions due to vessel operations, cargo handling, and associated transportation activities. According to the International Maritime Organization (IMO), maritime transport contributes nearly 3% of global CO_2 emissions, with ports playing a central role in these emissions [1]. As the demand for global trade intensifies, addressing the carbon footprint of ports becomes increasingly urgent.

The significance of port decarbonization lies not only in mitigating climate change but also in addressing associated environmental and public health challenges. Ports are often situated near densely populated urban areas, where emissions from port operations contribute to air pollution, posing health risks such as respiratory and cardiovascular diseases [2]. Furthermore, as international regulatory bodies like the IMO set ambitious goals to reduce shipping emissions by 50% by 2050, ports must align their operations with these targets to remain compliant and competitive [1].

Recent research has highlighted a range of strategies for decarbonizing ports, including the adoption of renewable energy, electrification of port equipment, and the use of alternative fuels such as hydrogen and liquefied natural gas (LNG) [3]. Digitalization and artificial intelligence (AI) have also been proposed as tools for optimizing logistics and improving energy efficiency [4]. While these approaches offer promising pathways, their implementation is fraught with challenges, including high capital costs, technological integration difficulties, and the need for harmonized policy frameworks.

Debates within the field reflect divergent views on the most effective strategies for achieving decarbonization. For instance, some researchers advocate for immediate large-scale investments in renewable energy infrastructure, while others argue for incremental approaches to reduce risks and ensure adaptability [5]. Additionally, there is ongoing debate regarding the role of alternative fuels like LNG, with critics highlighting that LNG, while cleaner than conventional marine fuels, still emits significant amounts of CO_2 and methane.

The purpose of this article is to explore the challenges and strategies associated with decarbonizing ports, drawing on lessons from case studies and examining the interplay between technological innovation, policy frameworks, and stakeholder collaboration. By synthesizing insights from key research, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of port decarbonization and identify actionable pathways for achieving a sustainable maritime future.

Successful decarbonization requires an integrated approach combining technological innovation, supportive policies, and collaborative governance. The findings underscore the importance of tailoring strategies to local contexts while ensuring alignment with global sustainability goals. By addressing these challenges and leveraging opportunities, ports can transition toward sustainable operations, contributing to a greener, healthier future.

In the following sections, this paper explores the multifaceted dimensions of port decarbonization, beginning with The Strategic Imperative of Port Decarbonization, which highlights the critical role of ports in combating climate change and achieving sustainability goals. Policy and Collaboration: Driving Forces Behind Port Decarbonization examines the regulatory frameworks, incentive schemes, and collaborative initiatives propelling these efforts forward. The discussion continues with Key Stakeholder Perspectives on Port Sustainability, offering insights into the roles and expectations of stakeholders such as port authorities, governments, shipping companies, and local communities. The Challenges of Port Decarbonization delves into the technical, financial, and operational barriers to transitioning to greener operations. A comprehensive analysis of the Environmental, Economic, and Social Benefits of Port Decarbonization underscores the advantages of sustainable practices. Finally, the paper concludes with a synthesis of findings and recommendations for fostering a sustainable future for global port systems.

2 The Strategic Imperative of Port Decarbonization

Port decarbonization has become essential in addressing climate change due to the critical role ports play in global trade and logistics and their significant contribution to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Maritime transport, including port activities, accounts for approximately 3% of global CO_2 emissions, a figure projected to grow significantly without intervention [1]. The urgency of port decarbonization is underscored by the intensification of global trade, which increases the volume of cargo processed and, consequently, the carbon footprint of port operations. Studies reveal that container port activity alone accounts for significant emissions, primarily through diesel-powered equipment and idling ships [6]. Also, cruise vessels at Heraklion have significant increase on average fuel and energy consumption in port (compared to previous years) and this is due to significant increase on average duration of stay at port, which means higher fuel-energy consumption and air emissions at port [7]. Although air quality levels due to the operation of the port of Piraeus are below limits, the anticipated external costs due to health and other damages ship emissions impose, reach to 23.7M€ [8]. With trade volumes expected to grow, addressing the environmental impact of port operations is vital for reducing global emissions and achieving long-term climate goals. Emissions at ports stem from vessels, terminal operations, hinterland transportation, and energy-intensive equipment, positioning ports as key stakeholders in global sustainability efforts. Study by [9] concludes that Environmental Management Systems (EMS) serve as transformative tools in Greek ports, driving strategic decision-making, setting measurable targets, restructuring operations, optimizing resource allocation, and delivering tangible environmental and operational benefits.

2.1 Primary Sources of Emissions in Ports

Port decarbonization requires understanding the primary sources of emissions, the technical hurdles in transitioning to low-carbon technologies, and the economic implications of such initiatives.

- **Ship Emissions:**
  Ships generate significant emissions from main and auxiliary engines and boilers, particularly when idling, maneuvering, or operating at low speeds near ports. These emissions include CO_2, NO_x, and SO_x, which contribute to climate change and local air pollution.
  During cargo loading and unloading, emissions result from the use of diesel-powered equipment like shipboard cranes. These operations are particularly impactful in high-activity ports.

- **Port Operations:**

Diesel-powered machinery, such as cranes and forklifts, used in terminal operations are major contributors to emissions. Transitioning to electric or hydrogen-powered alternatives requires significant investment and infrastructure updates.

Ships docked at ports rely on Auxiliary Power Units (APUs) to power onboard systems, contributing to emissions even when vessels are stationary. Expanding shore power infrastructure can mitigate this, but it demands substantial coordination and funding.

- **Landside Transport:**

Trucks transporting goods to and from ports are another significant source of emissions. Diesel engines used in these vehicles release CO_2 and particulate matter, exacerbating pollution in and around port areas.

Table 1. Primary Sources of Emissions in Ports

Ship Emissions
o Emissions from main engines, and auxiliary.
o Diesel-powered shipboard cranes.
Port Operations
o Diesel-powered equipment.
o Ships docked at ports rely on Auxiliary Power Units.
Landside Transport
o Trucks transporting goods.

2.2 Environmental and Public Health Impacts

The urgency of port decarbonization is driven by its dual role in mitigating climate change and addressing localized environmental challenges. Ports are often situated near densely populated urban areas where emissions exacerbate air quality problems, releasing pollutants such as sulfur oxides (SO_x), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), and particulate matter (PM) [2]. These pollutants contribute to serious health issues, including respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, disproportionately affecting vulnerable communities in the vicinity of ports. Reducing these emissions is vital for fostering healthier and more sustainable urban environments.

2.3 Regulatory Pressures and Compliance

International regulatory frameworks, such as the International Maritime Organization’s (IMO) initial GHG strategy, have established ambitious decarbonization targets, including a 50% reduction in maritime emissions by 2050 compared to 2008 levels. Compliance with these regulations is essential for ports to remain competitive in the global market, as non-compliance risks trade disruptions and diminished stakeholder confidence [3].

2.4 Economic and Competitive Advantages

Decarbonization efforts offer significant economic and competitive benefits for ports. Transitioning to low-carbon technologies, such as electrified equipment and renewable energy systems, can lower energy consumption, reduce operating costs, and enhance operational efficiency [5]. Ports adopting sustainable practices are increasingly attractive to shipping lines and cargo owners seeking to minimize their environmental impact. This alignment with the growing demand for sustainability gives ports a competitive edge in green markets and trade agreements. Ports showcasing sustainability commitment are more likely to attract investments and favorable financing [10]. Also, Sustainable ports may attract and retain talent, especially younger professionals prioritizing environmental responsibility.

2.5 Enhanced Public Image and Stakeholder Relations

Ports that demonstrate a commitment to decarbonization and sustainability improve their corporate social responsibility (CSR) profile. This enhances their reputation among communities, regulatory bodies, and international stakeholders. Sustainable ports are viewed as industry leaders, gaining recognition and fostering stronger collaborations with partners and investors [5].

2.6 Alignment with Broader Sustainability Goals

Port decarbonization aligns with broader economic and societal objectives, including the transition to renewable energy, electrified infrastructure, and low-carbon technologies. These measures not only reduce emissions but also enhance the resilience and long-term viability of port operations. Ports adopting sustainable practices are better positioned to adapt to evolving environmental regulations, attract investments, and secure their role in a low-carbon global economy.

By embracing decarbonization as a strategic priority, ports can achieve significant environmental, economic, and social benefits while positioning themselves as key leaders in sustainable global trade.

3 Policy and Collaboration: Driving Forces Behind Port Decarbonization

3.1 Policy Frameworks

Policy frameworks are crucial for driving port decarbonization [5]; [11]; [12]. Regulations and standards, managed by public authorities, are vital for enforcing decarbonization measures by port authorities, operators, tenants, and ships. Ports are responsible for implementing provisions of international agreements like the Paris Agreement and domesticating environmental regulations like the MARPOL convention [5]. For example, port authorities may utilize decarbonization regulations and standards to prohibit fossil-fuel-powered cargo handling equipment, requiring polluters to adopt specific technical measures to reduce emissions [5]. However, environmental regulations can sometimes be perceived as barriers to decarbonization, particularly in port regions where various functions, including residential, logistical, and industrial activities, co-exist in a limited space. This highlights the need for balanced and well-coordinated policies.

3.2 Incentive Schemes

Incentive schemes play a significant role in promoting sustainable practices in ports [5] [3]. Incentive programs, such as the Green Flag Program at the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, provide financial benefits, like reduced port fees, to encourage vessels to reduce speed and emissions near the port [5]. Financial support mechanisms, such as the European Maritime Climate Fund and the Getting Zero Coalition, provide funding opportunities for ports to invest in decarbonization technologies and offset GHG emissions [5]. However, financial barriers, including limited access to capital and the high upfront costs of green technologies, remain a challenge.

3.3 Collaboration and Stakeholder Engagement

Collaboration is essential for successful port decarbonization [5]; [11]. Collaborative initiatives, like the World Ports Climate Action Program (WPCAP), encourage cooperation among stakeholders to implement decarbonization strategies [13]; [3]. The WPCAP promotes sharing best practices and information on emission reduction measures, particularly in areas like decarbonizing cargo handling facilities. Ports can also act as community and cluster managers, engaging stakeholders to align sustainability goals, promote knowledge sharing, and develop joint decarbonization projects [12]. For example, the Port of Hamburg and the Port of Barcelona actively share knowledge on relevant national and European policies and best practices with companies in the port. Stronger collaboration among stakeholders, such as port authorities, governments, industries, and community groups, is essential for successfully adopting zero-emission technologies [5]; [3].

Decarbonizing ports requires a multifaceted strategy combining renewable energy, low-carbon fuels, technological innovation, operational efficiency, and policy collaboration. By adopting these approaches, ports can significantly reduce their carbon footprint, enhance energy efficiency, and contribute to global efforts to combat climate change while fostering sustainable economic growth.

4 Key stakeholder perspectives on port sustainability

Ports need to manage relationships with a diverse group of stakeholders, including terminal operators, vessel operators, railways, trucking companies, industry associations, communities, government agencies, and indigenous groups [13]. Managing these relationships is critical for a port's survival, but it is complex because port stakeholders often have conflicting interests and expectations [13].

Stakeholder pressure is a relevant driver of corporate sustainability (CS) performance [13]. A port's stakeholders may apply pressure from social, environmental, and economic perspectives [13]. Different stakeholders also have different levels of power to influence port functions [13].

Here are a few examples of stakeholder perspectives on port sustainability initiatives:

- Shipping companies may pressure ports to accommodate larger vessels, requiring dredging and infrastructure adaptation, potentially conflicting with community demands for reduced environmental impacts [13].
- Local communities and NGOs may demand reduced emissions, while governments and regulatory agencies may impose regulations and standards to enforce decarbonization measures [13]; [5].
- Customers may demand sustainable practices, influencing ports to implement measures like shore power installation. For example, the Port of Vancouver's shore power project was supported by various stakeholders, including the government, shipping lines, and the utility company [13].
- Ports can foster collaboration and knowledge sharing among stakeholders to align sustainability goals [13]; [12]. For instance, the Port of Hamburg and the Port of Barcelona actively share knowledge with companies in the port [5].

Understanding the perspectives and influences of various stakeholders is crucial for port managers to develop and implement effective sustainability strategies. Successful implementation of these strategies often depends on a strong stakeholder engagement strategy that moves beyond ad-hoc involvement to continuous inclusion [13].

5 The Challenges of Port Decarbonization

While many ports have made progress in reducing carbon emissions, several economic, social, technological, and administrative barriers can impede the transition to more sustainable practices.

5.1 Economic Barriers

- **High Investment and Retrofitting Costs:** Ports face high costs to construct cold ironing facilities and update power grid connections. For example, the shoreside investment for cold ironing can range from $300,000 to $4 million per berth. Ship owners also face retrofitting costs between $300,000 to $1–2 million per ship [14]. Empirical analysis by a multi-criteria decision support framework, applied to the port of Piraeus, Greece, concluded costly and seemingly obligatory actions under current European legislation, like cold ironing and LNG, to be robust and in the right direction if perception of non-financial risks is reduced [15].
- **High Costs and Access to Capital Issues:** Decarbonization technologies are expensive, which can create an imbalance between environmental goals and economic realities. Ports often prioritize short-term financial goals over long-term sustainability benefits, particularly when access to capital is limited [5].

- **Split Incentives and Free Rider Problem:** A port authority may invest in decarbonization technologies, but see little return if subcontractors, who benefit from the measures, have no incentive to reduce their energy use [5].

5.2 Social Barriers

- **Conflicting Stakeholder Interests:** Managing relationships with various stakeholders, such as terminal operators, vessel operators, communities, and government agencies, is challenging due to their diverse interests and expectations. For example, shipping companies may want to use larger ships, which can require dredging that negatively impacts the environment [16]; [11]; [12].
- **Lack of Public and Stakeholder Awareness:** The lack of information about decarbonization technologies and their benefits can lead to uncertainty and missed opportunities. Sharing information in a clear and concise manner is crucial to increasing buy-in [5].
- **Social Acceptance:** Ports face challenges in gaining public acceptance for new technologies. For example, there may be pushback from community groups on the use of alternative fuels like ammonia and hydrogen due to safety concerns [5].

5.3 Technological Barriers

- **Technology Readiness and Abatement Potential:** While some decarbonization technologies are mature, others, like carbon capture, are not fully developed or available on a large scale [5]; [17]. As technology advances and scales up, previously limiting barriers are gradually overcome, making decarbonization solutions more viable and widely adopted [18].
- **Incompatibility:** Existing port infrastructure, ship types, and operations may not be compatible with certain technologies. For instance, bulk ships are rarely fitted with OPS, while cruise ships or container ships, which frequent ports, benefit from OPS. Similarly, varying voltage and frequency requirements between ports and ships can pose challenges [5]; [12]. Energy reformation of ports toward decarbonization via electrification for example, necessitates a holistic approach toward the transformation of ports into sustainable and smart energy hubs encompassing cutting-edge smart grid technologies [18].
- **Cybersecurity Risks:** Increased reliance on information technology (IT) and digitalization for implementing decarbonization measures creates new cybersecurity and data privacy risks [5]. The continued advancement of blockchain technology and related fields promises to unlock significant value for the marine industry.

5.4 Administrative Barriers

- **Lack of Expertise and Resources:** Some ports, especially smaller ones, may lack the knowledge, skilled staff, and financial resources to analyze, evaluate, and manage the complexities of decarbonization projects [5]; [11].

- **Complex Regulatory Frameworks:** Navigating the diverse and evolving regulatory landscape, which includes international agreements, national policies, and local regulations, can be complex. Environmental regulations are often perceived as a barrier to decarbonization, especially in industrial port areas [5]; [11]; [12]; [3].
- **Permit Procedures:** The permit procedures for sustainability projects can be complicated and time-consuming [12].

Overcoming these barriers requires a multi-faceted approach that includes technological innovation, policy support, financial incentives, stakeholder collaboration, and knowledge sharing. Ports can act as catalysts by developing comprehensive decarbonization strategies that address both short-term and long-term goals and prioritize initiatives that maximize environmental benefits while minimizing economic burdens.

6 Environmental, Economic, and Social Benefits of Port Decarbonization

Port decarbonization, through energy efficiency measures and the adoption of sustainable technologies, offers a range of environmental, economic, and social benefits, contributing to the long-term viability of ports and their surrounding communities. By transitioning to cleaner operations, ports can reduce their ecological footprint, enhance their competitiveness, and improve the well-being of surrounding communities.

6.1 Environmental Benefits

- **Reducing Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions:** By transitioning to cleaner energy sources like shore power, renewable energy, and alternative fuels, ports can significantly reduce their carbon footprint, helping mitigate climate change and comply with international agreements like the Paris Agreement [14]; [5]; [17]. This transition helps address emissions from various port activities, including cargo handling, vessel operations, and land transport.
- **Improving Air Quality:** Implementing shore power and utilizing alternative fuels can significantly reduce air pollutants like sulfur oxides (SOx), nitrogen oxides (NOx), and particulate matter (PM), leading to improved respiratory health and reduced healthcare costs for surrounding populations. For instance, using shore power can reduce CO2 emissions by 50% and NOx emissions by 97% [16].
- **Reduced Noise Pollution:** Transitioning to electric vehicles and equipment and utilizing shore power can minimize noise levels in port areas, creating a more pleasant environment for workers and nearby residents [17].

6.2 Economic Benefits

- **Enhanced Operational Efficiency** (Table 2): Implementing digitalization measures, automating processes, and optimizing energy consumption can streamline operations, reduce costs, and improve cargo handling efficiency [14];

[5]; [19]. For example, using a digital platform like Pronto at the Port of Rotterdam allows for better planning and monitoring of port calls, reducing turnaround time and associated costs [13].

- **Reduced Energy Costs:** Investing in renewable energy sources, like solar or wind power, can reduce reliance on fossil fuels, leading to lower and more stable energy costs for port operations [5]; [19].
- **Increased Competitiveness:** Ports that embrace sustainability attract environmentally conscious customers and shipping lines, strengthening their market position. They may also benefit from preferential treatment in terms of regulations, port fees, and access to funding [5]; [12].
- **New Business Opportunities:** Investing in alternative fuels, renewable energy infrastructure, and innovative technologies creates new business opportunities and economic growth for the port and the wider maritime sector [5]; [12].

Table 2. Operational Efficiency and Optimization

Strategy	Description	Benefits
	Digitalization and AI	
Predictive Scheduling	AI-powered systems forecast vessel arrivals and departures, optimizing berth usage and minimizing idling time.	Reduced emissions from ship idling.
Real-Time Traffic Management	Smart traffic management systems for landside transport optimize traffic flow and reduce congestion.	Decreased fuel consumption and reduced emissions from trucks.
	Energy Efficiency in Cargo Handling	
Automation	Automated equipment reduces energy consumption and improves precision.	Lower energy consumption and reduced emissions.
Energy Monitoring Systems	Advanced tools track and optimize power consumption of port equipment.	Optimized energy usage and reduced emissions.
	Collaborative Supply Chain Management	
Integrated Platforms	Cloud-based platforms enable seamless coordination among stakeholders.	Reduced wait times and improved efficiency, leading to lower emissions.
Port-Community Systems	Data sharing platforms enhance transparency and decision-making.	Improved efficiency and reduced operational emissions.

6.3 Social Benefits

- **Job Creation:** Transitioning to a green port ecosystem creates new jobs in areas like renewable energy, green technology development, and sustainable infrastructure construction.
- **Improved Public Health:** Reducing air and noise pollution improves the health and well-being of local communities, leading to fewer respiratory illnesses, lower healthcare costs, and a better quality of life [5]; [14]; [19].
- **Enhanced Community Relations:** Ports that prioritize sustainability and engage with local communities build trust, reduce conflicts, and foster a more positive relationship with their neighbors.
- **Contribution to the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs):** Port decarbonization efforts contribute to achieving several SDGs, including affordable and clean energy Goal 7, sustainable cities and communities Goal 11, responsible consumption and production Goal 12, climate action Goal 13, and life below water Goal 14 [5].

It's important to note that realizing these benefits requires a comprehensive and integrated approach. Ports need to develop clear decarbonization strategies that address economic, technological, social, and policy challenges while ensuring that all stakeholders are involved and their perspectives are considered. Overall, port decarbonization is a win-win-win proposition. It is an investment in a healthier planet, a stronger economy, and a better future for port cities and communities worldwide. By embracing sustainability, ports can position themselves as leaders in the global maritime industry, contributing to a more resilient and equitable world.

7 Conclusions

Decarbonizing ports is a critical component of the global effort to combat climate change and achieve sustainable maritime operations. This paper highlights the multifaceted challenges and innovative strategies that ports must navigate to transition toward low-carbon futures. Key obstacles, such as high capital costs, technological integration issues, and the need for cohesive policy frameworks, underscore the complexity of this transformation. Simultaneously, the significant environmental, economic, and social benefits, including reduced greenhouse gas emissions, improved air quality, and enhanced competitiveness, illustrate the imperative for action.

Successful case studies from ports demonstrate that collaboration, incremental implementation, and supportive policy frameworks are instrumental in overcoming these barriers (section 6). The integration of renewable energy sources, adoption of alternative fuels, and investment in digital technologies offer scalable solutions to reduce emissions and improve operational efficiency. However, achieving global decarbonization requires harmonized international regulations, equitable access to funding, and stakeholder buy-in across the public and private sectors.

Decarbonization, though demanding, offers long-term benefits exceeding costs. Ports embracing sustainability are better positioned for growth, profitability, and resilience in a world increasingly focused on environmental responsibility. While the transition to decarbonized ports requires significant investment and effort, the long-term benefits far outweigh the costs. Ports that embrace sustainability are better positioned for future growth, profitability, and resilience in a world increasingly focused on environmental responsibility.

Ultimately, decarbonizing ports is not merely a technological or operational challenge but a transformative process requiring systemic changes in infrastructure, governance, and industry practices. By leveraging lessons learned, fostering innovation, and strengthening collaboration, ports can play a pivotal role in achieving a sustainable future for the maritime industry and the global economy. This transition, while ambitious, is vital for mitigating the impacts of climate change and ensuring long-term resilience in a rapidly evolving world.

References

1. IMO, 'IMO strategy on reduction of GHG emissions from ships', 2023, Accessed: Dec. 06, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://wwwcdn.imo.org/localresources/en/MediaCentre/PressBriefings/Documents/Clean%20version%20of%20Annex%201.pdf
2. L. Zhang, Q. Zeng, and L. Wang, 'How to Achieve Comprehensive Carbon Emission Reduction in Ports? A Systematic Review', Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, vol. 12, no. 5, p. 715, 2024.
3. D.-P. Song, 'A Literature Review of Seaport Decarbonisation: Solution Measures and Roadmap to Net Zero', Sustainability, vol. 16, no. 4, p. 1620, 2024.
4. W. Chen, Y. Men, N. Fuster, C. Osorio, and A. A. Juan, 'Artificial Intelligence in Logistics Optimization with Sustainable Criteria: A Review', Sustainability, vol. 16, no. 21, Art. no. 21, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.3390/su16219145.
5. A. S. Alamoush, D. Dalaklis, F. Ballini, and A. I. Ölcer, 'Consolidating Port Decarbonisation Implementation: Concept, Pathways, Barriers, Solutions, and Opportunities', Sustainability, vol. 15, no. 19, p. 14185, 2023.
6. A. Elentably and B. Aljbhan, 'REDUCE CARBON EMISSIONS FROM CONTAINER DOCK EQUIPMENT ANDCRANES IN SAUDI PORTS', Zibaldone Estudios italianos, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 21–35, 2024.
7. E. Doundoulakis, S. Papaefthimiou, and I. Sitzimis, 'Environmental impact assessment of passenger ferries and cruise vessels: the case study of Crete', European Transport/Trasporti Europei, no. 87, pp. 1–15, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.48295/ET.2022.87.2.
8. A. G. Progiou, E. Bakeas, E. Evangelidou, Ch. Kontogiorgi, E. Lagkadinou, and I. Sebos, 'Air pollutant emissions from Piraeus port: External costs and air quality levels', Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, vol. 91, p. 102586, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.trd.2020.102586.
9. C. Chlomoudis, P. Kostagiolas, P. Pallis, and C. Platias, 'Environmental management systems in Greek ports: A transformation tool?', Environmental Challenges, vol. 14, p. 100837, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.envc.2024.100837.
10. I. de Calonje and R. de la Orden, 'Sustainability-Linked Finance— Mobilizing Capital for Sustainability in Emerging Markets', IFC, a member of the World Bank Group, 2022. Accessed: Dec. 18, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.icmagroup.org/assets/documents/Sustainable-finance/2024-updates/Sustainability-Linked-Bond-Principles-June-2024.pdf

11. F. Almeida, 'Challenges in the digital transformation of ports', Businesses, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 548–568, 2023.
12. C. Hendriks and V. de Gooyert, 'Towards sustainable port areas: dynamics of industrial decarbonization and the role of port authorities', 2023, Accessed: Dec. 13, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://repository.ubn.ru.nl/bitstream/handle/2066/291818/291818.pdf
13. M. Ashrafi, T. R. Walker, G. M. Magnan, M. Adams, and M. Acciaro, 'A review of corporate sustainability drivers in maritime ports: a multi-stakeholder perspective', Maritime Policy & Management, vol. 47, no. 8, pp. 1027–1044, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1080/03088839.2020.1736354.
14. N. N. Abu Bakar, N. Bazmohammadi, J. C. Vasquez, and J. M. Guerrero, 'Electrification of onshore power systems in maritime transportation towards decarbonization of ports: A review of the cold ironing technology', Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 178, p. 113243, May 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2023.113243.
15. O. Karountzos, G. Kagkelis, and K. Kepaptsoglou, 'A Decision Support GIS Framework for Establishing Zero-Emission Maritime Networks: The Case of the Greek Coastal Shipping Network', J geovis spat anal, vol. 7, no. 2, p. 16, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s41651-023-00145-1.
16. M. Acciaro, 'Strategies for a Greener Blue Economy', Baltic Rim Economies, no. 3, p. 26, 2024.
17. A. Fadiga, L. M. D. Ferreira, and J. F. Bigotte, 'Decarbonising maritime ports: A systematic review of the literature and insights for new research opportunities', Journal of Cleaner Production, p. 142209, 2024.
18. D. V. Lyridis, J. M. Prousalidis, A.-M. Lekka, V. Georgiou, and L. Nakos, 'Holistic Energy Transformation of Ports: The Proteus plan', IEEE Electrification Magazine, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 8–17, 2023.
19. S. B. Issa Zadeh, M. D. Esteban Perez, J.-S. López-Gutiérrez, and G. Fernández-Sánchez, 'Optimizing Smart Energy Infrastructure in Smart Ports: A Systematic Scoping Review of Carbon Footprint Reduction', Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, vol. 11, no. 10, p. 1921, 2023.